Filozofická fakulta Univerzity Palackého

Katedra anglistiky a amerikanistiky

Porovnání kvality tlumočení s kvalitou veřejné prezentace studentů oboru Angličtina se zaměřením na komunitní tlumočení a překlad

Comparison of the interpreting quality and public presentation quality of the students of the English for Community Interpreting and Translating course

(bakalářská práce)

Autor: Adam Vojáček

Studijní obor: Angličtina se zaměřením na komunitní tlumočení a překlad

Vedoucí práce: PhDr. Veronika Prágerová

Olomouc 2012

Prohlašuji, že jsem tuto bakalářskou práci vypracoval samostatně a uvedl úplný seznam použité literatury.

V Olomouci dne 17. května 2012.

.....

Děkuji PhDr. Veronice Prágerové za odbornou pomoc, cenné rady a nadlidskou trpělivost, kterou jako vedoucí mé práce měla. Dále děkuji všem svým kolegům – studentům, kteří se ochotně zúčastnili experimentu a poskytli mi tak neocenitelný materiál ke zpracování. List of Abbreviations

ATP – English for Community Interpreting and Translating

KAA - Department of English and American Studies

FF – Philosophical Faculty

UPOL – Palacký University in Olomouc

BA – Bachelor

SAD - Social Anxiety Disorder

Table of Content

1	. INTE	RODUC	TION	7
	1.1.	Rese	arch Method and Hypothesis	8
	1.2.	Rese	arch Procedure	9
2	THE	ORFTI	CAL PART	11
-				
	2.1.		/erbal Communication	
	2.1.		What is Nonverbal Communication?	
	2.1.	2.	How is nonverbal communication important to an interpreter	
	2.1.	3.	How to use nonverbal communication in interpreting	
	2.1.	4.	How to apply these implications?	14
	2	2.1.4.1.	Posturics/Posture	
	2	2.1.4.2.	Facial expressions/Mimics	
	2	2.1.4.3.	Gestures	
	_	2.1.4.4.	Kinesics	
	2	2.1.4.5.	Voice	
	2.1.	5.	Not interpreters only	22
3	. PUB	LIC SP	EAKING	22
	3.1.	CTDC	S MANAGEMENT	20
	3.2.			
	5.2.	PRAC		24
4	. PRA	CTICA	L PART	25
	4.1.	Meth	HODOLOGY AND PROCEDURE	25
	4.1.	1.	Public Presentation Quality Assessment	25
	4.1.	2.	Interpreting Quality Assessment	26
	4.2.	Expei	RIMENTAL SITUATION	28
	4.3.	Lenk	۹	29
	4.3.		The Interpretation Quality Assessment	
	4.3.		Nonverbal Communication Assessment by Audience Members 1-7 in Categories	
	4.3.		Questionnaire – Mock Conference (Lenka)	
	4.4.	-		
	4.4.		The Interpretation Quality Assessment	
	4.4.		Nonverbal Communication Assessment by Audience Members 1-7 in Categories	
	4.4.		Questionnaire – Mock Conference (Martin)	
	4.5.		NA	
	4.5.	1.	The Interpretation Quality Assessment	41

	4.5.2.	Nonverbal Communication Assessment by Audience Members 1-7 in Categories	42
	4.5.3.	Questionnaire – Mock Conference (Helena)	45
	4.6. Ale	š	47
	4.6.1.	The Interpretation Quality Assessment	47
	4.6.2.	Nonverbal Communication Assessment by Audience Members 1-7 in Categories	48
	4.6.3.	Questionnaire – Mock Conference (Aleš)	51
	4.7. Ale	NA	53
	4.7.1.	The Interpretation Quality Assessment	53
	4.7.2.	Nonverbal Communication Assessment by Audience Members 1-7 in Categories	54
	4.7.3.	Questionnaire – Mock Conference (Alena)	57
	4.8. ADA	M	59
	4.8.1.	The Interpretation Quality Assessment	59
	4.8.2.	Nonverbal Communication Assessment by Audience Members 1-7 in Categories	60
	4.8.3.	Questionnaire – Mock Conference (Adam)	64
5.	CONCLUS	5ION	66
6.		,	68
0.			
		RPRETING TRANSCRIPTIONS	
	6.1.1.	Lenka	
	6.1.2.	Martin	
	6.1.3.	Helena	
	6.1.4.	Aleš	
	6.1.5.	Alena	
	6.1.6.	Adam	
		DIENCE ASSESSMENT	
	6.2.1.	Lenka	
	6.2.2.	Martin	
	6.2.3.	Helena	
	6.2.4.	Aleš	
	6.2.5.	Alena	
	6.2.6.	Adam	95
7.	SUMMA	RY	97
8.	BIBLIOGF	арну	99
	8.1. Wo	rks Cited	99
		rks Consulted	
	-		-

1. Introduction

I am currently a student of the third year of *English for Community Interpreting and Translating* program (ATP) at the department of English and American Studies (KAA), Philosophical Faculty (FF) at Palacký University (UPOL). As such, I have already passed all theoretical and practical interpreting seminars allocated for BA students. Having done so, I believe I can honestly say that ATP students are well versed in theoretical knowledge of both interpreting and public presentation – by which I shall mean basics of public speaking and nonverbal communication skills.

On this fact I base this thesis' assumption: facing a real life interpreting situation, students are armed with sufficient theoretical knowledge of both public presentation and interpreting techniques. They mostly know how to interpret in terms of formulation of ideas and in terms of public speaking and presentation. As part of school lectures of interpreting theory, they have been instructed both in consecutive and simultaneous interpreting procedures (though BA program is aimed at community interpreting in the first place) and – mainly – theoretical background. They have been given materials and instructed on theory of the key interpreting areas. Most of the information concerned the techniques of interpreting itself, ranging from memory training and techniques, note-taking, restructuring and condensing the message.

Those vital parts have also been trained and worked on throughout the six practical seminars and two practical training courses, of which one comprised of the simulated mock conference, video recordings of which are crucial part of this thesis. All this led to considerable improvement in actual quality of both consecutive and simultaneous interpreting.

Without further specialized training however, the student interpreters will probably work in the consecutive mode more often, rather than hidden in a booth, meaning that they are most likely going to interpret in clear sight of those they interpret for and they interpret between. Thus, they will often find themselves in situations, in which not only what they say will matter, but the way they present themselves as speakers will be judged as well.

Of course, only the combination of theoretical knowledge and practice in terms of interpreting itself will allow them to deliver a quality rendition of the original speech and provide professional service as interpreters.

However, from my own experience from observing my colleagues, I realized that there had been discrepancies in the way students approached the actual interpreting and public presentation. Whereas the first was thoroughly discussed from theoretical point of view and paid much attention in terms of practice, the latter was rather peripheral, with theoretical background being explained and feedback given but with very little practice aimed at improvement and trial of public presentation skills.

This served as an inspiration for the choice of my topic area. My thesis concerns training and application of public presentation in consecutive interpreting and its place in the curriculum of the ATP program.

I will conduct an experiment using a video recording of second year ATP students interpreting on a mock conference, which is a part of the students' practical training course in the second year and which also served as a stimulus and inspiration for my topic.

My thesis is also intended to serve as a feedback from a third year student of the ATP program, as I personally feel that public speaking could be considered something of a general weakness of many of the students of the ATP program. I do not claim this to be universally right for everyone, as many might have done their own research and exercise in the area or might have been involved in activities leading to improvement in public speaking qualities, such as being involved in acting or any other performance. Such experience would not only be a great boost to presentation skills, it would without a doubt be a great way of learning how to tackle stress and exercise more control over one's nerves.

I will work with video recordings of six test persons (including myself) that I will examine in terms of two areas: 1) interpreting and 2) public presentation¹.

1.1.Research Method and Hypothesis

The methodology for assessing both areas will be described at the beginning of the practical section of the thesis. My goal is to define, with help from my supervisor, a simple base for assessing the quality of interpreting, so that I have a tool to allow me to judge whether a particular interpreting was or was not sufficient. My aim is not to conduct a lengthy, detailed research but to be able to tell whether the interpreter succeeded in conveying

¹ I will use the term public presentation as an umbrella term for public speaking – the actual speech delivery in front of a group of people, and for nonverbal communication – the theory and use of nonverbal communication

most of the important information as the speaker originally intended. His/her success (or failure) will then be compared and contrasted with the assessment of his/her public presentation quality. It is important to say that for majority of the students, the mock conference was the first public interpreting event in their lives; therefore I expect their performances to be influenced by nervousness and stress at least to some degree; I intend to find out about their subjective evaluation of those influences through a questionnaire research.

My hypothesis is that all six test persons will show decent quality in their spoken interpreting, only occasionally affected by nervousness, but will perform rather poorly considering the public presentation. I expect to see a certain contrast between the interpretation quality and the quality of their public presentation. That is, with their undeniable theoretical knowledge in both areas, I expect that they will be able to put the theory into practice effectively in case of interpreting but that they will find it hard to perform equally well in terms of their public presentation.

My thesis will be divided into two main parts. First one shall outlay the basic theory of public presentation. Second part will be practical, involving analyses of the video recordings in regard to interpreting quality, feedback from the audience (consisting of fellow ATP students) regarding the public presentation skills of the test interpreters and finally results of the questionnaire research responded to by the test interpreters themselves.

1.2. Research Procedure

Through the experiment, I believe to be able to arrive at a conclusion as to the quality of the interpreting itself, without concentrating on specific details, analyzing mistakes, etc. I am interested in the overall intelligibility of the interpreting – amount of the core message parts transferred to the audience. 2

I will proceed with my work as follows. First I will choose six, approximately 9 minute long video recordings for the experiment. Then I will transcribe the videos into a two column table.

² Disclaimer: The method of interpreting quality assessment was designed by PhDr. Prágerová and me specificaly for the needs of this particular research. This method is experimental and I do not claim it to be perfectly precise. On contrary, I belive it is fairly simple and provides the very basic interpreting quality assessment, which allows for quick judgment of an interpreter's performance in terms of content and core information transfer from the point of view of an audience member, without a need for leghthy, complex analysis.

Then the quality of the interpreting has to be evaluated. As suggested by my supervisor, PhDr. Prágerová, I will analyze the original and find the most important pieces of information – core messages. Once found, they will be compared to the translation, giving me a chance to assess how precisely or adequately the interpreter translated the message. I will not analyze mistakes in detail, concentrating rather on their number and actual incidence within the important sections of the utterances, thus judging the translation as a whole on comparison between the amount of right and wrong translations and their ratio. Individual pieces of information shall be highlighted with a color, accordingly to accuracy of their translation. Green will stand for a correct translation, yellow will denote translation or a mistake, such as a different number, omission of important information, antonymous translation etc., which simply means that the audience is not even remotely receiving information as intended and given by the speaker.

I will have my analysis of the interpreting re-checked by 2 professional interpreters.

Then I will collect forms with the feedback from the audience concerning the interpreter's public presentation. I will process the information and categorize it according to the theory as set in the theoretical part of the thesis. I will put all the information into tables divided into these sections: Posturics/Posture, Facial Expressions/Mimics, Gestures, Kinesics/Body Movement, Vocal Presentation and Features, Nervousness, Extra. The table will be divided into two

I will also support my research with an auto-evaluation questionnaire in which participants of the experiment (the test interpreters) will answer a set of questions.

Finally I will compare and contrast the results of both. As mentioned before, I expect to see a certain discrepancy in the outcome. I will assess how close the actual outcome of my work is to my original predictions as to the discrepancy between the quality of interpreting and public presentation. Lastly, I will comment on the idea of public presentation being taught and practiced as part of school seminars.

In the annex, video recordings, transcriptions of the interpreting and assessment forms of the respondents from the audience will be added.

Quotes and paraphrases by Czech authors will be translated by me.

2. Theoretical Part

2.1.Nonverbal Communication

2.1.1. What is Nonverbal Communication?

Nonverbal communication is a form of communication in which information is not transmitted through the content of an utterance but rather through nonverbal means that we have at our disposal. Mehrabian says that: "In its narrow and more accurate sense, 'nonverbal behavior' refers to actions as distinct from speech. It thus includes facial expressions, hand and arm gestures, postures, positions, and various movements of the body or the legs and feet [...]."³ He, however, also points to the fact that in broader sense, as the term is usually used, it often denotes certain aspects of the speech as well.⁴ For the needs of this paper and to make the practical section of the thesis clearer, I will perceive all vocal presentation and features (rate, melody, articulation, etc.), excluding the actual content of the speech, to be part of nonverbal communication.

We may divide the concept of nonverbal communication into several subdivisions that concern individual areas of nonverbal communication, as defined by Lepilová:

Facial expressions – communication through facial expressions, smile and laughter.

Kinesics - communication through movement and gestures.

Proxemics – communication through distance and intimacy.

Haptics – studies communication through a touch.

Study of posture (posturics) – studies the communication through body posture.

³ Albert Mehrabian, *Nonverbal Communication* (USA: Aldine Transactions, A Division of Transactions Publishers, 2009), 1. Available online at: http://www.google.cz/books?hl=cs&lr=&id=Xt-

YALu9CGwC&oi=fnd&pg=PR7&dq=nonverbal+communication+definition&ots=5xJdLc8eot&sig=NusPmfYj GWf5ibFnTS1EqhC53uw&redir_esc=y#v=onepage&q=nonverbal%20communication%20definition&f=false ⁴ Ibid., 1.

Paralanguage – communication through the speech melody, culture-bound nonverbal manifestations and their communicational and meta-communicational features.⁵ Apart from speech melody, features of the voice, such as timber, height, etc., play an important role in communication as well. Same applies for the pace of the speech.

2.1.2. How is nonverbal communication important to an interpreter

In the introduction I express a belief that through this thesis I will prove that ATP students have often problems achieving decent and effective public presentation. Under this umbrella term, I understand two areas: 1) nonverbal communication and 2) public speaking, as explained in the introduction. Under the term public speaking, I see not only the activity of speaking in front of public, but also the ability to do it well – to be able to speak well in terms of the spoken language and basic nonverbal features of the speech; and also to be able to deal with stress that is so very connected with public speaking. I believe that any interpreter (novice or expert) will agree on importance of this issue. It seems to me, however, that the importance of nonverbal communication beyond the vocal expression is somewhat underestimated in the world of interpreters.

The task of an interpreter is to provide communication between two or more parties, between which there is a linguistic barrier. Obviously, any interpreter's goal then is to explain the information he/she conveys – in any of the languages involved – as clearly as possible. Jones says that interpreters work is: "[...] one of continuous explanation and explication."⁶

That is why I see the nonverbal communication as vital. In his book, Allan Pease refers to Albert Mehrabian who found that the total impact of a message is: "about 7 per cent verbal (words only) and 38 per cent vocal (including tone of voice, inflection and other sounds) and 55 per cent non-verbal."⁷ Neither Mehrabian – who conducted the study, nor Pease – whose book deals with nonverbal communication, is interpreter. In spite of that, I believe that basic content of any form of communication is the actual transfer of ideas, thoughts and messages between people; be it communication that takes place between two people having a casual conversation or between speaker, interpreter and audience.

⁵ Květuše Lepilová, *Řečová komunikace verbální a neverbální Pro tlumočníky a průvodce ve sféře podnikání a cestovního ruchu* (Ostrava: Ostravská Univerzita, 1998), 50.

⁶ Roderick Jones, *Conference interpreting explained* (Manchester: St. Jerome Publishing, 2002), 4.

⁷ Allan Pease, *Body Language – How to read others' thoughts by their gestures*, PDF Version, (London: Sheldon Press, 1988), 9.

Thus, throughout the thesis, I will often refer to interpreter as interpreter/speaker or speaker/interpreter because it is my intention to view interpreter as a speaker. We need to realize that during consecutive interpreting, the interpreter stands in front of the audience that will only understand him/her and will very probably not understand the original speaker at all. That is why I believe that interpreter should perceive himself/herself as a speaker or a public speaker, in order to be able to fully convey the message. To be a good speaker, while facing an audience, means to make full use of all means of communication the interpreter/speaker has at his/her disposal.

Drawing on my own experience from my studies, I came to believe that most of the students evaluate quality of interpreting – including consecutive – only in terms of the verbal messages they convey, not using the immense potential that lies in good use of public presentation skills.

2.1.3. How to use nonverbal communication in interpreting

How is knowledge of nonverbal communication useful to an interpreter? There are two ways one may look at the issue:

First, an interpreter might use good knowledge of nonverbal communication in order to encode even the deep, implicit meaning of the message he/she hears by not only paying attention to the content of the speech but by analyzing the nonverbal features of it – melody, volume, intonation, phrasing and other features of the voice, and in case he/she has a clear view of the speaker, then also by analyzing his/her body language (gestures, posture, facial expressions) – and thus uncovering and understanding the meaning better, being able to more confidently explicate the message if a need arises.

Second, in case the interpreter interprets in clear sight of the customer and any other party involved, he/she may also express himself/herself more effectively and create a better, more professional image. Not only may the interpreter achieve better understanding within the target audience by speaking more clearly, more loudly, using the right gestures to help explain the message and stir and keep attention of the audience, the interpreter might also purposefully create an intended image of a professional and a confident service provider even if he/she still lacks that confidence in reality.

2.1.4. How to apply these implications?

In my point of view, there are several basic areas that interpreter should be well versed in – both theoretically and in terms of actual conscious use. There exist of course a variety of views as to the characteristic and division of nonverbal communication. Inspired by the works of Lepilová⁸ and Špačková⁹, I will use, for the purpose of this work, the following division:

2.1.4.1. **Posturics/Posture**

There is a very high probability that during the course of the consecutive interpreting session, interpreter will be required to remain standing. The very fact entails the need for a self-control and constant awareness of one's posture, as the audience that does not understand the language of the original will pay equal amount of attention (if not more) to the interpreter, as they pay to the speaker. Therefore it is the interpreter's posture that will first come under scrutiny of the audience and that might help to stir the attention (in positive way of course - to help to get the message through by capturing the audience's attention). Špačková says: "If the speaker wants to convince his audience, he must emanate sufficient level of tension from his body, otherwise he might easily be overlooked by the audience."¹⁰ According to her, that is why in the relation between a speaker and an audience member, it is the speaker's body that is always in much more tension.¹¹ It is obvious that during consecutive interpreting, the interpreter, to certain degree, has to take over the role of the speaker. It seems it would be even more important for the interpreter to master the skills of nonverbal communication because it is the interpreter who speaks the language of the target audience and will thus be able to offer the audience better service if he/she manages to grasp and command their attention.

Lepilová says that the posture of a person gives out a lot of information about him/her and that even when relaxed, communicator's body (especially chest, shoulders and head) still send out this information.¹² It is therefore vital that interpreter not only knows how to control his posture but he/she also enters the work-mode as soon as he/she is visible to the audience.

⁸ Lepilová, *Řečová komunikace verbální a neverbální*

 ⁹ Špačková, *Rétorika pro tlumočníky* ¹⁰ Alena Špačková, *Rétorika pro tlumočníky* (Praha: Česká komora tlumočníků znakového jazyka o. s., 2008), 62.

¹¹ Ibid., 62.

¹² Lepilová, Řečová komunikace verbální a neverbální, 62.

