CZECH UNIVERSITY OF LIFE SCIENCES PRAGUE

Faculty of Economics and Management

Evaluation of the Bachelor Thesis by Opponent

Thesis Title	Agriculture of the post-soviet countries: Kazakhstan		
Name of the student Thesis supervisor Department Opponent	abs. v. š. Ayana Iskakova Ing. Irena Benešová, Ph.D. Department of Economics Ing. Tomáš Vacek	J)	
Formulation of object used	ives and Choice of appropriatemethods and methodology	1 2 3 4	
Comprehensibility of the Clarity and profession Formal presentation of Fulfillment of objective	graphs and chapters terature (quotations, norms) the text and level of language salism of expression in the thesis of the work, the overall impression wes, formulation of conclusions ords comply with the content the thesis	1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 Evaluation: 1 = the best	
Date 05/01/2018	Signatur	Signature of Opponent	

Other comments or suggestions:

The bachelor thesis deals with Kazakhstan agriculture. The work has many formal mistakes – inconsistent table formatting, charts with missing timeline (Figures 10, 11, 14), large spaces between paragraphs, etc. The work with scientific literature is a major drawback. There are very few resources used in this work, which are also badly cited in Chapter 6 "References". In addition, this list is incomplete because other resources are used in the work. Furthermore, I did not understand the meaning of Chapter 7, where the same charts and tables are presented again as at work. The author also did not pay too much attention to the chapters "Introduction" and "Conclusion", which are really short.

Questions for thesis defence:

Can you explain the large fall in GDP per capita in figure 5, which you present on page 21? Can you explain the results in table 6 on page 44?



Date	05/01/2018		nature of Opponent	