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## Annotation

This thesis focuses on the silk components of the Mediterranean moth, Ephestia kuehniella, and the discovery of a novel silk gene, P150/ser6, in the silkworm, Bombyx mori. We analyzed and described the cocoon silk components in both species. In the first publication, we combined transcriptomic, genomic, and proteomic approaches to identify silk proteins in E. kuehniella. In the second publication, we described the discovery of gene P150/sericin6 in B. mori based on microsynteny analysis.
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## Introduction

## Overview

Silk is composed of polymers secreted by ectodermal glands in organisms such as Insecta, Arachnida, and Myriapoda (Sehnal and Žurovec, 2004). The use of natural silk dates back approximately 5,000 years when the silkworm Bombyx mori was domesticated from the wild silkworm B. mandarina (Underhill, 1997). Biologically, organisms produce silk for various purposes. Ballooning, which involves aerial dispersal using silk, has been documented in the lepidopteran superfamily Tineoidea, Yponomeutoidea, Gelechioidea, Cossoidea, Sesioidea, Tortricoidea, Pyraloidea, Geometroidea, and Noctuoidea (Bell et al., 2005). In the case of the green lacewing Nineta flava (Neuroptera), females produce silk to create an egg stalk that aids in attaching eggs to the leaves of the host plant (Lucas et al., 1957). The pyralid moth Bradyrrhoa gilveolella is renowned for constructing feeding tubes to facilitate larval foraging (Caresche and Wapshere, 1975). Many moths construct silk cocoons during the late-instar larval stage to shield pupae from bacterial infections and potential predation during metamorphosis (Offord et al., 2016). Thanks to its impressive extensibility, tensile strength, sustainability, and biodegradability, silk has long been considered an exemplary material for high-performance artificial fibers and is widely utilized in the arms industry, biomedicine, composite materials manufacturing, and cosmetics industry (Holland et al., 2019; Eom et al., 2020; Das et al., 2021; Siengchin, 2023).

## Silk components and structure

Silk of B. mori is synthesized in silk glands (SGs), a pair of tubular-shaped, highly specialized labial glands. SG is divided into three compartments with distinct boundaries: posterior silk gland (PSG), middle silk gland (MSG), and anterior silk gland (ASG), each of which constitutes approximately 350, 255, and 520 cells (Perdrix-Gillot, 1979). The fibrous core protein, fibroin, is synthesized in the PSG and subsequently moved into the MSG and coated with the glue protein sericin. After being transported to the ASG, the condensed, aqueous proteins undergo a phase transition and are converted to solid fibers and spun at the spinneret (Wang et al., 2017).


Figure 1. The silk glands of $B$. mori. The SG is divided into anterior silk gland (red), middle silk gland (dark orange, orange and yellow) and posterior silk gland (black). Major silk genes includes fibroin heavy chain ( $H$-fib), fibroin light chain ( $L-f i b$ ), and P25/fibroinhexamerin (fhx), sericin 1 (ser-l) and sericin 2 (ser-2). Color blocks next to the gene names indicate compartments where genes primarily express (Julien et al., 2005).

The natural silk is composed of the core protein, fibroin, and the glue protein, sericin. Fibroin is a macromolecular complex, which typically consists of three proteins: fibroin heavy chain (FibH, 350 kDa ), fibroin light chain (FibL, 26 kDa ), and glycoprotein P25/ Fibrohexamerin (P25, approximately 30 kDa ). By comparing the genomic and cDNA sequences of two naked pupa mutant strains, $N d-s$ and $N d-s^{D}$, to the sequences of the wildtype strain J-139, it was shown that a disulfide bond plays a crucial role in associating the residue Cys-c20 of FibH and the residue Cys-172 of FibL (Mori et al., 1995). Mutants carrying homozygous FibL mutant alleles $N d-s^{D}$ fail to combine the FibH and FibL proteins, which severely decreases the amount of fibroin production to less than $0.3 \%$ (Takei et al., 1987). P25, on the other hand, is not associated with FibH via covalent bonds but via hydrophobic interactions; it contains Asn-linked oligosaccharide chains, which has been suggested could play a role in assisting the folding of FibH (Tanaka et al., 1999). An


Figure 2. Schematic diagrams show (A) the components of an elementary unit of B. mori fibroin, including FibH, FibL and P25, and (B) the sequence structure of FibH. R1-R12 represent 12 repetitive blocks, interleaved with 11 amorphous regions A1-A11. Sequences of repeat types (i, ii and iii) and the consensus sequence of amorphous regions (iv) are indicated (Reizabal et al., 2023).
elementary unit of B. mori fibroin consists of FibH, FibL and P25 in a molar ratio of 6:6:1 (Inoue et al., 2000).

The complete sequence of B. mori FibH was resolved in 2000 by a hybrid strategy of shotgun sequencing and physical map-directed sequencing. The gene contains two exons, 67 bp and 15750 bp , intervened by a 971-bp intron (Zhou et al., 2000). The most abundant amino acids in the composition of FibH include Glycine (45.9\%), Alanine (30.3\%), Serine ( $12.1 \%$ ), and Tyrosine (5.3\%). Overall, the amino acid sequence can be delineated as N - and C -terminus non-repetitive regions and a central, core repetitive region. The repetitive region can be further subdivided into 12 repetitive blocks and 11 amorphous blocks. Three repetitive
motifs are observed throughout the full sequence: (i) Gly-Ala-Gly-Ala-Gly-Ser motif, (ii) Gly-Ala-Gly-Ala-(Gly-Val)-Gly-Tyr motif, and (iii) Gly-Ala-Gly-Ala-Gly-Ser-Gly-Ala-AlaSer motif. Due to amino acid composition, the repetitive blocks and the amorphous blocks are hydrophobic and hydrophilic, respectively (Koh et al., 2015; Reizabal et al., 2023).

Although it has been shown that P 25 is a typical component of fibroin in various lepidopteran species, it was not detected in the Japanese oak silkmoth Antheraea yamamai (Saturniidae), the ghost moth Hepialus californicus (Hepialidae), and Trichoptera (Tanaka and Mizuno, 2001; Yonemura et al., 2009; Collin et al., 2010). In B. mori, it is suggested that the N -glycosylated P25 protein is involved in maintaining the structural stability of the fibroin complex (Inoue et al., 2000). Zabelina et al. (2021) generated P25 mutants via transcription activator-like effector nuclease (TALEN)-mediated gene knockout in B. mori; subsequent characterization of these mutants corroborated the role of P25 in stabilizing the fibroin proteins during luminal transport by, possibly, regulating the solubility of fibroin globules in the SG lumen.

Sericin is a glue protein that wraps around and holds silk fibers together. Because sericin contains a high ratio of polar amino acids (such as serine, aspartic acid, and lysine), it is water-soluble and the hydrophilic properties of the side chains are crucial for crosslinking and modification (Liu et al., 2022). Up to now, multiple sericins have been identified in B. mori. The sizes of the identified sericin proteins vary from approximately 123 kDa to 331 kDa . Alternative splicing to create protein isoforms has been reported in Ser $1, \operatorname{Ser} 2$ and Ser4. The expression profile of these glue proteins has been well characterized. Spatially, the genes Ser $1, \operatorname{Ser} 4$ and Ser 5 are expressed in the middle and posterior parts of the middle silk gland (MSG); Ser 2 is detected mainly in the anterior and the middle parts of MSG; Ser 3 is predominately expressed in the anterior part of MSG. Temporally, Ser 1 and Ser 2 are expressed throughout the larval developmental stage, mainly in the $5^{\text {th- }}$ instar larvae (Ser 1 ) and in the $3^{\text {rd }}$ and $4^{\text {th }}$ instar larvae (Ser 2 ), respectively; Ser 3 is specifically expressed in the
 before the $5^{\mathrm{h}}$ instar larval stage, a pattern similar to the expression of Ser 4 . The spatiotemporal expression pattern of sericin genes leads to the difference in the composition of non-cocoon silk and cocoon silk. According to the proposed model, the fibroin filaments of non-cocoon silk, which are secreted by young (the $1^{\text {st }}-4^{\text {th }}$ instar) larvae, are coated with, from the innermost to the outermost layer, Ser4, Ser5, and Ser2 glue proteins; the fibroin filaments


Figure 3. Proposed structure of multiple-layered non-cocoon silk secreted by the $1^{\text {st }}-4^{\text {th }}$ instar larvae (upper panel) and cocoon silk by the $5^{\text {th }}$ instar larvae (lower panel). The core protein, fibroin, is produced in PSG; sericin 1-5 are secreted in different parts of MSG and ASG and covering fibroin (Guo et al., 2022).
of cocoon silk, which are secreted by the $5^{\text {th }}$-instar larvae, are coated with Ser1, Ser3 and Ser2 from the innermost to the outermost layer (Guo et al., 2022). In addition to silk structure, sericin is involved in the cellular immune system (Ye et al., 2021). Its photoluminescent property also makes it a candidate carrier for medical applications such as in vivo bio-imaging and tracking (Wang et al., 2014). Recent research successfully generated piggyBac-mediated transgenic silkworms to express Ser3 ectopically in PSG; the resulting cocoon silk gained improved properties (tensile strength, moisture absorption and liberation, water solubility and stability), which demonstrates an efficient way to manipulate the silk structure in a living organism (Chen et al., 2022).