In terms of the actual posture, Špačková talks of what she refers to as active forthcoming posture – straight posture, with raised head and feet firmly anchored to the ground. The center of gravity of the speaker (in our case an interpreter) is to be shifted forward so that the weight rests on the front third of our soles. Either upper or lower half of the body is turned to the side a bit, so that the stance is not rigid and stiff but rather relaxed and natural. That way one of the legs is in the front which makes the speaker/interpreter look more active, natural. Stance is more stable and in case there is need to walk, the beginning of the motion does not require a shift in weight. Also, the elbows should be slightly bent, as pointed lines give the audience a more dynamic impression.¹³

2.1.4.2. **Facial expressions/Mimics**

Face is of course an extremely important part of one's body when it comes to nonverbal communication. It reflects one's emotions and mental state and, as Lepilová says, greatly intensifies (positively or negatively) the verbal communication.¹⁴ It is generally believed that it is through face that a lie can be uncovered when uttered; it is the face that gives out true emotions. Lepilová believes that face not only can supplement the verbal communication but can also substitute it.15

2.1.4.2.1. Smile

Smile, if used right, is a great way of showing confidence and comfort, showing that the speaker/ interpreter knows what he/she is talking about and having no doubt about the correctness and quality of his/hers speech. It is also a great manifestation of friendliness and positive approach to the audience. Lepilová perceives smile and laughter as positive display of mimics.¹⁶ It is quite obvious though that a smile may only be used in certain way, as a sign of confidence, as a signal of friendliness, etc. One must bear in mind that smiling while speaking/interpreting about a horrific accident, a crime or generally any other serious topic might turn a positive signal into an inadequate gesture. Lepilová points out that an inappropriate smile might make a bad impression and also notes how close a smile is to a

 ¹³ Špačková, *Rétorika pro tlumočníky*, 62.
 ¹⁴ Lepilová, *Řečová komunikace verbální a neverbální*, 68.

¹⁵ Ibid., 69.

¹⁶ Ibid., 55.

smirk, how easily it may become ironic. She even refers to grimace as something that might be considered a rude facial expression.¹⁷

Speaker/interpreter should, in my opinion, not perceive smile as a gesture, something that is simply put on. Smile should be trained just as any part of nonverbal communication, just as any feature of public presentation, as smile that is not honest is easily spotted. Špačková talks about the activation of cheek muscles as a typical feature of an honest smile. She also mentions wrinkles around eyes to typically accompany a sincere smile.¹⁸

2.1.4.2.2. Communication with eyes

As Špačková says: "Even during a monologue performance we lead a conversation with our audience."¹⁹ It is crucial that we learn how to maintain eye contact. Eye contact with the audience is vital in setting up a feeling of personal connection; it is a sign of honesty and openness. It also greatly enhances the impression of professionalism. Insufficient eye contact, on contrary, leads to depersonalization and loss of interest from the audience. As Lucas says: "The quickest way to establish a communicative bond with your listeners is to look them in the eye, personally and pleasantly."²⁰

If not to create a bond, speaker/interpreter should use eye contact as a means of obtaining vital information from his/her audience – as Špačková points out, eye contact also leads to realization of feedback.²¹ Through eye communication, the speaker/interpreter might receive signals from the audience concerning the speech/interpretation – is the message easily understood, is it clear? Do I speak too fast? Lucas also point to this and believes that eyes will help in answering those questions.²²

Eye contact of course should not be extreme. Interpreter's look should not rest on a single person for too long. It is not a stare but rather a glance that travels through the audience and meets everyone. Lucas believes that speaker should try to establish contact with the whole audience.²³ In case keeping eye contact is difficult, as for some speakers it is, Špačková

¹⁷ Lepilová, Řečová komunikace verbální a neverbální, 55.

¹⁸ Špačková, *Rétorika pro tlumočníky*, 64 – 65.

¹⁹ Ibid., 65.

²⁰ Stephen E. Lucas, *The Art of Public Speaking. Third Edition* (New York: McGraw-Hill Publishing Company, 1989), 245.

²¹ Špačková, *Rétorika pro tlumočníky*, 65.

²² Lucas, *The Art of Public Speaking*, 246.

²³ Ibid., 246.

suggests glancing at the audience at the beginning and at the end of a thought. She also believes that with every new thought uttered, speaker should move his/her glance at another listener, moving through the whole audience.²⁴

Communication through eyes is of course not only a matter of eye contact. When we think of eyes, we tend to think of eyeballs only, but as Lucas says: "The eyeball itself expresses no emotion. Yet by manipulating the eyeball and the areas of the face around it – especially the upper eyelids and the eyebrow – we are able to convey an intricate array of nonverbal messages. So revealing are these messages that we think of the eyes as 'the windows of the soul.' We look to them to help gauge the truthfulness, intelligence, attitudes, and feelings of a speaker."²⁵ It is therefore crucial that speaker/interpreter pays attention to his/her use of eyes, as through eyebrow manipulation, eyelid movement, extent to which eyes are open, etc. may signal emotions and feelings ranging from happiness, nervousness, sarcasm, up to apathy, contempt or aggression.

2.1.4.3. Gestures

We mostly connect the notion of gestures with arms. Gestures may however involve the whole body of the speaker. Lepilová refers to gestures of the upper body as positive and to gestures of lower body as negative.²⁶ In this work, we will consider gestures as mainly those of upper body and arms.

As Lucas says: "Few aspects of delivery seem to cause students more anguish than deciding what to do with their hands."²⁷ He notes that even people who normally gesture a lot find it difficult to do so when speaking to audience.²⁸ Gestures can effectively work to enhance the message but should not be extreme, as that might turn the attention of the audience away from the actual message.

Špačková talks about the so called open gestures – showing palm and wrists. She believes that they are sign of loyalty, as they mean the speaker/interpreter is exposing himself/herself

 ²⁴ Špačková, *Rétorika pro tlumočníky*, 65.
 ²⁵ Lucas, *The Art of Public Speaking*, 245.

²⁶ Lepilová, Řečová komunikace verbální a neverbální, 65.

²⁷ Lucas, The Art of Public Speaking, 244.

²⁸ Ibid., 244.

to the audience. Hands behind back, on contrary, are a sign of the speaker/interpreter trying to hide something from the audience.²⁹

It might seem that there is no need for interpreters to master and use gestures effectively simply because of the notepad and the pen that they hold while interpreting consecutively. I disagree with such point of view because even though the reason interpreters have a pen and a notepad is to take notes, the notes are only taken during the actual speech of the speaker. Once he/she is finished with the segment, the interpreter takes over in order to provide the rendition in the target language. There, I believe, is the point at which the interpreter enters into the role of the speaker and has the opportunity to make full use of his nonverbal communication skills, including gestures.

One could disagree, pointing to the fact that there is important information in the notepad that the interpreter wrote down and he/she needs to see. Obviously that is true but at the same time the interpreter does not need to write anything down while speaking, which means he/she can easily use the hand that holds the pen to gesture. On top of that, interpreter should not rely solely on the notes he/she takes. Interpreter should rely mainly on his/hers memory and knowledge of the material or field that is the subject of the speech, thus leading to much less need to look at the notes throughout the performance. Jones himself says: "[...] it is impossible for an interpreter to rely solely on good notes [...]³⁰ Relying too much on the notes might not only lead to mistakes in actual interpreting in case the interpreter cannot recall the connection between the pieces of information that he/she wrote down, it will also dramatically impede interpreter's ability to use – apart from gestures – eye contact.

Using no gestures would seem unnatural but employing too many of them would eventually become annoying for the audience. I believe that Lucas gives a simple but effective advice on this topic: "Whatever gestures you make should not draw attention to themselves and distract from your message. They should appear natural and spontaneous, help to clarify or reinforce your ideas, and be suited to the audience and occasion."³¹

 ²⁹ Špačková, *Rétorika pro tlumočníky*, 67.
 ³⁰ Jones, *Conference interpreting explained*, 29.
 ³¹ Lucas, *The Art of Public Speaking*, 245.

2.1.4.4. Kinesics

Kinesics is a study of body movements as a form of communication. Lepilová says: "Body continuously emits certain signals and its moves are an unconscious, spontaneous speech, unless they are restricted by a social standard and convention."³² She also says that it is even harder to control one's body movements than to control one's facial expressions.³³

Kinesics usually contain gestures as a subdivision, for the purpose of this work however, I will use the categories as two, separate ones. Into the kinesics category I will put major movements of the whole body – walking, weight shifting, etc.

Being quite hard to learn and master, they are still crucial for anyone who seeks to speak in front of an audience. Even if one feels that body movement is not an issue, it is always worth making sure by getting feedback and taking criticism seriously after having spoken in front of a group of friends or colleagues and teachers or making a video recording of oneself. A speaker/interpreter must be aware of the fact that nervousness might cause a change in his/her movement once he/she is actually on the stage. Lucas claims that quirks, such as excessive walking, stiffness, constant moves, shifting weight, etc. are usually caused by nervousness. He, however, also ads that with concentration, such quirks should disappear once the speaker becomes comfortable with his/her audience.³⁴

Speaker/interpreter must bear in mind that communication does not start once he/she starts speaking, communication starts at the moment he/she is in any way perceived by the audience – be it by the smell of perfume, sound of his/her steps or be the sound of breathing. Same of course applies to kinesics. Speaker/interpreter starts the communication once he/she is seen, not once the speech begins. Lucas says: "As important as how you act during the speech is what you do just before you begin and after you finish."³⁵ He believes that a speaker should try to appear calm, poised and confident. Also, the speaker should not rush into the speech. Both at the beginning and at the end, it is important to establish and maintain eye contact for several seconds.³⁶ Speaker/interpreter should always remain calm, keep his/her behavior controlled but natural and never yield to stress.

³² Lepilová, *Řečová komunikace verbální a neverbální*, 63.

³³ Ibid., 63.

³⁴ Lucas, *The Art of Public Speaking*, 244.

³⁵ Ibid., 244.

³⁶ Ibid., 244.

2.1.4.5. Voice

I personally believe that voice is very often not given enough credit as a part of nonverbal communication, while in fact it is yet another great tool to use in order to stir attention.

Voice, just like any other feature of nonverbal communication, is greatly connected with the mental state of the speaker. Lepilová says: "Physical and mental state influence voice as a tool of persuasion, voice itself has an informative value."³⁷ This again leads to the connection between the mental state of a speaker/interpreter and his/hers nonverbal communication skills.

2.1.4.5.1. Voice should be trained

Vocal cords need to be trained and exercised just like any other muscle in the body in order for them to be able to deal with the strain of a profession like interpreting. Voice, just like any other human ability, needs to be trained, if the owner wants to have more control over it.

On March 16th 2012, I took part in a seminar called Do not be afraid to speak (Nebojte se promluvit). It was organized by the Department of Journalism of the Palacký University and led by a professional actor – Alfréd Strejček. According to him, voice should be exercised even if one has a job, of which speaking is an important part and one thus leads to the person spending a lot of time speaking throughout the day; speaking alone cannot be considered exercise. Training needs to be conscious and regular.³⁸

Many perceive voice as being the product of vocal cords exclusively but a fact of a matter is that breathing is also crucial for efficient voice production that will not lead to vocal cords getting tired or even damaged. Majtner says that the right breath is what he refers to as ribdiaphragm breath, the diaphragm function being prevalent.³⁹ Right breathing is also crucial in handling stress and learning how to control one's nerves. Majtner also points out the importance of warming up by breathing first.⁴⁰

2.1.4.5.2. Voice should be cared for

Speakers/Interpreters live off their voices, sometimes using them for hours a day with only short breaks. Few however know how to care for their voices. Before speaking, speaker

³⁷ Lepilová, *Řečová komunikace verbální a neverbální*, 52.

³⁸ Alfréd Strejček, seminar *Nebojte se promluvit*, 16th March 2012.

 ³⁹ Jaroslav Majtner, *Hlasová výchova* (Olomouc: Univerzita Palackého v Olomouci, Pedagogická Fakulta, 2006),
 40.

⁴⁰ Ibid., 40.

should always warm-up his/her speaking apparatus, ranging from mouth to the vocal cords. Correct breathing is also crucial throughout the whole speech. Allowing enough time for the vocal cords to recuperate should be part of one's approach as well.

If, however, one's vocal cords get strained anyway, there are a variety of techniques and herbs that may be successfully used to treat the problem and alleviate the discomfort. In case of a serious damage though, one might even have to see a doctor.⁴¹

2.1.4.5.3. Rate/Pitch/Volume

Having a well trained and healthy voice alone will not make much of a difference. It is the way we work with it that matters and makes the actual difference to the speech delivery.

Rate is the speed that we speak at. There is probably no universal rule as to whether to speak faster or slower. Lucas believes that two obvious mistakes to avoid are speaking too fast or too slow.⁴² But other than that, he says: "The best rate of speech depends on several things – the vocal attributes of the speaker, the mood he or she is trying to create, the composition of the audience, and the nature of the occasion."⁴³

Interpreters must keep in mind that their rate should not follow the speaker's rate universally. Not only might the interpreter make a better culture-based decision, choosing the pace better accordingly to the customs of the culture he /she knows better than the speaker (providing the interpreter is interpreting into his mother tongue) but in case the speaker is inexperienced and speaks either too fast or too slow, following with a translation in the same pace would be unprofessional.

Pitch, as defined by Lucas, is "the highness or lowness of the speaker's voice."⁴⁴ Changes in the pitch are regularly used throughout a speech to distinguish meaning – such as a sign of sarcasm, surprise, asking a question and others. Such changes occur naturally and are, I believe, desirable, as they make the communication clearer and more easily understandable. As Lepilová says: "Change in the pitch of the voice stimulates communication [...]"⁴⁵ In spite

⁴¹ For more information on the topic of voice, I would suggest Majtner's Hlasová výchova. It mainly is written for singers, but I believe it to be full of interesting information that anyone who uses voice as a communication medium will find useful.

⁴² Lucas, *The Art of Public Speaking*, 237.

⁴³ Ibid., 237.

⁴⁴ Ibid., 237.

⁴⁵ Lepilová, *Řečová komunikace verbální a neverbální*, 53.

of its natural occurrence, I believe that professional speakers should be able to use it consciously and perhaps with more emphasis should the need arise.

Volume varies greatly from person to person. If the speaker speaks into a microphone, there is no need to worry about being or not being heard. If however he/she has to speak without the technical equipment, it is important to speak loudly enough to be heard but at the same time not scream, as that might cause the speaker to appear vulgar, not to mention the strain put on the vocal cords. Apart from being heard, it is crucial to bear in mind the importance of creativity – working with the volume of the voice, such as the speaker works with the pitch rate. Such techniques lead to speaker/interpreter being more interesting to the audience.

2.1.5. Not interpreters only

Even if one is not an interpreter, I personally believe that mastering at least basic skill and knowledge in nonverbal communication is crucial in any profession that involves interpersonal communication – even if only limited. As Lepilová says: "For experienced businesspeople, actors, teachers, managers, speakers or interpreters, communication without words is of high importance – it informs about what may be expected from the partner [...]."⁴⁶ For such individuals, nonverbal communication is a source of priceless information as well as a medium of their own communication.

3. Public Speaking

As I say in the Introduction, I divide the term Public Presentation into two areas: Nonverbal Communication, which was already discussed, and Public Speaking. Nonverbal Communication in my view deals with the nonverbal communication means that a speaker may use anywhere – both when speaking in front of an audience or in a one-to-one dialogue. Under the term Public Speaking though, I refer to the actual speaking in public, in front of audience of whatever size.

Within the topic of public speaking itself, there are many subfields that could be examined. I will briefly discuss two crucial areas that everyone who speaks publicly has encountered and had had to deal with at a certain point of their career:

⁴⁶ Lepilová, Řečová komunikace verbální a neverbální, 51.

3.1. Stress management

Stress is a natural occurrence that comes with public speaking. In some people, it might work as a positive stimulant; in some, however, it might prove paralyzing in terms of their ability to perform. In their article, Barrios-Choplin, McCraty and Cryer say: "We know that some level of stress is required to make appropriate responses to environmental stimuli, and even higher levels are sometimes useful for short bursts of increased performance."⁴⁷ Thus, the question is not so much how to rid oneself of the stress but how to deal with it and perhaps even make use of it. As Lucas says: "The ones who succeed have learned to use their nervousness to their advantage."⁴⁸

Just as any other thing that may cause stress or nervousness, public speaking is, to certain extent, a matter of habit. The more times it is done, the easier it becomes.

With regard to my research project, I believe that the experience of having to stand in front the whole class and interpret was very stressful but also was a great opportunity to deal with the stress while still being among a friendly audience. I see there a parallel in the way this exposure to the audience becomes a natural building block of stress management for the interpreters, and exposure treatment used in treating phobias. In their article on the topic of Social Anxiety Disorder (SAD) treatment, Hindo and González-Prendes say: "Exposure treatment has been found effective in reducing the level of public speaking and social anxiety in a few sessions and maintaining treatment gains overtime."⁴⁹ While SAD is a mental disorder that is without a doubt far more serious than regular nervousness in a novice interpreter – the article refers also to other treatment techniques, including pharma-therapy – still the idea of a one-session exposure treatment became quite intriguing to me, as if it is effective enough to help someone who suffers from social phobia, it might be very effective in helping students improve their ability to manage stress and nervousness.

⁴⁷ Bob Barrios-Choplin, Rollin McCraty and Bruce Cryer, "An Inner Quality Approach to Reducing Stress and Improving Physical and Emotional Wellbeing at Work," *Stress Medicine*, 13(1997): 193, DOI: 10.1002/(SICI)1099-1700(199707)13:3<193::AID-SMI744>3.0.CO;2-I

⁴⁸ Lucas, The Art of Public Speaking, 10.

⁴⁹ Cindy S. Hindo and A. Antonio Gonza lez-Prendes, "One-Session Exposure Treatment for Social Anxiety With Specific Fear of Public Speaking," *Research on Social Work Practice* 21(2011): 529, DOI: 10.1177/1049731510393984

3.2. Practicing Delivery

An interpreter, of course, will hardly have a chance to practice delivery of a speech he/she will be to interpret beforehand. Even if he/she has the copy sent in advance, there is very little chance that the speech will remain unchanged and that the interpreter will interpret exactly the same material he/she had been provided with.

But as the interpreter prepares for an assignment, he/she will very probably find enough parallel texts to provide him/her with enough material to practice on.

As to the basics of delivery practice, Lucas outlines five basic points, which I believe, despite being meant specifically for speakers as such, can be adopted by interpreters as well. In summary, they include:

- going through the preparation outline aloud
- preparing the speaking outline
- going through the speech aloud using only the speaking outline
- practicing the speech in front of a mirror and recording it
- rehearsing under conditions that are as close to those of the actual speech as possible⁵⁰

Any interpreter, I believe, should be able to adapt those rules according to his/her needs and practice delivering speeches regularly, in order to gain more skill and confidence and be able to provide a better rendition of the original.

⁵⁰ Lucas, *The Art of Public Speaking*, 246-247.

4. Practical Part

4.1. Methodology and Procedure

Aim of the practical section is to provide me with enough evidence to support (or disprove) my hypothesis. My aim is to show, via comparing and contrasting the quality of two areas of consecutive interpreting – the interpreting itself and interpreter's public presentation, that there is a certain level of discrepancy between the two and that practical training in the area of public presentation would be desirable in order to achieve improvement, as I expect the area to somewhat lack behind the interpreting itself.

As interpreter's public presentation is the main area of interest to me, I will, throughout the practical section, try to perceive and evaluate the 'test interpreters' from the point of view of the audience, both in terms of public presentation and interpreting. Because of the nature of the experiment, the data received may be considered as very subjective and are open to a discussion.

4.1.1. Public Presentation Quality Assessment

In order to assess the quality of the test interpreters' public presentation, I created a form that I distributed among the student volunteers who became members of test audience. Their task was to evaluate (via written evaluation) interpreters' public presentation as defined in the form, concentrating on the basic areas of public presentation. The form itself was not divided into sections according to these areas but rather into positives and negatives sections, as I did not want the audience to follow specific categories, but rather to instinctively criticize and/or praise those features of the test interpreters' public presentation that they naturally felt were important.

Once the forms were collected, I summarized the output materials into a new table according to the categories described in the theoretical section, in order to have an overview of the individual interpreters' public presentation quality as seen by the audience.

