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## 1. Introduction

### 1.1. Ticks

Ticks are obligatory blood-feeding (hematophagous) ectoparasites capable of transmitting a wide variety of pathogens comprising bacteria, viruses, and protozoa that affect animals and humans (de la Fuente et al., 2008). They are the second most dangerous vectors of arthropod-borne pathogens after mosquitoes (Sonenshine, 1991).

Ticks belong to the class Arachnida, subclass Acari, order Ixodida. The order Ixodida consists of two main large families Argasidae (soft ticks) and Ixodidae (hard ticks) (Mans, 2011). An evolutionary missing link between these families is the family Nuttalliellidae represented by the monospecific genus Nuttalliella (Mans, 2011; Horak et al., 2002).

### 1.1.1. Argasidae

Argasidae are present mainly in hot and dry areas around the Globe (Sonenshine, 1991). The world's fauna of the Argasidae consists of four generas, namely Argas, Carios, Ornithodoros and Otobius (Jongejan and Uilenberg, 2004).

They are referred to as the soft ticks because their cuticle lacks the dorsal sclerotized scutum (Fig. 1). The gnathosoma (mouth part) is placed on the ventral side of the body and it is difficult to see it from a dorsal view (Fig. 1)

Immature developmental stages of soft ticks include larvae that hatched from laid eggs and three or four nymphal stages (depending on the volume of blood uptake during bloodfeeding). Subsequently, the last nymphal stage molts to the adult males and females which could be hardly distinguished just only by the appearance of the genital pore. Both sexes ingest blood. Females are able to feed repeatedly on the host and lay a small amount of the eggs after each blood meal. In contrast to hard ticks, soft ticks feed for relatively short time on their host (up to one hour) (Sonenshine, 1991).

### 1.1.2. Ixodidae

Ixodidae, is the largest and economically the most important family with 13 genera and approximately 650 species (Nava et al., 2009). Almost $80 \%$ of the World's ticks fauna belongs to the Ixodidae (Jongejan and Uilenberg, 2004). The most important genera are Amblyomma, Dermacentor, Haemaphysallis, Hyalomma, Rhipicephalus and Ixodida (Horak et al., 2002).

The sclerotized scutum covers about one third of nymphal or adult female body and whole body of the males. Thus, the Ixodidae are referred to as the hard ticks. There are obvious sexes dimorphisms in the presence of the scutum furthermore, adult males are evidently smaller than females (Sonenshine, 1991).

Their life cycle includes three life stages (larvae, nymphs, and adults). All life stages, except for adult males from the genus Ixodes, suck host blood. Males just fertilize females to ensure their full repletion. In addition, Ixodidade are divided into 3-host, 2-host and single host parasites, depending on the number of host animals they attach to during their life cycle. The complete life cycle usually takes 2-3 years (Sonenshine, 1991). Six-legged larvae hatched from the eggs feed on small vertebrates, molt to the eight-legged nymphs that prefer feeding on a bigger vertebrate host. After dropping off the host, the nymphs molt to the adult stage. The cycle is closing when a male fertilizes an adult female that drops off the host, lays a batch of thousands of eggs and subsequently dies (Sonenshine, 1991).

Ixodidae have at the terminal segment of the first pairs of legs Haller's organ.
It facilitates the ticks seeking the host because of the detection of scents, humidity, temperature and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ (Sonenshine, 1991). The main part of the mouth component (gnathosoma) is called the hypostome consisting of chelicerates (first pair of the mouth limbs) and a pair of palps (second pair of the mouth limbs). Hypostome has a harpoon-like structure and mediates the attachment of the ticks to their hosts, disrupts the host skin and helps ingest host blood (Sonenshine, 1993).


Figure 1: Body morphology of Argasidae and Ixodidae (adapted from Volf and Horak., 2007).
Argasidae (soft ticks) cuticle lacks sclerotized scutum, gnathosoma is placed on the ventral side of the body. The sclerotized scutum covers about one third of female body and whole male body, thus are Ixodidae referred as the hard ticks (Sonenshine, 1991).
From the left: male of the Ixodidae, female of the Ixodidae, dorsal view of the Argasidae and ventral view of the Argadidae. GN: gnathosoma, S: scutum.

### 1.2. Ixodes ricinus

Ixodes ricinus belongs to the largest genera Ixodida, which served as a model organism for this master thesis. This tick species occurs in areas across Europe but also the Middle East and North Africa with the preference of humid areas (mostly forests and grasslands) and mild climate. Tick activity increases mainly in the spring compared to the fall (Sonenshine, 1993). Ixodes ricinus is a typical representative of the 3-host tick (Fig. 2). Each of the parasitic stages, except for adult males, feeds on a host. I. ricinus is a vector of numerous extremely dangerous diseases including Lyme disease caused by the spirochetes of the genus Borrelia sp., tick borne encephalitis transmitting by flavivirus, Babesiosis as well as Ehrlichiosis (Sonenshine and Roe, 2014; Sonenshine, 1991).


Figure 2: The life cycle of hard tick Ixodes ricinus (taken from Gray et al., 2016).
Ixodes ricinus is the 3-host life tick and its life cycle including larvae, nymphs and adults. Larva, hatched from the laid egg, prefers to feed on small vertebrates, molt to the nymph which feeds on a small or bigger vertebrate host. Adult female prefers big vertebrate host. The life cycle is closing when a male fertilizes an adult female that after engorgement drops off the host, lays a batch of thousands of eggs and subsequently dies (Sonenshine, 1991).

### 1.2.1. Feeding periods of Ixodes ricinus

In contrast to the soft ticks, hard ticks feed much longer. Nymphal feeding takes typically from 3 to 4 days, adults females feed twice longer approximately $6-9$ days (Sonenshine, 1991). The feeding process might be divided into three phases (Fig. 3). First is the preparative attachment phase during which ticks find an appropriate place on the host where the skin is thin, and firmly attach the host by cement protein and start to feed (Coons et al., 1986). This is followed by the slow-feeding phase. Females suck a small volume of host blood, digestion of which is initiated and continues slowly. The final stage is the period of rapid engorgement. Females body size and weight expand noticeably during this phase that usually starts on the $5^{\text {th }}$ or $6^{\text {th }}$ day of feeding. Within about next 24 hours, females uptake about two thirds more of the host blood (called as "big-sip") than in the previous phases, and the digestion increases rapidly. The third rapid engorgement phase occurs exclusively after the females have been fertilized either before attachment or during blood feeding on the host. Fully fed females drop off their hosts, digest the ingested blood and prepare for the oviposition and die after laying eggs (Sonenshine, 1991).


Figure 3: Feeding periods of Ixodes ricinus (adapted from Sojka et al., 2013).
AT: attachment phase, SF: slow-feeding phase, RE: rapid engorgement, UF: unfed, 2d: two days of feeding, 4d: four days of feeding, 6d: six days of feeding, FF: fully fed female.

### 1.3. Digestion of the imbibed blood

It is assumed that the tick gut lumen is the main store organ of the imbibed blood (Coons et al., 1986). Most of the hematophagous arthropods (such as insect blood-feeders) digest host blood extracellularly in the gut lumen (Okuda et al., 2005). By contrast, digestion in ticks is a slow process occurring intracellularly in the gut epithelium cells (Sonenshine, 1991).

The hemoglobin digestion in ticks is an acidic process that occurs at pH of $3.5-4.5$. The gradual hemoglobin degradation is mediated by a network of endo- and exo- peptidases, mainly of cysteine classes (cathepsin B, L, C and legumain) and aspartic peptidase (cathepsin D) (Sojka et al., 2013; Horn et al., 2009). Hemoglobin digestion is initiated by cathepsin D that results
in release of high amount of heme and formation of large hemoglobin fragments that exerts antibacterial activity (Fogaça et al., 1999). The major portion of potentially toxic heme is detoxified as an aggregate forming in the specialized organelles named hemosomes (Lara et al., 2003). The large hemoglobin fragments are further cleaved by cathepsin $B$ and $L$ and finally to the dipeptides and amino acids (Horn et al., 2009).

An enzymatic machinery involved in the hemoglobinolysis is well described. However, nothing is known about how the digestive system in ticks is set off and regulated.

It is hypothesized, that the nutrient sensing and uptake may play an essential role of the digestion processes (Sojka et al., 2013).

### 1.4. The impaction of nutrients for the reproduction and development

Uptake of essential nutrients was mainly studied in model non-parasitic organisms.
The effect of nutrition on egg development is obvious (Mirth et al., 2019). A decrease of laid eggs was observed in starving fruit flies (Drosophila melanogaster) females. Besides amino acids, also carbohydrates and lipids were shown to be essential for egg development (Mirth et al., 2019). Post and Tatar (2016) demonstrated that the metabolism and longevity of the fruit fly depend on a diet with a different ratio of carbohydrates and proteins. These types of diets widely involved the production of insulin-like peptides leading to the activation of the insulin receptor signaling pathway.

The parasite is strictly bonded with its host through unidirectional transfer of nutrition for its survival, development, and reproduction (Halton, 1997). Nutrients, obtained from bloodmeal diet, play an essential role in the function of the hematophagous parasites. The hostparasite nutritional relationships evolved during the evolution of parasitic lifestyle (Dalton et al., 2004). For reproduction and egg development, mainly amino acids and lipids are needed (Hansen et al., 2014; Dalton et al., 2004). The major source of the amino acids for the hematophagous parasites such as platyhelminths comes from red blood cells after the hemoglobinolysis, and from soluble serum proteins that are present also in the host blood (Dalton et al., 2004).

Nutrition sensing acts through signaling pathways, controlling the cells homeostasis in the invertebrate and vertebrate organism. Nutrition initiates an activation of the insulin receptor signaling pathway via the expression of the insulin-like peptides (ILP). Amino acids act through the TOR (target of rapamycin) pathway to influence the oviposition (Mirth et al., 2019; Koyama and Mirth, 2016; Hansen et al., 2014; Badisco et al., 2013).

### 1.5. Insulin-like peptides

The nutritional status of the organism is responsible for the levels of insulin-like peptides (ILPs), that are synthesized in neurosecretory cells in brain of many insects (Koyama and Mirth, 2016; Vafopolou, 2014; Badisco et al., 2013). Insulin was believed to be a strictly typical vertebrate hormone however, ILPs also appear to be native to protostomian invertebrates, such as mollusks, nematodes and insects (Claeys et al., 2002). Insulin is one of the most studied hormone and was discovered in 1921 by the Frederick Grant Banting and Charles Best (Banting et al., 1922). It is a pancreatic hormone produced by the Pancreatic islets and disorders in its production leads to the serious illness Diabetes melitus (Claeys et al., 2002).

Invertebrates ILPs, which act as the agonists of the insulin receptor signaling pathway, were for the first time isolated in 1984 from silkworm Bombyx mori (Nagasawa et al., 1984), and are characterized by a specific disulfide bond arrangement (Hansen et al., 2014). ILPs play a crucial role in physiological processes (reproduction, lifespan, metabolism etc.) (HernándezSánchez et al., 2008; Nagata et al., 2008; Wu and Brown, 2006).

In many studies, invertebrates ILPs were widely discussed. Eight ILPs were identified in D. melanogaster (Badisco et al., 2013), B. mori has an ILP called bombyxin (Nagata et al., 2008). In parasitic invertebrates, four ILPs of mosquito Aedes aegypti were described (Hansen et al., 2014). Recently, four genes encoding ILPs have been identified and characterized from genome in the hard tick Ixodes scapularis (Sharma et al., 2019). It was demonstrated similarities in insects ILPs and vertebrates insulin-like growth factors (Vafopolou, 2014). Some of the ILPs of mosquito $A$. aegypti seemed to be similar to human insulin (Hansen et al., 2014).

Insect ILPs are synthesized by the brain neuroendocrine cells (Vafopolou, 2014; Badisco et al., 2013), however some of them appeared to be produced by fat body or other tissues (Hansen et al., 2014; Badisco et al., 2013; Koyama et al., 2013). Similarly, described ILPs of I. scapularis are mainly transcribed in synganglion (brain) but in salivary glands as well (Sharma et al., 2019).

### 1.6. Insulin receptor signaling pathway

The uptake of the vertebrates insulin and invertebrate ILPs are mediated by cells via the insulin receptor (InR) (Badisco et al., 2013; Hernández-Sánchez et al., 2008). Vertebrates possess three different InRs that could bind the insulin or insulin-like growth factors (Hernández-Sánchez et al., 2008). It has been believed, that invertebrates InR encoding one gene only (Hernández-Sánchez et al., 2008). More recently, duplication of InR gene has been reported in some early insects and cockroaches (Kremer et al., 2018).

Insulin receptor signaling pathway (ISP) regulates a variety of physiological and anabolic processes in response to nutrition; and is evolutionarily strongly conserved among the metazoan organisms (Badisco et al., 2013). The ISP interacts with many other signaling pathways, for example with target of rapamycin (TOR) pathway or Forkhead box-related transcription factors (FOXO). TOR signaling pathway acts as the major sensor of nutrition. FOXO is an important regulator of stress tolerance, longevity and growth (Vafopolou, 2014).