In addition to fibroin and sericin, several proteins have been identified in lepidopteran silk, especially the antimicrobial proteins. Seroin was first described in the greater wax moth Galleria mellonella. The name was coined because it was found in MSG and PSG, where sericin and fibroin were detected (Zurovec et al., 1998). Seroin 1 and 2 have shown antimicrobial activity and therefore might play a role in protecting pupae against pathogenic microbes (Singh et al., 2014). Seroin 3 was first identified by Dong et al. (2016), although its
low protein content implies insignificant antimicrobial activity. Kucerova et al. (2019) screened silk gland specific transcriptomes and publicly available datasets of multiple species to propose a comprehensive classification system of seroins in Lepidoptera. Other protease inhibitors were also identified in cocoon silk to inhibit the growth of fungi, including phosphatidylethanolamine-binding protein (PEBP) and serine proteinase inhibitors (serpins), trypsin inhibitor-like (TIL)-type protease inhibitors and Kunitz-type protease inhibitors (Guo et al., 2015; Li et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2020b, 2020a).

## Genome resources of B. mori

Nowadays, whole genome sequencing (WGS) data has become an inseparable part in many fields of biology. Since the 1990s, due to the improvement of automation technology in Sanger sequencing, it has become attainable to acquire complete genome sequencing data. Genome drafts of various model organisms, such as the budding yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae ( 12.15 Mb ), the nematode Caenorhabditis elegans ( 100.29 Mb ), the fruit fly Drosophila melanogaster (142.73 Mb), the mouse-ear cress Arabidopsis thaliana (119.67 Mb ), and the house mouse Mus musculus ( 2728.22 Mb ), etc., were subsequently published (Goffeau et al., 1996; C. elegans Sequencing Consortium, 1998; Adams et al., 2000; Chinwalla et al., 2002).

The silkworm, B. mori, has been a lepidopteran model organism due to its great economic importance. Its first two genome drafts were released in 2004 by two independent research teams. Although derived from different strains (p50T and Dazao), both drafts were based on the whole genome shotgun sequencing technique, with 3-and 5.9-fold coverage respectively (Mita et al., 2004; Xia et al., 2004). An update of these two assemblies was released in 2008 in the wake of the collaboration between the two teams; the merged scaffold-level genome assembly, which was derived from the previous two drafts together with end sequences of fosmid and bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) libraries, achieved coverage of 8.5 folds with an N50 size of about 3.7 Mb (The International Silkworm Genome Consortium, 2008).

One major disadvantage of next-generation sequencing (NGS) technologies is the short length of the reads, which cannot span the repetitive regions in genomes. In addition, relying on the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) to amplify the samples inescapably introduces bias against the high GC-rich regions (van Dijk et al., 2018). Different from next-generation
sequencing (NGS), third-generation sequencing (TGS) does not involve a PCR amplification step amid library preparation. On the contrary, it requires long fragments of high-molecularweight DNA for library preparation. Long-read sequencing data generated on two most prominent platforms, single-molecule real-time (SMRT) sequencing from Pacific Biosciences (PacBio), and nanopore long-read sequencing from Oxford Nanopore Technologies (ONT), have been shown to overcome the challenges met in genome assembly, which are mainly caused by the complex, repetitive genomic regions (Goodwin et al., 2016). The latest B. mori reference genome (p50T strain) was released in 2019. This assembly, which was based on a hybrid assembly approach, combines the PacBio long reads and Illumina short reads. The assembly achieves a 140 -fold sequencing depth with a scaffold N 50 of 16.8 Mb (Kawamoto et al., 2019).

Given the advances in sequencing technologies, together with the decreasing sequencing cost, whole genome sequencing projects have moved from focusing on model organisms towards a more diverse, wider selection of individuals and populations. For example, genome phasing, a sequencing approach that considers both paternal and maternal haplotypes in a diploid genome, mitigates the reference bias and enables more accurate variant calling (Ballouz et al., 2019); generation of a pangenome to better represent the diversity within a species has been realized in many organisms (Ding et al., 2018; Badet and Croll, 2020; Bayer et al., 2020; Tettelin and Medini, 2020). The pan-genome of the silkworm, based on 545 highquality, long-read reference assemblies, was published in 2022. This genomic resource uncovered additional 264 domestication-associated and 185 genes trait-improvementassociated genes; the genetic basis of economically important traits and adaptation-related traits, such as the fineness of silk and embryonic diapause, was also revealed (Tong et al., 2022). Moreover, the release of single-cell transcriptomic atlas of B. mori silk glands provides a new approach to deciphering the dynamics of silk synthesis and associating the genotype and phenotype (Ma et al., 2022).

## Genome resources of Ephestia kuehniella

The Mediterranean flour moth E. kuehniella is a cosmopolitan storage pest, which causes economic damage to grains and grain products (CABI, 2023). Although genetic studies on this species date back to the 1950s (Caspari and Gottlieb, 1959), knowledge of E. kuehniella
genetic/genomic information was scarce. Since 2021, two research groups have released genomic data on E. kuehniella. Visser et al. (2021) used Oxford Nanopore technology to construct a long-read assembly of approximately $42 \times$ coverage depth, containing 165 contigs with an N50 size of 8.3 Mb . The assembly was annotated based on ab initio prediction and tissue-specific RNA-seq data, and identified 13882 genes with an N50 of 7.2 kb (Wu et al., 2022). Künstner et al. (2022) published a set of female/male genome and transcriptome assemblies based on short-reads data generated using Illumina paired-end and mate-pair sequencing technologies. These female/male assemblies have 90999/90445 contigs with N50 of $11860 / 12636 \mathrm{bp}$, respectively.

## Synteny-assisted homology detection

Identifying homologous genes is a process of finding unknown sequences based on known sequences. This is the fundamental step to understand gene/protein functions and establish the evolutionary relationships among species. However, when the pairwise sequence identity is lower than $\sim 30 \%$ and the signal falls from the safe zone into the twilight zone, the sequence similarity searching method could be problematic (Rost, 1999). Various tools, such as the Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) and HMMER (Altschul et al., 1990; Johnson et al., 2010), have been developed to assist with the task. However, remote homology detection remains hindered by the low sequence identity (Kilinc et al., 2023). For instance, the anti-freeze glycoprotein (AFGP) homologs found in notothenioid fishes and codfishes consist of a simple glycotripeptide (Thr-Ala/Pro-Ala) repeat motif, and manual annotation was required to properly characterize members in this gene family (Chen et al., 1997a, 1997b; Baalsrud et al., 2018). Similar challenges were met in identifying the main components of silk, fibroin and sericin, which are known for their long and repetitive sequence composition. Due to the nature of the sequences of silk genes, the homology search of these genes requires additional manual investigations and adjustments (Rouhová et al., 2022; Heckenhauer et al., 2023). On the other hand, the subject sequences employed in homology search could also be the source of homology detection failure. It has been noted that in sequence databases there exists considerable erroneous information, including redundancy, inconsistency and misannotaion (Chen et al., 2017; Stoler and Nekrutenko, 2021). Such errors might be utilized in the automated annotation pipeline and result in error propagation from the source to the new records (Goudey et al., 2022). In addition, genetic
variation, such as single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and structural variants (inversions, deletions, and duplications) in the reference genome may not exist in the individuals/populations where the query sequences originate, which results in the detection failure.