In every table, I used colors to highlight the categories, for which the interpreters were praised and criticized most, or which were interesting in some way (e.g. conflicting evaluation, when surprisingly the very same features were assessed both as negative and as positive.) Below every table I wrote a short summary in which I: 1) briefly comment upon the strengths and weaknesses of the given test interpreter, 2) make suggestions as to the possible improvement in terms of public presentation and 3) comment upon the discrepancy between the subjective quality of the interpreter's interpreting and subjective quality of his/her public presentation as perceived by the audience.

4.1.2. Interpreting Quality Assessment⁵¹

As stated above, I wanted to perceive the interpreters' performances from the point of view of the audience. The question therefore was: what is the most important feature of the interpreting for the audience -a group of people who do not understand the original speaker but do want to learn what he/she says and are therefore completely reliant on the interpreter to provide a precise rendition? Kurz presents a survey in which the respondents were asked to assess the importance of eight different criteria of simultaneous interpreting – native accent, pleasant voice, fluency of delivery, logical cohesion, sense consistency, completeness of interpretation, correct grammar usage and use of correct terminology. According to the survey, sense of consistency, logical cohesion and use of correct terminology were, on average, considered to be most important.⁵² Thus, it would seem logical to consider the information fidelity – the amount and accuracy of the information transferred from the original message into the interpreter's rendition to be the most important feature of the interpreting for the audience. I also wanted to express the amount of correctly transferred information as a percentage of the maximum possible amount of information that could have been transferred, in order to be able to judge the sufficiency or insufficiency of a given interpreter's performance. To allow me to do that, I also needed to set a specific level that would denote the limit between sufficient and insufficient amount of transferred information. Gile talks about practitioners opposing the idea of quantification in the interpreting and translation research but says: "[...] it may be appropriate to stress that quantification in the behavioral sciences is not tantamount to attempting to equate a behavior with a set of equations or figures. The idea is to find indicators that can be 'measured', if only

⁵¹ Disclaimer: Within the section I try to assess and evaluate the quality of interpreting from the point of view of the audience. Method that was used was created by PhDr. Prágerová and me; it is experimental and untried, created specifically for the needs of this thesis. Further possible use in the field would have to be evaluated and studied, the method itself being further developed in order to provide more accurate data.

⁵² Ingrid Kurz, "Conference Interpretation, Expectations of Different User Groups," in Franz Pöchhacker and Miriam Shlesinger, *The interpreting studies reader* (Abingdon: Routledge, 2002), 317.

approximately, and yield data that will contribute to a better knowledge of the phenomenon."53

My goal was for the evaluation method to be time effective and simple. I did not want to analyze mistakes and reason about what caused them. Rather, I wanted to concentrate on their number and actual incidence within the important sections of the utterances or the propositions, thus judging the translation as a whole – on comparison of the amount of un/successfully transferred propositions and their ratio.

As to the boundary limit between sufficient and insufficient amount of transferred information that I decided to set, I drew inspiration from the State of Florida and the state level tests that it offers to sign language interpreters – Quality Assurance Test⁵⁴ and Florida Educational Interpreter Evaluation⁵⁵ – both of which award the first level certificate – which means that the interpreter has basic level skills – for expressing 60% of the material that is presented as part of the evaluation. That is why the boundary limit I decided to set is 60% of the information transferred.

I will approach the experiment through the method of the so called descriptive statistics that, as defined at *socialresearchmethods.net*, are basically "used to describe the basic features of the data in a study. They provide simple summaries about the sample and the measures."⁵⁶ Gile writes about descriptive statistics, calling it easier than and at least as valid to use as inferential statistics, which he says are often challenging even for the experienced researchers.⁵⁷

Concerning the interpreting analysis, I first chose a message, an utterance that I considered important. In this case I believe that the understanding is commonsensical.

After I deconstructed the message into propositions, I assessed the quality of the transfer. According to the precision of the transfer, I highlighted the translation with a color – green for correct translation, yellow for sufficient (with minor mistakes but still intelligible) and red –

⁵³ Daniel Gile, "Methodological Aspects of Interpretation and Translation Research," in Sylvie Lambert and Barbara Moser-Mercer, Bridging the Gap, Empirical Research in Simultanous Interpretation (Amsterdam/Philadelphia: John Benjamins Publishing Company, 1994), 46. ⁵⁴ http://www.aslinfo.com/qa.html

⁵⁵ http://www.aslinfo.com/eie.html

⁵⁶ http://www.socialresearchmethods.net/kb/statdesc.php

⁵⁷ Gile, "Methodological Aspects of Interpretation and Translation Research," 53.

an incorrect translation. In order to be able to quantify the quality of the translation and express it in percentage, I awarded every color an amount of points.

Green – one point (equivalent to 100%)

Red – zero points

Yellow – 0, 6 points (equivalent to 60% – this is equivalent to the boundary limit that defines the limit between successful and unsuccessful transfer. Yellow is defined as sufficient, with minor mistakes but still intelligible. By assigning it 0, 6 point coefficient I count out the possibility of the quality of the transfer being somewhere between 60% – 100% but as much as it would do the interpreters more justice to be more accurate, it would also lead to the need of deeper analysis. That is why I decided to simplify the method by assigning the yellow color the lowest (but still sufficient) possible coefficient.

Once the whole speech is analyzed, number of maximum possible points is counted and compared to the actual number of points achieved. Via the rule of three, correctness level expressed as percentage of the achievable maximum is achieved.

4.2. Experimental Situation

The mock conference took place in the university's recording studio, in the school year 2010/2011, towards the end of winter semester. The session was designed to simulate a real life interpreting situation; students received parallel texts and had only basic information about the actual topic or area. Students all knew about the assignment in advance and were provided with the same materials.

All who participated were students of ATP and as much as they come from different backgrounds, in this case, they all shared same interest – to show decent performance, pass the subject, etc. They had similar contextual knowledge, since they were all interpreting part at the same venue – the mock conference. The conference was unifying in terms of their shared knowledge.

4.3.Lenka

4.3.1. The Interpretation Quality Assessment⁵⁸

Out of 40 possible points, Lenka received 17, 6. She interpreted 5 segments correctly, 21 sufficiently but also interpreted 14 segments with major flaws. Percentually, she only achieved 44% correctness level.

Maximum points...40 points Achieved...**17, 6** points 14 x red...0 points 21 x yellow...21x0, 6=12, 6 points 5 x green...5 points

Correctness level...44%

We may consider this interpretation to be rather unsuccessful, as 44% correct translation does not give enough information as to be considered sufficient. As already said, the score might have been caused or influenced by several things. I believe stress to have played a major role in Lenka's case. Being stressed/anxious is also mentioned in the questionnaire, even saying she was afraid of inability to interpret well.

Whatever the reason was, I believe the quality was too low for the interpreting to be considered sufficient.

⁵⁸ All transcriptions, including the analysis, are available in the Annex.

Category	Positives	Negatives
Posturics /Posture	-good and steady posture - appropriate posture -steady posture	-weird stance
Facial Expression /Mimics	 -tries to maintain the eye-contact -attempts eye contact. -eye contact (but not enough) -smiles 	 -her eye-contact attempts are appreciated but it seems to be mainly towards the end of the sentences -she keeps her eyes on her notes too much -poor eye contact -no eye contact -not much eye contact with audience
Gestures	-no extra gestures	 -uses gestures with her pen only when she is not sure or corrects herself -constantly writing notes, changing them, fiddling with the pen
Kinesics /Body Movement	-not exaggerated body movement	

4.3.2. Nonverbal Communication Assessment by Audience Members 1-7 in Categories

Vocal Presentation and Features	 -very fluent and pleasant to listen to -nice tone - calm voice -more or less natural intonation. -good volume -nice tone of the voice 	 -intonation could be better, tends to sound a bit monotonous -could be speaking more loudly -too quiet voice and she keeps looking into the paper when talking -sometimes her voice is a bit too quiet, especially towards the endings of the sentences -speaking in a low voice -hesitation sounds -speaks very quietly and shyly
Nervousness	-no visible nervosity -appears very balanced and calm -very calm – calm voice -confident, natural	-seems insecure, uncertain about the things she says -displays of nervousness
Extra	 looks optimistic takes time to formulate the sentences and almost doesn't seem to panic nice to look at – clothes, posture appropriate clothing generally pleasant appearance 	-seems like she is taking notes during the actual interpretation which is a little distracting

Lenka has been described by the audience members in a very conflicting way. While some praised specific features of her performance, others criticized them. Here we clearly see how diverse and different the views of nonverbal communication and presentation can be – what might seem too little to some may easily be described as exaggerated be others.

In the Nervousness section, Lenka was described as balanced and calm, confident and natural, while to some, she seemed insecure and uncertain, displaying nervousness. I personally believe that the stress – that Lenka admits to in the questionnaire – was quite obvious. At the same time, it seems to be well dealt with, controlled throughout the duration of the interpretation.

It seems to me though that via the melody of her speech, she gives out the feeling of stress and insecurity very obviously. Her voice, on the other hand, is very pleasant. Audience members described it as nice and calm, calling her pleasant to listen to. At the same time, the volume of her voice was seen as too low, her speech being too quiet – a view I agree with.

Surprisingly enough, one of the members of the audience praised the volume of her voice. Also, her speaking is described as shyly and monotonous, her intonation being mentioned as both a negative and a positive trait.

A weakness that the audience mostly agreed upon was the lack of eye contact. Even though it was also among the positive qualities, it was still called as Lenka's attempts at eye contact and as being insufficient in amount.

I would personally suggest Lenka concentrates on improving the volume of her voice and making her speech more dynamic – working with melody more. Also she should try and improve her stress management. Otherwise – even from simply looking at the table – her nonverbal communication is decent and adequate.

In Lenka's case we see discrepancy between the quality of her interpreting (which I see as insufficient on that occasion) and her presentation, which, in spite of major criticism in certain areas, was also praised in several crucial aspects and which, apart from low volume and lack of eye contact, did not suffer from major flaws that would dramatically affect the communication process between speaker, interpreter and audience.

4.3.3. Questionnaire – Mock Conference (Lenka)

- 1) Describe how you felt during the mock conference on this scale:
- \blacksquare 1. Stressed
- ☑ 2. Anxious
- □ 3. Neutral/indifferent
- \Box 4. Relaxed
- \Box 5. Positively excited

Why? Provide detailed description if you want to.

I felt stressed/anxious. Even though I had prepared for it, I was afraid I wouldn't be able to provide an appropriate interpretation because I wouldn't understand. Also it was very hot in the room and I didn't feel well.

- 2) Has the experience (interpreting in front of your colleagues during the mock conference) affected your ability to deal with stress in subsequent interpretation jobs? (e. g. KSP, TIFO, etc.) Use this scale:
- □ 1. To major extent
- \Box 2. Quite a lot
- ☑ 3. To certain level
- \Box 4. Not much
- \Box 5. Not at all
 - 3) As an interpreter, do you think of yourself as a speaker? Yes/No
- Yes
 - 4) During interpreting, do you concentrate on the quality of your nonverbal communication and public presentation? Why?

I try to, but the more I'm stressed the less I can positively affect my presentation. I think that nonverbal communication and a presentation in general create a considerable part of an interpreter's job.

5) How do you perceive the job of an interpreter in general, in terms of nonverbal communication and public speaking?

An interpreter should adjust themselves to a particular interpreting event in terms of their behaviour, expressing themselves, looking confident while speaking...

- 6) If you were to grade on the scale from 1 (the best) to 5 (the worst) your nonverbal communication and the way you presented yourself during the mock conference, what grade would you give yourself? Why?
- 3-4, the stress influenced me a lot
 - 7) If you were to grade on the scale from 1 (the best) to 5 (the worst) your nonverbal communication and the way you present yourself now, what grade would you give yourself? Why?

It depends on a particular interpreting, but probably 2.

8) Should, in your opinion, nonverbal communication, public presentation, rhetoric, etc. be taught and trained as part of school seminars? Why?

Definitely. I'm sure it would help a lot to a better coping with stress during interpreting and therefore the interpreters could focus more on how they speak and act.

4.4.Martin

4.4.1. The Interpretation Quality Assessment

Out of possible 32 points, Martin achieved 17, 6. He made 8 major mistakes, interpreted 16 segments sufficiently and 8 correctly. Percentualy, he reached the correctness level of 55%.

Maximum points...32 points Achieved... **17, 6** points 8 x red... 0 points 16 x yellow...x0, 6= 9, 6 points 8 x green... 8 points

Correctness level...55%

Martin's interpreting is very close to the boundary limit. Perhaps if his performance had been evaluated via another method or by someone else, he might have achieved a higher score. In the questionnaire, Martin claims to have been relaxed, it is thus quite possible that many of the mistakes could be attributed to the inexperience, as the recording of the video was made during the second year's winter semester of the ATP course. Inexperience could probably be called a major influence in case of all the test persons of the recording. Still 55% is, according to my criteria, insufficient.

Category	Positives	Negatives
Posturics /Posture	-good posture -confident, not too relaxed posture -steady Posture	-not stable posture
Facial Expression /Mimics	 -eye contact -eye contact, doesn't keep his eyes in the notes all the time -eye contact -eye Contact -lots of eye contact 	-looks nowhere -almost no facial expressions
Gestures	-attempts to use hand gestures to help him express himself -adequate gestures -gestures	-too many gestures, mostly when repeating himself -gestures by hands
Kinesics /Body Movement		

4.4.2. Nonverbal Communication Assessment by Audience Members 1-7 in Categories

Vocal Presentation and Features	 -good intonation -strong voice -very little hesitation sounds -non-monotonous speech, appropriate intonation -pleasant voice -pleasant melody and manner of speech -good volume and tone -uses his voice melody to indicate ends of sentences, changes of speakers and so on 	 -smacks his lips very often -not fluent speech, stops often -lip-smacking, clearing throat, coughing -sometimes "lazy" articulation -smacking his lips -mumbling, not enouncing some words -talking too fast at some points -smacking his lips -hesitation sounds, articulation -tongue clicking -filler words, hesitative sounds -clicking his tongue -speech seems too casual, even informal
Nervousness	-almost no nervosity -seems relaxed -seems confident -seems self-confident	
Extra	-OK clothes -doesn't look into papers too often -natural -not looking into his notation	-informal clothing

Martin is a great example of discrepancy between the quality of interpreting and the quality of public presentation. While his interpretation in my opinion was not sufficient (thought his score was only slightly lower than the boundary limit of 60%), Martin's public presentation was praised greatly.

He is referred to as confident, relaxed. He is said to show almost no nervousness. Unlike other test interpreters in my thesis, Martin manages to keep his eye contact very naturally throughout the whole session.

Quite conflicting are views of his gesture use – called adequate on the one hand, seen as too many on the other.

The section with most comments is the Vocal Presentation and Features section – that is both positive and negative comments. While his vocal presentation is definitely his strength (volume, timber, melody, as well as intonation), it was also criticized for lip smacking, unclear pronunciation, hesitation sounds and even for his manner of speech being too casual.

I would personally say that lip smacking, at least during the making of this particular recording, is Martin's biggest problem, one that should be worked on (perhaps together with hesitation sounds). Otherwise, he perhaps seems a little too casual sometimes but on the other hand shows no sign of nervousness at all. Also, I would suggest paying attention to clearer enunciation in order to ensure better understanding.

4.4.3. Questionnaire – Mock Conference (Martin)

- 1) Describe how you felt during the mock conference on this scale
- \Box 1. Stressed
- \Box 2. Anxious
- □ 3. Neutral/indifferent
- ☑ 4. Relaxed
- □ 5. Positively excited

Why? Provide detailed description if you want to.

- 2) Has the experience (interpreting in front of your colleagues during the mock conference) affected your ability to deal with stress in subsequent interpretation jobs? (e. g. KSP, TIFO, etc.) Use this scale:
- □ 1. To major extent
- \Box 2. Quite a lot
- \Box 3. To certain level
- ☑ 4. Not much
- \Box 5. Not at all
 - 3) As an interpreter, do you think of yourself as a speaker? Yes/No

No

4) During interpreting, do you concentrate on the quality of your nonverbal communication and public presentation? Why?

It depends on what form of interpreting it is, where it takes place and for whom it is done.

5) How do you perceive the job of an interpreter in general, in terms of nonverbal communication and public speaking?

Interpreter's job is to enable the communication. Other things come second. As for the public speaking, you have to be able to speak in front of a crowd, that is your job.

6) If you were to grade – on the scale from 1 (the best) to 5 (the worst) – your nonverbal communication and the way you presented yourself during the mock conference, what grade would you give yourself? Why?

2. *I enjoyed the interpreting and in my opinion there were not many unwanted nonverbal gestures that would disturb the listener.*

7) If you were to grade – on the scale from 1 (the best) to 5 (the worst) – your nonverbal communication and the way you present yourself now, what grade would you give yourself? Why?

2. I still sometimes do gestures that are unwanted but I do not think that it affect the outcome in any major way.

8) Should, in your opinion, nonverbal communication, public presentation, rhetoric, etc. be taught and trained as part of school seminars? Why?

Yes because if one is not trained what he can/cannot do than one just stick with what one is used to do when speaking and that (depending on a person) might o just awfully wrong.

4.5.Helena

4.5.1. The Interpretation Quality Assessment

Helena achieved 16 points out of possible 34. Having interpreted 10 segments correctly, 10 sufficiently and 14 incorrectly, she achieved the correctness level of 47, 06 %.

Maximum points... 34 points

Achieved... 16 points

14 x red... 0 points

10 x yellow...x0, 6=6 points

10 x green... 10 points

Correctness level...47, 06 %

There can hardly be any doubt as to the influence of stress on Helena's performance, as the stress management is obviously her weakness – as least it was at the time this recording was made. Unfortunately it had great impact on the quality of her interpreting – she only achieved the correctness level of about 47%, which is insufficient according to the set boundary limit.

4.5.2. Nonverbal Communication Assessment by Audience Members 1-7 in Categories

Category	Positives	Negatives
Posturics /Posture	-Good posture	-not stable posture, seems like nervousness
Facial Expression /Mimics	 -I appreciate her effort to maintain eye-contact -mimics, it makes her look credible -eye contact -Eye contact ! -Eye contact with speaker and audience -Eye contact 	 -licking her lips a lot, which strengthens the impression of nervousness -Not smiling at all
Gestures	-Gestures	 -Touches her head/starts to laugh/ fidgets when she is unsure (it lowers her credibility greatly which I think is a shame because she is very nice to listen to, articulates clearly, speaks confidently, etc.) -Touching her hair -Self evaluating by nodding her head -Unnecessary movements with hands -Nervous movements (touching her head)
Kinesics /Body Movement		

Vocal Presentation and Features	 -good intonation, does not sound boring -good tone -lively voice -Nice, clear articulation, speaks loudly and clearly -In many parts she speaks clearly and fluently, closes sentences with appropriate intonation, emphasizes = non-monotonous speech -Intonation -Good English pronunciation -Good volume and tone of voice -Clear voice with good phrasing -Working with the voice melody 	 -frequent hesitation sounds -sounds nervous a great deal of time -when she is sure about what she is saying, the speed of the speech goes up, and then when she is not sure, she stops -hesitation sounds -Hesitation sounds, lip-smacking -Hesitation sounds, lip-smacking -Monotonous -Sounds desperate, seems unhappy, lacks confidence -Speaking very quickly -Influenced by the English pronunciation -Sounds of laughing, disappointment -Clicking by tongue -Hesitation sounds -Hesitation sounds -Weepy voice -Laughter
		-Laughter -Occasional stammering
Nervousness		-Nervous -Unable to control her emotions -Visible insecurity

Extra	 -nice and pleasant appearance, good clothes -decent clothes, but at the same time it is not tasteless, it corresponds to her personality 	 -her overall body language shows a high level of nervosity and sometimes I tend to not to believe her -seeks support in the paper
	-looks optimistic	-frequent corrections
	-Clothing -Smart clothes in neutral colours	-Insecure- gestures (touching her head, smiling guiltily), manner of speaking, fiddling with the notepad (rustling with the paper, disturbing to look at as well)
		-The whole impression is of a very untrustworthy and insecure interpreting
		-"distracted"
		-Very short haircut (she could be considered "too punk" to be taken seriously)

In Helena's case, we see an obvious parallel between her interpreting and her public presentation. I believe that mistakes that plagued her interpreting as well as presentation are caused by her immense nervousness and inability to cope with stress.