### 1.6.1. The cascade of the insulin receptor signaling pathway

Insulin receptor ( $\mathbf{I n R}$ ) is a transmembrane component (Fig. 4), sometimes referred to as tyrosine kinase, and it encodes two subunits, $\alpha$ and $\beta$ (Badisco et al., 2013). The $\alpha$ subunit and a part of the $\beta$ subunit are extracellular (Defferrari et al., 2018). The $\alpha$ subunit ensures the peptides-binding specificity. The $\beta$ subunit mediates the signal downstream to the other components of the ISP (Badisco et al., 2013). These two subunits are bound via disulfide bridges (Wu and Brown, 2006). Fruit fly InR and human InR are highly similar to each other, and furthermore, it was demonstrated that fruit fly $\operatorname{InR}$ was capable of binding human insulin (Wu and Brown, 2006; Claeys et al., 2002). This just confirms the high evolutionarily conservation of the ISP.


Figure 4: The schematic presentation of the insulin receptor (InR) (taken from Mangmool et al., 2017). Insulin receptor is a transmembrane component consists of extracellular $\alpha$ subunit and $\beta$ subunit which presences in extracellular and in intracellular part as well (Badisco et al., 2013). These two subunits are bound via disulfide bridges ( Wu and Brown, 2006).

Upon binding of ILPs to $\alpha$ subunit, the $\beta$ subunit undergoes the autophosphorylation of specific tyrosine residues. The activated $\operatorname{InR}$ consequently triggers the insulin receptor substrate (IRS) (Badisco et al., 2013). In mammals two pathways could be potentially initiated. The activated IRS could either bind the growth factor receptor-bound protein (GRB2) or the phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase (PI3K) (Laplante and Sabatiny, 2009; Wu and Brown, 2006). In D. melanogaster two signaling pathways could be activated as well. The Ras-MAPK and the PI3K/PKB, respectively (Badisco et al., 2013). For the graphic presentation of the ISP see Figure 5. In this thesis, I have focused on the PI3K/PKB part of the pathway.

The activated PI3K acts as a catalysator of the consequent reaction.
The phosphatidylinositol-4,5-diphosphate (PIP2) phosphorylates to a phosphatidylinositol-3,4,5-triphosphate (PIP3). Phosphatase and tensin homologue (PTEN) could inhibit such phosphorylation as well as decrease the concentration of PI3K in the cell. The higher concentration of the PIP3 in the cell activates the phosphoinositide-dependent protein kinase (PDK) which consequently sets off the protein kinase $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{PKB})$ that is also called AKT (Badisco et al., 2013). Such activated proteins subsequently, influence other proteins related to glucose uptake, lipid synthesis or gene expression. These actions are generally associated with insulin influence (Wu and Brown, 2006).

AKT impacts the phosphorylation of the Tuberous sclerosis 1,2 (TSC1,2) complex, therefore this complex is inactivated. That leads to the indirect activation of the Target
of Rapamycin (TOR) (Badisco et al., 2013). Consequently, S6 kinase (S6K) is stimulated by activated TOR ( Wu and Brown, 2006). In mammals, the TOR is activated through the complex Rheb. It seems that in mammalian ISP some sort of negative feedback exists. The activated S6K could inhibit the IRS by its phosphorylation thus decrease its stability (Laplante and Sabatini, 2009).

Additionally, mammals have at least two TOR complexes. The mTORC1 seems to have similar functions as the invertebrate TOR. The functions of the second complex, the mTORC2, are known very little (Laplante and Sabatini, 2009). Two TOR genes were identified in silkworm B. mori as well (Zhou et al., 2010).


Figure 5: The schematic presentation of the insulin receptor signaling pathway (adapted from Badisco et al., 2013).
The insulin signaling pathway has been described in mammals with orthologous components for $D$. melanogaster and other insect. For more descriptions see text above. Dashes lines indicate the indirect interactions. ILP: insulin-like protein, InR: insulin receptor, IRS: insulin receptor substrate, PIP2: phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate, PIP3: phosphatidylinositol-3,4,5-triphosphate, PTEN: phosphatase and tensin homologue, PI3K: phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase, PDK: phosphoinositide-dependent protein kinase, AKT: protein kinase B, FOXO: forkhead box-related transcription factors, TSC1-2: tuberous sclerosis 1,2 complex, TOR: target of rapamycin.

### 1.6.2. Insulin receptor signaling pathway in ticks

Only few components of the ISP have been described and functionally characterized in ticks. Umemiya-Shirafuji et al. (2012a, b) described homologues of AKT (HlAKT) and TOR (HlTOR) from the hard tick Haemaphysalis longicornis. Physiological functions of these homologues were assessed using RNAi silencing. The RNAi knockdown of the HlAKT showed the importance of this gene in completion of the blood-feeding, development and reproduction of the females of ticks (Umemiya-Shirafuji et al., 2012a). The RNAi silencing of the HlTOR resulted in the impaired oviposition of the female tick. In addition, these authors demonstrated that the treatment of H. longicornis with rapamycin, which acts as the TOR inhibitor (Ballou and Lin, 2008), decreased the expression of the genes encoding the vitellogenesis (UmemiyaShirafuji et al., 2012b).

Another recent study has reported the role of three components of the ISP and TOR signaling pathways (AKT, TOR and glycogen synthase kinase - GSK3) in embryogenesis of the cattle tick Rhipicephalus microplus (Waltero et al., 2019). RNAi silencing of TOR in living females significantly impaired hatching of living larvae from the laid eggs. Furthermore, in vitro experiments showed, that TOR inhibition by rapamycin significantly affected viability of tick embryonic cell line BME26 (Waltero et al., 2019).

In our laboratory, several components of the ISP of Ixodes ricinus had been identified in the midgut transcriptome, including full sequences of the InR (IrInR), the AKT (IrAKT) and the TOR (IrTOR) (Perner et al., 2016a). In this thesis, my aim was to verify the physiological function of $\operatorname{Ir}$ TOR and $\operatorname{IrAKT}$ and, more importantly, to provide molecular and functional characterization of $I r \operatorname{InR}$ that had never been described before in any tick species.

## 2. Aims of work

1) To identify homologues of important genes of the insulin receptor signaling pathway in available transcriptomes of Ixodes ricinus. Namely: insulin receptor (InR), protein kinase B called AKT and target of rapamycin (TOR).
2) To determine the expression profiles of tissue and feeding stages of these molecules using qRT-PCR.
3) To find and verify the phenotypes in the physiology of ticks of $\operatorname{IrInR}$, $\operatorname{Ir}$ AKT, and $I r$ TOR using RNAi silencing.
4) To prepare $I r$ InR recombinant protein and test its vaccination potential to protect the host against the ticks.
5) To identify an authentic $\operatorname{Ir}$ InR in tick tissues using SDS-PAGE and Western Blot analysis.
6) To alternatively assess the function of the $\operatorname{IrInR}, \operatorname{IrAKT}$, and $\operatorname{Ir} \mathrm{TOR}$, by injection with insulin receptor antagonist (IRA) and in vitro feeding with AKT and TOR inhibitors.

## 3. Materials and methods

### 3.1. Ticks and animals

Adult females and males of Ixodes ricinus were collected by flagging around České Budějovice, the Czech Republic. Thereafter, ticks were used for RNAi and infestation experiments. Ticks were maintained in separate vials with a humidity of about $95 \%$, temperature $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and day/night period set to $15 / 9 \mathrm{~h}$. To obtain ticks tissues for all experiments, females were fed naturally on laboratory guinea pigs or rabbits for a particular period of time. For the RNAi experiments guinea pigs were used. Rabbits were used for the vaccination experiments. All laboratory animals were treated in accordance with the Animal Protection Law of Czech Republic No. 246/1992 Sb., ethics approval No. 25/2018.

### 3.2. Identification and characterization of genes

The transcripts annotated as InR, AKT, and TOR were identified in the midgut transcriptomes from partially or fully fed I. ricinus females (Perner et al., 2016a) and their homology to the corresponding genes from other organisms were confirmed by BLAST analyses (National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI), National Institute of Health; https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi). For the alignment of the sequences, the ClustalOmega (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/clustalo/) using ClustalW method was used and presented using the BoxShade (https://embnet.vital-it.ch/software/BOX form.html) software. Signal peptide was predicted using SinalP server (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/SignalP/).

### 3.3. Tissues dissection, isolation of RNA and complementary cDNA transcription

Tissues (ovaries, salivary glands, tracheae with fat body, midgut, Malpighian tubes and the rest of the body) were dissected from I. ricinus females at specified time points under a drop of DEPC-treated PBS ( $8 \% \mathrm{NaCl}, 0.2 \% \mathrm{KCl}, 1.8 \% \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4}, 0.14 \% \mathrm{KH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ in 1000 ml of $0.1 \%$ diethylpyrocarbonate treated distilled $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{pH}=7$, autoclaved). The following feeding intervals of ticks were examined: unfed, fed for 1, 3, 5 days, and fully fed, and ticks 3 , 6 and 12 days after detachment. Total RNA was extracted from different tissues or feeding intervals of I. ricinus using Nucleo-SpinRNA II Kit (Macherey-Nagel, Germany) and its concentration was determined using Nanodrop (Thermo Fisher Scientific). RNA adjusted to the concentration of $200 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ were transcribed into cDNA using Transcriptor High-Fidelity cDNA

Synthesis Kit (Roche Diagnostics, Germany) using oligo-dT primers according to the manufacturer's manual.

### 3.4. Relative expression profiling by quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR)

cDNA samples, prepared from I. ricinus tissues as described above, were analyzed in independent technical and biological triplicates by qRT-PCR using LigtCycler 480 (Roche) and Fast Star Universal SYBR Green Master Mix (Roche). For the reaction set-up and amplification program see Tables 1 and 2. Primers $\operatorname{In} R F, \operatorname{In} R R, A K T F, A K T R, T O R F$, and TOR $R$ were designed using Primer Blast (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/tools/primerblast/) and Primer 3 Input (http://primer3.ut.ee/). The primers are listed in Table 13. The relative expression was calculated using the mathematical model of $\Delta \Delta \mathrm{Ct}$ method (Pfaffl, 2001) and normalized to elongation factor $\alpha 1$ (ef $\alpha$ 1) (Nijhof et al., 2009) using $E F F$ and $E F R$ primers, which are listed in Table 13.

Table 1: RT-qPCR reaction set-up

| Reagent | Volume |
| :--- | :--- |
| Master Mix | $12,50 \mu \mathrm{l}$ |
| Primers $(100 \mu \mathrm{M})$ | $0,25 \mu \mathrm{l}+0,25 \mu \mathrm{l}$ |
| Template | $5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ |
| MiliQ PCR $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $7 \mu \mathrm{l}$ |

Table 2: RT-qPCR amplification program

|  |  | Temperature | Time | Number of <br> cycles |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hold stage | Initial denaturation | $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 5 min | 1 |
| PCR stage | Denaturation | $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 20 s | 50 |
|  | Annealing | $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 30 s |  |
|  | Elongation | $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 30 s |  |
| Melting curve stage | $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 15 s | 1 |  |
|  | $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 1 min |  |  |

### 3.5. Standard polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and electrophoresis

Total RNA, isolated from I. ricinus tissues, was transcribed into cDNA as described above and used as a template for PCR reactions. Taq-Man Purple Polymerase (Top-Bio) was used in a master mix. Details of the reaction set-up and amplification program are listed in Tables 3 and 4. For the primers see Table 13. Subsequently, the PCR products were subjected to agarose gel ( $1 \%$ agarose in TAE buffer with EtBr) under constant voltage of 100 V . For more details see Table 5. PCR products were visualized using the UV transilluminator.

Table 3: Standard PCR reaction set-up

| Reagent | Volume |
| :--- | :--- |
| Polymerase (Top-Bio) | $4 \mu \mathrm{l}$ |
| Buffer (Top-Bio) | $5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ |
| dNTP's (Top-Bio) | $1 \mu \mathrm{l}$ |
| Primers $(100 \mu \mathrm{M})$ | $0,5+0,5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ |
| Template | $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ |
| PCR $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $29 \mu \mathrm{l}$ |

Table 4: Standard PCR amplification program

|  | Temperature | Time | Number of cycles |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Initial denaturation | $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 10 min | 1 |
| Denaturation | $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 45 s | $25-30$ |
| Annealing | $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 45 s |  |
| Elongation | $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 1 min | 1 |
| Final elongation | $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 10 min |  |

Table 5: Chemicals used for electrophoresis

| Chemicals | Compound |
| :--- | :--- |
| $1 \times$ TAE buffer | 40 mM Tris-acetate, 1 mM EDTA, pH 8.0 |
| EtBr | Ethidium bromide $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ |
| $6 \times$ Loading Dye (Invitrogen) | 10 mM Tris/ $\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{pH} \mathrm{7.6}), 0.03 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$ <br> bromophenol blue, $0.03 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$ <br> xylencyanol, $60 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ glycerol, 60 mM <br> EDTA |
| DNA Ladder (Thermo Fisher Scientific) | GeneRulerTM 100 bp DNA Ladder Plus |
| RNA Ladder (Thermo Fisher Scientific) | High Range RNA Ladder |

## 3.6. dsRNA synthesis

Total RNA was isolated from the ovaries, dissected from semi-engorged females, transcribed into cDNA and used as a template for PCR reaction (Tab. 3, 4). Primers were designed based on respective sequences using Primer Blast, Primer 3 Input, and Restriction Mapper (http://www.restrictionmapper.org/).