The term "synteny" initially refers to "gene loci on the same chromosome" (Passarge et al., 1999). This usage later expanded to mean a state such as "the conservation of co-localized genes in the same order within different genomes" (Vergara and Chen, 2010), "the conserved order of aligned genomic blocks between species" (Ensembl, 2023), and so on. Genes on a syntenic block shared between two genomes are not necessarily in perfect colinear order; micro rearrangements could interrupt the order and lead to dissimilar gap regions within the block (Pevzner and Tesler, 2003). Because conserved synteny can be preserved over the course of evolution, it has been employed to annotate genomes, infer homology, and reconstruct the ancestral karyotype (Zheng et al., 2005; Song et al., 2018; Damas et al., 2022; Simakov et al., 2022; Kirilenko et al., 2023). Synteny analysis also amends the traditional sequence alignment-based phylogenetic analysis; a recent study demonstrated that a microsynteny information-based approach is accurate in reflecting the phylogenetic relationships of flowering plants (Zhao et al., 2021).

It has been reported that, in B. mori, silk genes Sericin 1-5 form a cluster on chromosome 11:2,534,704-4,923,394 (Dong et al., 2019; Guo et al., 2022). Also located within this region is another structural gene, Mucin-12 (LOC101736082), whose homologs were confirmed as a silk component in several lepidopteran species (Kludkiewicz et al., 2019; Rouhova et al., 2021; Volenikova et al., 2022; Wu et al., 2022; Kmet et al., 2023). Syntenic analysis reveals that this specific gene cluster harbors on a syntenic block, which is seemingly well conserved across Lepidoptera (Figure 4). Application of such analysis in sericulture research provided a picture of the expansion of soluble silk components observed in G. mellonella (Kludkiewicz et al., 2019), and assisted in the discovery of a new silk gene in B. mori (Wu et al., 2023).

Figure 4. Syntenic analysis on 17 representative species to infer the origin of the sericin genes in Lepidoptera. To consider an overall scope, 17 genome assemblies, including 16 lepidopteran genomes of 16 superfamilies and 1 trichopteran genome, were selected to infer the syntenic blocks. The result shows that syntenic blocks were consistently preserved across Lepidoptera, even in Trichoptera. (Continued on next page)
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Figure 4. (Contimued from previous page) A block fusion (red and gold ribbons) in B. mori leads to chromosome 11 (NC_051368.1), which hints a lineage specific fusion in Bombycoidea. B. mori silk genes sericin $1-5$ and Mucin-12, sits within the red syntenic block. The newly identified silk gene P150/ser6 is located on chromosome 12 , which belongs to the green syntenic block. Synteny analyses were implemented using Genespace (Lovell et al., 2022).

## The aim of my study

An integrated, multi-omic approach, which combines genomic, transcriptomic and proteomic tools, has been widely applied to systematically investigate the components of silk at different biological levels. In my first publication, I characterized the cocoon silk of the Mediterranean moth E. kuehniella. In parallel, I re-analyzed the cocoon silk of the greater wax moth G. mellonella and made intra-family comparisons. Genes FibH and encoded proteins of nine Pyraloidea species were presented and their conserved features were discussed.

Although the silkworm B. mori is one of the most studied species in sericulture, there remains a subset of unknown genes encoding its silk components. In my second publication, I conducted a microsynteny analysis and corrected an erroneous gene model in the current $B$. mori reference genome. This new gene model led to the discovery of a novel silk gene P150/ser6. The expression pattern of P150/ser 6 homologs is similar in B. mori and two pyraloid moths, E. kuehniella and G. mellonella. However, the difference in P150/ser6 protein intensities observed in silk cocoons implies other genetic factors (for example, cisregulatory elements) may be involved in the utilization of $\mathrm{P} 150 /$ ser 6 during silk production.

## Chapter 1

Characterization of silk genes in Ephestia kuehniella and Galleria mellonella revealed duplication of sericin genes and highly divergent sequences encoding fibroin heavy chains
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# Characterization of silk genes in Ephestia kuehniella and Galleria mellonella revealed duplication of sericin genes and highly divergent sequences encoding fibroin heavy chains 

Bulah Chia-hsiang Wu ${ }^{1,2}$, Ivo Sauman ${ }^{1,2}$, Houda Ouns Maaroufi ${ }^{1,2}$, Anna Zaloudikova ${ }^{1}$, Martina Zurovcova ${ }^{1}$, Barbara Kludkiewicz ${ }^{1}$, Miluse Hradilova ${ }^{3}$ and Michal Zurovec ${ }^{1.2 *}$

${ }^{1}$ Biology Centre of the Czech Academy of Sciences, Institute of Entomology, Ceske Budejovice, Czechia, ${ }^{2}$ Faculty of Science, University of South Bohemia, Ceske Budejovice, Czechia, ${ }^{3}$ Institute of Molecular Genetics, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Praha, Czechia

Silk is a secretory product of numerous arthropods with remarkable mechanical properties. In this work, we present the complete sequences of the putative major silk proteins of $E$. kuehniella and compare them with those of G. mellonella, which belongs to the same moth family Pyralidae. To identify the silk genes of both species, we combined proteomic analysis of cocoon silk with a homology search in transcriptomes and genomic sequences to complement the information on both species. We analyzed structure of the candidate genes obtained, their expression specificity and their evolutionary relationships. We demonstrate that the silks of E. kuehniella and G. mellonella differ in their hydrophobicity and that the silk of $E$. kuehniella is highly hygroscopic. In our experiments, we show that the number of genes encoding sericins is higher in G. mellonella than in $E$. kuehniella. By analyzing the synteny of the chromosomal segment encoding sericin genes in both moth species, we found that the region encoding sericins is duplicated in G. mellonella. Finally, we present the complete primary structures of nine fibH genes and proteins from both families of the suborder Pyraloidea and discuss their specific and conserved features. This study provides a foundation for future research on the evolution of silk proteins and lays the groundwork for future detailed functional studies.
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## Introduction

The Pyraloidea are the third largest superfamily of the Ditrysian Lepidoptera order, containing about 16,000 species. They are found on all continents except Antarctica and consist of two families: Pyralidae and Crambidae. About 5,000 representatives of the Pyralidae family have been described, including a number of important pests. The Mediterranean flour moth, E. kuehniella Zeller (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae), is one of the most important pests of stored products. The larvae infest stocks of flour or cereal grains as a food source, but they do the most damage by producing silk that clogs machinery (Jacob and Cox, 1977). The larvae spend most of their lives in silk tubes that provide protection from parasitoids and reduce water loss (Fedic et al., 2003). G. mellonella (also from the family Pyralidae) is a pest of honey bees whose larvae live in hives protected by a maze of feeding tubes (Ellis and Hayes, 2009). Previous studies of $G$. mellonella helped to elucidate that the general protein composition of silk is conserved in moths (Zurovec et al., 1992; Zurovec et al., 1995; Zurovec et al., 1998). The study of sericin genes in G. mellonella also revealed that there is a high proportion of proteins surrounding the fibroin core, which is associated with an unusually high number of sericin genes (Kludkiewicz et al., 2019).

The silk of lepidoptera is produced in the transformed labial salivary gland of the larva, which is called the silk gland (SG). The posterior silk gland (PSG) produces filaments consisting of three proteins: fibroin heavy chain, fibroin light chain and fibrohexamerin (Shimura et al., 1982), while the middle silk gland (MSG) produces envelope proteins that mainly have an adhesive function and primarily consist of sericins (Gamo, 1982; Prudhomme et al., 1985). The anterior silk gland (ASG) is a tubular duct lined by a cuticle. The silk undergoes significant changes during evolution, both in the sequence of individual proteins and in the presence of individual protein components.