Looking at the table we see that among the most often criticized were her gestures – I believe that they easily gave out lack of confidence and insecurity.

Her vocal presentation was both praised and criticized. Her phrasing, intonation, tone, volume, pronunciation, all that was praised, while on the other hand, her performance was criticized for hesitation sounds, lip smacking and certain instability of the rate she spoke at. Her speech was also described as monotonous and sounding desperate.

In the Nervousness section, we see that there is not a single positive comment – audience perceived Helena as nervous, unable to control her emotions and visibly insecure. In the Extra section, the impression she made was even referred to as untrustworthy.

At the same time, however, Helena managed to keep decent and natural eye contact throughout the session, something that many inexperienced speakers/interpreters find unbearable. Apart from that, she was also praised for her clothing and appearance.

If I was to make a suggestion as to what to concentrate on in order to improve her presentation, I would have to say that before anything else, Helena should improve her stress management and work on her ability to deal with pressure that comes with the territory in the job of an interpreter. Once she achieves that, she should tame her gestures a little and concentrate on eradicating hesitation sounds from her speech.

4.5.3. Questionnaire – Mock Conference (Helena)

- 1) Describe how you felt during the mock conference on this scale:
- \blacksquare 1. Stressed
- \Box 2. Anxious
- \Box 3. Neutral/indifferent
- \Box 4. Relaxed
- □ 5. Positively excited

Why? Provide detailed description if you want to.

It was the very first time when I had to interpret in front of the whole class while being filmed on the camera. I found it extremely hard to cope with the nervousness.

- Has the experience (interpreting in front of your colleagues during the mock conference) affected your ability to deal with stress in subsequent interpretation jobs?
 (e. g. KSP, TIFO, etc.) Use this scale
- \Box 1. To major extent
- \Box 2. Quite a lot
- ☑ 3. To certain level
- \Box 4. Not much
- \Box 5. Not at all
 - 3) As an interpreter, do you think of yourself as a speaker? Yes/No

No

4) During interpreting, do you concentrate on the quality of your nonverbal communication and public presentation? Why?

I try to but sometimes it is hard to deal with so many jobs during the interpreting. By this I mean concentrate on the note taking, actively listening and then reformulate the utterance and stay free of the English syntax and customize the utterance to Czech syntax.

5) How do you perceive the job of an interpreter in general, in terms of nonverbal communication and public speaking?

The interpreter should keep an eye contact with the audience to show he is aware of them. He should be also a good speaker so it is easy to follow his speech with the full comprehension of the speech.

6) If you were to grade – on the scale from 1 (the best) to 5 (the worst) – your nonverbal communication and the way you presented yourself during the mock conference, what grade would you give yourself? Why?

4. I am aware of the not keeping the eye contact with the audience during the mock conference. And I did not looked relaxed, my voice and hands were shaking so it was not a pleasant speech for the audience.

7) If you were to grade – on the scale from 1 (the best) to 5 (the worst) – your nonverbal communication and the way you present yourself now, what grade would you give yourself? Why?

3. I must admit that due to the more experience with the interpreting job I underwent in the real job my presentation skills and nonverbal communication got slightly better. I think it is like with every job – the more you try, the better you get.

8) Should, in your opinion, nonverbal communication, public presentation, rhetoric, etc. be taught and trained as part of school seminars? Why?

Yes. I certainly agree that rhetoric and nonverbal communication is important to be taught for the students of interpreting. Many of us are not great speakers while under the stress or when we have to talk to in front of many people. I can clearly see that during the lessons when we have to present our presentation. I think it would give us more basic knowledge we could continue to build on.

4.6.Aleš

4.6.1. The Interpretation Quality Assessment

Aleš received 38, 2 points out of possible 55. He made ten serious mistakes, interpreted 17 segments sufficiently and 28 correctly. Altogether, he achieved correctness level of 69, 45%.

Maximum points... 55 points

Achieved... 38, 2 points

10 x red... 0 points

17 x yellow...x0, 6= 10,2 points

28 x green... 28 points

Correctness level...69, 45%

Aleš's interpretation was the second most successful in the group of the test students. He reached nearly 70% quality level. He conveyed most of the crucial information, of which large portion was without a mistake – out of 55 important chunks of information, he interpreted 28 correctly, 17sufficiently and only made 10 mistakes. As Aleš states in the questionnaire, he felt anxious during the interpreting and we should take into account that nervousness could have affected (and probably did) his interpreting. In spite of that, in terms of the 60% boundary limit, his interpretation is good and conveys the content of the original speech successfully, providing the audience with the information intended by the original speaker.

4.6.2. Nonverbal Communication Assessment by Audience Members 1-7 in Categories

	Positives	Negatives
Posturics /Posture	-good, neutral posture -I like his general posture and occasional attempts to use gesticulation – not a completely stiff posture -stable posture and stance -relaxed stance	-sometimes too petrified posture, no mimics
Facial Expression /Mimics	 -tries to maintain eye contact but never manages to -eye contact -not looking into his notation all the time -attempts to make eye contact 	 -poker face (only micro facial expressions) -minimum of eye contact -no eye contact with the audience, trying not to read notes but staring into the floor which is not much better -no facial expressions -no eye contact -no eye contact with audience
Gestures	-does not clutch his notes nervously -gestures appropriate	-shaky hands – gives away him being nervous
Kinesics /Body Movement		

Vocal	-nice voice tone	-quite monotonous intonation
Presentation		-bad articulation from time to time
and Features	-speaks quite fluently	
	-very pleasant voice to listen to!	-constant moaning and sighing
	-speaking into the microphone	-at the beginning – frequent slips of the tongue
		-says 'eh' a lot!
		-monotonious voice, too little intonation -hesitation sounds
		-hesitation sounds
		-sometimes a little hard to understand - articulation
		-very monotonous speech
		-monotonous, flat manner of speech
		-disturbing hesitation sounds -difficult to tell what is the question, what is the answer
		-poor articulation
		-hesitation sounds
		-articulation –sometimes difficult to understand
		-intonation
		-hesitation sounds
		-low volume of voice
		-not clear speaking (mumbling)
		-hesitation sounds
		"robotic" delivery of the interpretation (he's not working well with the melody of his voice)
		-occasional stammering
N	aaluu	
Nervousness	-calm	
	-confident	

Extra	-clothing (shirt + shoes) – ok -good memory -formal clothing	 -jeans are not appropriate piece of clothing -very stiff -scary appearance -it's hard to tell who's he interpreting now
-------	--	--

On the nonverbal level of Aleš's communication, we see several major issues. In spite of his interpretation being good, as a speaker, his speech suffers from several bad habits and negative traits.

As obvious from the table, among the most criticized features of his presentation are his facial expressions and mimics generally. The lack of eye contact is among the most mentioned points but we also see his facial expressions being described as "poker face".

But what Aleš was criticized mostly for, was his vocal presentation. Being described as flat, monotonous, mumbling or even "robotic", it seems to be Aleš's weakest point in public presentation. Also, the audience members were very critical of his hesitation sounds – described as disturbing and appearing very often in the Negatives column. In my personal view, his hesitation sounds in the recording not only appear very often but are also very loud and distinct. Together with the flat, monotonous manner of speech, the hesitation sounds seem to be Aleš's biggest issue and a major area to improve in order to improve the quality of his presentation skills. He should also pay attention to occasional sloppiness in his pronunciation in order to ensure good understanding.

Speech delivery being his weakness, interestingly enough, his voice as such was praised as pleasant, an opinion that I have to agree with. Also, another great strength he possesses is the ability to speak loudly enough to be well heard.

Among the positives was also the fact that he looked calm and confident and had a steady posture, which is described as relaxed – that is yet another point of interest, as in the questionnaire, Aleš states that he was anxious during the session.

I believe that the combination of pleasant voice, good quality interpreting and ability to look confident gives Aleš a professional image, while his general stiffness, lack of mimics and monotonous voice might make him a bit boring and hard to follow. In the questionnaire, Aleš himself gave his performance a 4, saying he used little to none nonverbal communication. As much as his public presentation were perhaps not as bad as it might have been, it definitely did not match the good quality of his interpreting.

4.6.3. Questionnaire – Mock Conference (Aleš)

- 1) Describe how you felt during the mock conference on this scale:
- \Box 1. Stressed
- ☑ 2. Anxious
- □ 3. Neutral/indifferent
- \Box 4. Relaxed
- □ 5. Positively excited

Why? Provide detailed description if you want to.

I felt uncertain of my own skills and worried that my performance would be inadequate.

- Has the experience (interpreting in front of your colleagues during the mock conference) affected your ability to deal with stress in subsequent interpretation jobs?
 (e. g. KSP, TIFO, etc.) Use this scale
- □ 1. To major extent
- \Box 2. Quite a lot
- \Box 3. To certain level
- ☑ 4. Not much
- \Box 5. Not at all
 - 3) As an interpreter, do you think of yourself as a speaker? Yes/No

Yes.

4) During interpreting, do you concentrate on the quality of your nonverbal communication and public presentation? Why?

Partially. Nonverbal communication can help convey meaning, but it is supplementary to verbal communication. It cannot convey meaning on its own, it requires that the interpreter has understood the meaning and is able to put it into words in the target language. I therefore do keep my nonverbal communication on my mind, but only as a secondary concern. My primary concern is understanding the meaning of what is said and communicating it verbally.

5) How do you perceive the job of an interpreter in general, in terms of nonverbal communication and public speaking?

The interpreter's job is to facilitate communication between speakers of different languages. Nonverbal communication is an important part of communication in general, therefore it is an important part of an interpreter's skill set.

- 6) If you were to grade on the scale from 1 (the best) to 5 (the worst) your nonverbal communication and the way you presented yourself during the mock conference, what grade would you give yourself? Why?
- 4. Little to no nonverbal communication.
 - 7) If you were to grade on the scale from 1 (the best) to 5 (the worst) your nonverbal communication and the way you present yourself now, what grade would you give yourself? Why?

4. I have made some effort to improve in this area, but cannot devote enough time to it to really make a difference. Also, trying to learn nonverbal communication on one's own with no instruction is not very effective.

8) Should, in your opinion, nonverbal communication, public presentation, rhetoric, etc. be taught and trained as part of school seminars? Why?

Yes. These skills are an important part of one's ability to communicate with others, which is especially essential for interpreters, whose job it is to facilitate communication.

4.7.Alena

4.7.1. The Interpretation Quality Assessment

Alena scored 43, 2 points out of maximum 57. Shel only made 7 serious mistakes, interpreted 17 segments sufficiently and 33 segments correctly. Her correctness level is 75, 79%.

Maximum points...57 points

Achieved... 43,2 points

7 x red... 0 points

17 x yellow...x0, 6= 10, 2 points

33 x green... 33 points

Correctness level...75, 79%

Alena achieved the highest score in the group, reaching up to nearly 76% correctness level. There were only occasional mistakes in her rendition and in spite of occasional awkwardness of her syntactic constructions she managed to convey the original message in a comprehensible manner.

Category	Positives	Negatives
Posturics /Posture	-posture -good posture - not dancing, no gestures -good posture	
Facial Expression /Mimics	-smile at the beginning	 -no eye contact or contact with the audience at all!!! -too little eye contact -no eye contact with the public, looking at her notes only -minimal eye contact with the audience -smirking- implies uncertainty, not a trustworthy interpreter -no eye contact with the audience -she is smiling when she doesn't know what to say -facial expressions of laughing at what she said -no eye contact with audience or speakers -no eye contact -has a very insecure smile after ending her speeches
Gestures		 -paper clenching -rustling with the notepad near the microphone -holds on to her papers extremely tightly (as if for support) -microphone too low – we can here the papers rustling rather than Alena speaking

4.7.2. Nonverbal Communication Assessment by Audience Members 1-7 in Categories

Kinesics /Body Movement	-firm stance, no unnecessary movements	
Vocal Presentation and Features	 -pleasant voice tone -minimum of hesitation sounds -fluent performance -nice articulation -speaks slowly – the speech is understandable 	 -frequent hestitation in her voice -not very good intonation – is a bit monotonous with a lack of stress on the right places which gets a bit tiresome after a while -too quiet voice at the beginning -intonation (fall only at the end of the utterance, not in each sentence) – only at the beginning -a little monotonous speech -quiet, less confident sounding voice, gives impression of feeling uncomfortable -insecure manner of speech -weak voice, talking in a low voice -she's speaking very quietly -low volume -uncertain voice -speaks very quietly and doesn't use the melody of her voice well
Nervousness	-after the 2nd minute she is calm, better intonation	 -very nervous – clutches her notes and makes an upleasant noise with it -very nervous from the beginning
Extra	 -pleasant, neutral clothing -very pleasant overall impression -looks decent -good choice of clothes -good, formal clothing -a nice, formal outfit 	-does not act as a speaker

Alena is a great example of discrepancy between the quality of her interpreting and her presentation. In spite of having the highest score of 75, 79% correctness level, her presentation was heavily criticized in several areas.

Among the biggest issues was the lack of eye contact in the Mimics section. In the very same section we also see her smile being mentioned and referred to as insecure. One of the members of the audience actually called it a smirk and expressed his/her believe that Alena is not a trustworthy interpreter. There is not a single positive feature mentioned in the section.

Neither is there a positive feature mentioned in the Gestures section. Alena's way of clutching the notepad proved to be quite unpopular. In my point of view, it made her look very tense and insecure.

Another area at which the criticism was aimed was Alena's vocal presentation. In spite of her voice, articulation, rate and lack of hesitation sounds being all named among the positives of her vocal presentation, her intonation and volume were criticized often, her speech referred to as monotonous, insecure. Surprisingly enough – despite the lack of hesitation sounds – one of the audience members points to hesitation as such being present in Alena's voice. It is worth noting, in face of the discrepancy between the quality of Alena's interpreting and her presentation skills, that according to the questionnaire, Alena does not even think of herself as a speaker. She, however, clearly acknowledges the need of a seminar that would deal with the area, as well as she does acknowledge the importance of nonverbal communication as part of the audience's perception of an interpreter.

In spite of many critical views, it must be said that certain features of her presentation were praised as well – apart from already mentioned features of her vocal presentation, stable posture, formal clothing and decency of her looks were highlighted as positive as well.

In my opinion, Alena should mainly increase the volume of her voice, improve her eye contact and try and be less tense during her interpreting.

4.7.3. Questionnaire – Mock Conference (Alena)

- 1) Describe how you felt during the mock conference on this scale:
- \Box 1. Stressed
- ☑ 2. Anxious
- □ 3. Neutral/indifferent
- \Box 4. Relaxed
- □ 5. Positively excited

Why? Provide detailed description if you want to.

- 2) Has the experience (interpreting in front of your colleagues during the mock conference) affected your ability to deal with stress in subsequent interpretation jobs? (e. g. KSP, TIFO, etc.) Use this scale
- □ 1. To major extent
- \Box 2. Quite a lot
- \Box 3. To certain level
- ☑ 4. Not much
- \Box 5. Not at all
 - 3) As an interpreter, do you think of yourself as a speaker? Yes/No

No

4) During interpreting, do you concentrate on the quality of your nonverbal communication and public presentation? Why?

It depends what I interpret. Topic I'm confident with, I do concentrate on other things, e.g. nonverbal communication. If I need to concentrate on topic, I have no time to concentrate on nonverbal communication.

5) How do you perceive the job of an interpreter in general, in terms of nonverbal communication and public speaking?

Every communication is not just words but also nonverbal communication. As an interpreter, in order to make better "impact" on audience, nonverbal communication plays a big part on how the message is understood.

6) If you were to grade – on the scale from 1 (the best) to 5 (the worst) – your nonverbal communication and the way you presented yourself during the mock conference, what grade would you give yourself? Why?

- 4. I did not concentrate on nonverbal communication
 - 7) If you were to grade on the scale from 1 (the best) to 5 (the worst) your nonverbal communication and the way you present yourself now, what grade would you give yourself? Why?

2. I'm better used to speaking in front of the people so I can concentrate on nonverbal communication. I'm more aware of it.

8) Should, in your opinion, nonverbal communication, public presentation, rhetoric, etc. be taught and trained as part of school seminars? Why?

Yes – *I* think it is very important how we are perceived by audience.

4.8.Adam

4.8.1. The Interpretation Quality Assessment

Out of possible 54 points, I achieved 35, 2. I made 8 major mistakes, interpreted 27 segment sufficiently and 19 correctly. Percentually expressed, I reached 65, 18% correctness level.

Maximum points... 54 points

Achieved... 35, 2 points

8 x red... 0 points

27 x yellow...x0, 6= 16, 2 points

19 x green... 19 points

Correctness level...65, 18%

I reached the level of approximately 65%, which puts the quality of my interpreting slightly above the boundary limit. Thus, as much as my performance is in no way exceptional, it is in this case of sufficient quality.

Category	Positives	Negatives
Posturics /Posture	-very pleasant (friendly) posture -very leisure stance - doesn't seem nervous	 -his posture is maybe too relaxed? -inappropriate posture -starts his speech with changing his posture (that's not really a negative, it's just worth noticing)
Facial Expression /Mimics	-smiling -eye contact with audience -smiles	 -until a certain point (cca 01:10) does not maintain an eye contact at all -grimaces when he says sth. he is not sure about -too little eye contact at the beginning -basically no eye contact with the public -poor eye contact with the audience, simply reading the notes -making faces at the audience -no eye contact with the audience -frowns as he is not sure or can't read his notes? -funny faces at audience -evaluating himself after segment by expression on his face -makes faces (raised eyebrow, etc)

4.8.2. Nonverbal Communication Assessment by Audience Members 1-7 in Categories

Gestures	 -appropriate gestures -hand gestures, as the original speaker would do when explaining something -adequate gestures -welcoming hand gestures -eye contact with the audience, gestures (makes the speech more lively and interesting) 	 -touches his nose and mouth frequently (could be interpreted as a sign of lie) -scratching his nose -touching his face -often touches his face, esp. the nose -crossing off things (quite disturbing) -crosses out very strongly some note -touching nose
Kinesics /Body Movement	-does not move from one foot to another	 -moving too much, from side to side -"dancing", making small steps -sharp moves while talking, not steady -not steady stance

Vocal Presentation and Features	 -pleasant and appropriate intonation and tone of voice – very nice to listen to -outs stress on the right moments of the speech -volume appropriate -calm voice -appropriately used intonation -self-confident speech/tone of voice -fluent speech -melody -pleasant voice and manner of speaking -minimal hesitation sounds -good intonation -nice voice; good to listen to -nice tone of voice -good volume -fluent speech -almost without hesitation sounds -doesn't mumble -nice use of phrasing and voice melody to keep the audience interested 	-sounds of hesitation (unpleasant when more times in one sentence) -lip smacking -sometimes not articulating well enough -hesitation sounds -clicking of the tongue -use of hesitation sounds
Nervousness	-does not act nervously – presents himself confidently -seems relaxed, not nervous – according to his posture – maybe even too much relaxed -confident	

Extra	 -natural performance -overall looks – neutral, pleasant clothes -formal, not over-coloured clothing 	 -overall expression – does not express himself very distinctivelly overall non-verbal communication is very mild, though natural -should not wear jeans! -the scarf -accessories - earrings could be regarded as unprofessional (by some)
-------	---	---

In my case, we again see the kind of discrepancy that we saw with other test interpreters in the group – in spite of having reached the boundary limit, my presentation was severely criticized in several areas of my nonverbal communication and presentation skills.

It seems that my most critical areas are my facial expressions and gestures – sections in which my performance was criticized the most.