The dsRNAs were prepared as described in Hajdušek et al. (2009). The PCR products that were amplified using InRapa, InRxba, AKTapa, AKTxba, TORapa, and TORxba primers (Tab. 13) were subjected to $2 \%$ agarose gel (Tab. 5), purified using the Gel and PCR Clean-up Kit (Macherey-Nagel) and restricted using ApaI and XbaI restriction enzymes (Thermo Fisher Scientific) (Levashina et al., 2001). Simultaneously, plasmid PlL10 was restricted with the same restriction enzymes (Tab. 6). The reactions were incubated at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 hours. Consequently, the restricted PCR products and plasmid were purified as described above.

Table 6: Restriction reaction set-up

|  | PCR product | Plasmid PlL10 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Buffer TANGO 10x (Thermo Fisher Scientific) | $3 \mu \mathrm{l}$ | $3 \mu \mathrm{l}$ |
| Enzymes (ApaI, XbaI) (Thermo Fisher Scientific) | $1+1 \mu \mathrm{l}$ | $1+1 \mu \mathrm{l}$ |
| Template | $20 \mu \mathrm{l}$ | $3 \mu \mathrm{l}$ |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ | $22 \mu \mathrm{l}$ |

The PCR products were ligated into restricted plasmid PIL10. For the details see Table 7. Ligation reaction was incubated at room temperature for 1 hour and at $16^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight.

Table 7: Ligation reaction set-up

| Buffer TANGO 2x (Thermo Fisher Scientific) | $5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Restricted plasmid PIL10 | $2 \mu \mathrm{l}$ |
| Restricted PCR product | $2 \mu \mathrm{l}$ |
| T4 ligase (Thermo Fisher Scientific) | $1 \mu \mathrm{l}$ |

The plasmid construct was transformed to the competent TOP10 cells (Invitrogen). Cells were cultivated on LB plates. Grown colonies were verified using standard PCR (Tab. 3, 4) to examine the construct. The colonies containing vector were incubated in 4 ml of LB with $4 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of ampicillin $(50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml})$ overnight at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(200 \mathrm{rpm})$. The plasmid DNA was isolated using High Pure Plasmid Isolation kit (Roche) and submitted for DNA sequencing to SeqMe (https://www.seqme.eu/cs/). Positive clones, verified by sequencing, were incubated in 100 ml of LB with $100 \mu$ of ampicillin $(50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml})$ overnight at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(200 \mathrm{rpm})$. The plasmid was isolated using Nucleo Bond Xtra Midi kit (Macherey-Nagel).

The plasmid, containing the $\operatorname{IrInR}$, $I r$ AKT or $I r$ TOR DNA sequences, was restricted with restriction enzymes ApaI and XbaI (Thermo Fisher Scientific) separately (Tab. 8), incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 hours and purified by classical phenol-chloroform method. Twentyfive $\mu$ l of proteinase $\mathrm{K}(20 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ proteinase K in $150 \mu \mathrm{l} 10 \mathrm{mM}$ Tris $/ \mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{pH} 8.0$ and 2 mM $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}$ ) and $3.75 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of $10 \% \mathrm{SDS}$ (sodium dodecyl sulfate) were added to the restricted plasmid and incubated at $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes. Consequently, $80 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of phenol-chloroform (SigmaAldrich) was added, vortexed and spun at $13,300 \mathrm{xg}$ for 5 minutes. Then, $80 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of chloroform (Lach-Ner) was added to the aqueous phase, vortexed and spun. Finally, $80 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of isopropanol (Lach-Ner) was added to the aqueous phase, gently mixed and incubated at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes. Subsequently, the samples were spun at $13,300 \mathrm{xg}$ for 30 minutes at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Pellet was washed in $80 \%$ cooled ethanol and spun at $13,300 \mathrm{xg}$ for 8 minutes at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, dried and resuspended in $20 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of RNAse free water - DEPC water ( $0.1 \%$ diethylpyrocarbonate diluted in distilled water).

Table 8: Restriction reactions set-up

| Buffer TANGO 10x (Thermo Fisher Scientific) | $5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Enzymes (ApaI or XbaI) (Thermo Fisher Scientific) | $6 \mu \mathrm{l}$ |
| Plasmid | 10 ng |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | up to $50 \mu \mathrm{l}$ |

After the purification, the plasmid was used for the synthesis of the ssRNA fragments using MEGAscript ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}} \mathrm{T} 7$ kit (Invitrogen) (Tab. 9) and incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. Two $\mu \mathrm{l}$ of the DNAse was added to the ssRNA and incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 minutes. Then, $230 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of DEPC $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 30 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of ammonium acetate and $300 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of phenol-chloroform (Sigma-Aldrich) were added to the samples, vortexed and spun at max rpm for 5 minutes. Three hundred $\mu \mathrm{l}$ of chloroform (Lach-Ner) was added to the aqueous phase, vortex and spun at 13,300 xg for 5 minutes. Subsequently, $220 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of isopropanol (Lach-Ner) was added to the aqueous phase, gently mixed and incubated at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes. Then, the samples were spun at $13,300 \mathrm{x}$ g for 30 minutes at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The pelet was resuspended in $20 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of DEPC $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The concentrations of the ssRNAs were checked using Nonodrop (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

Table 9: ssRNA synthesis set-up

| dNTPs | $16 \mu \mathrm{l}(4 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of each $)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Buffer | $4 \mu \mathrm{l}$ |
| Linearized plasmid | $16 \mu \mathrm{l}$ |
| Enzyme mix | $4 \mu \mathrm{l}$ |
| DEPC $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | up to $40 \mu \mathrm{l}$ |

The ssRNA ApaI and ssRNA XbaI of $\operatorname{IrInR}, \operatorname{IrAKT}$ or $\operatorname{Ir}$ TOR were hybridized in ratio 1:1 (ApaI:XbaI) overnight in boiled water. The final concentration of each dsRNA was adjusted to $3 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mu \mathrm{l}$. Each dsRNA was checked on agarose gel (Tab. 5). For control experiments, the green fluorescent protein (GFP) dsRNA prepared as described in Hajdušek et al. (2009) was taken from the stocks present in our laboratory.

### 3.7. RNA interference (RNAi)

Unfed I. ricinus females ( 25 per a group) were injected with $0.5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of $\operatorname{IrInR}$ dsRNA, IrAKT dsRNA, IrTOR dsRNA or GFP dsRNA for control. The injected ticks ( 25 per a group) were kept at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rest for one day and then, placed in glued cylinders on shaven backs of guinea pigs with an equal number of males to fed naturally. Three ticks from each group were forcibly removed after three days of blood feeding to examine efficiency of the genes knockdowns. Gene silencing was checked in ovaries by qRT-PCR. The engorged ticks were visually checked, weighed and maintained in separate vials as described above to assess the oviposition and hatching. The laid eggs were weighed as well. All results were related to the GFP-control ticks. The RNAi experiment was repeated three times to obtain three independent biological replications.

### 3.8. Injection with insulin receptor antagonist

The insulin receptor antagonist (IRA) (S961; Schäffer et al., 2008) (Phoenix Europe $\mathrm{GmbH})$ was diluted in $1 \times \mathrm{TBS}(0.05 \mathrm{M}$ TrisBase, $0.15 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaCl}, \mathrm{pH}=7.65)$ to the final concentration 100 nM . Consequently, ticks were injected with $0.4 \mu \mathrm{l}(200 \mathrm{ng})$ with IRA. As a control, ticks injected with 1x TBS were used. The injected ticks (15 per a group) were kept at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rest for one day and then, placed in halved glued cylinder on shaven back of guinea pig with an equal number of males ( 15 per a group) and allowed to feed spontaneously. The engorged ticks, that naturally dropped off their host, were visually checked, weighed and maintained in separate vials as described above for oviposition and hatching. The laid eggs were weighed as well.

### 3.9. Expression and purification of recombinant protein

Gene product of 906 bp encoding the 33 kDa fragment of the $I r \operatorname{InR} \mathrm{~N}$-terminal extracellular portion was amplified using InR_pET100S2 and InR_pET100AS2 primers (Tab. 13).

The ovarian cDNA from 5 days-fed females was used as a template. Resulting amplicons were purified using Gel and PCR clean-up kit (Macherey-Nagel). For the expression of $I r \operatorname{InR}$ recombinant protein, an E. coli bacterial expression system (Champion ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ pET directional expression kit, Invitrogen) was used. N-Terminal 6X His-tagged fusion protein was prepared using a pET100/D-TOPO expression vector. The resulting expression constructs were transformed into TOP10 cells (Invitrogen) and submitted for sequencing to SeqMe using T7 forward and T7 reverse sequencing primers. The correct constructs, verified by sequencing, were transformed into BL21 Star ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ (DE3) E. coli. The sequences of the resulting N-terminal tagged fusion $I r$ InR products were MRGSH HHHHH GMASM TGGQQ MGRDL YDDDD KDHPF (6X His Tag) followed by the $I r \operatorname{InR}$ specific amino acid sequence. The expression of recombinant protein was carried out in 10 ml of LB medium containing $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of ampicillin $(50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml})$ and $200 \mu \mathrm{l} 1 \mathrm{M}$ glucose incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(200 \mathrm{rpm})$ overnight. Subsequently, the culture was transformed to the 200 ml of LB medium with $200 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of ampicillin ( $50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) and 4 ml 1 M glucose and incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ( 200 rpm ) overnight. Glucose was used to increase the cells proliferation. Bacterial culture was centrifuged at $4,000 \mathrm{xg}$ for 10 minutes, washed and put into fresh LB medium with 1 mM IPTG (Isopropyl $\beta$-d-1-thiogalactopyranoside) overnight to increase the expression. The cells were centrifuged at $4,000 \mathrm{xg}$ for 10 minutes. The pellet was resuspended in three different isolation buffers (Tab. 10) and each solution was sonicated. The cells lysate was divided on the individual fractions (cytoplasmic fraction, membrane fraction and inclusion bodies). Fractions were examined using SDS-PAGE electrophoresis and Western Blot analysis using Anti-His-Tag antibodies (see below).

The (His) 6-tagged fusion protein was purified from isolated inclusion bodies using $\mathrm{Co}^{2+}$ chelation chromatography in the presence of 8 M urea using AKTA FPLC liquid chromatograph (GE Healthcare). The recombinant protein was eluted with linear gradient of imidazole. Fractions, containing the recombinant protein, were checked by SDS-PAGE electrophoresis and by Western Blot analysis, pooled and dialyzed against dialysis buffers (Tab. 11). During the refolding, the concentration of urea was decreased gradually from 8 M urea to 0 M urea. The buffers were regularly changed every 12 hours.

Table 10: Isolation buffers

| Resuspention buffer | 20 mM Tris-Cl, pH 8 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Isolation buffer | 20 mM Tris, 2 M urea, $0.5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaCl}, 10$ <br> mM imidazole, $2 \%$ triton, pH8 |
| Solubilization buffer | 20 mM Tris, 6 M quanidin hydrochlorid, <br> 10 mM imidazole, 1 mM mercaptoethanol |

Table 11: Refolding buffers

| Buffer A | $150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 50 \mathrm{mM}$ Tris-HCl, 8 M urea, <br> $0,2 \mathrm{mM}$ mercaptoethanol, pH 9 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Buffer B | $20 \%$ glycerol, $150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 50 \mathrm{mM}$ Tris- <br> $\mathrm{HCl}, 0,2 \mathrm{mM}$ mercaptoethanol, pH 9 |
| Buffer C | $150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{Tris-HCl}, 150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, \mathrm{pH} 9$ |

### 3.10. SDS PAGE and Western Blot analysis

Recombinant protein was analyzed by SDS-PAGE using NuPAGE $4-12$ \% Bis-Tris Protein Gel (Thermo Fisher Scientific) or Criterion ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ TGX Stain-Free ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Precast Gel (BioRad). The gels were stained using Coomassie Brilliant Blue R-250 or visualized using the TGX Stain-Free ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ technology. For Western blotting, gels were blotted on a PVDF (polyvinylidene difluoride) membrane (Immobilon Milipore), using the Trans-Blot Turbo system (Bio-Rad). The membrane was blocked in 3 \% solution of non-fat milk in PBS-T (1x PBS with $0.05 \%$ Tween 20 (Sigma-Aldrich)) for one hour at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, washed in PBS-T three times for 10 minutes and incubated in the primary antibody overnight at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The primary antibody was either Anti-His-Tag (Sigma-Aldrich), Anti-IrInR serum from immunized rabbit or the Ig fraction isolated from immune serum in $1 \%$ non-fat milk in PBS-T at the dilution specified below. Then, the membrane was washed in PBS-T twice for 10 minutes and consequently, incubated in secondary antibody (Anti-Rabbit IgG-peroxidase, Sigma-Aldrich) in $1 \%$ non-fat milk in PBS-T for 45 minutes. In the case of Anti-His-Tag (Sigma-Aldrich), the secondary antibody was Anti-Mouse IgG-peroxidase (Sigma-Aldrich). The membrane was washed in PBS-T for 30 and 10 minutes. After the final wash, the membrane was visualized by ChemiDoc ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ Imaging System (Bio-Rad) using the Immobilon ${ }^{\circledR}$ Classico Western HRP Substrate (Milipore).