The fibroin heavy chain (FibH) is the best-studied silk component. It contains regions of regular protein secondary structures consisting of antiparallel beta-sheets and forms crystalline domains responsible for fiber strength (Deny, 1980). These tend to be primarily composed of the simple amino acids alanine, glycine, and serine, which enable the formation of beta structures (Craig, 1997). Despite the profound sequence differences between species, there are structural requirements needed for fiber strength, and a limited number of $\beta$-sheet configurations for suitable crystal domain motifs exist. This may have led to convergent evolution and the reappearance of motifs found in unrelated species (Lucas and Rudall, 1968). Previous experiments have shown that the silk fibers of $E$. kuehniella and G. mellonella have approximately the same tensile strength as those of $B$. mori, although both contain relatively short and scattered putative crystallites in the FibH
(Fedic et al., 2003). Proteins produced by MSG are less well studied and seem to be subject to even greater changes than fibroins. These include large adhesive proteins, sericins, mucins and zonadhesin-like proteins, as well as seroins and protease inhibitors involved in protection against microorganisms. The low conservation of silk gene sequences makes it difficult to identify new proteins based on homology between more distant lepidopteran species; however, homology can be very useful for identifying genes in closely related species. Recent advances in proteomics and sequencing of lepidopteran genomes have provided a flood of information on new silk components and have made it possible to obtain complete sequences of large repetitive genes that were previously difficult to study (Davey et al., 2021).

In this study, we present the complete sequences of the putative major silk proteins from two members of the moth family Pyralidae, E. kuehniella (subfamily Phycitinae) and G. mellonella (subfamily Galleriinae). We identified silk genes in both moths based on proteomic analysis of cocoon silk and by searching for homologies in the transcriptomes and genomes of both species. We also compared genomic sequences of $E$. kuehniella and G. mellonella with genomic DNA from Amyelois transitella (subfamily Phycitinae), also from the Pyralidae family. We discovered a region containing clusters of sericin genes and identified blocks of synteny (colocalized gene clusters shared between genomes). The resulting microsynteny map allowed identification of duplication events in the sericin family. Finally, we present the complete primary structures of nine FibH proteins from both families of the suborder Pyraloidea and discuss their specific and conserved features.

## Materials and methods

## Insects and silk

Mediterranean flour moth (E. kuehniella) and waxmoth (G. mellonella) larvae were laboratory strains previously established from specimens found in České Budějovice, Czech Republic and kept in the Institute of Entomology, Biology Centre of the Czech Academy of Sciences. The E. kuehniella larvae were reared on a mixture of wheat flour and wheat bran (volume ratio of $4: 1$ ) supplemented with a small amount of dry yeast at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ without humidity control. The food was sterilized at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h before adding the yeast (Marec and Traut, 1994). The G. mellonella larvae were reared on a semi-artificial diet at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Sehnal, 1966). The diet for G. mellonella consisted of wheat flower, corn and wheat meals in ratios 1:2:1 mixed with dry milk, dry yeast, beeswax, glycerol, and honey. B. mori cocoons were a gift from Dr. D. Zitnan, (Bratislava, Slovakia).

## Histology and electron microscopy

Whole mount preparations of SGs from E. kuehniella were conducted as follows: SGs were dissected from water anesthetized last instar wandering stage larvae, transferred to a drop of phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) on a microscope slide, covered with a coverslip, and imaged under an Olympus BX63 microscope (Olympus Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) equipped with a CCD camera (Olympus DP74).

The histology of E. kuehniella larvae was carried out as follows: The cuticles of water anesthetized larvae were punctured with a fine needle under Bouin-Hollande fixing solution supplemented with mercuric chloride (Levine et al., 1995). After one hour of fixation, the larvae were cut into three parts and then fixed overnight at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Standard histological procedures were used for tissue dehydration, embedding in Paraplast, sectioning ( $7 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ), deparaffinization, and rehydration. Sections were treated with Lugol's iodine followed by $7.5 \%$ sodium thiosulfate solution to remove residual heavy metal ions, washed in distilled water, and stained with the HT15 Trichrome Staining Kit (Masson) (Sigma-Aldrich, Inc., St. Louis, MO, United States) according to the manufacturer's protocol. The stained sections were dehydrated and mounted using a DPX mounting medium (Fluka, Buchs, Switzerland). High-resolution images were acquired using a BX63 microscope, DP74 CMOS camera, and cellSens software (Olympus) by stitching multiple images together.

Semi-thin sections of cocoons were produced as follows: Pieces of freshly spun and degummed cocoons were prepared in PBS and fixed in $2.5 \%$ glutaraldehyde or at least 4 h at room temperature (RT) or overnight at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Specimens were then dehydrated and embedded in Epon resin as previously described (Kludkiewicz et al., 2019). Semi-thin sections were cut with a glass knife and placed onto a droplet of $10 \%$ acetone on a microscope slide. The dried sections were stained with toluidine blue and imaged under a light microscope.

The analysis of the ultrastructure of the silk was conducted as follows: Silk samples were cut from cocoons, glued to the surface of aluminum holders, sputter-coated with gold, and analyzed using a Jeol JSM-7401F scanning electron microscope (Jeol, Akishima, Japan).

## Northern blotting and qPCR

Total RNA was extracted from dissected larvae and SG with TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen). RNA aliquots of $5 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ were collected for agarose electrophoresis, blotted onto a nylon membrane (Hybond $\mathrm{N}+$, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, United States), and hybridized under high stringency conditions as previously described (Zurovec et al., 2016). Probes for northern blotting were amplified using reverse transcription polymerase chain
reaction and primers listed in Supplementary Table S1A, then labeled with $a{ }^{-32} \mathrm{P}[\mathrm{dATP}]$ using random priming with an Oligo labeling kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Prague). Autoradiographic detection was performed using the storage phosphor screen of a STORM 860 Phosphorimager (Molecular Dynamics, Chatsworth, United States).
qPCR was performed using HOT FIREPol EvaGreen qPCR Mix Plus (Solis BioDyne, Tartu, Estonia). Five individuals were used for each sample. All samples were collected in triplicate. The PCR reaction volume of $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ contained $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of diluted cDNA and 250 nM primers. Amplification was carried out using a Rotor-Gene Q MDx 2plex HRM (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) for 45 cycles $\left(95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ for 15 s ; annealing temperature adjusted to the primer pair for $30 \mathrm{~s} ; 72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 s ) following an initial denaturation/Pol activation step $\left(95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ for 15 min$)$. Each sample was analyzed in triplicate. Primers (Supplementary Table S1B) were designed using Geneious Prime software platform (Biomatters, Auckland, New Zealand; version 2021.2.2) to ensure that each amplicon was specific. The output was analyzed using the software Rotor Gene $Q$ (version 2.3.5). Elongation factor 1 alpha (EF1a, NM_001044045.1) was used as a reference gene, and the relative expression of the target genes was calculated using the $2^{-\Delta \Delta C T}$ method (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001). Statistical analysis was performed using the Student's $t$-test in $R$ (version 4.1.1); $p$-values $<0.05$ were considered statistically significant. The detailed statistical analysis is shown in Supplementary Table S2.

## Transcriptome preparation

RNA isolation, cDNA library synthesis, and RNA sequencing were performed as previously described (Rouhova et al., 2021). Briefly, last instar wandering larvae were dissected and tissues were separated. The RNA for transcriptome preparation was isolated using TRIzol reagent and further purified using a NucleoSpin RNA II kit (Macherey-Nagel, Duren, Germany). The mRNA was then isolated using Oligo(dT)25 Dynabeads (Ambion, Life Technologies). RNA integrity was checked, and concentration was measured using a Bioanalyser 2100 (Agilent, Waldbronn, Germany). The cDNA library was prepared using a NEXTflex Rapid RNA-Seq Kit (Bioo Scientific, Austin, TX, United States). Sequencing was performed using a MiSeq (Illumina, San Diego, United States), generating sequences in a $2 \times 150$ nt pair-end format. The BUSCO tool suite (version 3.0) (Simao et al., 2015) was used to assess the completeness of the assembly. A total of $1.6 \times 10^{7}$ reads were assembled de novo using Trinity software (version 2.9.1 + galaxyl) on the Galaxy platform (Afgan et al., 2018). The transcriptome was further improved with the genome annotation pipeline MAKER (version 2.28) by incorporating information on the E. kuehniella genome assembly (Visser et al., 2021), as well as protein datasets for B. mori, G. mellonella, and arthropoda
(Odb10, https:/busco,ezlab,org/). Full-length transcripts were found using the genome annotation pipeline MAKER (version 2.28). The completeness of the resulting transcriptome assemblies was assessed using BUSCO (version 5.2.2, lineage dataset insecta_odb10). Transcripts were annotated using NCBI BLAST (version 2.12.0+), InterProScan (version 5.52-86.0), and Pepstats/Pepinfo from EMBOSS (version 6.5.7)

The transcriptome of $G$. mellonella was previously prepared (Kludkiewicz et al., 2019) using Roche GS-FLX 454 pyrosequencing according to the manufacturer's instructions. Three cDNA libraries were prepared: those from the SGs of the penultimate-instar larvae (PI), the post-feeding wandering last instar larvae (WS), and the apolyzing (initial phase of pupation) last instar larvae (ECD). These were then sequenced, and the data were concatenated.