In the Mimics section, the biggest issues were the eye contact (which was only very limited) and mainly faces that I made throughout the session (be it frowning, smiling or raising eyebrow). Looking at my own nonverbal communication and public presentation on the recording, I have to say that I see my mimics as extremely annoying and exaggerated.

Concerning my gestures, mentioned in the negative column was my constant touching my face, mainly nose. According to one of the members of the audience, it could even be interpreted as a sign of a lie. Another negative gesture was the way a crossed out the notes that I had already interpreted. On the other hand, the gestures used as a part of the communication were referred to as appropriate, adequate and welcoming.

My vocal expression – excluding the hesitation sounds, lip smacking and occasionally not articulating well – was on the other hand mostly praised. Among the mentioned positives were: intonation, tone, volume, melody and phrasing. Also my speech was described as fluent and self-confident.

I was also described as confident and relaxed but also with my posture being inappropriate, moving too much and sharply and using accessories that might be seen as unprofessional (my earrings).

I should mainly try and work on my facial expressions, making them more adequate and decent. Apart from that, I clearly need to improve my eye contact, stabilize my posture and get rid of gestures that give out my nervousness – e.g. touching face.

In spite of being called confident by the audience, I was very nervous, as I state in the questionnaire, thus I am aware of the fact that I need to improve my stress management, probably just as majority of the students do.

4.8.3. Questionnaire – Mock Conference (Adam)

- 1) Describe how you felt during the mock conference on this scale:
- ☑ 1. Stressed
- ☑ 2. Anxious
- □ 3. Neutral/indifferent
- \Box 4. Relaxed
- □ 5. Positively excited

Why? Provide detailed description if you want to.

I wanted to do my very best and felt the pressure stemming from the fact that I interpreted in front of my colleagues – not only I had very little experience at interpreting but it was the first time I interpreted in front of a group of people.

- 2) Has the experience (interpreting in front of your colleagues during the mock conference) affected your ability to deal with stress in subsequent interpretation jobs? (e. g. KSP, TIFO, etc.) Use this scale
- □ 1. To major extent
- \Box 2. Quite a lot
- ☑ 3. To certain level
- \Box 4. Not much
- \Box 5. Not at all
 - 3) As an interpreter, do you think of yourself as a speaker? Yes/No
- Yes
 - 4) During interpreting, do you concentrate on the quality of your nonverbal communication and public presentation? Why?

I try to. I believe that when interpreting for audience that do not understand the original speaker, I have to take over his role to some extent in order to communicate the message right and no communication is complete without the speaker's presentation – the words alone are insufficient.

5) How do you perceive the job of an interpreter in general, in terms of nonverbal communication and public speaking?

Interpreter and speaker are equal, except for the fact that the message is the speaker's – speaker is the creator of it, while the interpreter works with someone else's ideas. Other than that, interpreter should become a speaker that naturally communicates the message.

- 6) If you were to grade on the scale from 1 (the best) to 5 (the worst) your nonverbal communication and the way you presented yourself during the mock conference, what grade would you give yourself? Why?
- 3. Too many mistakes in the way I presented myself.
 - 7) If you were to grade on the scale from 1 (the best) to 5 (the worst) your nonverbal communication and the way you present yourself now, what grade would you give yourself? Why?
- 2. I believe that there has been improvement. There is still so much more to learn.
 - 8) Should, in your opinion, nonverbal communication, public presentation, rhetoric, etc. be taught and trained as part of school seminars? Why?

Without a doubt. Simply because just knowing theory of something is not enough and interpreter should be skilled in those areas.

5. Conclusion

In my thesis, I intended to prove that among the ATP students, there was a certain level of discrepancy between the quality of their interpreting and the quality of their public presentation. I expected their public presentation to lack behind their interpretation. I wanted to support (or disprove) my hypothesis through analysis of a video recording of the mock conference that the students participated in as part of practical seminar.

I begin my paper by a description of basic theory of public presentation. I deliberate on the most important areas of nonverbal communication and public speaking in terms of interpreters' needs and consider the implications for the practical application.

In the practical section, I describe the methodology for assessing the quality of both interpreting and public presentation and describe the possible issues connected with the methods that I use.

Finally, I present the evaluation of the test interpreters' performance both in terms of their interpreting and in terms of their public presentation. My suggestions and views on possible improvement of individual interpreters are included.

The method of evaluating the interpreting proved to be quite tricky. As much as it provided me with a simple, yet effective tool for evaluating the quality of interpreting in terms of information fidelity, its function was only that of approximation, as with the simplified coefficients that I opted for, there was no real chance of achieving exact values as to the amount and ration between successful and unsuccessful translations.

Also the choice of segments – propositions is debatable, as any individual could subjectively see the core proposition differently and thus approach the process differently.

I believe that the method is time effective and simple enough to be used in cases such as mine – when interpreting evaluation in terms of information fidelity is needed, lengthy indepth analysis would be too time consuming and there is need for quantification in terms of the values received. At the same time, there should be extensive research carried out before the method that I used could be used reliably by other students or practitioners. Concerning the evaluation of the public presentation, it seems to me that verbal evaluation is the best possible solution because it provides enough detail without being narrative and/or excessively complicated.

My original prediction, as to the discrepancy between the quality of the interpreters' interpreting and the quality of their public presentation, has not proved to be entirely correct. As much as I did not expect the discrepancy to be in any way extreme, I did not expect to see such mixed results in the audience evaluations of the test interpreters either. None of the test interpreters were universally panned or praised only; there were always a significant number of both positive and negative features mentioned by the audience. In spite of a general description and evaluation being possible, I originally expected the audience feedback to provide me with more one-sided data that would allow me to evaluate the interpreters' public presentation in terms of either good quality or bad quality only. That, however, did not happen because every student's evaluation had both positive and negative features and also because of the mentioned inaccuracy caused by the simplified choice of coefficients within the method of interpreting evaluation. Because of that I was not always able to contrast the qualities of both effectively. Especially in cases such as Martin's – there were major weaknesses found in his presentation as well as major strengths. Correctness level of his interpreting reached up to 55%; with the method using only 0, 6 coefficient for the sufficient translation, perhaps he might have scored higher.

On the other hand, thanks to the audience evaluation and auto-evaluation through the questionnaires, I believe to have shown quite clearly that the nonverbal communication is certainly an area that deserves attention and work. From the data received from the questionnaire research, it also seems that students would welcome a chance to have lessons to help them with this particular area. As the questionnaires were only distributed to the test interpreters though, we cannot see the outcome as representative of the ATP students in general.

67

6. Annexes

6.1. Interpreting Transcriptions

6.1.1. Lenka

The Interpretation Transcription

Original	Tlumočení
<u>Speaker:</u> Ok. The <u>last and final county is</u> <u>Kauai and the island of Kauai¹</u> and that's the westernmost county of the islands. It's also the <u>least populated and the most heavily</u> <u>reliant on tourism and agriculture²</u> . And interesting the agriculture on Kauai it being logistically that the westernmost of the islands, you have a <u>lot of the genetically</u> <u>modified food companies that have a base</u> on that island. ³	<u>Mluvčí:</u> Takže posledním krajem nebo okresem havajským je Kauai nebo Kauaiský ostrov ¹ . Je to vlastně nejzápadnější část, je to také nejméně obydlená část a je to kraj, který jakoby nejvíce spoléhá na… na ten turismus a na to zemědělství ² . Co je zajímavé, tak co se týče toho zemědělství, je tam vlastně největší počet společností, které vyrábějí geneticky modifikované potraviny ³ .
<u>Speaker:</u> And lot of this activity is on the, what's known as the windward side of the island on the westernmost side of the island ⁴ and <u>if you drive up there⁵</u> which I highly suggest you do, you'll see a bunch of corn and a bunch of soya and other crops that are that are basically fenced off with barbed wire ⁶ and it's where they do all of their testing ⁷ . The wind blows and there is nothing <u>nowhere for the pollen to blow to,</u> except for out to sea ⁸ .	<u>Mluvčí:</u> Takže vlastně většina téhle výroby, zemědělské se právě odehrává na západě ⁴ a pokud sem zajedete třeba autem ⁵ , tak uvidíte mnoho polí s kukuřicí nebo se sójou a dalších plodin ⁶ . A zde také provádějí to testováni ⁷ , protože vlastně ty chemické nějaké zplodiny, které odcházejí potom z těch polí, tak mohou jít jenom na moře, takže třeba nikoho neohrozí. ⁸

Interviewer: Excuse me but when you said it's wired up um it's fenced so <u>how</u> <u>can you actually enter, is there a possibility</u> <u>to enter?⁹</u> <u>Speaker:</u> No no <u>Interviewer: You were allowed to go</u> <u>there because you were appraising such a</u> <u>property?¹⁰</u> <u>Speaker:</u> You can no, <u>I haven't</u> <u>appraised any of these particular</u> <u>properties¹¹</u> , they're almost highly militarized. <u>There is this military base right</u> <u>there and you can drive by on the road¹² and see where all this testing is going from the <u>road¹³ and that the crops themselves are not</u> <u>accessible</u>.¹⁴</u>	<u>Tazatel:</u> Takže, jak jste se tam vlastně vy dostal? Je to normálně přístupné, tahle část ⁹ ? <u>Body 10 a 11 jsou vynechány</u> . ^{10, 11} <u>Mluvčí:</u> Vlastně není, je tam i vojenská základna, takže je to vlastně nějak ohraničené, není tam jako běžnému občanu možný přístup, takže vy vlastně můžete tyhle pole vidět jenom z cesty, když třeba jedete okolo. ^{12, 14} Bod 13 je vysvětlen částečně. ¹³
<u>Speaker:</u> So these <u>companies</u> , <u>Syngenta</u> , <u>Monsanto</u> , they also have a large presence <u>on Oahu</u> , where they grow corn and the seed <u>for the corn¹⁵</u> , which is then <u>distributed to</u> <u>India and other places</u> , not to Europe ¹⁶ . And it's a big business. And <u>I have appraised</u> <u>thousands of acres for a sale to them¹⁷, for corn seed production. So you can see <u>it's</u> <u>highly different from what I was doing in</u> <u>Los Angeles¹⁸</u>.</u>	<u>Mluvčí:</u> Takže tyhle společnosti tedy vlastně pěstují pěstují kukuřici ¹⁵ a ty kukuřičná semínka se hodně i vlastně posílají do Indie, ne do Evropy ¹⁶ . Já jsem vlastně těmto společnostem prodal hodně akrů půdy ¹⁷ , aby ji mohli využít právě pro pěstování té té kukuřice. A hodně jsem těžil ze svých zkušeností v Los Angeles ¹⁸ .
<u>Speaker:</u> And the <u>United States military</u> also has a huge presence in the state ¹⁹ . It's mainly <u>centered around Pearl Harbor²⁰</u> but they own probably I would say forty percent of the landmass of the state ²¹ for various purposes. Lot of it is just surplus land, it's not used. And a <u>lot of it</u> , <u>because if</u> the current financial crisis they're looking to transition ²² these federally owned lands that were previously used for military purposes to civilian use, meaning <u>for the</u> <u>public use²³</u> .	<u>Mluvčí:</u> Takže je zde vlastně přítomno hodně té vojenské půdy, hodně půdy vlastní právě vojsko ¹⁹ , [Bod 20 je vynechán] ²⁰ je to až čtyřicet procent státu ²¹ a hodně z této půdy není ani používáno a díky krizi oni vlastně doufají, že prodají nebo že jakoby zpřístupní ²² hodně prostoru pro veřejné užití ²³ , pro běžné užití, běžnému člověku.

Interviewer: How about the island of Nihau? Do you know anything about that?Nihau? Do you know anything about that?Have you ever been there?Speaker: That's part of the county of Kauai25 and it's a privately owned island actually26. There's a family by the name of Robinson that's owned the island since the $1850s^{27}$ and it's called "the forbidden island". You can go there and snorkel but without permission from the family you cannot step foot on the island28.	<u>Tazatel:</u> Takže, co byste mi mohl říct o Nihau? ²⁴ <u>Mluvčí:</u> Takže Nihau je částí ostrova Kauai ²⁵ , je to vlastně soukromá část ²⁶ , která patří rodině Robinsonů. Tak tomu bylo už od roku 1950 ²⁷ a vlastně říká tomuto této části se říká zakázaná část. Vlastně vy tam můžete třeba jít šnorchlovat, ale bez povolení právě té rodiny tam jako nemůžete dělat vůbec nic ²⁸ .
<u>Speaker:</u> And <u>because a family an</u> <u>anglo family by the name of Robinson owns</u> <u>this island²⁹, it could be highly disputed as</u> <u>to whether or not they really own it, how</u> <u>they acquired it³⁰ and the native Hawaiian</u> <u>population could have some hard feelings</u> <u>about their ownership³¹</u> . And so <u>what</u> <u>they've done is they've taken the entire</u> <u>island the entire property and reserved it for</u> <u>native Hawaiian use³²</u> . And so you have <u>people there living in grass huts³³</u> , living with just a loin cloth or grass skirt around their waist <u>living a natural native Hawaiian</u> <u>life³⁴</u> like they did a hundred and fifty, two hundred years ago.	<u>Mluvčí:</u> Bod 29 sice není přesně řečen, je však poměrně dobře jasný z předchozí části. ²⁹ Takže takhle rodina je trnem v oku pro některé vlastně původní Havajce, protože se vlastně může docela diskutovat o tom, jak oni vlastně ten ten prostor získali ³⁰ . Takže oni jsou tím vcelku jakoby rozrušeni ³¹ a chtěli by spíše vlastně zachovat to místo pro pro právě pro ty původní obyvatele, protože hodně lidí třeba žije v nějakých chýších z trávy a v oblečení vyrobeném tak nějak po domácku, stejně jako to dělávali kdysi dávno. ^{32, 33, 34}

Speaker: And so the native Hawaiian	<u>Mluvčí:</u> Takže <mark>ti původní obyvatelé mají</mark>
people actually have a very strong political	také jakoby silní politické názory a jsou tam
presence on the island because historically	velmi jako politicky přítomni, protože když
they've been completely abused ^{35} and <u>all of</u>	se podíváme do historie, byli hodně jakoby
their lands have been stripped away over	využíváni ³⁵ a <mark>jejich půda jim byla</mark>
time ³⁶ by a lot of the original plantation	odebrána ³⁶ .
owners ³⁷ . Dole pineapple, Castle and Cook,	Could you please repeat it for me? The
which dates back to explorer James Cook.	last section, about the owners.
You also have Alexander and Baldwin and a	Body 37, 38, 39 jsou vynechány. ^{37, 38, 39}
company called Maui land and pineapple	*Takže vlastně Havaj vlastní nějakých
I don't recall the original origin but these are	<mark>pět vlastníků půdy a spolu s vojskem takhle</mark>
the main land owners in Hawaii ³⁸ and along	vlastně vlastní osmdesát pět procent veškeré
with United States military they control	<mark>půdy⁴⁰. Jména nejsou až tak důležitá.</mark>
about eighty percent of all land in the entire	
state ³⁹ .	
*Ok. There are a group of landowners,	
five approximately, that date back to the	
plantation era and along with the United	
States military, they control about eighty	
percent of eighty-five percent, I think, of	
<u>all land in Hawaii⁴⁰</u> . The names aren't	
important.	

6.1.2. Martin

The Interpretation Transcription

Original	Tlumočení
Speaker: So there there are no public beaches in Hawaii no private beaches in <u>Hawaii¹</u> , meaning that <u>public always has</u> access to the beach ² . You don't necessarily have to provide access through your ocean front property, but you could end up with someone right in front of your house swimming or surfing.	<u>Mluvčí:</u> Takže Havaj nejsou vlastně žádné privátní pláže ¹ a ale všichni mají k těmto plážím vždycky nějaký přístup ² , jo. Vy sice můžete tuto pláž vlastnit, ale může se vám stát, že někdo tam začne bez problémů plavat a vy s tím neuděláte prakticky vůbec nic.
Speaker: The water rights are also held in common by the state ³ , so you're not allowed to drill a private well on your property for water without the state's permission ⁴ .	<u>Mluvčí:</u> Zákony ohledně vody jsou taky pečlivě sledovány ³ . Vy nemáte právo vykopat si vlastní studnu na vaší vlastní půdě bez jak bez svolení státu. ⁴
Speaker: But interestingly enough, some developers – and I was involved in a case where a Russian group had purchased 7000 acres on the big island and recorded a covenant and a restriction that ran with the property forever ⁵ .	<u>Mluvčí:</u> Ovšem je zajímavé, že někteří developeři doporučují – já vím o ruské společnosti, která si pořídila 7000 akrů půdy a prodlužuje si nějaké to své vlastnické právo až do nekonečna ⁵ .
Speaker: And that restriction reserved the right to drill or to impede the land to them ⁶ . You'd have to get permission from them. Even if they sold you the property, you would have to get permission from them ⁷ . Even if you got permission from the state to access the ground water you would have to pay the Russians to access that water.	<u>Mluvčí:</u> Co se tady děje je, že i kdybyste si od nich koupil tady tuto půdu a dostal jste povolení od státu kopat nějakou studnu nebo něco, tak ještě musíte navíc dostat povolení od rusů a jim zaplatit za další povolení. <u>Body 6 a 7 jsou částečně, ale ne zcela</u> <u>přesně vysvětleny v tlumočníkově výpovědi.</u> ^{6,}
Interviewer: Well from a technical stand point how does that impact the land value? ⁸ <u>Speaker:</u> Well if you're purchasing 7000 acres, let's say, for <u>residential development</u> and much of this land was in residential area ⁹ where you could <u>build homes</u> ¹⁰ .	<u>Tazatel:</u> Takže jak tady tohle vlastně ale ovlivňuje nás jako investory? ⁸ <u>Mluvčí:</u> Prakticky tak, že vy si kupujete půdu <i>Bod 9 není ani explicitně vyřčen, ani</i> <i>dostatečně vysvětlen jako součást dalšího</i> <i>bodu</i> ⁹ , na které chcete rozvinout postavit domy ¹⁰ a takové.

