

# CZECH UNIVERSITY OF LIFE SCIENCES PRAGUE

Faculty of Economics and Management

## Evaluation of the Bachelor Thesis by supervisor

Thesis Title **Psychological influence of distant work on business processes**

Name of the student **Ruslan Tinkelman**

Thesis supervisor **PhDr. Kristýna Krejčová, Ph.D.**

Department **Department of Psychology**

Formulation of objectives and Choice of appropriate methods and methodology used	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4
Work with data and information	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4
Logical process being used	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4
The structure of paragraphs and chapters	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4
Work with scientific literature (quotations, norms)	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4
Comprehensibility of the text and level of language	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4
Clarity and professionalism of expression in the thesis	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4
Formal presentation of the work, the overall impression	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4
Fulfillment of objectives, formulation of conclusions	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4
Summary and key-words comply with the content the thesis	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4
Evaluation of the work by grade (1, 2, 3, 4)				<b>3</b>

Evaluation: 1 = the best

Date 19/04/2021

.....  
Supervisor signature

**Other comments or suggestions:**

The author chose the current topic and worked on the theoretical background with the appropriate literature and quotes it correctly. Unfortunately, he underestimated the time management regarding the practical part. The choice of mixture-method research is reasonable in this connection, however, the assessment of the open questions is insufficient. The subchapter „Result and Discussion“ is quite elaborated, implying that the author understands the practical impact of his results and tries to compare his results with other studies, although on a very general level.



**Plagiarism control:** The system Theses.cz has not assessed the thesis as suspicious.

Date 19/04/2021

.....  
Supervisor signature