

# CZECH UNIVERSITY OF LIFE SCIENCES PRAGUE

Faculty of Economics and Management

## Evaluation of the Bachelor Thesis by Opponent

Thesis Title **Financial Analysis of IBM**

Name of the student **Nikita Lychko**

Thesis supervisor **doc. Ing. Petr Procházka, MSc, Ph.D.**

Department **Department of Economics**

Opponent **Ing. Jan Kevin Mullen**

Logical process being used	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4
The structure of paragraphs and chapters	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4
Formal presentation of the work, the overall impression	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4
Formulation of objectives and Choice of appropriate methods and methodology used	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4
Work with data and information	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4
Work with scientific literature (quotations, norms)	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4
Clarity and professionalism of expression in the thesis	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4
Summary and key-words comply with the content the thesis	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4
Fulfillment of objectives, formulation of conclusions	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4
Comprehensibility of the text and level of language	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4
Evaluation of the work by grade (1, 2, 3, 4)				<b>3</b>

Evaluation: 1 = the best

Date 09/05/2024

*el. signed by* Ing. Jan Kevin Mullen on 09/05/2024 20:00  
Signature of Opponent

**Other comments or suggestions:**

!Due to problems with the upload of thesis to UIS, the oponent used a provided version of the thesis!

The Author investigates financials and value of IBM and compares to other major IT company. The body of the work is extensive and the author's logic continuity deviates into interesting facts relating to the subject. Formatting of parts of text are not consistent. Methods used correspond to the analysis of such topics. Conclusion is short and would benefit by focusing on interpreting results. Also there is a lack of discussion.

**Questions for thesis defence:**

1. The author comments on growth during COVID. What does the author suggest to be the major contribution to this?

2 Can the author elaborate on his statement in the conclusion "As well as cutting cost on significantly overfunded initiatives like pension plan..."



Date 09/05/2024

*el. signed by* Ing. Jan Kevin Mullen *on* 09/05/2024 20:00  
Signature of Opponent