<u>Speaker:</u> How are <u>you going to build</u> <u>homes and sell them¹¹</u> to people? Or you can build homes and sell them but the residents are going to <u>have to pay a lot of money to</u> <u>access the water or you're going to have to</u> <u>pay a lot of money to access that water¹²</u> . So it becomes a feasibility issue. <u>It impacts</u> <u>profitability</u> ¹³ .	<u>Mluvčí:</u> Vy opravdu můžete postavit nějaké domy na této půdě, ale jak tam chcete dostat vodu? Nebo vy si postavíte dům, prodáte ho ¹¹ , ale jak tam obyvatelé dostají domu. Vy musíte platit opravdu velké finanční prostředky na to, abyste tam tu vodu vůbec mohli mít ¹² . <i>Bod 13 je</i> <i>yynechán</i> . ¹³
<u>Speaker:</u> And <u>Hawaii is part of United</u> <u>States¹⁴</u> so so the law there is very trusted <u>it's very defined and such covenants and</u> <u>restrictions that run with the property are</u> <u>very very well defined¹⁵</u> and challenge in a court of law in that instance this this <u>covenant was actually overturned as being</u> <u>illegal by the state supreme court¹⁶</u> .	<u>Mluvčí:</u> Havaj jako část spojených států ¹⁴ má velice dobře definované tady tyto zákony a je opravdu obtížné se přes ně dostat. ¹⁵ Bod 16 je vynechán. ¹⁶
<u>Speaker:</u> And the courts have been involved in many land use issues that have been challenged. Recently there was a <u>native</u> <u>Hawaiian rights organization claiming¹⁷</u> that, and this dates back to the nineteen fifties, <u>claiming that Hawaii is still a</u> <u>sovereign nation and that it was illegally</u> <u>annexed by the United States¹⁸</u> .	<u>Mluvčí:</u> Soud se zabýval hodně soudními procesy, tedy s půdou a podobně, například jedno z nedávných procesí bylo, když nějaká společnost domorodců z Havaje nebo přirozených obyvatel ¹⁷ se říkala, že tedy Havaj je stále svůj nebo že Havaj tvoří samostatný stát a že byl nelegálně připojen ke Spojeným Státům ¹⁸ .
Speaker: And their argument is that the United Nations country or a territory is supposed to be given three options ¹⁹ , when annexed, for the population to vote. One being to maintain their sovereignty, one being to remain a territory or protectorate and another, for annexation, to become part of the country ²⁰ .	<u>Mluvčí:</u> Říkají, že podle Spojených národů nějaké teritorium musí, nebo teritoriu musí být dány tři možnosti ¹⁹ , kde občané toho teritoria si zvolí jednu z nich. První je, že zůstanou nezávislí, druhá je, že se stanou nějaké teritorium a třetí, že opravdu budou připojeni k tomu státu ²⁰ .
<u>Speaker:</u> And in <u>nineteen fifty-nine the</u> residents of Hawaii voted but they were only give a choice between remaining a territory ²¹ , similar to Guam or Porto Rico I believe, <u>or becoming a state²²</u> . They were not given the option of being sovereign and independent ²³ .	<u>Mluvčí:</u> V roce 1959 občané Havaje byli občanům Havaje byla dána volba, ale měli pouze dvě možnosti a to buď stát se teritorium ²¹ , něco jako Porto Rico, myslím, anebo stát se právoplatným státem ²² . <i>Bod 23</i> <i>je vynechán.</i> ²³

<u>Speaker:</u> And of course the people assumed that they would choose the better of the two and that there were <u>more benefits</u> <u>of being part of the United States²⁴</u> so that they voted to become the 50^{th} state ²⁵ .	<u>Mluvčí:</u> A lidé si <mark>opravdu mysleli, že je</mark> lepší stát se státem nebo součástí spojených států ²⁴ a proto si zvolili, že se stanou padesátým státem ²⁵ .
Speaker:But there is a company, this group, they formed what's called the Title Company that records deeds in the land registrar ²⁶ and they read record title that is still held by the Hawaiian monarchy ²⁷ and that all transfer of the property is basically a tenancy or a lease ²⁸ .Speaker:So they were directly challenging the ownership and continuity of 20	<u>Mluvčí:</u> Ale tady tahle společnost si stále stojí za svým. <i>Bod 26 je vynechán.</i> ²⁶ Isou nebo stále používají nějaký název Havajského království a snaží se dokázať ²⁷ , že všechny machinace tedy s tou půdou jsou stále jenom jako kdyby nájem nebo výpůjčka ²⁸ . <u>Mluvčí:</u> A stále se snaží nějak napadať tedy tohle vlastnictví půdy ²⁹ díky tomu, že
ownership of this land ²⁹ by means of instruments within the framework of the institution. That is the United States legal system.	to vlastně právní systém spojených států umožňuje.
<u>Speaker:</u> And people got very very upset and jailed one of the people for some random charge and made ³⁰ a very just <u>stop this³¹</u> stop this immediately, because it challenged their power, it challenged their wealth, it challenged the title of ownership of every single property in Hawaii ³² .	<u>Mluvčí:</u> Toto lidé nebo lidi pobouřilo, někteří z nich byli dokonce zavřeni ³⁰ , Bod 31 je vynechán ³¹ jelikož tady to vedlo k tomu, že všechny nebo všichni vlastníci půdy byli osočováni, že to není jejich půda ³² .

6.1.3. Helena

Original	Tlumočení
<u>Speaker:</u> So <u>you have five major land</u> <u>owners¹</u> . You have Castle and Cook, Alexander and Baldwin, the Maui Land and Pineapple Company, which no longer grows pineapples, Dole, which only grows a very small amount of pineapple compared to what they've historically done, and <u>united</u> <u>states military²</u> . And <u>they control the</u> <u>majority of land in Hawaii, much of it at the</u> <u>expense of the native Hawaiians³</u> . <u>Interviewer:</u> So <u>that let's say the 20% is</u> <u>left, like left over for the Hawaiian people⁴</u> . <u>Speaker: No. The 20% is owned are</u> <u>owned by people like you and me. Private</u> <u>property owners⁵. <u>Interviewer:</u> Ok <u>so there is no like a state</u> <u>owned land what-so-ever⁶. <u>Speaker: There is state owned land</u>⁷. It's</u></u>	<u>Mluvčí:</u> Takže jak jsem zmínil, máme zde pět hlavních firem ¹ , jména jsou Castle and Cook, Alex Baldwin a společnost zabývající se pěstováním ananasů. Respektive už toho ananasu nepěstují tolik jako dříve. V dnešní době už je to velmi malé množství. Poslední poslední společností, která je vlastníkem nějaké půdy je vojenský vojenský je mili vojenský vojenská organizace ² , která kontroluje většinu půdy na Havaji ³ . <u>Tazatel:</u> Takže říkáte, že je zde jenom 20% půdy volné? ⁴ <u>Mluvčí:</u> Ne ne ne, tak to není. Těch 20% půdy je vlastněn vlastní lidé v osobním vlastnictví ⁵ <u>Tazatel:</u> Je zde ještě nějaká státní půda? ⁶ <u>Mluvčí:</u> Ano ⁷ , <i>Bod 8 je vynechán</i> ⁸
<u>Speaker: There is state owned land</u> ⁷ . It's a <u>very small percentage</u> ⁸ . <u>Most of it is</u> <u>controlled by these modern corporations,</u> <u>basically</u> ⁹ . And Bishop Estate, I left off that one. That's a major one. <u>Speaker: And now to give you an</u> <u>example of how highly sensitive the public</u> relation situation is with the native Hawaiian <u>people¹⁰</u> and the just the native population in general whether it be Chinese, Filipino, Japanese. <u>You have Bishop Estate founded</u> by John Bishop who married into the <u>Hawaiian monarchy in 1860s I believe¹¹. And ultimately the organization was taken over by a bunch of very wealthy white old <u>money¹²</u>, so to say so to speak, from the east coast of the United States. <u>Which is</u></u>	<u>Mluvčí:</u> Ano ⁷ , [Bod 8 je vynechán] ⁸ zbytek z té státní půdy je vlastněna velkými společnostmi, jako je například Bishop Estate ⁹ . <u>Mluvčí:</u> Tak abych vám jenom zmínil, jak opravdu napjaté jsou vztahy mezi mezi lidmi žijící na Havaji a těmito firmami ¹⁰ . Tak například vezmeme si firmu Bishop Estate, kterou založil pan Bishop, který se asi roku 1860 přiženil do velmi zámožné havajské rodiny a tuto společnost založil ¹¹ . A tato půda je tuto půdu především vlastní velmi zámožní bohatí lidé ze východního pobřeží spojených států amerických. ¹² Bod 13 je vynechán. ¹³

<u>Speaker:</u> And <u>so they ended up having to</u> <u>change their name to what is now called</u> <u>Kamehameha Schools¹⁴</u> . It's the <u>exact same</u> <u>organization, run by the exact same people¹⁵</u> but they've <u>changed the name and their</u> <u>directive for their new focus is not for</u> <u>profit¹⁶ but for to operate for the benefit</u> <u>of the native Hawaiian school children¹⁷</u> , hence the name Kamehameha Schools.	<u>Mluvčí:</u> Tato společnost se v poslední době změnila, změnila své jméno na tzv. společnost Kamehameha Schools ¹⁴ , ale stále ji vedou ti samí lidé ¹⁵ . Jenom se změnili jenom se měnili cíle této společnosti. Už se nesnaží vydělávat peníze pro sebe ¹⁶ , ale snaží se poskytnout dětem školních věků dobré podmínky ¹⁷ .
Interviewer: So you want to say that it's just some type of scam? ¹⁸ Speaker: It's not a scam it's just a very well organized and very well thought out frontal face ¹⁹ that they put on the company and everyone is highly reimbursed ²⁰ , they basically are one the largest, most controlling property owners in the state ²¹ operating for the benefit of native Hawaiians but the native Hawaiians really don't benefit very much. ²² Interviewer: They just took the name after the king of Kamehameha ²³ Speaker: Yeah, well they operate a lot of industrial office retail property of which the profits go to operating these schools or building these schools for these native Hawaiian children ²⁴ . But really yeah it's just um it's operating for the benefit of very select few people under the guise that it's operating for the benefit of the native Hawaiian children ²⁶ that's all.	<u>Tazatel:</u> Takže říkáte, že to je jenom taková zástěrka, tato organizace? ¹⁸ <u>Tlumočník:</u> Sorry, could you just repeat the part with like the it's organized well it was after the scam. I didn't quite catch the part. * <u>Body 19 – 25 jsou vynechány</u> . ^{19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25 <u>Mluvčí:</u> Dobrá, takže ve zkratce, tato organizace je především slouží pro benefity školních dětí²⁶}
<u>Speaker:</u> And if you wanna speak to the population in the state, <u>you have a very</u> <u>small percentage of the population being</u> <u>native Hawaiian²⁷</u> . The <u>majority of people</u> <u>are of Chinese or Filipino descent²⁸, they</u> immigrated to Hawaii in the early 20 th century.	<u>Mluvčí:</u> <i>Bod 27 vynechán.</i> ²⁷ Takže většina většina populace na Havajských ostrovech pochází z Číny nebo z Filipín ²⁸ a přicestovali sem přibližně počátkem dvacátého století.

ten percent of the population.Many peopleclaim that they're native Hawaiian to getspecial privileges or rights through	původních domorodých Havajčanů je zde pouze pět až deset procent ²⁹ . Někteří z nich tvrdí, že jsou domorodí Havajčané, ale to jen z toho důvodu, aby mohli získávat určité privilegia, například pro své děti atd. ³⁰
Waikiki, in Honolulu ³¹ . And this population caters to Japanese tourism ³² . Japanese is a very commonly spoken language ³³ . You'll often meet someone on the street who doesn't speak English but speaks only Japanese. Many of the store fronts are only in Japanese. It's almostInterviewer: I heard that if you go in a coffee shop you can either ask for a coffee in English or in Japanese and the person who's working in the coffee shop will speakInterviewer pound	<u>Mluvčí:</u> Na havajských ostrovech také naleznete velkou část populace, která přichází z Japonska. Jsou především především osídlují pláž Waikiki v oblasti Honolulu ³¹ . Podporují především japonský japonský turismus turismus ³² , takže japonština je docela běžný jazyk ³³ . Domluvíte se s japonštinou téměř všude. Některé obchody jsou například napsány některé obchody v některých obchodech se například mluví japonsky. <u>Tazatel:</u> Já jsem dokonce slyšela, že pokud jdete do kavárny, můžete si kávu objednat jak v japonštině, tak v angličtině,

6.1.4. Aleš

Original	Tlumočení
<u>Speaker:</u> So <u>market conditions¹</u> , supply	Mluvčí: Udržitelnost anebo
and demand, just basic fundamentals, is	proveditelnost takové výstavby tedy udávají
what drives feasibility of development ² .	podmínky ² momentální podmínky na
And	trhu ¹ .
Interviewer: Which right now is not	<u>Tazatel:</u> A ty jsou tedy v tuto chvíli
feasible ³ .	jaké ³ ?
Speaker: Nothing is feasible right now ⁴	<u>Mluvčí:</u> Momentálně prakticky žádné ⁴ .
in some instances, you can't even give the	Bod 5 je vynechán. ⁵
<u>land away⁵</u>	<u>Tazatel:</u> Co tím myslíte? ⁶
Interviewer: What do you mean ⁶ by that?	<u>Mluvčí:</u> Tím myslím to, že pozemky jsou
Speaker: Land is often seen as a liability ⁷ .	často vnímány spíše jako zátěž ⁷ , než jako
Especially a land with debt on it ⁸ , because	možnost výdělku, protože často se na ně
there are carrying costs involved.	váží také dluhy ⁸ , které je třeba splácet.
Interviewer: If I understand it correctly,	<u>Tazatel:</u> Pokud tomu tedy rozumím
the lands were purchased and they're still	správně, tyto pozemky byly zakoupeny na
<u>under the loan to the bank⁹</u> and <u>right now</u>	dluh ⁹ , tím pádem je jejich hodnota nižší ¹⁰ .
the value of the property is lower than what	Bod 11 je vynechán. ¹¹
is owned to the bank ¹⁰ on the property.	<u>Mluvčí:</u> Ano, přesně tak ¹² . Pozemky na
Speaker: In my opinion it's exactly what	tomto trhu jsou momentálně silně
the bank lent ¹¹ , you're right there ¹² . But	nadhodnocené ¹³ . Za normálních podmínek
it's it's way above what what typical	by jejich hodnota byla zhruba
market would warrant ¹³ . Typically a lender	třicetiprocentní ¹⁴ .
would lend thirty percent of the value on	
such a speculative development ¹⁴ .	
Speaker: So you would be purchasing	<u>Mluvčí:</u> Pokud tedy vykupujete pozemek
this from a pension fund in Montreal,	od nějakého penzijního fondu v Montrealu ¹⁵
$\underline{Canada^{15}}$ and <u>they originally thought and</u>	a řeknou vám, že jeho hodnota je devadesát
they told me that the property is worth	milionů ¹⁶ , tak já musím říct, že jeho hodnota
<u>ninety million dollars</u> ¹⁶ . And <u>I told them it's</u>	je spíše k těm třiceti ¹⁷ . Jedná se o velmi
worth thirty, in my opinion ¹⁷ . But, I mean,	složitou situaci ¹⁸ .
what do I know? I don't know anything but	
it's a very difficult situation ¹⁸ .	
Speaker: And now, part of the collateral ¹⁹	<u>Mluvčí:</u> Součástí zástavy ¹⁹ na těchto
here of the twenty million that's been drawn	dvacet milionů, které si tedy tato společnost
on the thirty million is Mahukona ²⁰ , which is	půjčila je oblast Mahukona ²⁰ [Bod 21 je
<u>five hundred acres²¹</u> and <u>an additional six</u>	$vynechán]^{21}$ a dalších 650000 akrů ²²
thousand five hundred acres ²² of what is	chráněného lesního porostu ²³ .
mostly a designated forest preserve ²³ .	

Interviewer: That would be the preservation they agreed on building on those on this acreage, plus preserve the rain forest ²⁴ . Speaker: They have to preserve it, it's designated forest preserve ²⁵ . It's at the very top of the mountain ²⁶ and the state will not allow any development in that area ²⁷ because it would affect the water quality ²⁸ that flows down to the neighboring communities and to the ranch lands ²⁹ .	<u>Tazatel:</u> Takže tyto pozemky je nutno zachovat ²⁴ ? <u>Mluvčí:</u> Ano byly ²⁵ vláda nedovolí na nich podnikat jakoukoliv výstavbu ²⁷ . Tyto pozemky leží na vrcholu hory ²⁶ a jakákoliv výstavba by narušila kvalitu vody ²⁸ , která odtamtud proudí do obydlených oblastí a do oblastí zemědělsky využívaných ²⁹ .
<u>Speaker:</u> And the <u>original plan was to</u> <u>have residents at Mahukona have access to</u> <u>this native pristine forest³⁰</u> . It's <u>their own</u> <u>private forest for their own adventure in</u> <u>tropical paradise³¹</u> , for them and their family. To go hanggliding or or ATVing, fishing, all kinds of things.	<u>Mluvčí:</u> Původní plán tedy byl, že by obyvatelé Mahukony měli k tomuto k této chráněné oblasti přístup se svými rodina ³⁰ . Mohli by tam chodit provozovat provozovat různé koníčky ³¹ jako například rybaření nebo jízdu na terénních vozidlech a podobně.
Interviewer: Can you then summarize ³² , just a sum up of <u>all the possible problems</u> <u>that you would run into if you would invest</u> <u>in Mahukona</u> ? ³³ You mentioned <u>the water³⁴</u> , you mentioned <u>the rights of the native</u> <u>people³⁵</u> can you just summarize maybe for us all the possible problems that we would run into. <u>Speaker:</u> I would <u>recommend you don't</u> <u>place any value on the five hundred acres in</u> <u>Mahukona³⁶</u> , meaning that you <u>essentially</u> <u>have five hundred acres of oceanfront</u> <u>property that is completely worthless³⁷</u> , it's a liability to you.	<u>Tazatel:</u> Mohl byste tedy prosím shrnout ³² všechny problémy, na které bychom mohli při uskutečnění takovéto investice narazit? ³³ Zmínil jste problémy s vodou ³⁴ a s právy místních obyvatel ³⁵ <u>Mluvčí:</u> Mým doporučením by bylo považovat toto tento pozemek za naprosto bezcenný ³⁶ . Jedná se v podstatě o pět set akrů pozemku na pobřeží, který nemá žádnou hodnotu ³⁷ .

Speaker: Even if you were a privateindividual ³⁸ and you wanted to build a grasshut ³⁹ and have a fire and access to propertyby canoe, you would not be allowed to doit. ⁴⁰ Interviewer: Once it's gotSpeaker: You cannot build anything onthe property.Interviewer: Once it's going to getpurchased by the state ⁴¹ Speaker: No, even now.	<u>Mluvčí:</u> I pokud jste soukromá osoba ³⁸ a plánujete si na tomto pozemku například postavit nějakou slaměnou chýši ³⁹ a dopravovat se na tento pozemek na kánoi, tak to stejně udělat nemůžete, protože vám nebude povoleno toto uskutečnit ⁴⁰ . <u>Tazatel:</u> Tím myslíte až stát tento pozemek vykoupí? ⁴¹ <u>Mluvčí:</u> Ne, tím myslím i teď. Místní obyvatelé by proti tomu protestovali a nedostali byste povolení ⁴² .
Interviewer: Even now Speaker: Even now. Yeah, you'd run into opposition by the local people ⁴² . Interviewer: Ok.	
<u>Speaker:</u> It's a <u>very difficult situation</u> ⁴³ . So <u>I would not place any value on</u> <u>Mahukona</u> ⁴⁴ . <u>Most of your value is going to</u> <u>be in the forest preserve and the adjacent</u> <u>agricultural lands</u> ⁴⁵ . That's <u>also included</u> ⁴⁶ . <u>Interviewer:</u> For what use would like, <u>what would you recommend to use the</u> <u>agricultural land for</u> ⁴⁷ ? <u>Speaker:</u> Right now and it's interesting you asked <u>right now the only real feasible</u> <u>uses in the market are reverting back to what</u> <u>originally was done</u> ⁴⁸ , which is the <u>sugar</u> <u>cane</u> ⁴⁹ .	<u>Mluvčí:</u> Jedná se o velmi složitou situaci ⁴³ . Mahukona nemá praktick y žádnou hodnotu ⁴⁴ , pokud tuto investici provedete, většina hodnoty by ležela v zalesněné oblasti a v přilehlých zemědělských oblastech ⁴⁵ . <i>Bod 46 poměrně jasně vyplívá z již</i> <i>uvedených informací</i> . ⁴⁶ <u>Tazatel:</u> Jak se tyto zemědělské oblasti využívají ⁴⁷ ? <u>Mluvčí:</u> Jedná se o velmi zajímavou situaci, v podstatě se zemědělské praktiky vrací k… k původním… k původním způsobům ⁴⁸ , pěstuje se cukrová třtina ⁴⁹ .
<u>Speaker:</u> And <u>hardwood forests⁵⁰</u> that don't require a lot of water and <u>other</u> <u>native agricultural uses⁵¹</u> . It's <u>very similar to</u> <u>what I see here in Czech Republic⁵²</u> , driving through the countryside. I see <u>designated</u> <u>forest that are money producing⁵³</u> , I see <u>agricultural lands that are income</u> <u>producing⁵⁴</u> and <u>they're in designated areas</u> <u>and highly highly regulated by the state.⁵⁵</u>	Dále na těchto pozemcích rostou lesy s tvrdými dřevinami ⁵⁰ , Bod 51 je vynechán] ⁵¹ které se které se těží a jedná se o situaci velmi podobnou České Republice ⁵² . V České Republice existují určená místa, na kterých rostou lesy, ze kterých se těží dřevo a plynou z nich zisky ⁵³ a existují zde určená, vytyčená, zemědělská zemědělské oblasti, ze kterých také plynou zisky. ⁵⁴ Bod 55 je vynechán. ⁵⁵