## Chromosomal localization and collinearity analyses

We used high-quality genome sequences of $E$. kuehniella (Visser et al., 2021) and G. melloneila (GenBank assembly accession GCA_003640425.2). Transcripts of E. kuehniella and G. meilonella silk genes were mapped to the genomes using minimap2 (version 2.24-r1122) to locate potential gene clusters (Li, 2018). Syntenic relationships were then built based on reciprocal best translated blast (tblastx, version $2.12 .0+$ ) hits among the transcriptome datasets of $E$. kuehniella, G. mellonella, A. transiella (GenBank assembly accession GCA_001186105.1), and B. mori (GCA_014905235.2) and visualized using R package ggplot2 (Wickham, 2009).

## Silk degumming and hygroscopicity tests

To determine the percentage of soluble silk components, cocoon samples containing approximately 40 mg of dried $E$. kuehniella and G. mellonella silk material were cut into pieces, weighed, submerged in water, and boiled three times for 15 min . The samples were then centrifuged, and the soluble fraction was discarded. The undissolved silk remaining in the pellet was washed five times with water, vacuum dried, and weighed. The soluble fraction was measured by calculating the weight loss percentage before and after the degumming process as described previously (Kludkiewicz et al., 2019).

To measure the hygroscopicity of silk, cocoon samples approximately 40 mg each of E. kuehniella, G. mellonella and B. mori were vacuum dried, weighed, and then incubated in a jar with $75 \%$ humidity for 48 h . Moisture uptake was inferred from the percentage increase in the sample weight before and
after the incubation. Six biological replicates were used for every sample. Statistical significance was tested by the Student's t -test in R (version 4.1.1).

The grand average of hydropathicity index (GRAVY) of the FibH was calculated using the ExPASy ProtParam server (https:// web.expasy.org/protparam/) from the sum of the hydropathy values of all amino acids divided by the sequence length (Kyte and Doolittle, 1982)

## Protein identification using mass spectrometry

Silk samples were dissolved in 8 M urea and further processed with SP3 as previously described (Hughes et al., 2014). After washing the samples, they were digested with trypsin, acidified with trifluoroacetic acid to a final concentration of $1 \%$, and peptides were desalted with homemade C18 disk-packed tips (Empore, Oxford, United States) according to Rappsilber et al. (Rappsilber et al., 2007).

The samples were processed and analyzed using nanoscale liquid chromatography coupled to tandem mass spectrometry (nLC-MS/MS) as described elsewhere (Erban et al., 2020). The analysis and quantification of proteins were performed using MaxQuant label-free algorithms (MaxQuant, version 1.5.3.8) (Cox et al., 2014). The false discovery rate (FDR) was set to $1 \%$ for both proteins and peptides, and a minimum length of seven amino acids was set. The Andromeda search engine (Cox et al., 2011) was used to compare the MS/MS spectra with the transcriptome/genome-derived E. kuehniella protein database. Further data analysis was performed using Perseus 1.5.2.4 software (Tyanova et al., 2016)

## Phylogenetic analysis

Coding sequences identified in the annotated genomes were used. Codon-based alignment was performed using MEGA7 software according to the MUSCLE method (Kumar et al., 2016). The phylogram was generated using the IQ-TREE server (Nguyen et al., 2015), which included both the selection of the best substitution model by ModelFinder (Kalyaanamoorthy et al., 2017) and tree inference using MLE (ultrafast bootstrap, 1,000 replicates).

## Identification of fibH, ser1 and muc1 genes in other pyraloidea

G. mellonella FibH sequence was inferred from two long-read sequencing genome assemblies. The FibH sequences of Acentria ephemerella, Acrobasis suavella, Chilo suppressalis,

TABLE 1 Major silk proteins in E. kuehniella cocoons. GenBank - GenBank accession numbers; Intensity - MaxQuant peptide intensity; M. W. - molecular weight ( kDa ); pl - isoelectric point; H. I. - hydropathy index (GRAVY); $1^{\text {st }} / 2^{\text {nd }} / 3^{\text {rd }} \mathrm{AA}(\%)$ - proportion of the three most frequent amino acids; Evid. - data to detect/infer proteins, where $N, P, Q$, and $T$ represent northern blotting, proteome, qPCR, and transcriptome.

| Gene/protein | GenBank | Intensity | Evid. | M. W. | pI | H. I. | 1st AA (\%) | 2nd AA (\%) | 3rd AA (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ek-Ser3 | ON604819 | 8093000000 | PQ | 144.19 | 6.865 | -0.823 | S (52.6) | G (16.2) | T (8.3) |
| Ek-FibH | ON604816 | 5058700000 | PQ | 493.70 | 3.491 | 0.054 | S (23.1) | G (22.0) | A (19.1) |
| Ek-FibL | ON604822 | 4001400000 | NPQ | 26.41 | 4.186 | 0.299 | A (20.1) | G (11.0) | N (10.2) |
| Ek-Zonl | ON604824 | 3562800000 | PQ | 140.56 | 4.717 | -0.542 | C (15.1) | P (9.3) | G (8.6) |
| Ek-P25 | ON604823 | 3071800000 | NPQ | 25.02 | 7.334 | -0.076 | L (8.7) | F (8.3) | N (8.3) |
| Ek-SerP150 | ON604820 | 2846400000 | PQ | 173.26 | 4.212 | -0.849 | S (34.6) | Q (11.1) | G (9.2) |
| Ek-Mucl | ON604821 | 2764400000 | NPQ | 473.65 | 4.044 | -1.378 | Q (25.7) | S (23.5) | E (11.0) |
| Ek-Zon2 | ON604825 | 1061200000 | PQ | 101.09 | 4.907 | -0.235 | C (8.9) | S (7.8) | N (7.3) |
| Ek-Ser1A | ON604817 | 231370000 | NPQ | 124.10 | 4.196 | -0.545 | T (19.7) | S (17.6) | A (13.1) |
| Ek-Lprcp66-1 | ON604829 | 112370000 | PQ | 18.28 | 6.503 | 0.236 | G (22.5) | A (16.6) | L (10.7) |
| Ek-Ser1B | ON604818 | 76048000 | NPQ | 149.79 | 4.068 | 0.032 | A (17.3) | S (14.6) | P (13.5) |
| Ek-Sn1 | ON604827 | 58061000 | PQ | 29.50 | 4.461 | -0.603 | $P$ (13.9) | E (9.8) | V (9.8) |
| Ek-Lprcp66-2 | ON604830 | 27834000 | PQ | 20.43 | 7.358 | 0.085 | A (21.8) | G (18.9) | Y (11.7) |
| Ek-Zon3 | ON604826 | 17266000 | PQ | 48.74 | 4.119 | -0.431 | P (11.0) | S (10.4) | C (9.7) |
| Ek-Sn4 | ON604828 | 9657900 | PQ | 12.44 | 6.792 | -0.323 | A (11.3) | G (10.4) | N (9.3) |
| Ek-Sn3 | OP185489 | 7321500 | PQ | 11.63 | 4.532 | -0.679 | E (10.4) | N (10.4) | S (10.4) |
| Ek-P47 | OP185490 | 5865900 | PQ | 31.90 | 4.528 | -0.647 | E (12.0) | K (9.1) | $\mathrm{N}(8.3)$ |
| Ek-Pebp | OP185492 | 4880600 | PQ | 23.00 | 9.368 | -0.111 | V (11.3) | A (10.8) | P (8.5) |
| Ek-Fbn | OP185491 | 3261600 | PQ | 153.14 | 5.147 | -0.797 | P (10.8) | T (8.1) | E (8.0) |
| Ek-Pcp | OP185495 | 2008500 | PQ | 26.85 | 6.502 | -0.574 | A (28.0) | Q (14.2) | P (9.4) |
| Ek-Muc2 | OP185487 | 764630 | P | 40.22 | 3.388 | -0.866 | T (36.9) | E (16.6) | S (9.1) |
| Ek-Csp 1 | OP185497 | - | T | 58.41 | 6.619 | -1.298 | S (10.9) | Q (9.9) | N (9.1) |
| Ek-Csp2 | OP251358 | - | T | 31.29 | 7.353 | -0.789 | $P$ (11.9) | S (8.6) | D (7.6) |
| Ek-Csp 3 | OP251359 | - | T | 44.91 | 4.582 | -0.369 | V (10.4) | P (10.1) | S (9.4) |
| Ek-Muc3 | OP185493 | - | T | 85.32 | 8.361 | -0.914 | T (15.0) | A (11.4) | P (9.9) |
| Ek-P-12 | OP185496 | - | T | 16.82 | 7.047 | -0.625 | G (39.5) | Q (9.6) | F (7.9) |
| Ek-P13 | OP185503 | - | T | 10.68 | 9.988 | -0.458 | P (14.3) | V (10.2) | A (9.2) |
| Ek-Ser4 | OP251360 | - | NT | 48.72 | 4.455 | -0.613 | S (40.6) | G (9.2) | A (8.4) |
| Ek-Sn2 | OP185488 | - | QT | 24.71 | 6.226 | -0.450 | $\mathrm{S}(12.0)$ | N (10.2) | F (7.8) |
| Ek-Zon4 | OP185494 | - | T | 22.19 | 7.112 | -0.536 | C (14.8) | $\mathrm{P}(10.8)$ | $\mathrm{G}(7.9)$ |