### 3.11. Production of antibodies

The recombinant protein ( $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) was mixed with incomplete Freud's adjuvant (Sigma-Aldrich) (1:1) and used to immunize rabbit in four doses (weeks 1, 3, 5 and 7). Blood was collected from the immunized rabbit 2 weeks after the last immunization and kept in room temperature for one hour and then, at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight to fully clot. Serum was obtained by centrifugation at $5,000 \mathrm{xg}$ for 15 minutes at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and used as a primary antibody during the Western Blot analysis or further processed for the isolation of the Ig fraction.

### 3.12. Preparation of immunoglobulin (Ig) fraction

Ig fractions were prepared as described in Pěničková (2009). Briefly, one volume of immune serum was mixed with two volumes of Na-acetate buffer ( $50 \mathrm{mM}, \mathrm{pH} 4$ ) and the majority of the serum proteins was precipitated with caprylic acid. Small aliquots of caprylic acid were gradually added into the stirred serum-buffer mixture until the final concentration $25 \mu \mathrm{l}$ per ml was reached. The precipitation further continued for 1 hour under constant stirring at room temperature, the precipitate was removed by centrifugation at 5,000 x g , the supernatant containing the Ig fraction was filtrated through the filter paper and dialyzed against $5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{Na} 2_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4}$ overnight at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The prepared Ig fractions were used as a primary antibody for the Western Blot analysis.

### 3.13. Detection of authentic $\operatorname{Ir} \operatorname{InR}$ in tick tissues

Unfed uninjected females or $I r I n R$ dsRNA or GFP dsRNA injected females of I. ricinus were removed from the guinea pig after 7 days of feeding. Dissected tissues (ovaries, salivary glands, tracheae with fat body, midgut and Malpighian tubes) from three females were homogenized in 1x PBS, 0.25 M DTT and 1 x NuPage sample buffer (Invitrogen) and boiled at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 minutes. Detection of $\operatorname{IrInR}$ was performed by Western Blot analysis using the Ig fraction as a primary antibody, at the dilution specified below.

### 3.14. Effect of vaccination on ticks infestation

Three rabbits were immunized as described above. One negative control rabbit was injected with incomplete Freud's adjuvant (Sigma-Aldrich) only. After the last immunization, blood sample was collected from the rabbits ears to examine specific antibodies by Western Blot analysis.

Unfed I. ricinus females were placed in two cylinders on shaven backs of immunized rabbits with an equal number of males ( 50 pairs of I. ricinus per rabbit) and allowed to feed naturally till repletion. The engorged ticks were visually checked, weighed and maintained in separate vials as described above for oviposition, weighing egg clutches and larval hatching scoring.

### 3.15. Tick membrane feeding

Feeding units (FU) were prepared as described by Kröber and Guerin (2007) with some minor modification according to Kučera (2015). Briefly, silicone paste consisting of silicone, silicone oil and hexane was spread over a rectangular mesh matrix and let to dry overnight. Only membranes not exceeding a thickness of $130 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ were used for completing FUs by sticking them on the bottom part of the plastic FUs. In vitro feeding experiments were performed according to the procedure as described Perner et. al (2016b).

Bovine blood was collected in a local slaughterhouse (Jihočeská masna s.r.o.), manually stirred in order to completely defibrinate it and supplemented gentamicine ( $5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) to prevent bacterial growth. Diets consisting of 3.1 ml of blood, 1 mM ATP, gentamicine $(5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml})$ and tested concentrations of rapamycin (Vézina et al., 1975) (Sigma-Aldrich) or AKT inhibitor (A-443654, Han et al., 2007) (APExBio) (Tab. 12) was applied into the wells of the 6 -well cell culture plate (Corning ${ }^{\circledR}$ Costar ${ }^{\circledR}$ ). An equivalent volume of solvents DMSO (dimethyl sulfoxide) and $100 \%$ ethanol was added into the blood as sham controls for rapamycin and AKT inhibitor respectively. Freshly prepared blood diets were exchanged regularly every 12 hours and the feeding units and tick-mouthparts were washed with 0.9 \% NaCl . The membrane feeding was performed at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ using a water bath thermostat and continued until full repletion.

Fifteen adult females were placed per FU. Two days after their attachment, the equivalent number of males was added to ensure full repletion of females. The fullyengorged ticks, that spontaneously dropped off the membrane, were visually checked, weighed and maintained in separate vials to assess the oviposition.

Table 12: Final concentrations of the dietary components in FU

| Treatments | Final concentrations |
| :--- | :--- |
| Rapamycin | $100 \mu \mathrm{M}, 50 \mu \mathrm{M}, 10 \mu \mathrm{M}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}, 1 \mu \mathrm{M}$, |
|  | $0.1 \mu \mathrm{M}, 10 \mathrm{nM}$ |
| AKT inhibitor | $100 \mu \mathrm{M}, 10 \mu \mathrm{M}, 1 \mu \mathrm{M}, 0.1 \mu \mathrm{M}, 10 \mathrm{nM}$ |

### 3.16. Statistics

Data were analyzed by GraphPad Prism 6 for Windows, version 6.04. For error bar graphs and means $\pm$ SD were used.

|  | dsRNA primers | qRT-PCR primers | Primers used for the expression of recombinant protein |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| InR | InRapa attctagaCATACGTCTCCTTGGCAAGC InRxba atgggcceACAAGATTGCGGTGGTCAA | InR F TCAAGTATGTCATCAGCGGC InR R GAGGTACGAGGTGTGGTAGA | InR_pET100S2 caccCCCAACCTGTGCCACGCTG InR_pET100AS2 tcaCTTGGGGTTGATGTGGAAG |
| AKT | AKTapa atgggcccCATGTTCAGCGTAGAGTCTG <br> AKTxba attctagaTGACCACTTTCTTCTTGAGG | AKT F GACTTTGGGCTCTGTAAGG AKT R CCGCACATCATCTCATACAT | X |
| TOR | TORapa atgggcccAGGTGCTTGGAGAATGGGAA TORxba attctagaTACTCCTCCATCGTCTCCCA | TOR F ACTACACCAGATCCCTCGCT <br> TOR R CCATGGCGTTGATGAGCATG | X |
| EF | X | EF F ACGAGGCTCTGACGGAAG EF R CACGACGCAACTCCTTCAC | X |

## 4. Results and discussion

### 4.1. Analysis of the sequences and alignments

In the midgut transcriptome of I. ricinus, several components of the ISP had been identified (IrInR, IrAKT, IrTOR) (Perner et al., 2016a). The complete coding sequences for I. ricinus InR, AKT, and TOR were deposited in the GenBank under the accession numbers MN207065, MN207064, and MN207063, respectively (Supplement 1).

### 4.1.1. $\operatorname{Ir} \operatorname{InR}$

The midgut transcript encoding $\operatorname{IrInR}$ (Ir-120837) contained the full coding sequence without the predicted signal peptide by SignalP server (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/SignalP/) (Perner et al., 2016a). The identical gene, that contained the signal sequence (according to the SignalP), had been identified in the transcriptome from salivary glands (transcript Ir-SigP-26449_FR1_99-1649) annotated as insulin-like growth factor receptor (InGFR) (Perner et al., 2018). The presence of the insulinlike peptides (ILPs), which bind to the InR, in hard tick I. scapularis has been described (Sharma et al., 2019). Additionally, Mulenga and Khumthong (2010) identified the insulin-like growth factor binding proteins in tick Amblyomma americanum.

At the moment, we are not able to distinguish InR and InGFR, furthermore, it seems that these two receptors have the same structure and it is probably not possible to classify them based on their sequences. According to the BLAST and SignalP, InR of the I. scapularis (accession number: XP_029828634.1) lacks the signal peptide while InGFR (accession number: XP_002416224.1) contains the signal peptide.

We assumed that these two receptors may be differentiated by the signal peptide. Thus, we deduced that midgut transcript (Ir-120837) (Perner et al., 2016a), which lacks signal peptide, encoding the $I r$ InR while salivary gland transcript (Ir-SigP-26449_FR1_99-1649) (Perner et al., 2018) is encoding InGFR of I. ricinus as it was annotated.

The full-length cDNA of $I r \operatorname{InR}$ (identified in the transcriptome from the midgut) encodes precursor containing both $\alpha$ and $\beta$ subunit. The length of the $I r \operatorname{InR}$ cDNA sequence is 4491 bp encoding 1496 amino-acid residues with a theoretical mass of 165 kDa . Based on the amino-acid sequence alignment, the $I r \operatorname{InR}$ subunits are cleaved after the arginine-rich motive and the theoretical masses of the $\alpha$ and $\beta$ subunits are 80.6 kDa and 65 kDa , respectively. The amino acid sequence is highly conserved, which was shown by the alignment with other
organisms (Supplement 2.1). According to the BLAST, the IrInR gene contains many conserved domains (Fig. 6), for example, Furin-like cysteine-rich region, Protein tyrosine kinase or Catalytic domain of Insulin Receptor-like Protein Tyrosine Kinase (BLAST). The presence of the domains proves that the ISP is a highly conserved system.


Figure 6: Domain structure of the $\operatorname{Ir} \operatorname{InR}$ (taken from BLAST).

### 4.1.2. IrAKT

The midgut transcript encoding IrAKT (Ir-100439), contained the full coding sequence (Perner et al., 2016a). The gene IrAKT is encoded by 1593 bp long nucleotide sequence.

The sequence encoding 531 amino-acid residues of about the predicted mass 60 kDa .
The encoded protein lacks the signal peptide and consists of highly conserved regions as PH (Pleckstrin homology) domain, which belongs to the PH-like superfamily. Furthermore, Protein kinase superfamily, which is predominantly composed of the catalytic serine/threonine kinase domain (Supplement 2.2). The sequence contains one hydrophobic motif (Fig. 7). The deductive amino acid sequence alignment of IrAKT showed high homology with other organisms (Supplement 2.2).


Figure 7: Domain structure of the IrAKT (taken from BLAST).

### 4.1.3. IrTOR

The transcript encoding Ir TOR (IrSigP-108190) was only partial sequence spanning the central region of the protein. The full sequence of $\operatorname{Ir}$ TOR was completed using overlapping transcripts IrHemSgMg-240809 from I. ricinus hemocytes (Kotsyfakis et al., 2015) and Ir-238238 from salivary glands (Perner et al., 2018) that encode the N-terminal and the C-terminal parts of the TOR protein, respectively.

The length of the $I r$ TOR nucleotide sequence is 7518 bp encoding 2506 amino-acid residues. The theoretical protein mass predicted from amino acid sequence is 284 kDa and lacks signal peptide. The alignment of the $I r$ TOR with other species resulted in high homology (Supplement 2.3). IrTOR contains only one large domain, namely Phosphatidylinositol kinase domain, which belongs to the TEL1 superfamily (Fig. 8) (BLAST). Furthermore, according to the BLAST, IrTOR consists of the FAT superfamily and Protein kinase superfamily (BLAST).


Figure 8: Domain structure of the IrTOR (taken from BLAST).

### 4.2. Tissue relative expression profiling by qRT-PCR

Total RNA, isolated from tissues dissected from semi-engorged I. ricinus females, was transcribed into cDNA as described above and used as a template for the qRT-PCR reactions using specific gene primers $\operatorname{In} R F, \operatorname{In} R$ R, $A K T F, A K T R, T O R F$ and $T O R R$. It was found that mRNA level of all investigated genes was the highest in the ovaries of semi-engorged females (Fig. 9). Additionally, IIInR was expressed in the midgut and in the rest of the body as well. The higher IrAKT mRNA level was also detected in the midgut. Besides ovaries, IrTOR was to lower extend equally expressed in all examined tissues (Fig 9).


Figure 9: Tissues relative expression profiling by qRT-PCR.
Quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) profiling of $I r \operatorname{InR}, I r A K T$ and $I r$ TOR in tissues. Each data point represents the mean of biological and technical independent triplicates, bars indicate the standard deviation; OV: ovaries, SAL: salivary glands, TR+FB: trachea + fat body, GUT: the midgut, MT: Malpighian tubules, REST: the rest of body.

Based on our knowledge, expression of the InR in another tick species have never been described before. In a model organism Drosophila melanogaster, InR was transcribed in nurse cells of ovaries but also in the nervous system of adult females (Wu and Brown, 2006). InR of hematophagous kissing bug Rhodnius prolixus was expressed in all investigated tissues, but mainly in the central nervous system (Defferrari et al., 2018).

AKT of the hard tick Haemaphysalis longicornis was expressed in all examined tissues including ovaries, while the expression of AKT in the mosquito Aedes aegypti was demonstrated in ovaries of non-oogenic females only (Riechle and Brown, 2003). By contrast, in larvae of silkworm Bombyx mori the slightly lower expression of the AKT was examined in ovaries and testis compared to another tissues (Nagata et al., 2008).

In hard tick H. longicornis, the highest expression of TOR was observed in ovaries (Umemiya et al. 2012b) which is in agreement with our results. In silkworm B. mori, TOR was mostly expressed in nervous system (Zhou et al., 2010).