6.1.5. Alena

Original	Tlumočení
Interviewer: I would like to now know a little bit more about the deal itself ¹ . About <u>Mahukona²</u> . If you can <u>describe where is it</u> <u>situated and some basic details regarding the</u> <u>deal³</u> . <u>Speaker: Ok, it's located on a big island,</u> <u>which is Hawaii⁴</u> – that's the name of the island – which is the <u>largest of the Hawaiian</u> <u>island chain⁵</u> . It's actually larger than all other islands combined.	<u>Tazatel:</u> Takže teď bych se chtěla zeptat na více detailů, co se týká projektu Mahukona ^{1, 2} . <u>Bod 3 je vynechán.</u> ³ <u>Mluvčí:</u> Ano, tak Mahukona je vlastně na velkém ostrově, který se jmenuje Havaj ⁴ . Je to největší z těch havajských ostrovů ⁵ .
<u>Speaker:</u> And <u>our subject property in</u> <u>particular is located on the northern tip of</u> <u>the big island⁶</u> . And the <u>big island is so large</u> <u>that it has five different climate regions⁷</u> . It ranges <u>from a very erode and dry region in</u> <u>the south⁸</u> , where the state has classified it as an extreme drought area.	<u>Mluvčí:</u> Takže, my jsme na severním konci ostrova ⁶ a ostrov je vlastně tak velký, že má pět různých podnebných pásem ⁷ . Na úplně na jihu je ten velice sucho a je to ten nejsušší podnebný pás na ostrově ⁸ .
Speaker: To a very rainy and semi- subtropical region ⁹ on what is known as the windward side of the island, which is <u>the</u> east side of the island ¹⁰ , where it <u>rains</u> probably forty to fifty percent of the time ¹¹ .	<u>Mluvčí:</u> Až po <mark>východní část¹⁰, kde</mark> nejvíce prší ⁹ . Prší tam vlastně čtyřicet až padesát v padesáti v čtyřiceti až padesáti procentech ¹¹ .
<u>Speaker:</u> And northern part of the island, where our subject is located ¹² , is very lush and tropical paradise ¹³ . It is a very desirable area ¹⁴ . But it's also extremely far away and isolated, distance-wise from the urban centers ¹⁵ . One is named Hilo ¹⁶ on the eastern side and <u>one is Cona¹⁷</u> on the western side. The northern part of the island is <u>probably</u> two hours by car ¹⁸ .	<u>Mluvčí:</u> Takže na severu, kde jsme ¹² , se tomu říká tropický ráj ¹³ , Bod 14 je vynechán j ¹⁴ ale je to velice izolovaná oblast ¹⁵ . Od nejvýchodnějšího města, které se jmenuje Hilo ¹⁶ , a nejvíce západního města, Cony ¹⁷ , je to dvě hodiny autem ¹⁸ .

<u>Speaker: Primary industrial land use in</u> <u>that area¹⁹ relates to cattle ranching.</u> <u>historically²⁰</u> . The <u>largest cattle ranch in</u> <u>United States is located on the big island²¹</u> and it comprises <u>something like 150000</u> <u>acres²²</u> . It's <u>larger than even those in</u> <u>Montana or some of the states in the interior</u> <u>of the country²³</u> .	<u>Mluvčí:</u> Takže největším průmyslem ¹⁹ je chování dobytka na rančích ²⁰ , největší ranč je na ostrově Havaj ²¹ a je veliký 150 akrů ²² , dokonce větší, než některé ranče v Montaně nebo ve státech. ²³
Speaker: And because it's so beautiful ²⁴ , there's also big push into preservation ²⁵ by the local land owners ²⁶ . Many of them own small amounts of acreage ²⁷ and they don't want to see resort property ²⁸ , they don't want to see a lot of tourism ²⁹ . They want things to remain the way they were ³⁰ .	<u>Mluvčí:</u> A protože je to taková krásná oblast ²⁴ , mnoho místních vlastníků půdy ²⁶ , tady jsou jenom malí vlastníci ²⁷ , by chtěli, aby byla oblast chráněna ²⁵ , <i>[Bod 28 je jasný</i> <i>i bez explicitního vyjádření]</i> ²⁸ nechtějí, aby tam bylo turismu ²⁹ , aby to prostě zůstalo tak, jak to je ³⁰ .
<u>Speaker:</u> And now <u>Mahukona in</u> particular is located in an area that the local residents feel very strongly about protecting ³¹ . It has a long history of native <u>Hawaiian land use³²</u> . There have been <u>many</u> archeological discoveries there in the form <u>of bones and tribal tools³³</u> different artifacts.	<u>Mluvčí:</u> Zvláště co se týká Mahukony, chtějí místní lidé, aby byla chráněna ³¹ , protože má velice dlouhou historii ³² , je tam hodně archeologických míst, kde se nachází třeba kosti nebo kmenové předměty ³³ , používané místními kmeny.
Speaker: And such circumstances, those those relating to the archeological sites, aren't unique to that area in particular ³⁴ , this occurs throughout the entire state ³⁵ . And there are laws that are protecting these areas from development ³⁶ or from spoil and for instance ³⁷ there was a couple hundred million dollars ³⁸ invested in a property in urban Honolulu ³⁹ .	<u>Mluvčí:</u> Takže samozřejmě tyhlety okolnosti vedou k tomu, že z archeologického hlediska je to výjimečná oblast ³⁴ , [<i>Bod 35 je vynechán</i>] ³⁵ že je samozřejmě chráněná různými zákony proti výstavbě ³⁶ . <i>Bod 37 je vynechán</i> . ³⁷ Dokonce bylo investováno dva miliony dolarů ³⁸ na investování v hlavním městě Honolulu ³⁹ .
Speaker: The developer intended to build a fifty story residential tower in the middle of the city ⁴⁰ and because it was so tall, they had to build the foundation very very deep ⁴¹ . And upon excavation they found dog bones and human remains ⁴² and the development was stopped ⁴³ . Interviewer: Dog bones Speaker: Dog bones as an issue, yes. Interviewer: Ok	<u>Mluvčí:</u> Investor chtěl vybudovat padesátipatrový obytný dům ⁴⁰ a protože byl tak vysoký, tak museli udělat základy velice hluboko ⁴¹ , ale našli se nějaké kosti psů a pozůstatky lidí ⁴² a proto musel být tenhle ten projekt zastaven ⁴³ .

<u>Speaker:</u> Now wherever these sites are located, <u>wherever these archaeological</u> <u>archaeologically significant sites are</u> <u>located</u> ⁴⁴ , <u>you basically have to develop</u> <u>around them⁴⁵</u> with required setbacks. So <u>if</u> <u>these areas are located in the middle of your</u> <u>intended development site⁴⁶</u> , it <u>basically is</u> <u>prohibited⁴⁷</u> .	<u>Mluvčí:</u> Kdekoliv se nacházejí tato archeologická místa ⁴⁴ , nemůžete na nich stavět, musíte stavět kolem ⁴⁵ , a pokud se toto místo nachází uprostřed vašeho plánovaného projektu ⁴⁶ , tak tam nemůžete stavět, je to zakázáno ⁴⁷ .
<u>Speaker: Often times these developers,</u> <u>they develop around the sites⁴⁸</u> , with the required setbacks and often times, <u>when</u> you're building residential property ⁴⁹ , you might have thirty or forty homes, even a <u>hundred homes⁵⁰ and the homes are situated</u> <u>around these archeological significant</u> <u>sites⁵¹</u> . Sometimes <u>the site could be in your</u> <u>backyard⁵²</u> , where you live.	<u>Mluvčí</u> : Investoři tohle tyhlety zákony poslouchají a stavějí kolem ⁴⁸ . Takže pokud máte obytné domy ⁴⁹ , takových třicet až čtyřicet nebo i sto obytných domů ⁵⁰ , jsou vystavěny kolem těchto archeologických míst ⁵¹ , takže se vám může stát, že můžete mít takové místo na zahradě ⁵² .
Interviewer: So what would physically happen if something like that would be on my own property in my backyard ⁵³ . Speaker: Well, if you would know upon before you were to buy your home ⁵⁴ but if it was found to be a ritual site ⁵⁵ , where native Hawaiians had historically gone to practice rituals ⁵⁶ , then the families of whoever claims use on that site has permanent access to that site twenty-four hours a day, seven days a week ⁵⁷ .	<u>Tazatel:</u> Takže co se vlastně stane, pokud já si koupím dům a budu mít na zahradě takové archeologické místo ⁵³ ? <u>Mluvčí:</u> Pokuď se vám stane… budete to vědět dříve, než si samozřejmě ten dům koupíte ⁵⁴ , ale může se stát, že je to místo, které používali domorodci ke svým rituálům ^{55, 56} a v takovém případě rodina, která patří k těmto domorodcům má přístup na váš pozemek dvacet čtyři hodin denně, sedm dní v týdnu ⁵⁷ .

6.1.6. Adam

Original	Tlumočení
<u>Speaker</u> : So <u>I don't know how internally</u> <u>your team is looking at value in this</u> <u>property¹ but <u>I would not place any value on</u> <u>potential development²</u>. The <u>only market</u> <u>that currently exists is for acquisition by the</u> <u>public³ for by some public private</u> <u>institution⁴ for environmental and</u> <u>preservation purposes⁵.</u> <u>Interviewer</u>: I have a question here. From <u>appraiser stand point⁶, how much would the</u></u>	<u>Mluvčí</u> : Já nevím, jestli váš tým hledá přímo nějakou hodnotu ¹ v těchto…v těchto nemovitosnech… nemovitostech, ale já bych o žádné skutečné hodnotě nemluvil ² . V podstatě jediné, o co se v dnešní době na trhu jedná ³ je <i>Bod 4 je vynechán f</i> ⁴ odkup pozemků za účelem jejich ochrany ⁵ . <u>Tazatel</u> : Mě by zajímalo, jaksi… z úhlu pohledu ocenění této nemovitostmi…
price differ ⁷ from the previously from the price that the developer pitched for the resort to in comparison to one for the natural preserve ⁸ . <u>Speaker</u> : I understand. <u>When the</u> <u>Montreal lender originally lent the \$30mil.</u> <u>on the property⁹, I believe that was at the</u> <u>loan to value ratio of approximately 70%¹⁰</u> , meaning that <u>the property was valued at</u> <u>\$90mil¹¹</u> .	nemovitosti ⁶ , jaký je asi rozdíl ⁷ pokud je nemovitost určena k výstavbě a nebo je určena k odkoupení za účelem ochrany přírodních památek ⁸ . <u>Mluvčí</u> : No například bych vám uvedl společnost Montreal nebo montrealský penzijní fond, který investoval 30mil. ⁹ a ohodnocení mělo být asi 70% ¹⁰ , čili odhadovaná cena byla asi 90 mil ¹¹ .
<u>Speaker</u> : And <u>I would say the property¹²</u> , based on my own internal evaluation <u>is</u> worth \$30mil. ¹³ , which is 100% of the collateral that they originally pledged ¹⁴ . Now most of that value ¹⁵ , because there is no development potential at the Mahukona <u>site¹⁶, lies in the forest reserve located</u> adjacent to the property ¹⁷ . Which we haven't talked about but I'm sure you're aware of.	Body 12, 13 a 14 jsou nevyjádřeny. ^{12, 13, 14} <u>Mluvčí</u> : Většina ceny z těchto třiceti milionů ¹⁵ [Bod 16 je nevyjádřen] ¹⁶ je v podstatě v rezervách dřeva ¹⁷ , o kterých jsem ještě nemluvil, ale dostaneme se k nim.
Interviewer: Can you maybe talk a little bit more about the forest reservation then ¹⁸ ? Speaker: Most of this land is located at very high altitudes ¹⁹ and is classified for preservation purposes by the county and state ²⁰ as watershed area, meaning that <u>no</u> development would ever be allowed in that <u>area²¹</u> because of the <u>potential pollution to</u> water downstream ²² .	<u>Tazatel</u> : Mohl byste nám něco říct o těchto zalesněných oblastech ¹⁸ ? <u>Mluvčí</u> : Tyto oblasti se nachází většinou ve značných výškách ¹⁹ a jsou určeny k zachování jaksi z hlediska ochrany životního prostředí ²⁰ . Je v podstatě nulová možnost jakékoliv budoucí výstavby v těchto oblastech ²¹ . <i>Bod 22 nevyjádřen</i> . ²²

Speaker: And the developer had originally pitched, or marketed, these speculative residential homes ²³ , that had never been built, to individuals looking to have a private adventure paradise in this natural forest area ²⁴ , where they could go and explore with their families a tropical oasis without any other tourists around.	<u>Mluvčí</u> : Investor původně nabízel tyto oblasti, za původní spekulativní cenu ²³ , soukromým majitelům jako v podstatě takové soukromé soukromý ráj ²⁴ .
Speaker: But that never materialized ²⁵ and again we're back to the fact that the state or some public private entity would be the most likely buyer for this ²⁶ . This is well for preservation purposes.	<u>Mluvčí</u> : Toto se nicméně nikdy nestalo ²⁵ a opět pracujeme s tím, že pravděpodobným kupcem této oblasti bude opět stát ²⁶ .
<u>Speaker</u> : And this is consistent with the <u>trends that are going on statewide²⁷</u> even in <u>highly populated areas, like in Honolulu and</u> <u>in Waikiki²⁸</u> . You have <u>speculative</u> <u>development at basically a standstill, it's not</u> <u>happening²⁹</u> . And the <u>only real development</u> <u>or property that is being sold for the</u> <u>development purposes are affordable</u> <u>housing apartment buildings³⁰</u> .	<u>Mluvčí</u> : Toto se děje v podstatě po celém státě ²⁷ a to včetně Honolulu nebo Waikiki ²⁸ , ale v dnešní době je jakýkoliv spekulativní prodej v podstatě zastaven ²⁹ a jediné jediná oblast, ve které se dá investovat je výstavba levných bytových prostor ³⁰ .
Speaker: And these affordable housing apartment buildings are something like the panelák ³¹ that you have here but that are operated by the state ³² and the state subsidizes the rent ³³ that the residents pay. Interviewer: It would not be, I guess, possible on our site, something similar to what you were talking ³⁴ Speaker: No, no, not at all ³⁵ .	<u>Mluvčí</u> : Tyto bytové jednotky by se u nás daly nazvat v podstatě něco jako takovým panelákem ³¹ , s tím rozdílem, že je vlastní stát ³² a je v nich regulované nájemné ³³ . <u>Tazatel</u> : To by se u nás asi nestalo ³⁴ . <u>Mluvčí</u> : No to určitě ne ³⁵ .
Speaker: So currently you have a what used to be a very capitalistic market very capitalistic driven market ³⁶ and now the state is the only player ³⁷ because many private developers don't see any profit in the current market ³⁸ .	<u>Mluvčí</u> : V podstatě se díváme na trh, který byl v dřívějších dobách značně kapitalistický ³⁶ a dnes je jediným hráčem na těchto trzích stát ³⁷ . Developeři se tudíž na trh na Havaji dívají jako na velice nepřínosný, kde není žádná možnost výdělku ³⁸ .

<u>Speaker</u> : So for you to come from Czech <u>Republic and invest as a private investor³⁹</u> basically <u>in a Hawaiian market⁴⁰, when</u> <u>even local American investors will not do</u> <u>so⁴¹</u> , for speculative development I think it would it would <u>it's not in your best</u> <u>interest at all⁴²</u> . <u>Interviewer</u> : Would you recommend than any other properties that would be more <u>feasible for our party</u> ? ⁴³ <u>Speaker</u> : I think that <u>in downtown</u>	<u>Mluvčí</u> : Takže pokud se na to dívám z pohledu českého investora, přijít na Havaj a snažit se investovat ^{39, 40} a nakupovat je v podstatě nesmysl ⁴² , když se to nedaří ani Američanům ⁴¹ . <u>Tazatel</u> : Mohl byste tedy doporučit nějaký… nějakou další nemovitost, nějaký další způsob investice ⁴³ ? <u>Mluvčí</u> : No, mohl bych zmínit například Waikiki ⁴⁴ , kde v případě, že nakoupíte půdu, je zde možnost nějaké výstavby ⁴⁶ či nějaké
<u>Waikiki⁴⁴ you could purchase land with</u> <u>maybe a five to ten year hold⁴⁵, upon which</u> <u>time development would be feasible⁴⁶ for</u> <u>for high density residential development⁴⁷</u> .	aktivity <mark>během dejme tomu pěti až deseti</mark> let ⁴⁵ . Ale jednalo by se nejspíš o výstavbu bytových prostor značné hustotě ⁴⁷ .
<u>Speaker</u> : <u>I recommend Waikiki because</u> <u>it's an already existing urban area⁴⁸. It has</u> <u>very pro-development atmosphere⁴⁹. You</u> <u>have the majority of the sites developed as</u> <u>high residential condos or apartments⁵⁰. And</u> <u>mainly, this is catering to the Japanese⁵¹.</u> And the <u>Japanese and other Asian tourism is</u> going to be a huge driver in the future ⁵² . The yen is currently at its all time high which is <u>attracting Japanese tourism like I've never</u> <u>seen before⁵³</u> . Although I haven't been there in a year and a half. <u>And there are an</u> <u>increasingly more Chinese tourists and</u> <u>South Korean⁵⁴</u> tourists in the market.	<u>Mluvčí</u> : Waikiki vám doporučuji proto, že se jedná o oblast, která značně podporuje vývoj a výstavbu ^{48, 49} , i když v mnoha případech se jedná především o luxusní apartmány a o luxusní výstavbu ⁵⁰ . Často se jedná o japonské investory a japonské turisty ⁵¹ . Japonský turismus je v podstatě hnací silou ekonomie Waikiki ⁵² . Je to také proto, že jen je nejsilnější za posledních několik let ⁵³ . Často vidíme také turisty z Číny a z Jižní Koreji. ⁵⁴

6.2.Audience Assessment

6.2.1. Lenka

General Nonverbal Communication Assessment by Audience Members 1-7

	Positives	Negatives	
1.	-very fluent and pleasant to listen to	-intonation could be better, tends to	
	-tries to maintain the eye-contact	sound a bit monotonous	
	-nice tone	-could be speaking more loudly	
	-good and steady posture		
	-no visible nervosity		
2.	- appropriate posture	-too quiet voice and she keeps	
	- calm voice	looking into the paper when talking	
	- looks optimistic	-uses gestures with her pen only when	
		she is not sure or corrects herself	
3.	-appears very balanced and calm	-her eye-contact attempts are	
	-takes time to formulate the	appreciated but it seems to be mainly	
	sentences and almost doesn't seem to	towards the end of the sentences	
	panic.	-she keeps her eyes on her notes too	
	-more or less natural intonation.	much	
	-attempts eye contact.	-sometimes her voice is a bit too	
		quiet, especially towards the endings of	
		the sentences	
		-seems like she is taking notes during	
		the actual interpretation which is a little	
		distracting	
4.		-speaking in a low voice	
		-seems insecure, uncertain about the	
		things she says	
		-constantly writing notes, changing them, fiddling with the pen	
		-poor eye contact	
5.			
5.	-very calm – calm voice	-no eye contact	
	-confident, natural		
	-nice to look at – clothes, posture		
6.	-no extra gestures -good volume	-hesitation sounds	
U.	-steady posture	-not much eye contact with audience	
	-appropriate clothing	-not much eye contact with audience	
	-not exaggerated body movement		
	-nice tone of the voice		
7.	-eye contact (but not enough)	-speaks very quietly and shyly	
	-smiles	-displays of nervousness	
	-generally pleasant appearance	-weird stance	
	S preusant appeniante		

