

# CZECH UNIVERSITY OF LIFE SCIENCES PRAGUE

Faculty of Economics and Management

## Evaluation of the Bachelor Thesis by Opponent

Thesis Title **Various approaches to measuring quality of life**

Name of the student **Aibiike Jakipova**

Thesis supervisor **Ing. Zuzana Pacáková, Ph.D.**

Department **Department of Statistics**

Opponent **Ing. Tomáš Hlavsa, Ph.D.**

<b>Formulation of objectives and Choice of appropriate methods and methodology used</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<b>Work with data and information</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Logical process being used</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<b>The structure of paragraphs and chapters</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<b>Work with scientific literature (quotations, norms)</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Comprehensibility of the text and level of language</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<b>Clarity and professionalism of expression in the thesis</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<b>Formal presentation of the work, the overall impression</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<b>Fulfillment of objectives, formulation of conclusions</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<b>Summary and key-words comply with the content the thesis</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Evaluation of the work by grade (1, 2, 3, 4)</b>				<b>4</b>

Evaluation: 1 = the best

Date 29/04/2021

.....  
Signature of Opponent

**Other comments or suggestions:**

Author solved interesting topic, a well-being from different perspective comparing to GPD. The thesis consists of compilation of approaches of measuring and understanding well-being. The author uses various sources which is appreciated. Anyway, the thesis does not meet generally the standards required for the thesis. The structure of thesis is confusing. The goal is not clearly defined, the methodology is missing, as well as the practical part-analytical part (there is part titled "Practical part", but it is continuation of literature review but without citations, it is again based on compilation of sources). The paper respect partially formal standards, the citation should be improved, some figures (i.e. chart 2, diagram 2.1) are not self-explanatory, and they do not contain any units of measure. To consider the thesis relevant for defence it should be significantly improved. I grade the thesis as "failed" and I recommend it for major revision.

**Questions for thesis defence:**

Since I recommend the thesis for major revision I do not have any specific questions.



Date 29/04/2021

.....  
Signature of Opponent