Among the mentioned organisms the components are presented mainly in the nervous system but in other tissues as well. In addition, insulin-like peptides (ILPs) are synthesized in neurosecretory cells in brain of many insects (Koyama and Mirth, 2016; Vafopolou, 2014; Badisco et al., 2013). It is in line with results recently published for the tick Ixodes scapularis, where four ILPs were reportedly expressed mainly in synganglion (brain) and salivary glands of the unfed females (Sharma et al., 2019). Badisco et al. (2013) claimed that accepted nutrients are stored into various organs. It may prove the complexity of the action place of the ISP among the organisms.

Our obtained data, however, showed the highest expression of the $I r \operatorname{InR}, I r \mathrm{AKT}$, and $I r$ TOR in ovaries. It seems that the ISP plays an essential role in ticks reproduction. Furthermore, each gene was also expressed in the midgut which is in line with the original identification of these genes in the midgut transcriptome (Perner et al., 2016a). Unlike the above discussed organisms, our data could not show the expression of the ISP in the tick nervous system as we did not assess their expression in ticks synganglion (brain), which is very difficult to dissect reliably. However, the rest of the body we examined, that contained synganglion, did not indicate the high levels of respective mRNAs.

### 4.3. Relative expression dynamics in ovaries by $q$ RT-PCR during feeding and after detachment

Based on the tissue profiling results, the ovarian cDNA was chosen to investigate the expression dynamics of ISP components in the course of tick feeding and after detachment off the host. cDNA templates were prepared as described above from tick ovaries, dissected in the following stages: unfed ticks, ticks fed for $1,3,5$ days, and fully fed ticks, and ticks 3,6 and 12 days after detachment. Relative expressions of the $I r \operatorname{InR}, I r \mathrm{AKT}$, and $I r$ TOR were gradually increasing during the feeding course and reached their maxima at the fully fed stage. After detachment, the expression of $I r \operatorname{InR}$ remained more than less stable, mRNA levels of $\operatorname{IrAKT}$ were fluctuating, and the expression of $\operatorname{Ir}$ TOR seemed to be decreasing towards the 12 days after detachment (Fig. 10).


Figure 10: Relative expression profiling by qRT-PCR during feeding and after detachment.
Quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) profiling of $\operatorname{IrInR}, \operatorname{IrAKT}$ and $I r$ TOR in ovaries. Each data point represents the mean of biological and technical independent triplicates, bars indicate the standard deviation; UF: unfed ticks, 1D: one day of feeding, 3D: three days of feeding, 5D: five days of feeding, FF: fully fed females, 3AD: three days after detachment, 6AD: six days after detachment, 12AD: twelve days after detachment.

We presumed the increasing expression of ISP components during the feeding according to Umemiya et al., (2012a). These authors demonstrated that the expression of AKT in hard tick H. longicornis was higher in fully fed stage compared to the unfed stage (Umemiya et al. 2012a). After detachment, the expression of $\operatorname{Ir}$ TOR decreased towards the 12 days after detachment. However, expressions of $\operatorname{Ir} \operatorname{InR}$ and $\operatorname{IrAKT}$ after detachment did not prove this decreasing trend (Fig. 10).

The amount of ILPs in I. scapularis in response to the feeding is still not clear (Sharma et al., 2019). The nutritional status of the organism is responsible for the levels of ILPs which act as agonists of the ISP (Koyama and Mirth, 2016; Vafopolou, 2014; Badisco et al., 2013).

It is still an unresolved question whether the increasing expression of ISP components during feeding is caused by initial digestion of the uptaken nutrients, by the opposite, whether the increased expression of the ISP up-regulates the digestive system of ticks (Sojka et al., 2013).

### 4.4. Silencing of genes by RNAi

To assess the function of the ISP, we carried out RNAi silencing of three key genes in this pathway. The dsRNAs were synthesized as described by Hajdušek et al. (2009). Amplified PCR products of IrInR, IrAKT and IrTOR, using InRapa, InRxba, AKTapa, AKTxba, TORapa, and TORxba primers, were restricted using Apal and Xbal restriction enzymes, purified and ligated into restricted PlL10 plasmid. The plasmid constructs were transformed to the competent TOP10 cells and then, positive clones, verified by sequencing, were purified. Consequently, the plasmids, containing the $\operatorname{Ir} \mathrm{InR}$, $\operatorname{Ir} \mathrm{AKT}$ or $\operatorname{Ir}$ TOR DNA sequences, were restricted with
restriction enzymes ApaI and XbaI separately, purified by the classical phenol-chloroform method and used for the synthesis of ssRNA fragments. Purified ssRNA fragments were hybridized. The final concentration of each dsRNA was adjusted to $3 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mu \mathrm{l}$. The quality of each step of dsRNA synthesis was checked on the agarose gel and shown in Fig 11.


Figure 11: Quality control of dsRNAs preparations.
For the control of dsRNA quality, electrophoresis analysis was used. The final concentration of each dsRNA was $3 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mu \mathrm{l} ; 1$ : nonlinearizied PlL10 plasmid with $\operatorname{IrInr}$, $\operatorname{Ir}$ AKT or $I r$ TOR insert, 2: ApaI linearizied PlL10 plasmid, 3: XbaI linearizied PlL10 plasmid, 4: ssRNA ApaI, 5: ssRNA XbaI, 6: dsRNA, M: marker (100 bp RNA Ladder).

Unfed females were injected with $0.5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of $\operatorname{IrInR}$, $\operatorname{Ir}$ AKT or $\operatorname{Ir}$ TOR dsRNA, kept to the rest for one day and put on the shaven backs of guinea pigs with the equal number of males to naturally feed. The control group of ticks was injected with GFP dsRNA. The RNAi experiment was repeated three times to obtain three independent biological replications.

### 4.4.1. Efficiency of RNAi knockdowns

After three days of feeding, three females were removed from the guinea pigs, their ovaries were dissected as described above and used for the verification of the RNAi silencing.

The reduction of expression of all genes was confirmed by qRT-PCR using InR F, InR R, AKT $F, A K T R, T O R F$, and TOR $R$ primers and compared to the control GFP group. The best RNAi silencing was achieved in the second RNAi experiment, in which the transcription of $I r \operatorname{InR}$, $\operatorname{Ir}$ AKT, and $\operatorname{Ir}$ TOR was reduced to $14 \%, 2 \%$, and $14 \%$, respectively (Fig. 12). Silencing
in the first and the third experiments were not as effective as during the second one. In the first experiment, the expression of $\operatorname{Ir} \operatorname{InR}, \operatorname{Ir} \mathrm{AKT}$, and $\operatorname{Ir} \mathrm{TOR}$ was reduced to $28 \%, 1 \%$, and $3 \%$ respectively, and in the third experiment reduced to $87 \%, 2 \%$, and $32 \%$, respectively. Apparently, $I r \operatorname{InR}$ was not successfully silenced in the third RNAi experiment. However, IrAKT was silenced with high efficiency in all three RNAi experiments. It seems, that the RNAi works individually for each gene. Joga et al. (2016) claimed that exist some barriers in the efficiency of the RNAi in insects.


Figure 12: Efficiency of RNAi knockdowns.
The silencing of the genes $\operatorname{IrInR}$, IrAKT, and IrTOR was verified by qRT-PCR after three days of feeding in ovaries from three randomly selected females. These graphs show the knockdowns of the second replication of the RNAi experiment. Bars indicate the standard deviation.

### 4.4.2. RNAi and its effect on tick phenotype

The fully fed ticks were visually checked, weighed and maintained in separate vials for oviposition and hatching as described above. Considerable differences were found in the body weights of each dsRNA injected engorged females group in comparison with the control (GFP) dsRNA injected females group. The most obvious differences on tick phenotype were found in the second RNAi experiment (Supplement 3).

The average weight of the fully fed control ticks reached around 300 mg , whereas weights of $I r \operatorname{InR}$ and $I r$ TOR dsRNA injected groups were slightly lower (Fig. 13). To our knowledge, RNAi knockdown of the InR had not been assessed in any tick species before. In the kissing bug $R$. prolixus the $\operatorname{InR}$ RNAi injected group weighed slightly lower than the control group in agreement to our results. In addition, it was demonstrated that RNAi knockdown of the InR resulted in lower phosphorylation of the AKT gene (Defferrari et al., 2018) which we did not assess. Additionally, it was demonstrated that TOR RNAi engorged females of hard tick $H$. longicornis had lower body weight than the control ticks (Umemiya et al., 2012b), in accordance with our experiment.

The most apparent differences were observed upon IrAKT dsRNA injection. The body size and weights of IrAKT dsRNA injected ticks were significantly lower. The average females weight reached only about 50 mg (Fig. 13). Besides the effect on ticks weights, IrAKT RNAi silencing ticks also considerably prolonged the feeding duration from ten to twelve days in comparison with GFP control ticks and also ticks, injected with IrInR or IrTOR dsRNAs (Fig. 13). IrAKT dsRNA group of ticks was not able to complete their blood-feeding. After dropping out from the host, the ticks had such a similar size as during the slow-feeding phase. IrAKT seems to be essential for ticks to reach the rapid blood-feeding phase. Our explanation confirms the experiment performed by Umemiya et al. (2012a). Knockdown of the AKT in hard tick H. longicornis demonstrated the important role in blood feeding as well. Ticks did not finish their feeding successfully, therefore they were unable to continue in reproduction (Umemiya et al., 2012a) which is in agreement with our results. Additionally, IrAKT injected group did not succeed in oviposition.

Most of the $\operatorname{Ir} \operatorname{InR}$ and $\operatorname{Ir}$ TOR dsRNA injected females succeeded in oviposition. The laid eggs had slightly lower weights (not statistically significant) than the control group (Fig. 14). Hatching of $I r \operatorname{InR}$ and $I r$ TOR dsRNA injected females were successful and did not show any differences in comparison to the control group of ticks. The RNAi knockdown of InR in mosquito $A$. aegypti demonstrated the reduced transcription of the vitellogenin genes (Roy et al., 2007). Umemiya et al. (2012b) demonstrated that TOR RNAi H. longicornis ticks did not lay eggs. We had not confirmed this result. A recent study reported that TOR RNAi silencing in the hard tick Rhipicephalus microplus did not show any differences in laying eggs in accordance with our results. Despite the weights of the laid eggs were similar to the control group, it was demonstrated significantly impaired hatching of living larvae from the laid eggs (Waltero et al., 2019). The knockdown of TOR in another hematophagous species, mosquito $A$. aegypti, showed the inhibition of vitellogenin genes, lower amount of eggs and inhibition of eggs development thus the end of the reproduction cycle (Hansen et al., 2004; Roy et al. 2007) in agreement to Umemiya et al. (2012b) results.

It seemed that the impact of the TOR to oviposition is variable among ticks species. Surprisingly, a recent study demonstrated that hard ticks $H$. longicornis seem to be able to complete their oogenesis without previous mating (Mihara et al., 2018; Kiszewski et al., 2001) which is in striking contrast with the results observed in our laboratory (unpublished).

Any parthenogenesis was not observed both in I. ricinus and in R. microplus (Kiszewski et al., 2001). Our hypothesis is that TOR could have different impaction to ticks reproduction.

## dsRNA injected females



Feeding duration (days): 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12
Figure 13: The weights of the RNAi fully fed females.
Graph shows weights of $I r \operatorname{InR}, \operatorname{IrAKT}$, and $I r$ TOR fully fed females from the second replication of the RNAi experiment. GFP dsRNA injected ticks were used as a control. Feeding duration is visualized with different colour of each data point. Data was analyzed using ANOVA test with P value $<0.0001$, followed by Sidak's multiple comparisons ( $I r \operatorname{InR}$ and $I r$ TOR weights did not show any significant differences).

## dsRNA injected females, eggs weights



Figure14: The weights of the eggs of the dsRNA injected females.
Graph shows weights of the eggs of the dsRNA injected females from the second RNAi experiment. Data does not show any significant differences.

The RNAi experiment were carried out three times to obtain three independent biological replications. However, as mentioned above, the RNAi silencing in the experiments one and three was not as successful as in the second replication. The summary of weights and feeding time from all three biological replications is shown in the Figure 15. In the third RNAi experiment $I r \operatorname{InR}$ dsRNA injected ticks were not evaluated for weighing, oviposition, weighing egg clutches and larval hatching scoring because IrInR in the third RNAi experiment was not silenced. The $I r \operatorname{InR}$ and $I r$ TOR dsRNA injected females weights were slightly lower than the control group. The average weight of the IrAKT dsRNA injected females was significantly lower and was only about 150 mg compared to the weight of the GFP control group ( $\sim 300 \mathrm{mg}$ ). However, some of the IrAKT dsRNA injected ticks reached the same weight as the control ticks suggesting, that RNAi was not efficient at all in these ticks (Fig. 15).