6.2.2. Martin

	Positives	Negatives	
1.	-good posture	-smacks his lips very often	
	-almost no nervosity	-looks nowhere	
	-OK clothes	-almost no facial expressions	
	-good intonation	A	
	-doesn't look into papers too often		
2.	-strong voice	-too many gestures, mostly when	
	-eye contact	repeating himself	
	-seems relaxed	-not fluent speech, stops often	
		-not stable posture	
3.	-eye contact, doesn't keep his eyes in	-lip-smacking, clearing throat,	
	the notes all the time	coughing	
	-confident, not too relaxed posture	-sometimes "lazy" articulation	
	-attempts to use hand gestures to		
	help him express himself		
	-very little hesitation sounds		
	-non-monotonous speech,		
	appropriate intonation		
4.	-pleasant voice	-smacking his lips	
	-pleasant melody and manner of	-mumbling, not enouncing some	
	speech	words	
	-eye contact	-talking too fast at some points	
	-seems confident		
5.	-natural	-smacking his lips	
	-adequate gestures	-hesitation sounds	
		articulation	
6.	-not looking into his notation	-tongue clicking	
	-good volume and tone	-gestures by Hands	
	-eye Contact	-informal clothing	
	-steady Posture		
7.	-seems self-confident	-filler words, hesitative sounds	
	-gestures	-clicking his tongue	
	-lots of eye contact	-speech seems too casual, even	
	-uses his voice melody to indicate	informal	
	ends of sentences, changes of speakers		
	and so on		

6.2.3. Helena

	Positives	Negatives	
1.	-I appreciate her effort to maintain	-freuquent hesitation sounds	
	eye-contact	-sounds nervous a great deal of time	
	-good intonation, does not sound	-frequent corrections	
	boring	-seeks support in the paper	
	-good tone	-licking her lips a lot 😊 which	
	-nice and pleasant appearance, good	strenghtens the impression of	
	clothes	nervousness	
		-her overall body language shows a	
		high level of nervosity and sometimes I	
		tend to not to believe her	
2.	-decent clothes, but at the same time	-not stable posture, seems like	
	it is not tasteless, it corresponds to her	nervousness	
	personality	-when she is sure about what she is	
	-lively voice	saying, the speed of the speech goes up,	
	-mimics, it makes her look credible	and then when she is not sure, she stops	
	-eye contact	-hesitation sounds	
	-looks optimistic		
3.	-Eye contact!	-Touches her head/starts to laugh/	
	-Nice, clear articulation, speaks	fidgets when she is unsure (it lowers her	
	loudly and clearly	credibility greatly which I think is a	
	-In many parts she speaks clearly and	shame because she is very nice to listen	
	fluently, closes sentences with	to, articulates clearly, speaks	
	appropriate intonation, emphasizes =	confidently, etc.)	
4.	non-monotonous speech	-Hesitation sounds, lip-smacking -Hesitation sounds	
4.			
		-Smacking her lips	
		-Insecure- gestures (touching her head,	
		smiling guiltily), manner of speaking, fiddling with the notepad (rustling with	
		the paper, disturbing to look at as well)	
		-Not smiling at all	
		-Not sming at an -Monotonous	
		-Sounds desperate, seems unhappy,	
		lacks confidence	
		-The whole impression is of a very	
		untrustworthy and insecure interpreting	

5.	-Intonation -Good English pronunciation	-Nervous -"distracted" -Speaking very quickly -Touching her hair -Influenced by the English pronunciation -Unable to control her emotions	
6.	-Good volume and tone of voice -Good posture -Clothing -Eye contact with speaker and audience	-Hesitation sounds -Self evaluating by nodding her head -Unnecessary movements with hands -Sounds of laughing, disappointment -Clicking by tongue	
7.	-Eye contact -Working with the voice melody -Smart clothes in neutral colours -Gestures -Clear voice with good phrasing	-Nervous movements (touching her head) -Hesitative sounds -Weepy voice -Laughter -Occasional stammering -Very short haircut (she could be considered "too punk" to be taken seriously) -Visible insecurity	

6.2.4. Aleš

	Positives	Negatives		
1.	-tries to maintain eye contact but	-constant moaning and sighing		
	never manages to	-at the beginning – frequent slips of		
	-good, neutral posture	the tongue		
	-nice voice tone	-says 'eh' a lot!		
	-clothing (shirt + shoes) – ok	-jeans are not appropriate piece of		
	-does not clutch his notes nervously	clothing		
	-speaks quite fluently	-poker face (only micro facial		
		expressions)		
		-quite monotonous intonation		
		-bad articulation from time to time		
2.	-gestures appropriate	-sometimes too petrified posture, no		
	-eye contact	mimics		
		-monotonious voice, too little		
		intonation		
		-hesitation sounds		
3.	-very pleasant voice to listen to!	-hesitation sounds		
	-i like his general posture and	-sometimes a little hard to		
	occasional attempts to use gesticulation	understand - articulation		
	 not a completely stiff posture 	-minimum of eye contact		
		-very monotonous speech		
4.		-monotonous, flat manner of speech		
		-disturbing hesitation sounds		
		-difficult to tell what is the question,		
		what is the answer		
		-no eye contact with the audience,		
		trying not to read notes but staring into		
		the floor which is not much better		
		-poor articulation		
		-no facial expressions		
		-very stiff		
5.	-calm	-hesitation sounds		
	-confident	-no eye contact		
	-good memory	-articulation -sometimes difficult to		
		understand		
		intonation		
6.	-not looking into his notation all the	-hesitation sounds		
	time	-low volume of voice		
	-stable posture and stance	-not clear speaking (mumbling)		
	-formal clothing	-no eye contact with audience		

7.	-attempts to make eye contact	-hesitation sounds
	-relaxed stance	"robotic" delivery of the
	-speaking into the microphone	interpretation (he's not working well
		with the melody of his voice)
		-scary appearance
		-shaky hands – gives away him being
		nervous
		-it's hard to tell who's he interpreting
		now
		-occasional stammering

6.2.5. Alena

General Nonverbal	Communication Ass	essment by Audie	nce Members 1-7
Ocher al 1 ton ter bar	Communication 1155	cosment by muule	

	Positives	Negatives
1.	-pleasant, neutral clothing	-very nervous – clutches her notes
	-very pleasant overall impression	and makes an upleasant noise with it
	-pleasant voice tone	-frequent hestitation in her voice
		-no eye contact or contact with the
		audience at all!!!
		-does not act as a speaker
		-not very good intonation – is a bit
		monotonous with a lack of stress on the
		right places which gets a bit tiresome
		after a while
2.	-looks decent	-too quiet voice at the beginning
	-smile at the beginning	-intonation (fall only at the end of the
	-posture	utterance, not in each sentence) – only
		at the beginning
		-to little eye contact
3.	-minimum of hesitation sounds	-quiet, less confident sounding voice,
	-fluent performance	gives impression of feeling
	-nice articulation	uncomfortable
		-no eye contact with the public,
		looking at her notes only
		-paper clenching
		-a little monotonous speech
4.		-weak voice, talking in a low voice
		-rustling with the notepad near the
		microphone
		-insecure manner of speech
		-minimal eye contact with the
		audience
		-smirking- implies uncertainty, not a
	nd .	trustworthy interpreter
5.	-after the 2^{nd} minute she is calm,	-no eye contact with the audience
	better intonation	-very nervous from the beginning
	-good choice of clothes	-she's speaking very quietly
	-good posture - not dancing, no	-she is smiling when she doesn't
-	gestures	know what to say
6.	-good posture	-low volume
	-firm stance, no unnecessary	-uncertain voice
	movements	-facial expressions of laughing at
	-good, formal clothing	what she said
		-no eye contact with audience or
		speakers

7.	-a nice, formal outfit	-speaks very quietly and doesn't use
	-speaks slowly – the speech is	the melody of her voice well
	understandable	-holds on to her papers extremely
		tightly (as if for support)
		-no eye contact
		-microphone too low – we can here
		the papers rustling rather than Alena
		speaking
		-has a very insecure smile after
		ending her speeches

6.2.6. Adam

General Nonverbal Communication	Assessment by Audience Members 1-7
General Honverbal Communication	Assessment by Audience Members 1-7

	Positives	Negatives
1.	-does not act nervously – presents	-touches his nose and mouth
	himself confidently	frequently (could be interpreted as a
	-does not move from one foot to	sign of lie)
	another	-until a certain point (cca 01:10) does
	-very pleasant (friendly) posture	not maintain an eye contact at all
	-pleasant and appropriate intonation	-overall expression – does not
	and tone of voice – very nice to listen to	express himself very distinctivelly –
	-natural performance	overall non-verbal communication is
	-outs stress on the right moments of	very mild, though natural
	the speech	-should not wear jeans!
	-overall looks – neutral, pleasant	
	clothes	
2.	-appropriate gestures	-scratching his nose
	-seems relaxed, not nervous –	-sounds of hesitation (unpleasant
	according to his posture – maybe even	when more times in one sentence)
	too much relaxed	-grimaces when he says sth. he is not
	-volume appropriate	sure about
	-calm voice	-too little eye contact at the
		beginning
3.	-appropriately used intonation	-basically no eye contact with the
	-self-confident speech/tone of voice	public
	-fluent speech	-lip smacking
		-his posture is maybe too relaxed?
4.	-hand gestures, as the original	-poor eye contact with the audience,
	speaker would do when explaining	simply reading the notes
	something	-moving too much, from side to side
	-smiling	-touching his face
	-melody	-sometimes not articulating well
	-pleasant voice and manner of speaking	enough
	-minimal hesitation sounds	-crossing off things (quite disturbing)
		-making faces at the audience
5.	-good intonation	-no eye contact with the audience
	-nice voice; good to listen to	-hesitation sounds
	-confident	-often touches his face, esp. the nose
	-adequate gestures	-frowns as he is not sure or can't
		read his notes?
		-funny faces at audience
		-"dancing", making small steps
		-crosses out very strongly some note
		-the scarf

6.	-nice tone of voice -good volume -eye contact with audience -welcoming hand gestures -formal, not over-coloured clothing	-touching nose -evaluating himself after segment by expression on his face -clicking of the tongue -sharp moves while talking, not steady -use of hesitation sounds -not steady stance -inappropriate posture
7.	 -fluent speech -almost without hesitation sounds -eye contact with the audience, gestures (makes the speech more lively and interesting) -very leisure stance - doesn't seem nervous -smiles -doesn't mumble -nice use of phrasing and voice melody to keep the audience interested 	-makes faces (raised eyebrow, etc) -starts his speech with changing his posture (that's not really a negative, it's just worth noticing) -accessories - earrings could be regarded as unprofessional (by some)

7. Summary

Tato bakalářská práce se zabývá užitím nonverbální komunikace a schopností kvalitní veřejné prezentace v oblasti konsekutivního tlumočení. Jejím cílem je dokázat, že studenti oboru ATP při konsekutivním tlumočení před skupinou lidí naráží v této oblasti na problémy a že kvalita jejich tlumočení je vyšší než kvalita jejich projevu jako takového. Součástí práce je experiment, na kterém se snažím své myšlenky obhájit.

Stěžejní myšlenka práce je vnímání tlumočníka jako řečníka. Osobně se domnívám, že tlumočník je na stejné úrovni jako řečník a že jejich práce je v podstatě velice podobná – s tím rozdílem, že tlumočník nekomunikuje své vlastní myšlenky, ale myšlenky původního řečníka.

K napsání práce mě inspirovaly tři hlavní skutečnosti: 1) můj zájem o média a televizi, 2) videonahrávky z mock konference pořízené na konci zimního semestru, na kterých jsem měl možnost vidět, jakým způsobem jsme se já a moji kolegové prezentovali a 3) podle mého názoru nedostatečné zastoupení této oblasti ve výukovém plánu oboru ATP. Navíc se domnívám, že schopnost kvalitní prezentace a užití nonverbální komunikace jsou všeobecně podceňovány, a to nejen mezi tlumočníky, ale i mezi profesionály v mnoha dalších oblastech.

V úvodu představuji práci jako celek, vysvětluji, kdo jsem, co studuji a proč jsem si vybral právě tuto oblast. Určuji hypotézu, téma a oblast výzkumu. Dále popisuji průběh práce a vymezuji základní pojmy, včetně základních prvků praktického experimentu a jeho průběhu. Praktického experimentu se účastní dvě skupiny – skupina tlumočníků, kteří mi dali souhlas k tomu, abych do bakalářské práce psal právě o nich, a skupina diváků – kolegů studentů, kteří skrze formulář hodnotili veřejnou prezentaci a nonverbální komunikaci skupiny tlumočníků.

Teoretická část mé práce je zaměřena na základní oblasti nonverbální komunikace. Nejprve vysvětluji, co nonverbální komunikace je: komunikace na nonverbální úrovni – bez použití slov jako nositelů informace. Dále se zabývám jejím významem pro tlumočníka v rámci konsekutivního tlumočení. Můj názor je, že tlumočník může skrze dobrou znalost nonverbální komunikace nejen zlepšit svoji schopnost se vyjádřit a komunikovat s lidmi – lépe a hlasitěji mluvit, umět udržet pozornost lidí skrze kvalitní postoj, dobrá gesta atd., ale také se může zlepšit jako tlumočník. Pokud zná tlumočník dobře řeč těla, je schopen ji následně lépe číst a rozpoznat i ty nejjemnější nuance ve vyjadřování řečníka.

Dále stručně popisuji základní oblasti nonverbální komunikace: posturologie, kinezika, gestikulace, mimika a nonverbální hlasové projevy.

V praktické části začínám detailním popisem experimentu, jehož náplní je ohodnotit a následně srovnat subjektivní kvalitu tlumočení a prezentace tlumočníků z pohledu diváka. Následně rozebírám výkony jednotlivých tlumočníků a zamýšlím se nad vztahem mezi kvalitou (eventuálně nedostatečnou kvalitou) tlumočení a kvalitou (eventuálně nedostatečnou kvalitou) jejich prezentace. Na závěr se zabývám výsledky experimentu a zamýšlím se nad klady a zápory metody, kterou jsem zvolil pro ohodnocení tlumočení.

Jedná se o experimentální metodu vytvořenou na základě konzultací s mou vedoucí práce, PhDr. Prágerovou. Základem metody je snaha dívat se na problematiku hodnocení tlumočení z úhlu pohledu diváka, přičemž já se domnívám, že nejvyšší hodnotu pro diváka, který je odkázán na tlumočníkův překlad, je porozumění a kvalitní přenesení co největšího množství informací z původní promluvy, do tlumočníkovy verze.

8. Bibliography

8.1. Works Cited

ASLinfo. "Quality Assurance Testing." http://www.aslinfo.com/qa.html. Accessed May 2012

ASLinfo. "Florida Educational Interpreters Evaluation." http://www.aslinfo.com/eie.html. Accessed May 2012

Barrios-Choplin, Bob and Rollin McCraty and Bruce Cryer. "An Inner Quality Approach to Reducing Stress and Improving Physical and Emotional Wellbeing at Work." *Stress Medicine*, 13(1997): 193-201, DOI: 10.1002/(SICI)1099-1700(199707)13:3<193::AID-SMI744>3.0.CO;2-I.

Gile, Daniel. "Methodological Aspects of Interpretation and Translation Research." In *Bridging the Gap: Empirical Research in Simultanous Interpretation*, edited by Sylvie Lambert and Barbara Moser-Mercer. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: John Benjamins Publishing Company, 1994.

Hindo, Cindy S. and A. Antonio Gonzalez-Prendes. "One-Session Exposure Treatment for Social Anxiety With Specific Fear of Public Speaking." *Research on Social Work Practice* 21(2011): 528-538, DOI: 10.1177/1049731510393984.

Jones, Roderick. *Conference interpreting explained*. Manchester: St. Jerome Publishing, 2002.

Kurz, Ingrid. "Conference Interpretation, Expectations of Different User Groups." In *The interpreting studies reader*. Edited by Pöchhacker, Franz and Miriam Shlesinger. 312-324. Abingdon: Routledge, 2002

Lepilová, Květuše. *Řečová komunikace verbální a neverbální: Pro tlumočníky a průvodce ve sféře podnikání a cestovního ruchu*. Ostrava: Ostravská Univerzita, 1998.

Lucas, Stephen E. *The Art of Public Speaking: Third Edition*. New York: McGraw-Hill Publishing Company, 1989.

Majtner, Jaroslav. *Hlasová výchova*. Olomouc: Univerzita Palackého v Olomouci, Pedagogická Fakulta, 2006.

Mehrabian, Albert. *Nonverbal Communication*. USA: Aldine Transactions, A Division of Transactions Publishers, 2009. http://www.google.cz/books?hl=cs&lr=&id=Xt-YALu9CGwC&oi=fnd&pg=PR7&dq=nonverbal+communication+definition&ots=5xJdLc8e ot&sig=NusPmfYjGWf5ibFnTS1EqhC53uw&redir_esc=y#v=onepage&q=nonverbal%20co mmunication%20definition&f=false

Pease, Allan. *Body Language: How to read others' thoughts by their gestures*. London: Sheldon Press, 1988. PDF Version.

Strejček, Alfréd. Presented at the seminar Nebojte se promluvit, 16th March 2012.

Špačková, Alena. *Rétorika pro tlumočníky*. Praha: Česká komora tlumočníků znakového jazyka o. s., 2008.

Web Center for Social Research Methods. "Descriptive Statistics." http://www.socialresearchmethods.net/kb/statdesc.php. Accessed May 2012

8.2. Works Consulted

Underwood, Geoffrey. Attention and Memory. Oxford: Pergamon Press Ltd., 1979.

Suchá, Jitka. Cvičení paměti pro každý věk. Praha: Portál, 2007.

Nolan, James. *Interpretation: Techniques and Exercises*. Toronto: Multilingual Matters Ltd., 2005.

Anotace

Autor: Adam Vojáček

Katedra: Katedra anglistiky a amerikanistiky FF UPOL

Nazev česky: Porovnání kvality tlumočení s kvalitou veřejné prezentace studentů oboru Angličtina se zaměřením na komunitní tlumočení a překlad

Nazev anglicky: Comparison of the interpreting quality and public presentation quality of the students of the English for Community Interpreting and Translating course

Vedouci prace: PhDr. Veronika Prágerová

Počet stran (úvod - zavěr): 60

Počet stran (celkově): 102

Počet znaků (uvod – zavěr): 99 192

Počet znaků (celkově): 164 716

Počet titulů použite literatury: 14

Kličova slova v ČJ: veřejné prezentace, mluvení na veřejnosti, nonverbální komunikace, hodnocení kvality tlumočení, hodnocení kvality veřejné prezentace, auto-hodnocení

Kličova slova v AJ: public presentation, public speaking, nonverbal communication, interpreting quality assessment, public presentation quality assessment, auto-evaluation

Anotace v ČJ:

Tato práce se zabývá místem veřejné prezentace jako schopnosti v repertoáru tlumočníka v rámci konsekutivního tlumočení. Veřejná prezentace je v rámci bakalářské práce rozdělena na dvě základní oblasti – nonverbální komunikace a mluvení na veřejnosti. Cílem práce je zkrze experiment a dotazník dokázat, že schopnost veřejné prezentace je nedílnou součástí tlumočníkových schopností.

Anotace v AJ:

This thesis deals with the place of public presentation as a skill, as part of the interpreter's repertoire within consecutive nterpreting. Public presentation is, within the framework of this thesis, divided into two main areas – nonverbal communication and public speaking. The aim of the work is to prove, via an experiment and a questionnaire research, that the public presentation ability is an inseparable part of the interpreter's abilities.