Cnaphalocracis exigua, Endotricha flammealis, Hypsopygia costalis and Plodia interpunctella were identified from highquality genomes published by the Darwin Tree of Life Project (Blaxter and Project, 2022).

We identified $f i b H$ genes from these assemblies using TBLASTN and conserved N - and C-termini as query sequences. Fibroin sequences were predicted from the surrounding sequence using online Augustus (Stanke and Morgenstern, 2005). The software BioEdit (v 7.2) was used to visualize sequence alignments (Hall, 1999). Information on accession numbers of genome assemblies and sequences was shown in Table 3. We also used TBLASTN and conserved C-termini of Serl and Muc1 as query sequences.

## Results

## E. kuehniella silk and silk glands

The SG of $E$. kuehniella consists of a tube with large polyploid secretory cells. Like in other moth species, three regions can be distinguished morphologically: anterior, middle, and posterior (Figure 1A). The PSG is approximately $20 \%$ shorter than the MSG and is not folded. The SG extends about two-thirds of the length of the larval body. The ASG is narrow and gradually widens into the MSG. A large sigmoid loop forms at the junction between the MSG and the PSG. The diameter of the MSG remains more or less the same and decreases only slightly


## FIGURE 1

Morphology of the silk gland (SG) from E. kuehniella last instar larvae. (A) Whole mount preparation of one SG illustrates its overall morphology. Red arrowheads depict the boundaries of the SG compartments, where ASG = anterior SG, MSG = middle SG, and PSG = posterior SG. Black lines marked by the lowercase letters b-e refer to the whole-body sections B-E and show the approximate positions where the glands were cut in transverse Paraplast sections. (B-E) Transverse Paraplast sections through the body of the last larval instar stained with Masson trichrome stain (Sigma). The inset images show higher magnification of the SG sections marked by arrows. (B) ASG; brain (Br); the arrowhead depicts the suboesophageal ganglion (SOG). (C) Anterior portion of the MSG; arrowhead shows ventral nerve cord. (D) MSG in the region of the sigmoidal loop. (E) PSG; the arrowhead marks the ventral nerve cord ganglion. Red areas are acidic; blue areas are alkaline. Scale-bars: (A), 1,000 $\mu \mathrm{m}$; (B-E), $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$; inset images, $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
toward the PSG. The boundary between the rear part of the MSG and the PSG is less distinct than in G. mellonella or B. mori.

Silk fiber width varies among moth species, ranging from $12 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in B. mori to $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in G. mellonella and $0.5-1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter in Tineola bisselliella (Kludkiewicz et al., 2019; Rouhova et al., 2021). To study the morphology of the silk cocoons and fibers, we characterized them using a scanning electron microscope (Figures 2A,B). The width of silk fiber of E. kuehniella is approximately $1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. The overall structure of the silk of $E$. kuehniella appears to be similar to that of G. mellonella.

Cells with polyploid nuclei are found along the entire length of the gland. The gland only produces fibroins in the PSG, and these are thought to be mixed with sericins and other silk components in the MSG and ASG. As can be seen on the

paraffin sections stained with Masson trichrome stain, there are color differences in the liquid silk in the different glandular compartments. At least two types of secretion are seen on the glandular sections: a column of fibroin surrounded by a layer of sticky sericins (the color changes in the stained sections). While the fibroin in the PSG was stained blue, both the fibroin and sericin in the MSG and ASG were red (Figures 1B-E).

Silks differ in sericin content from $26 \%$ in B. mori to $48 \%$ in G. mellonella (Kludkiewicz et al., 2019). To compare the percentage of these proteins in the silks, we dissolved the coating proteins of $E$. kuehniella, G. mellonella, and B. mori by degumming the silk in water, which dissolved and removed most of the sericin layer. The removal of sericins was verified by microscopic examination. Interestingly, the degumming dissolved also part of the silk core of E. kuehniella (Figures 2C,D). Therefore, we concluded that the silk of E. kuehniella is more soluble than that of G. mellonella and, therefore, that this method is not suitable for measuring the exact proportion of sericins in E. kuehniella silk.

Because the properties of different silk species can be distinctive with respect to water, such as solubility or even hygroscopicity, we also tested water adsorption from the environment. As can be seen in Figure 3, E. kuehniella silk is highly hygroscopic compared to G. mellonella and B. mori silks, and it can retain twice as much water as G. mellonella silk ( $68 \%$ and $30 \%$, respectively; Figure 3).

## Transcriptome de novo assembly

The first de novo assembly of the silk gland-specific transcriptome of E. kuehniella revealed 43,923 contigs with an

average length of $1,012.7$ base pairs. The completeness of the non-redundant transcripts was assessed using the BUSCO tool suite. The results showed that the transcriptome was $81.6 \%$ complete. However, approximately $25 \%$ of the complete and duplicated BUSCOs indicated redundant isoforms, and approximately $19 \%$ of the incomplete BUSCOs ( $8 \%$ fragmented and $10.4 \%$ missing) indicated missing genes. To address this issue, we took advantage of the long-read genome assembly of E. kuehniella (Visser et al., 2021) and used a combined transcriptome assembly strategy to generate an improved transcriptome. The MAKER-annotated genome contained a total of 13,382 recovered protein-coding genes with an average gene length of $7,207.8$ base pairs. The BUSCO statistics showed a completeness of $98.6 \%$, while the levels of redundancy and incompleteness decreased to $0.5 \%$ and $1.4 \%$, respectively. We concluded that this improved transcriptome contained high-quality data suitable for further analysis (see Supplementary Table S3).

## Detection of E. kuehniella candidate silk proteins

Sequence annotation revealed that a substantial proportion of cDNAs encode ribosomal proteins or proteins involved in
protein translation or transport. Potentially secreted proteins identified by the presence of a putative signal peptide accounted for approximately $10 \%$ of all annotated contigs. Because silk genes evolve rapidly, it is difficult to identify them in new moth species based on homology without information on genes from a closely related species. In this way, we were able to reliably identify the sequences of the FibH, the fibroin light chain, and P25/fibrohexamerin (P25) based on homology to known genes.

Because E. kuehniella silk was available to us, we chose proteomic analysis as the primary method for detecting the gene sequences that encode its components. The proteins of a silk cocoon were dissolved in urea and trypsinized, and the resulting peptides were analyzed using proteomic analysis. The MS/MS spectra of the peptides were aligned with the protein sequence database derived from the reference transcriptome. It was expected that most of the proteins detected in the silk would not be structural components because some of the housekeeping proteins are secreted from SG cells via apocrine-like secretion during silk spinning. We identified 140 proteins, 77 of which contained a predicted signal peptide sequence. BLAST-based annotations were performed using the NCBI nr database, and the annotations were manually verified. Based on the annotations, we excluded most proteins with close homologs in other moth species that were not associated with silk structure.