## dsRNA injected females



Figure 15: The weights of the RNAi fully fed females.
Graph shows weights of $\operatorname{IrInR}$, $\operatorname{Ir}$ AKT, and $\operatorname{Ir}$ TOR fully fed females from all three biological replications of RNAi experiments. GFP dsRNA injected ticks were used as a control. Feeding duration is visualized in the graph with different colours of each data point. Data was analyzed using Kruskal-Wallis test with P value $<0.0001$, followed by Dunn's multiple comparisons ( $\operatorname{Ir} \operatorname{InR}$ and $\operatorname{Ir} \mathrm{TOR}$ weights did not show any significant differences).

### 4.4.3. Relation between the observed phenotypes and the level of the RNAi knockdown

In order to demonstrate the connection between the observed phenotype and the level of RNAi silencing, the females injected with $I r \operatorname{InR}$ dsRNA with apparently different body size were examined individually. Ticks were visually divided into large and small $I r \operatorname{InR}$ groups. The knockdowns were verified in ovaries of each $\operatorname{IrInR}$ group by qRT-PCR, using InR F and $\operatorname{In} R R$ primers, after seven days of feeding. The different levels of silencing of each group are shown in Figure 16.

As expected, our results showed the close connection between the level of knockdown and the body size. This may be the proof of the different efficiency of the RNAi in each organism as declared Joga et al. (2016) in insect. On the other hand, the impact of the ISP as a regulator of cell growth shows the mutations in the ISP in $D$. melanogaster. The changes in the ISP resulted in its smaller but evolved body. On the other hand, the overexpression of insulin-like peptides (ILPs) stimulates the growth of its body and increases cell number in the organism (Nijhout, 2003). The ISP regulates many physiological and anabolic processes in response to nutrition, which activates the pathway via the expression of the ILPs (Badisco et al., 2013). Upon the InR RNAi, the ISP may not be triggered thus the processes in the organisms may be disturbed and consequently, the body stops growing.


Figure 16: Relation between body size and the level of RNAi knockdown of the IrInR.
The silencing of the $I r$ InR was verified after seven days of feeding in ovaries by qRT-PCR. A: Photo of individual control (GFP dsRNA) females and two groups (large and small) of $I r$ InR dsRNA injected females used for the analysis. B: Graph of the relative mRNA expressions of each group of individual ticks.

### 4.5. Expression and purification of recombinant $I r \operatorname{InR}$ fragment

We designed a recombinant protein covering of about 33 kDa of the N -terminal extracellular portion of $\operatorname{Ir} \mathrm{INR}$ for raising specific antibodies and experimental vaccination of rabbits (Supplement 2.1). Gene sequence of $\operatorname{IrInR}$ was amplified using InR $\_p E T 100 S 2$ and InR_pET100AS2 primers and purified. N-Terminal 6X His-tagged fusion protein was prepared using a pET100/D-TOPO expression vector. The correct construct, verified by sequencing, was transformed into E. coli cells BL21. The expression of recombinant protein was carried out in LB medium containing ampicillin ( $50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) with glucose, to increase cell proliferation, or with IPTG, to increase the expression. Then, the recombinant protein was purified, from isolated inclusion bodies, using $\mathrm{Co}^{2+}$ chelation chromatography in the presence of 8 M urea and eluted with a linear gradient of imidazole (Fig. 17). Fractions containing the recombinant protein were checked by SDS-PAGE electrophoresis and by Western Blot analysis using mice Anti-His tag antibody and refolded by dialysis against gradually decreasing the concentration of urea.


Figure 17: Chromatogram from the purification of the IrInR recombinant protein using FPLC liquid chromatograph.

Blue line: UV
Green line: concentration of imidazole Red legend: number of fractions

The $I r \operatorname{InR}$ recombinant protein was prepared for the immunization of the rabbit. Two weeks after the last immunization, blood was collected from the rabbit to obtain the Anti-I IInR serum. The quality of the immune serum was analyzed using SDS-PAGE electrophoresis
and Western Blot analysis with different concentration of the recombinant protein. Anti-IIInR serum was used (1:5000) as a primary antibody (Fig. 18).


Figure18: Prepared IrInR recombinant protein.
SDS-PAGE electrophoresis and Western Blot analysis of different concentrations of the $I r \operatorname{InR}$ recombinant protein. Protein load was visualized using the TGX Stain-Free ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ gel. Primary antibody: Anti-IrInR serum 1:5 000, secondary antibody: Anti-Rabbit/peroxidase conjugate 1:5 000 .

### 4.6. Detection of authentic $\operatorname{Ir} \operatorname{InR}$ in tick tissues

Examined tissues were dissected from I. ricinus females fed for 7 days on guinea pigs and analyzed using SDS-PAGE and Western Blot analysis. The immune antiserum recognized several bands in tick tissues, out of which the protein of about 80 kDa was detected mainly in salivary glands, Malpighian tubules, ovaries, and to lesser extent also in the midgut. These bands seemed to have mass corresponding to the expected mass of $\operatorname{Ir} \operatorname{InR} \alpha$-subunit ( 80 kDa ) (Fig. 19).


Figure 19: Detection of the $\operatorname{Ir} \operatorname{InR}$ in tissues.
Protein load, visualized using the TGX Stain-Free ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ technology, and Western Blot of the tissues protein localization. Primary antibody: IrInR Ig fraction 1:10, secondary antibody: Anti-Rabbit/peroxidase conjugate 1:5 000. M: marker; G: midgut; Ov: ovaries; SG: salivary glands; MT: Malpighian tubules; TR: trachea and fat body.

In order to obtain more reliable identification of an authentic $I r \operatorname{InR}$ in tick tissues, ovaries and salivary glands from ticks injected with $\operatorname{IrInR}$ dsRNA or GFP dsRNA were compared (Fig 20). Ovaries were used because of the highest relative expression of the $I r \operatorname{InR}$ mRNA, and the salivary glands due to the most intense protein band presumably identified as $I r$ INR $\alpha$ subunit (Fig. 19).

This 80 kDa protein and band of about 55 kDa were slightly attenuated in ovaries of $I r \operatorname{InR}$ silenced ticks, and in addition, bands of about 35 and 170 kDa disappeared upon RNAi silencing.

Any apparent differences in protein intensity was not observed in salivary glands of the GFP control and IrInR silenced ticks. In contrast to the previous Western Blot shown in Fig. 19, the band of about 80 kDa was much less intense and instead, a band of about 55 kDa was mainly recognized by the anti-IrInR antibodies. Obtained data surprisingly showed that the major signal in salivary glands was detected at different mass ( $\sim 55 \mathrm{kDa}$ ) than in the ovaries ( $\sim 80 \mathrm{kDa}$ ). At the moment, we have no trustworthy explanation for this apparent discrepancy. We may speculate about some proteolytic cleavage in the tissue samples after dissection or just admit, that our antibodies are not specific enough and recognize artifacts given the high sensitivity of the fluorescent Western blot imaging. In order to resolve this issue, Western Blot analysis (with $\operatorname{IrInR}$ and GFP injected ticks) was repeated using the affinity purified Ig fractions, on the sepharose column with bound recombinant $I r \operatorname{InR}$ protein,
as described by Pěničková (2009). The purified Ig fractions were consequently used as a primary antibody in ratio $1: 10$. Unfortunately this attempt failed, as any marked differences were not observed on Western blot profiles from $I r \operatorname{InR}$ silenced and control tick ovaries (data not shown).


Figure 20: Detection of the IrInR in tissues from RNAi silenced ticks and control ticks.
Protein load, visualized using the TGX Stain-Free ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ technology, and Western Blot of the ticks injected with InR or GFP dsRNA. Primary antibody: IrInR Ig fraction 1:50, secondary antibody: Anti-Rabbit/peroxidase conjugate 1:10 000. M: marker; Ov-GFP: ovaries from ticks injected with GFP dsRNA; Ov-InR: ovaries from ticks injected with InR dsRNA; SG-GFP: salivary glands from ticks injected with GFP dsRNA; SG-InR: salivary glands from ticks injected with InR dsRNA.

### 4.7. Experimental vaccination of rabbits with recombinant $\operatorname{Ir} \operatorname{InR}$

Even though, that RNAi silencing of $\operatorname{IrInR}$ did not result in a striking phenotype, we could not in advance rule out the possibility, that vaccination with recombinant $I r$ InR protein will not affect tick feeding or oviposition. Therefore, we performed the experimental vaccination of rabbits with following ticks infestation.

Rabbits were immunized by $I r \operatorname{InR}$ recombinant protein in four doses. For ticks feeding, three immunized rabbits and a one control rabbit, which was immunized with incomplete Freud's adjuvant only, were used.

After the last immunization, the blood was taken from rabbits ears to examine the production of the antibodies using SDS-PAGE electrophoresis and Western Blot analysis.

It was verified that the rabbits produced antibodies against $I I$ InR recombinant protein (Fig. 21).
Two weeks after the last immunization, ticks were placed on the shaven backs of rabbits and allowed to feed naturally.


Protein
Western
Figure 21: Verification of the antibodies of the immunized rabbits.
Protein load, stained using Coomassie Brilliant Blue, of the $I r \operatorname{InR}$ recombinant protein (200 ng of protein per lane) and Western Blot analysis with the sera of the immunized rabbits. Primary antibody: Anti-IrInR sera 1:5 000, secondary antibody: Anti-Rabbit/peroxidase conjugate 1:5 000. M: marker.

Ticks infesting on immunized rabbits did not show any weight differences compared to the ticks, that were feeding on the control rabbit. The weights of fully fed females were similar to the control group (Fig. 22). Curiously, ticks fed on the immunized rabbit No. 3, weighed even more, than the control group. In line with these results, ticks from all experimental groups did not exert any impairment in oviposition (Fig. 23) nor in the hatching success from the laid eggs.

## IrInR immunized rabbit, FF females



Figure 22: Weights of fully fed females infested on immunized rabbits.
Graph shows the weights of the fully fed females that were feed on the immunized rabbits. Data did not show any significant differences.


Figure 23: Weights of eggs laid by the ticks infested on immunized rabbits.
Graph shows weights of the eggs of females that were feed on the rabbits. Data did not show any significant differences.

### 4.8. Injection with insulin receptor antagonist

The commercially available insulin receptor antagonist (IRA) (S961; Schäffer et al., 2008) is a peptide expressed in $E$. coli bacterial expression system as a fusion protein. The affinity of the IRA to the human, rat and pig InR was reported to be slightly higher than of the insulin (Schäffer et al., 2008).

Injection of the IRA was tested as an alternative experimental approach how to eliminate the function of the $I r \operatorname{InR}$. The IRA was diluted in 1xTBS to the final concentration 100 nM . Consequently, 15 unfed females were injected with $0.4 \mu \mathrm{l}(200 \mathrm{ng})$ of IRA or 1xTBS, which was used as a control, allowed to rest for one day and put on the shaven back of guinea pig in the halved cylinder with the same number of males to naturally fed till repletion. The fully fed females were weighed and maintained as described above.

The weights of IRA injected ticks seemed to be slightly lower than the TBS control group (Fig. 24 A ), however the number of females that accomplished feeding did not allow to perform the evaluation of statistical significance. Besides one IRA injected female, all ticks of each group laid eggs (Fig. 24 B ) and hatched successfully. It seemed that IRA was able to partly inhibit the function of the IrInR. Unfortunately, because of the IRA prize, we could not effort to test higher concentrations of injected IRA and increase the number of ticks. Therefore, this pilot experiment does not allow to make any convincing conclusions.


Figure 24: Injection with IRA.
A: The weights of the fully fed females injected with 1x TBS or IRA (S961) ( 200 ng ). Data did not show any significant differences. B: The weights of eggs laid by females injected with 1xTBS or IRA. Data did not show any significant differences.

### 4.9. Membrane tick feeding

In order to alternatively assess the function of the $\operatorname{Ir} \mathrm{AKT}$ and $\operatorname{Ir} \mathrm{TOR}$, ticks were artificially fed with different concentrations of specific inhibitors of AKT (A-443654; Han et al., 2007) and TOR (rapamycin; Vézina et al., 1975) added to the blood diet. As solvent controls ethanol and DMSO were used. Ticks were fed in feeding units (FU) and the blood diet, supplemented with tested inhibitors, was regularly changed every 12 hours. The engorged ticks were weighed and maintained as described above. Two independent experiments with the rapamycin were carried out. In the case of the AKT inhibitor, only one experiment was performed given the high cost of this compound.


Figure 25: The weights of the fully fed females artificially fed on the diet with AKT and TOR inhibitors. Graphs show weights of fully fed females of different groups of concentrations of the AKT inhibitor (A-443654) and rapamycin. Data did not show any significant differences. In the case of rapamycin, two independent experiments are differentiated using empty (first experiment) and full (second experiment) data points.

Feeding with AKT inhibitor did not show any striking differences in engorged ticks weights compared to the control group (ethanol). In the case of $100 \mu \mathrm{M}, 10 \mu \mathrm{M}$, and $0.1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ concentrations, the weights were slightly lower compared to the control group, while ticks fed with $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and 10 nM concentrations of the AKT inhibitor reached higher weights than the control group. (Fig. 25).

In the experiment with rapamycin, the fully fed control group of ticks (DMSO), which spontaneously dropped of the membrane, reached the average weight of about 125 mg . Ticks fed with different concentrations of rapamycin had slightly lower weights, while ticks fed with

10 nM concentration of rapamycin reached higher weights than the control group. Feeding ticks on different rapamycin concentrations did not yield any consistent results pointing to the rapamycin toxicity to ticks. (Fig. 25).

