

# CZECH UNIVERSITY OF LIFE SCIENCES PRAGUE

Faculty of Economics and Management

## Evaluation of the Diploma Thesis by supervisor

Thesis Title **Comparison between different API architectures (GraphQL, REST)**

Name of the student **B.Sc. Muhammad Raufur Rahman**

Thesis supervisor **Ing. Josef Pavlíček, Ph.D.**

Department **Department of Information Engineering**

Formulation of objectives	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Choice of appropriate methods and methodology used	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Logical process being used, work with data and information	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Theoretical background of an author	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
The structure of paragraphs and chapters	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Work with scientific literature (quotations, norms)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comprehensibility of the text and level of language	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Clarity and professionalism of expression in the work	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Formal presentation of the work, the overall impression	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Fulfillment of objectives	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Formulation of conclusions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Professional contribution of the work and its practical usage	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Summary and key-words comply with the content the thesis	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Author's stance and approach to the addressed problems	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Author's co-operation with supervisor and department	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Evaluation of the work by grade (1, 2, 3, 4)				<b>3</b>

Evaluation: 1 = the best

Date 17/05/2021

.....  
Supervisor signature

**Other comments or suggestions:**

The content of the work is borderline. Although the author had the opportunity to work on a complex and interesting problem, the most important thing – quality testing – is summarized very vaguely. Overall, this is a more or less research work, although the author programmed a piece of the solution. Overall, I see the work, even with its scope at the lower limit. After all, the assignment of the thesis contains a range of 60-70 pages, the author reached 48. I am not a formalist and I am more interested in the result than the number of words. But I can't evaluate the work better than as limit = good.



**Plagiarism control:** The system Theses.cz has not assessed the thesis as suspicious.

Date 17/05/2021

.....  
Supervisor signature