## Expression specificity of candidate E. kuehniella proteins

Putative structural silk proteins are likely to be abundant. They carry signal peptides at their N -terminal sequences, and their transcripts are specific to the SG. We isolated total RNA from control larvae with ablated SGs, as well as from different parts of the SG. The SG specificity of the candidate transcripts encoding silk proteins was confirmed using northern blotting and qPCR analyses. The northern blotting analysis revealed that some candidate genes could produce more bands, suggesting alternative splicing (Figure 4A). Most of the transcripts showed distinct differences in expression in SG sub-regions. For example, Ek-serP150 is highly expressed in the anterior part of the MSG, whereas the Ek-Zon1 and Ek-SerlA transcripts are predominantly expressed in the rear MSG (Figure 4B). Interestingly, maximal expression of the Ek-P25 transcript was found in the rear MSG.

## Comparison of candidate silk proteins between E. kuehniella and G. mellonella

To identify a complete set of candidate silk-encoding genes of E. kuehniella, we performed a parallel study on G. mellonella. Previous results on the silk of $G$. mellonella were supplemented
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FIGURE 4
Expression of selected silk genes detected by (A) northern blotting and (B) qPCR. (A) Lanes: C - larva without SG, S - larval SG Total RNA $(5 \mu \mathrm{~g})$ was separated on an agarose gel, blotted to a nylon membrane and probed with [ $\left.{ }^{32} \mathrm{P}\right]$-labelled cDNA
(Continued)

## FIGURE 4 (Continued)

fragments from each of the indicated genes. The length (kb) of the size marker is indicated on the left side. (B) Relative expression of candidate tissue-specific genes in controls and parts of silk glands of last instar larvae examined via qPCR. mRNA expression levels were normalized to the internal reference gene elongation factor $1-\alpha$. Heatmap was plotted based on log2transformed fold change between SG and contral, indicated by the colored scale. Genes of significantly higher expression level in SG ( $p<0.05$ ) were classified as SG-specific genes (see Supplementary Table 52 for statistics). Gene names are shown in Table 1.
with a new cocoon protein proteomic analysis. The tryptic peptides were tested against the custom protein database derived from the NCBI dataset (GenBank assembly accession GCA_003640425.2) and the previously created transcriptome (Kludkiewicz et al., 2019). The resulting set of G. mellonella sequences was used to search for homologous sequences in the transcriptome of E. kuehniella and vice versa. Data for both species were then complemented based on homology. Thus, BLAST searches of the $G$. mellonella sequences of P-12 (LOC113521678), P13 (LOC113521978), mucin-5AC-like (LOC113516440), seroin 2 (LOC113518101) zonadhesin (LOC113516017), and candidate silk proteins (LOC113523011, LOC113515440, and LOC113511581) revealed new putative $E$. kuehniella homologs that were not detected via the proteomic approach (Table 1). Conversely, we discovered homologs of $E$. kuehniella proteins annotated as fibrillin (OP185491), pupal cuticle protein-like (OP185495), and phosphatidylethanolamine-binding protein (OP185492) in the G. mellonella transcriptome (not found in G. mellonella silk proteomics). In addition, the new proteomic analysis of $G$. mellonella cocoon silk revealed 18 silk protein candidates that were not previously detected in silk, including several sericins, mucin, zonadhesin, seroin, and cuticle proteins (Table 2).

Interestingly, we found no clear homologs of several silk proteins of G. mellonella in E. kuehniella, suggesting that these proteins may be putative species-specific genes. These include proteins such as P250 (LOC113513637), P17 (LOC113512752), MG5 (LOC113521079), P22 (LOC113513778), GMPiso00198 (LOC113512751), MG4 (LOC113509977), P-8 (LOC113513777), MG9 (LOC116413334), MG-2 (LOC113519334), P-7/P14 (LOC116413327), MG6 (LOC113509728), MG-1/MG-3 (LOC113517751), MG7 (LOC113522155), MG8 (LOC116413345), P-11 (LOC113511945), GMPiso00090/ GMPiso00234 (LOC113513780) were previously characterized. Several other novel proteins were detected in this study in the silk of G. mellonella and automatically annotated as dentin sialophosphoprotein-like (LOC113509273), cuticle protein LPCP-23-like (LOC113509759), cell wall protein IFF6-like (LOC113511377), serine-rich adhesin for platelets-like (LOC113523571), protein PB18E9.04c-like (LOC113512274), and sericin-2-like (LOC113522365; see Table 2).

TABLE 2 Major silk proteins in G. mellonella cocoon. GenBank accession numbers; Intensity - MaxQuant peptide intensity; M. W. - molecular weight ( kDa ); pl - isoelectric point; H. I. - hydrophobicity index (GRAVY); $1^{\text {st }} / 2^{\text {nd }} / 3^{\text {rd }}$ AA (\%) - proportion of the three most frequent amino acids; Evid. - protein newly identified in this paper (A) or previously identified in (Kludkiewicz et al., 2019) (B).