We have further evaluated the oviposition success of females fed with AKT inhibitor or rapamycin (Tab. 14).

In the case of AKT inhibitor, the results are obscured by a relatively low oviposition success in the control (ethanol) treated ticks, suggesting that this solvent might not be appropriate for membrane feeding experiments. Han et al. (2007) studied the mechanism of AKT inhibitor (A-443654) in human cancer cell lines. These authors demonstrated that AKT inhibitor was able to inhibit the phosphorylation of the downstream components in the ISP. No effect of this compound on the tick feeding and oviposition could be explained by low concentration and/or low stability of this inhibitor in the blood-meal diet.

In the case of rapamycin feeding, the first experiment indicated that oviposition was impaired in all tested concentration compared to the control. Unfortunately, the differences were not that apparent in the second experiment (Tab. 14). Rapamycin is immunosuppressive component produced by Streptomyces hygroscopicus, acts as the main inhibitor of the TOR activity (Ballou and Lin, 2008; Vézina et al., 1975). In the mosquito A. aegypti the treatment of fat bodies with rapamycin $(150 \mathrm{nM})$ was assessed. It was demonstrated the inhibition of synthesis of vitellogenin and S6K kinase, which is another downstream component of TOR pathway (Roy et al., 2007; Hansen et al., 2004). In the tick H. longicornis, the treatment with rapamycin confirmed the reduction of the vitellogenin and S6K kinase as well (Umemiya et al., 2012b). Rapamycin treatment of tick embryonic cells BME-26 derived from R. microplus showed the reduction of the cells viability (Waltero et al., 2019). In our membrane feeding experiment with I. ricinus, we did not observe any significant differences in weights of engorged ticks in comparison to the control group.

Tab 14: The success in oviposition of artificially fed ticks

| Treatments | $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | $0.1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 10 nM | Control |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AKT inhibitor | $6 / 11$ | X | $3 / 10$ | X | $7 / 9$ | $4 / 4$ | $5 / 12$ | $11 / 24$ |
| Rapamycin (1) | $4 / 7$ | X | $2 / 7$ | X | $4 / 13$ | $4 / 8$ | $4 / 7$ | $7 / 8$ |
| Rapamycin (2) | X | $8 / 14$ | $6 / 15$ | $13 / 18$ | $7 / 15$ | $3 / 14$ | X | $8 / 12$ |

## 5. Conclusion

The aim of this thesis was to verify the physiological function of $\operatorname{Ir}$ TOR and $\operatorname{IrAKT}$. More importantly, focused on examination of the molecular and functional characterization of the $I r \operatorname{InR}$ which had never been described before in any type of tick species. The sequences were analyzed and subsequently conserved domains as well as superfamilies were described. Alignments of each gene showed a high homology with other species.

The tissues relative expression, examined by qRT-PCR, showed the highest level of mRNA in ovaries of semi-engorged ticks. The ovarian mRNA of each gene was examined during tick feeding and after its detachment. Increasing expression trend from unfed stage to full fed stage of each gene was observed. After the ticks detachment, the mRNA levels of IrInR and IrAKT were fluctuating, while $I r$ TOR mRNA level was decreasing towards 12 days after detachment. RNAi silencing was performed in unfed adult females. The weights of IrInR, IrAKT, and IrTOR fully fed females were lower compared to the control (GFP) group. Most significant differences were performed in IrAKT dsRNA group. The body size and weights of IrAKT dsRNA injected ticks were significantly lower. Furthermore, these injected ticks had the longest feeding duration, but they were not able to complete their blood-feeding. Additionally, IrAKT injected group did not succeed in oviposition. In addition, connection between the body size and level of knockdown was confirmed upon injection with $I r$ InR dsRNA.

Recombinant protein of $\operatorname{Ir}$ INR fragment was expressed, purified, refolded and used for the immunization of the rabbits. Ticks fed on the immunized rabbits did not show any differences in comparison with tick fed on a control rabbit.

Detection of the authentic $I r \operatorname{InR}$ protein in tick tissues was assessed. $I r \operatorname{InR}$ protein was recognized in salivary glands, ovaries and Malphigian tubules of around 80 kDa . Ovaries and salivary glands were examined using SDS-PAGE and Western Blot analysis upon injection with $I r$ InR dsRNA or GFP dsRNA. Some differences in protein intensity were observed in ovaries upon RNAi, while no differences in salivary glands were detected.

As another option how to alternatively assess the function of the $\operatorname{IrInR}, \operatorname{Ir} \mathrm{AKT}$, and $\operatorname{Ir} \mathrm{TOR}$, an injection with insulin receptor antagonist (IRA) and in vitro feeding with AKT and TOR inhibitors were carried out. No influence of these compounds on ticks phenotype was observed.
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### 7.1. The deposited complete coding sequences for $\operatorname{Ir} \operatorname{InR}, \operatorname{Ir} \mathrm{AKT}$, and IrTOR in the GenBank
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6181 tgggacctgt 6241 ctggagctgc 6301 ccggggagct
gcgtggttcc gctcgctgga acccaaccct gcctgggcct tgcgcaccgt ccatcgtgag tctggatagt tgtcgctggg tgttcatgca agaagtttgg tgtttgactc tcctctcgga gcaccctgga tggtccagct cgcacaagat cactggtgga agcagtcgga tctgctcggc ggctggagtg tgcgctcctg cggccttcct acttccagaa tcgccgagtt gtgaacgagc ttcacaatgg agcagcctga tgaaagtgaa acgggcaggc gcctggaggt agaattcgga tcgagaagtg gtgctttcca tcattgacaa atagccgagc tccagtacaa tccagggctg tggtcaagcc ctggacgcct cgaaccccaa acatgtggaa cgacgtttcc cgcaaaggac aggagtgtgt tggctactga tcgaggccgt tgcaggcgca tgggggagtc ctgtacaggg tgaggctgct cggagcgggt cccggctgga tcggccgcaa tgccggctcg ggctcgtcaa acgagctttg tcaagggcat ccctcaggga ggtgcaagaa actaccacgt agtatgtctc acaacccgaa
gccggaaagc ggagttctac gggccagcac gcgctgcgtg agacaacagc gcagcacatc aaacagtccg gccggacttc cgacatgagc ctgcaacttg tgccgacatc gtctctggac cacaaccccg aggtaaaaag cacccacgac agacgacggg ggaccccccg cagcctggag gctgcggagg ttggtccctg gtcctgttgg ggcgctcgtg catggagcac catgaagtgc tccgacgaca ggcggctgca ggagcgctgg acgggagcag gctcggagaa cgtcaaccag ggagacgatg ccaggctgtg ggctagagat ctatggtgcc gctcgttccc ccagaggata caaagaggac ggctcagtcc ccagcccctg gagcaaccag cgccactgcc agagcaccaa gcaaggcatc gcacgacaac gctgttcttc gcaggcacag gcagcgcaca tttctttcgc gaccotgtgg gaaccgggcc caccccccgc gcacccccag cacccgagca ccaggccgtc gcatgagggc gttcgccacc gacctccttc gtatcagcgc cttccggcgg tccgaaactg ccaaccggtc
caagagctgg ccggccatgg cacaccaacg ccctacgtgc ttcagggagt cgcaactacc atccagtcga aagatgtacc gcagaccgtg gacgactacc cccatgaacg ttctccgagt gagctgcgct tacaagatct cgctacaacg gaggcgttca atgccaaacg aggctgtgga ctgagcattg gcacacagct gtgtacctgg gatcaggaca tgcgagaagg agggcctatg gaagtgcttg ggagtcctgg tacgagaagc aggccgggcg tgggaatcac cagaagatgg gaggagtatg cttgcagtgc ctgatcgaca atggtgcaag gaacgcaggg gtggaggact atgcgtacct cataggacgc cccacgacat aaggagaatg aacctgaacc aagctgctgg aacgaaaact aactggtaca aagcaccagg caggggcagc ggcctgacgg tccatcgctc tttgactacg cccatggaga gccctggtgg gctctcattt gccctgcgag acggtgagcg ctggaggagg ctggagcccc aaccaggcct tccctcaacg atttctaaac ctgaagtgcc atccgcatcg
gcgcgagcga aatgctggtg tggtgtcaac gctgatgcgc tggtgcaggc ggtggtcttc cccaggtgct gccctccctg tcctcttcca gcagctggct tggacgacat cttcgctctt cgatcatcat gctggtggag tgcccaagtt ggtgccccac ccgtcaccgc caagctgctg tgcatctcat cctccctccc ttaggatcac cgccctggag ttgcggcccg catcatccac cgcaagccat gaacaccttg tcgttcccct ggtgtccaag ccctggtcac caggattgtc gcctggagaa gcgtctcacc tggacgtgac catggtcaag cgccgtgcaa gcgtgtctcg agctgctcaa ggcatcccca acaaccagct ccccaaggac cggacaatga ccagaaggag ttcccgagat cacccagacg ggcccctgcc tctgaatcag ccaaggccct ccactacaag aagctctcat tagcatcaac actatgccac caagtgccac tgcacgactg ggacaatgcc acgtcgagct catccttggc tatacgaact ggcgagtgac cgagaatggc ttcggcagct tgacggtgat ccctcgggag ataaagaaaa cttccaagtg cagacctcac tgccatggtg tccagatgct ggccgaactg aagcaatcaa gcagaagtgg ggcagaggat cctgcagctc ggctcaagtt cagcagtctc tggtgacgct gctgggatcg accctgcagt cacgtttgcc cgctgagaca gctgcaccac acgtgagcgt ccccattccg caaggtgcta cctgaagctg ccattcccat gatccttcat aggcgtggca cgcgtgggcc cccagcagtg tggcaatgcc agcatgggag cggggagatg cgcagcacat caaggagtac tatctcacgg cagcactctt gccactggcc ggaggtgaac cctggctgca ggtgatcccc ccagcctcgt ccacgagctg accccctcac cgttgcttcc cgcttggtgt catgcgggag aggaactgat ccgggtggcc cctcccggct ctactttggg ttcacgccat gatggagagg atgggagaga cctggccgaa tcaaggacct gactcaagct agcttccaca gctgacatct aggactttga gctcgcggtg ctcgcattga gagctccctg
6361 caggtcatca cgagcaagca gaggccacgc aagctctgca tcaaaggcag caacggaaag
6421 gactacatgt tcctgctgaa agggcacgag gacctgaggc aggacgagcg ggtcatgcag
6481 ctcttcggcc tggtgaacac gctgctggtg aacgatcccg agacgtcgag gaggaacctg
6541 acgatccagc ggtattcggt gatccccctg tcgaccaaca gcggccttat cgggtgggtg
6601 ccccactgcg acactctcca cacgctcatc cgcgactaca gggacaagaa gaagatcctg
6661 ctcaacatcg agcacaggat catgctccgg atggctcccg actacgatca cctgaccctg
6721 atgcagaagg tggaggtatt tgagcatgcg ctcgagcaca ccaatgggga cgacctggca
6781 aagctgctct ggctcaaaag ccccagctcg gaggtctggt tcgatcggcg aactaactac
6841 accagatccc tcgctgtgat gtccatggtc ggctacgtcc ttggattggg ggacaggcac
6901 ccctcaaacc tgatgctgga ccggctgagc ggcaagatcc tgcacattga ctttggcgac
6961 tgcttcgaag tcgccatgac tagggaaaag tttccggaaa agattccgtt ccgactcacc
7021 cgcatgctca tcaacgccat ggaggtgaca ggcattgagg gcacgtaccg catgacctgc
7081 gagaaggtga tgaaggtgct acggggcaac aaggatagcc tcatggccgt cttggaggcc
7141 tttgtctacg accctcttct gaactggagg ctcatggacg ctcaacccaa agtgaagcat
7201 tcaaagacaa gaagtggatc tgctccctgt agtcaagacc aaggagacat tttggagact
7261 gtggacattg gctcgcagcc aaccgccaag aaggcagtcg acacatccgg agttggagat
7321 ggagaggcgg cccagcccga agccctgaac aagaaggctc ttgccatcat caacagggtc
7381 cgggacaagc tcacggggcg ggactttgcg cccgacgaga ccctagacgt tccggagcag
7441 gtggagctcc tgatcaagca ggccacgtcg cacgagaatc tatgccagtg ctacatagga
7501 tggtgcccat tttggtga
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    ##Assembly-Data-END##
FEATURES
                                Location/Qualifiers
        source
                        1..1593
                            /organism="Ixodes ricinus"
                            /mol_type="mRNA"
                            /db_xref="taxon:34613"
    CDS
    1..1593
        /note="IrAKT"
        /codon_start=1
                            /product="serine/threonine protein kinase Akt"
                            /protein_id="QGN03466"
/translation="MEPPLAPQRASLGLQGVVPLAGVSPLVAVASPIEPLVIEPQAAI
VKEGWLNKRGEHIKNWRKRYFVLRDDGTLIGFKLKPEHGYTDPLNNFTVKGCQLMKSD
RPRPFTFIIRGLQWTTVIERMFSVESEEDREDWVRAIQLVSDQLQVDEDVEMTEPRDE
MALRDKFSVSTRTYASGNRISLDNFEFLKVLGKGTFGKVVLCREKATGALYAIKILKK
KVVIDKDEVAHTLTENRVLRSTKHPFLISLRYSFQTADRLCFVMEYVNGGELFFHLSR
ERVFTEERTRFYGAEILLALEYLHGQGIIYRDLKLENLLLDKDGHVKIADFGLCKEDI

