

# CZECH UNIVERSITY OF LIFE SCIENCES PRAGUE

Faculty of Economics and Management

## Evaluation of the Diploma Thesis by Opponent

Thesis Title **Value Addition of Agile Methodology in Project Management**

Name of the student **Meet Prabodhkumar Parikh**

Thesis supervisor **Ing. Petra Pavlíčková, Ph.D.**

Department **Department of Systems Engineering**

Opponent **Ing. Veronika Havazíková**

Institution **ČVUT**

Position **Teacher**

Evidence of a logical process being used	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
The structure of paragraphs and chapters	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Formal presentation of the work, the overall impression	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Formulation of objectives	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Choice of appropriate methods and methodology used	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Professional contribution of the work and its practical usage	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Work with data and information	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Work with scientific literature (quotations, norms)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Clarity and professionalism of expression in the thesis	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Summary and key-words comply with the content of thesis	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Fulfillment of objectives	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Thesis topic and thesis significance (relevance)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Theoretical background of an author	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comprehensibility of the text and level of language	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Formulation of conclusions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Evaluation of the work by grade (1, 2, 3, 4)				<b>4</b>

Evaluation: 1 = the best

Date 06/05/2022

.....  
Signature of Opponent

**Other comments or suggestions:**

The theoretical part of the work was structured relatively clearly, and it contained valid content that was to prepare for the practical part of the work. The author's work was in the form of interviews with the Product Owner and the Project Manager, the questionnaires were interesting and from people from practice. Unfortunately, responders were just two and this section was very short. A discussion was subsequently created based on these questionnaires, and the work's conclusions were formulated. Unfortunately, the work contained a really large number of shortcomings and serious problems.

An author often uses a citation from one author for an entire subchapter. Chapter 3.17. it contains only the word "Stray" and otherwise nothing, I do not understand what the intention was. In the assignment, the user uses the abbreviations "PO" and "PM" – "PO" means "Product Owner", but in certain places, the author uses the term "Project Owner", which is a completely different position, this is very misleading for readers.

The author's own work is only 9 pages long, which is really insufficient from the point of view of the diploma thesis. Moreover, this section is also loaded with many pictures, which lack a meaningful description of what is in the picture and what the reader should actually get from it. The pictures were of very poor quality and hard to read. The description of the images in the practical part with formatting is completely different from the theoretical part. In addition, the numbering of the images is missing in the practical part, and also the tables have no labels at all. Overall, the template of the diploma thesis was not followed correctly. For example, there is no list of pictures, tables, and graphs. The numbering is wrong in the upper right corner of the page, it should be centered at the bottom of the pages. The work contains a large number of typos and errors, and the author also did not follow the uppercase and lowercase letters. In a single paragraph, it was possible to find the terms "product owner" and "Product Owner" and so it was more or less in the whole work (but it was just one example). The discussions and results were formulated on the basis of qualitative data only, and there was a complete absence of quantitative results on which the author could rely. The keywords were not sorted alphabetically and did not reflect the content of the work correctly. The author did not meet the set partial goals in the practical part, he only described and made recommendations based on two interviews.

The potential of the work was really high, unfortunately, the execution was insufficient. In general, I don't recommend this diploma thesis to the defense and the overall evaluation is "failed".

**Questions for thesis defence:**

How would you define the boundaries between strategies and tactics?

What are the strongest skills that a Product Owner must have?

Date 06/05/2022

.....  
Signature of Opponent