## **CZECH UNIVERSITY OF LIFE SCIENCES PRAGUE**

Faculty of Economics and Management

## **Evaluation of the Bachelor Thesis by Opponent**

Thesis Title	The role of the Great Silk Road in the development of citi economy in Uzbekistan .	es , tourism and			
Name of the student	Shakhnoza Khaytmuratova				
Thesis supervisor	doc. Ing. Vladimír Krepl, CSc.	3/1			
Department	Department of Economics	399			
Opponent	Ing. Pavel Kotyza, Ph.D.				
Formulation of object	ives and Choice of appropriatemethods and methodology	1 2 3 4			
Work with data and in	nformation	1 2 3 4			
Logical process being	1 2 3 4				
The structure of parag	graphs and chapters	1 2 3 4			
Work with scientific li	terature (quotations, norms)	1 2 3 4			
Comprehensibility of	the text and level of language	1 2 3 4			
Clarity and profession	alism of expression in the thesis	1 2 3 4			
Formal presentation of	of the work, the overall impression	1 2 3 4			
Fulfillment of objectiv	1 2 3 4				
Summary and key-wo	1 2 3 4				
Evaluation of the worl	k by grade (1, 2, 3, 4)	3			
		Evaluation: 1 = the best			
Date 05/05/2020		Signature of Opponent			

## Other comments or suggestions:

The theses named "The role of the Great Silk Road in development of cities, tourism and economy in Uzbekistan" prepared by Shakhnoza Khaytmuratova presents an interesting view on Silk road importance in Uzbekistan. In general, it needs to be stated, that author did significant amount of work. However own value added /contribution is questionable. Own work includes compilation of information from other authors, own analyses is missing. Among this, presented BT has specific shortcomings, which has to be mentioned:

- Objectives are not well formulated, methods proposed and used does not fit stated objectives.
- Page 26, not clear sentence "In 2018, the value of GDP per capita in RUSSIA amounted to 12 million 365.6 thousand" ... does author talk about UZB or RUSSIA?
- Grapths, maps, tables mostly miss reference
- Format of figures is not consistent in the theses
- Data and information are not well quoted, source of information is not clear, data and information cannot be checked. For example: "Sewing workshops sew clothes, household items, and bed linen from them, more than 50% of which is exported" or "The main tourist destinations are visiting monuments of Muslim architecture (80% of the tourist flow) and the Chimgan ski resort (less than 10%)." No source mentioned.
- It is stated: "In 2019-2022, the gross domestic product is projected to grow by 5-6. 3%", but there is no explanation, how that estimate was done
- Page 29: "In General, the foreign trade turnover of the Republic of Uzbekistan in 2018 amounted to \$15.8 billion.,". However, according to UZSTAT turnover of UZB foreign trade is over 40 billion USD in 2019 and close to 33 billion USD in 2018.
- Information in the theses are not consistent. For example number of tour operators in the country: p. 29 (850 in 2019), p. 31 (1100 in 2019), p. 32 (1428 in 2019),
- Page 32: "The number of visitors from foreign countries amounted to 488 400 people". However UNWTO states that Uzbekistan is having long term trend in growth of international tourists, in 2013 it was already 2 million in 2013. Stated number does not seem to be correct.

To conclude, the theses has many imperfections and quality own results is limited. After careful assessment I propose "GOOD".

## Questions for thesis defence:

- Q1.What is total amount of expenditures on transportation infrastructure by UZB government?
- Q2. What is total amount of Foreign Direct Investments to UZB economy and where are they from? Which sectors they target?
- Q3. What are main means of transport for incoming tourists? Use database of UNWTO to find more.
- W4. How will be UZB tourism sector impacted by COVID19?

Data	or (or (2020						
Date	05/05/2020				Signature of Opponent		