ORIGIN
1 atggagcctc ccctggcgcc ccagcgggcg tccttgggcc tccagggggt cgtccccctg 61 gctggagtga gccccctggt ggcggtggcc agccccatcg agccccttgt catcgagcca 121 caggccgcca tcgtcaagga gggctggctc aacaaacgag gtgaacacat caagaactgg 181 cgcaagcgct acttcgtcct tcgggatgat ggcacgctca tcggcttcaa gctgaagccg 241 gagcacggct acacagatcc cctgaacaac ttcaccgtga aaggctgcca gctgatgaag 301 tcggaccggc ccaggccctt caccttcatc atccgagggc tccagtggac caccgtcatc 361 gagcgcatgt tcagcgtaga gtctgaggaa gacagggagg actgggtgcg ggccatccag 421 ctggtctcgg accaactcca agtggacgag gacgtggaga tgacggagcc ccgcgacgaa 481 atggcgctac gcgacaagtt cagcgtgtcc acccgaacct acgccagcgg caaccgcatc 541 agcctggaca actttgagtt cctcaaggtc ctgggcaagg gcacattcgg caaggtggtg 601 ctgtgccgcg agaaggccac gggtgccctc tacgccatca agatcctcaa gaagaaagtg 661 gtcatcgaca aggacgaggt ggcccacacg ctgacggaga accgggtcct gcggagcacc 721 aagcacccgt tcctcatctc 781 gtcatggagt atgtcaacgg 841 accgaggagc ggacgcgctt c
901 ggccagggca t
961 cacgtcaaga
1021
1081
1141
1201
1261
1321 ttcttccogt
1381 ccgcaggtca
1441 gtccagctga cccctcccga g
1501 ccctacttcc agcagttctc gtacca
1561 tcggccaccg accgccggcc cgtgctatcg tga
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TITLE
in
JOURNAL Unpublished
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Assembly Method :: EditSeq v. 5.05
Sequencing Technology :: Illumina
\#\#Assembly-Data-END\#\#
FEATURES Location/Qualifiers
source 1..4491
/organism="Ixodes ricinus"
/mol type="mRNA"
/db_xref="taxon:34613"
CDS
1..4491
/note="IrINR"
/codon_start=1
/product="insulin receptor-related protein"
/protein_id="QGN03467"

```
/translation="MAALQKRASVDLAPESQSLRDPGRKICGRIEVRNRISSLVSQLG
NCSVVEGSLMVMLTRSEELWGNVSFPQLTEITGFLFFYRAVGLQSLGRLFPNLAVIRG
SELFHNYALVVFEMESLVELGLSRLTDIRRGAVRIEKNPNLCHADTVDWGRIAPHSVD

1 atggcggcac tccagaagcg agcatctgtg gacctggcac cagagagcca atcactcagg
61 gaccctggcc gaaagatctg cggccgaatc gaggtgcgga accgcatcag cagcctggtg
121 tcccagctgg gcaactgctc ggtggtggag ggctccctga tggtgatgct gacccgcagc
181 gaggagctct ggggcaatgt gagcttccct cagctcacgg agatcacggg cttcctcttc
241 ttctaccggg cagtcgggct gcagtccctg gggcgcctct ttcccaacct ggctgtcata
301 cggggcagcg aactcttcca caactatgcc ctggtggtgt tcgagatgga gtcgttggtg
361 gagctggggt tgtctcgact cacggacatc cggcggggcg cggtgcgcat cgagaagaac
421 cccaacctgt gccacgctga
481 gggcactaca tccaggacaa
541 ccccagggca cgggcggcag
601 cctccgggct gcgaccgtaa
661 gaccccaagt gcctgggcgg
721 gcgtgcctcc actacacata

1621 aagacggccg acgcggaccc cggggtgaac atgcagatca ttgcccacct gaagcccttc

1681 acccagtacg 1741 agcgacatca 1801 gtgacgccgt 1861 ggggacgtgc 1921 tacctggggg 1981 gaacggcggg 2041 ccggcgacgc 2101 cgggaccggg 2161 cacaacatag 2221 cggcggtcct 2281 gaggggcccg 2341 ctgttgacgg 2401 ttctgtggct 2461 gagtactcca 2521 gacccgtcgg 2581 gagtccatca 2641 acggtggtgg 2701 gtcaagtggg 2761 aagatggtct 2821 ttccggcacg 2881 atggcctcct 2941 gagcgctctg 3001 atggtgctcg 3061 gaagtgcccg 3121 gagccagacg 3181 ggctccttcg 3241 accaaatgcg 3301 cttcaggaag 3361 gtcgtgtcaa 3421 aagagctatc 3481 cgaggccagc 3541 atggcctatc 3601 gtggccgagg 3661 acggactact 3721 tccctcaagg 3781 tgggagatgg 3841 aagtatgtca 3901 cagatcatga 3961 atcgagatgc 4021 tcgtacctca 4081 ggcgctgcgg 4141 gcggagacgc 4201 aacgacatgg 4261 gacgacgacg 4321 ggcgccggtg 4381 ttgccgtcgg 4441 aacggacgca
cagtgtacgt cctatttcaa ccaaggactc gcttctaccg agggccggga agggttcgag ccaagaacgg agctcactga tcttccagaa tccgggagcg cccttgtggc aggacccctg gggtcgccaa tacgggtgct attgcgacac cgcggatacg tgcagtacga ccccgccccc cccaggagaa gcgggcgggt ccctggcagg agggcatcac ccttcctcgc gcggcatctt aatgggaggt gcatggtcta ccgtcaagac cagctgtgat aggaccagcc tgcgctctca cgcccagcct tgacggccag acctgacggt accgcaaggg acggcatctt ccaccctggc tcagcggcgg cgctgtgctg tgctgaacga aggggcaaga ggggcgcccc ccctacgcca acgacgaccg acgacgtctg cgccaagcgg acggcagcaa tgtgcttctc
caaggcctac accctgccca gacgctaccc gcagccccga gaagctgatg atcagctggg ggtggtgggc atcgcccagc ctactgcgtg gacccggcgc tcccacgccc gaggtgccgg cgctccagca ggacgaagcg gaggcccaag ggagagcggg ggcctctccc cgcctcgccg cgagacgcag ggcctgtcac ggacgccgtc caccctgccg gaacacgacg ggatcccaac gttcaagccg gatccacggg gccgggcaac gcagggcacg cgtcacctgc gtacgcttcc tccccgggag cgaggggctc ggtgaacgag gaaggccttt cgtgtatgtg caggcctccc gaagcagatc caagtttgtg caagatcggg gggcaagggc caccagccac atctcagccc catcatggag ggagcgcaac cgtgagcagc gcgctctgga ctccgccgga ggctccgtcg gctgcagcgg cgtcggggac ccgccgcggg ggggagcaag gcagcagggc
gaggcaacct gaggagcggt aagggtgccg gaggcgagca gcctcctccc tcgggcggca atgctccagg cagaagctca ttcaacgggt ctcgcggatg gtgtcggaca ggcttcatcg ttccagtttt ctggccccgg tggacgcgcc gtgattgcca gtcctctacc ttcaatccgg tccatcaact atctataacc agtgcgtcca agctgccaac atcatggagc accgagggcg ctgcagatgg caccgggacc gacttcggca ctgctgcccg tcggacgtct taccaggggc aagccagaga ccgcggctgc catttccggg gcgcccggag gacgcggagg gcgggcttca tgtttctcgg gtgtgctgtg tgctctccgc gtgagcaacc agtcggacta
ctgccgagca gggggcccag gccagccgca gaacctgaag tgccccccaa gtaccccaat ccagcgcccc cctgcaccac tcgggattcg tgggaacccg ataggccggc gccgaaggcc gtcccccatg tccgggacag cgagctttga ggacaaggtc gaggaggcga ccatcggcgg gcaacgcgct ggtggccacg cggccccccg gggggaccag acggcagcga gcaccaccac aaggcctgca ccacttcacc agagcaagta ctacaggggg ctgccatgtg ctgcagcgtg cggacgacat cgacagctcg gcgtgggggc ggggctgctg tctcctacca ggtcgaatac gcgtgtcgca ccacgagttc ggaactactc cttccgcgtc ctgtctactt cgtcatccac tctgcctggt ggtggtggtg agaggaagaa gaggaatccc agtacgtcag ctcggtgtac tggtcaaggc cctgggccag tcaagccgga caagccggag tgcgtgagcg catcgagttc acgttgtgaa gctgcttggc tcatgtcaaa cggggacctc aagaggacgg ctcgcagccc cggcggagat tgcggacggc tggcggcccg caactgcatg tgacgcggga catctacgag tgcggtggat ggctccggag ggtcctatgg agtggtgctg tttccaacga gcaggtgctc actgcccgga gaagctgtac gccccaactt cgtccaagtg aggtctcctt ctaccacacc accgcccctc cgccccgagc acgaagagga ggactcgacg acccggactc gtcgtctctc actgcataga cgacgacgaa acgtggtggc ggcggggggt cggggacacg cgacgagaag tgtccaacgg aagcatcatc ccgcttgcta 9

\subsection*{7.2. Alignments}

\subsection*{7.2.1. IrInR}

The alignment of the deduced amino acid sequence of \(I r \operatorname{InR}\) with InR of black-legged tick Ixodes scapularis (accession number: XP_002416224.1), InR of horseshoe crab Limulus polyphemus (accession number: XP_022253681.1), InR of kissing bug Rhodnius prolixus (avaible on www.uniprot.org under the classification T1HQC7) and InR of Homo sapiens (accession number: P06213.4). For the alignment of the sequences, the ClustalOmega using ClustalW method was used and presented using the BoxShade software.

Recombinant protein is indicated with a red line (position 141-442). Green line indicates the \(\alpha\) subunit (position 25-745), blue line indicates the \(\beta\) subunit (position 748-1338). Orange line represents transmembrane region (position 986-1013). Black star indicates arginine-rich cleavage.
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\(1374\)

\subsection*{7.2.2. IrAKT}

The alignment of the deduced amino acid sequence of IrAKT with HIAKT of cattle tick Haemaphysalis longicornis (accession number: AB601888.1), AKT of mosquito Aedes aegypti (accession number: AAP3765), AKT1 isoform A of fruit fly Drosophila melanogaster (accession number: NP_732114.1) and AKT1 of Homo sapiens (accession number: NP_001014431.1). For the alignment of the sequences, the ClustalOmega using ClustalW method was used and presented using the BoxShade software.

Blue line indicates the PH domain (position 57-146). Red line indicates the serine/threonine kinase domain (position 185-442). The hydrophobic motif is indicated with green line (FQQFSY, position 503-508).
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522 ATDRRPVIIS
521 ASDRKAILS
520 NPNSYVSMQ
522 TSTSLASMQ

\subsection*{7.2.3. IrTOR}

The alignment of the deduced amino acid sequence of \(\operatorname{Ir}\) TOR with TOR of cattle tick Haemaphysalis longicornis (accession number: AB716688.1), TOR of mosquito Anopheles darlingi (accession number: ETN59302.1), partial sequence of TOR of kissing bug (accession number: MK598842), TOR of fruit fly Drosophila melanogaster (accession number: NP_001260427.1) and TOR of Homo sapiens (accession number: NP_004949.1). For the alignment of the sequences, the ClustalOmega using ClustalW method was used and presented using the BoxShade software.
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\begin{tabular}{lrl} 
I_ricinus & 2444 & AQPEALNKKALAIINRVRDKLTGRDFAPDETLDVPEQVELLIKQATSHENLCQCYIGWCPFW \\
H_longicornis & 2462 & AQPEALNKKALAIINRVRDKLTGRDFAPDETLDVPEQVELLIKQATSHENLCQCYIGWCPFW \\
R_microplus & 398 & GPEALNKKALAIINRVRDKLTGRDFAPDETLDVPEQVELLIKQATSHENLCQCYIGWCPFW \\
A_darlingi & 2509 & NPADVTNKKARAIVDRVKDKLTGRDFGPEPVAVNRQLDLLIQQATNNENLCQCYIGWCPFW \\
D_melanogaster & 2410 & NVADETNSKASQVIKRVKCKLTGTDFQTEKSVNEQSQVELLIQQATNNENLCQCYIGWCPFW \\
H_sapiens & 2488 & VKPEALNKKA QIINRVRDKLTGRDFSHDPTLDVPTQVELLIKQATSHENLCQCYIGWCPFW
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{7.3. Photos of the dsRNA injected groups of ticks}

\subsection*{7.3.1. GFP dsRNA injected (control) group of ticks}

after 3 days of feeding

after 7 days of feeding
7.3.2. IrInR dsRNA injected group of ticks


\subsection*{7.3.3. IrAKT dsRNA injected group of ticks}


\subsection*{7.3.4. \(\operatorname{Ir}\) TOR dsRNA injected group of ticks}
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