

List of appendices

Appendix 1: Land-use map, (Geoportal Praha, 2022)

Appendix 2: Porosity map, (Geoportal Praha, 2022)


Appendix 3: Proximity map, (Geoportal Praha, 2022)

Appendix 4: Questionnaire and results

Appendix 1: Land-use map



Appendix 2: Porosity map



Appendix 3: Proximity map




Appendix 4: Questionnaire and results

1. Do urban development and built-in environment considered a threat to urban spatial planning?
2. Will the following mass construction in undeveloped open spaces endanger the city's formation to a non-eco-friendly atmosphere?
3. Do you think that this area has the capability to change thoroughly to a non-motorized open landscape?
4. Are there any chances of intervention for the study site to change the spatial formation?
5. Are there any chances to alter these vast non-usable green spaces to one eco-user friendly?
6. Do you prefer to see non-motorized vehicles in this newly implemented area?
7. What are the chances you occasionally travel within this newly implemented green area?
8. Do you think this green-blue corridor can simultaneously help the environment and people?


Answers: Strongly agree, Agree, Somewhat agree, Somewhat disagree, Disagree, Strongly disagree.

Visual index	Corridor A	Corridor C	Corridor E	Corridor G
	0.054	0.08	0.084	0.037
Continuity	Corridor A	Corridor C	Corridor E	Corridor G
	0.111	0.129	0.117	0.122
Continuity of Facades	Corridor A	Corridor C	Corridor E	Corridor G
	0.076	0.1	0.056	0.11
Natural Landscape	Corridor A	Corridor C	Corridor E	Corridor G
	0.419	0.216	0.28	0.495
Aesthetical Qualitative Elements	Corridor A	Corridor C	Corridor E	Corridor G
	0.011	0.009	0.01	0.005
Cityscape Elements	Corridor A	Corridor C	Corridor E	Corridor G
	0.661	0.38	0.183	0.151
Readability	Corridor A	Corridor C	Corridor E	Corridor G
	0.835	0.912	0.933	0.672
Final Value	1.33	0.914	0.73	0.92



Final value of quality criteria in the casea study sequence. It is desirable to have a value of less than 0.1 for each parameter

Criteria	Weight
Continuity	0.169
Readability	0.204
Visual index	0.121
Cityscape Elements	0.135
Aesthetical Qualitative Elements	0.104
Natural Landscape	0.123
Continuity of Facades	0.130



Standard deviation based on interviewees perspective on the defined corridor (The inconsistency index is 0.0943. It is desirable to have a value of less than 0.1)