| Gene/protein | Genbank | Intensity | Evid. | M. W. | pI | H. I. | 1st AA (\%) | 2nd AA (\%) | 3rd AA (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gm-Fibl | XM_026895755 | 22648000000 | $A B$ | 27.01 | 3.994 | 0.352 | A (19.1) | L (9.7) | N (9.4) |
| Gm-FibH | XM_026905081 | 20827000000 | $A B$ | 487.83 | 3.723 | 0.553 | G (31.3) | A (23.3) | S (17.6) |
| Gm-P250 | XM_031911780 | 18581000000 | $A B$ | 56.27 | 11.222 | -0.564 | S (34.0) | G (10.6) | P (9.9) |
| Gm-Muc4-L | MG770312 | 15626000000 | $A B$ | 174.28 | 4.301 | -0.883 | S (19.7) | Q (11.9) | E (9.7) |
| Gm-P25 | XM_026894481 | 11985000000 | $A B$ | 24.84 | 5.301 | -0.047 | L (11.0) | N (10.6) | A (8.3) |
| Gm-Ser1B | XM_031911923 | 5701200000 | $A B$ | 84.29 | 6.258 | -0.805 | S (35.2) | A (20.7) | N (14.0) |
| Gm-P17 | XM_026896665 | 5607100000 | $A B$ | 9.31 | 7.906 | -0.666 | G (29.9) | K (16.5) | D (14.4) |
| Gm-P150 | XM_026908157 | 3074000000 | $A B$ | 155.41 | 4.745 | -1.247 | S (22.4) | Q (17.3) | N (7.8) |
| Gm-Sm1 | XM_031913182 | 1892500000 | $A B$ | 30.26 | 4.715 | -0.535 | P (15.6) | N (9.1) | F (8.0) |
| Gm-MG5 | MG770318 | 1173700000 | $A B$ | 65.34 | 10.791 | -1.257 | S (45.1) | N (13.3) | R (7.9) |
| Gm-Ser1A | MG770315 | 941580000 | $A B$ | 94.82 | 3.627 | -0.521 | S (22.3) | Q (14.4) | A (8.6) |
| Gm-P22 | MG770325 | 629610000 | $A B$ | 22.24 | 4.497 | -0.370 | T (18.0) | S (14.7) | P (10.6) |
| Gm-ZdA | XM_026895687 | 478590000 | $A B$ | 104.52 | 4.442 | -0.343 | C (8.7) | $\mathrm{N}(7.8)$ | $\mathrm{G}(7.4)$ |
| Gm-P47 | XM_026903570 | 468680000 | $A B$ | 55.37 | 3.894 | -1.033 | $\mathrm{N}(16.1)$ | E (13.2) | S (9.0) |
| Gm-GMPiso00198 | XM_026896664 | 383160000 | $A B$ | 15.44 | 4.006 | -0.804 | E (18.4) | P (17.7) | C (9,9) |
| Gm-MG4 | XM_026893397 | 104810000 | $A B$ | 96.02 | 6.345 | -1.040 | S (40.2) | N (24.6) | G (6.0) |
| Gm -Sn3 | XM_026903091 | 100400000 | $A B$ | 11.56 | 8.498 | -0.058 | $\mathrm{N}(12.3)$ | V (12.3) | F (8.5) |
| Gm-Usp03 | XM_031907470 | 85362000 | A | 122.76 | 3.827 | -0.109 | T (16.8) | S (11.4) | I (8.2) |
| Gm-P-8 | MH464805 | 68619000 | $A B$ | 8.41 | 8.864 | -0.381 | K (12.7) | P (11.4) | A (7.6) |
| Gm-GMPiso00148 | XM_026905986 | 59040000 | $A B$ | 38.03 | 4.258 | -1.217 | A (19.0) | E (15.2) | K (14.9) |
| Gm-Usp01 | XM_031910267 | 39692000 | A | 78.93 | 7.319 | -1.186 | $\mathrm{N}(13.2)$ | $\mathrm{P}(9.6)$ | S (9.5) |
| Gm-Usp02 | XM_031911792 | 29142000 | A | 78.80 | 4.260 | -0.445 | S (14.9) | P (13.3) | Q (10.7) |
| Gm-Ds-like | XM_031908961 | 27903000 | A | 106.79 | 4.419 | -0.744 | S (21.0) | N (8.9) | E (8.8) |
| Gm-MG9 | XM_031911849 | 25465000 | AB | 53.65 | 4.522 | -0.664 | S (51.4) | A (18.0) | N (12.9) |
| Gm-Muc-6-like | XM_026903992 | 12493000 | A | 35.23 | 3.737 | -0.343 | P (12.0) | C (10.2) | S (8.6) |
| Gm-Eln-like | XM_026907481 | 11901000 | A | 27.18 | 10.646 | 0.539 | A ( 30.1 ) | G (14.9) | L (8.3) |
| Gm-Lprcp66-like | XM_026899336 | 11741000 | A | 20.19 | 8.846 | 0.022 | G (24.6) | A (12.3) | Y (12.3) |
| Gm-P13 | XM_026907679 | 11723000 | $A B$ | 10.22 | 9.408 | -0.535 | P (14.6) | G (11.5) | A (9,4) |
| Gm-Papln-like | XM_031914292 | 8296100 | A | 273.14 | 4.388 | -0.645 | E (9.4) | T (9.4) | $\mathrm{G}(9.0)$ |
| Gm-Iff6-like | XM_026895003 | 5793800 | A | 49.24 | 4.216 | -0.933 | S (29.2) | G (20.2) | N (18.6) |
| Gm-Cp21-like | XM_026905740 | 5698400 | A | 30.92 | 9.426 | 0.609 | A (35.9) | V (14.4) | P (12.5) |
| Gm-P-12 | MH464803 | 5559200 | $A B$ | 11.77 | 8.505 | -0.625 | G (31.4) | S (17.4) | Q (8.3) |
| Gm-Sn4 | XM_026903191 | 5067300 | A | 11.96 | 7.834 | -0.243 | S (11.6) | G (10.7) | V (10.7) |
| Gm-Imuc-C.1-like | XM_031908793 | 2629500 | A | 21.24 | 4.321 | -0.323 | T (17.7) | E (8.9) | V (8.3) |
| Gm-Sn2 | XM_031913070 | 2473700 | $A B$ | 19.70 | 9.317 | -0.330 | A (10.9) | S (10.9) | $\mathrm{N}(10.3)$ |
| Gm-Muc5AC-like | XM_026900881 | 2253000 | A | 96.92 | 7.745 | -0.885 | T (14.5) | A (9.8) | P (9.2) |
| Gm-Lpcp-23-like | XM_026893171 | 1278700 | A | 35.69 | 9.832 | 0.747 | A (22.8) | S (11.9) | L (9.2) |
| Gm-Zon-like | XM_026900349 | 1113800 | A | 46.15 | 7.341 | -0.393 | C (14.6) | P (8.9) | K (6.9) |
| Gm-LcpA2B-like | XM_026905750 | 863070 | A | 15.56 | 6.512 | 0.302 | A (15.5) | P (15.5) | V (13.5) |
| Gm-Cp3-like | XM_026892615 | 783140 | A | 23.16 | 6.801 | 0.525 | A (35.4) | V (9.2) | $\mathrm{G}(7.5)$ |
| Gm-Ser2-like | XM_031911858 | 727170 | A | 24.05 | 3.881 | -0.631 | S (53.5) | A (9.8) | N( 7.8 ) |
| Gm-MG-2 | XM_031911783 | 727170 | $A B$ | 30.75 | 3.896 | -0.602 | S (52.3) | A (10.4) | N (6.7) |
| Gm-Fbn | XM_026904452 | - | A | 150.36 | 5.483 | -0.756 | T (11.0) | P (8.7) | C (8.0) |
| Gm-Pcp-like | XM_026899249 | - | A | 25.50 | 6.292 | -0.592 | A (26.9) | Q (12.4) | P (11.2) |
| Gm-Pedpl-like | XM_026894507 | - | A | 14.19 | 9.149 | -0.655 | P (9.5) | G (8.7) | K (7.9) |
| Gm-MG-1/MG-3 | XM_031911850 | - | B | 30.62 | 3.823 | -0.479 | S (42.1) | $\mathrm{G}(15.8)$ | N (8.8) |

(Continued on following page)

TABLE 2 (Continued) Major silk proteins in G. mellonella cocoon. GenBank accession numbers; Intensity - MaxQuant peptide intensity; M. W. - molecular weight (kDa); pI - isoelectric point; H. I. - hydrophobicity index (GRAVY); $1^{\text {st } / 2} 2^{\text {nd }} / 3^{\text {rd }} A A(\%)$ - proportion of the three most frequent amino acids; Evid. - protein newly identified in this paper (A) or previousty identified in (Kludkiewicz et at., 2019) (B).

| Gene/protein | Genbank | Intensity | Evid. | M. W. | pI | H. I. | 1st AA (\%) | 2nd AA (\%) | 3rd AA (\%) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Gm-MG6 | XM_026893136 | - | B | 91.96 | 10.571 | -1.551 | S (54.6) | N (16.0) | E (6.7) |
| Gm-MG7 | XM_031911880 | - | B | 55.07 | 3.360 | -0.862 | S (38.3) | N (22.4) | G (11.1) |
| Gm-MG8 | XM_031911957 | - | B | 28.34 | 3.517 | 0.082 | S (32.0) | G (23.2) | A (17.4) |
| Gm-P-11 | XM_026895673 | - | B | 10.95 | 4.986 | -0.291 | S (12.1) | L (11.1) | G (8.1) |
| Gm-P-7/P14 | XM_031911797 | - | B | 14.34 | 3.830 | -0.261 | D (14.0) | I (12.4) | S (12.4) |
| Gm-GMPcon00005 | XM_026895223 | - | B | 57.59 | 4.701 | -0.243 | A (10.8) | V (9.9) | S (9.5) |
| Gm-GMPiso00278 | XM_026899644 | - | B | 32.09 | 6.502 | -0.866 | P (11.0) | D (7.8) | Q (7.4) |
| Gm-GMPiso00090/00234 | XM_026897762 | - | B | 26.45 | 8.911 | -0.474 | L (10.4) | S (9.5) | A (7.9) |

TABLE 3 Comparison of protein parameters of FibH including number of amino acid residues, molecular weight, percentage of three major amino acids, H.I. - hydropathy index (GRAVY) and isoelectric pont (pl). Genbank accession numbers: G. mellonella (XM_026905081), E. kuehniella (ON604816), P. interpunctella (JAJAFS010000023.1), A. suavella (OW971947.1), E. flammealis (LR990872.1), H. costalis (OW443343.1), C. exigua (CM032477.1), Ch. Suppressalis (OU963910.1), A. ephemerella (OW971889.1), B. mori (NM_001113262.1), A. yamamai (AB542805.1) and S. cynthia (AB971865)

| Species | Family | Subfamily | Number a.a. | Mw | Ala (\%) | Gly (\%) | Ser (\%) | H. I. | pI |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Galleria mellonella | Pyralidae | Galleriinae | 6020 | 487830 | 23.30 | 31.30 | 17.60 | 0.553 | 3.94 |
| Ephestia kuehniella | Pyralidae | Phycitinae | 5631 | 493710.7 | 19.10 | 21.90 | 23.10 | 0.054 | 3.81 |
| Plodia interpunctella | Pyralidae | Phycitinae | 4714 | 413334.4 | 26.30 | 18.30 | 18.50 | 0.084 | 4.04 |
| Acrobasis suavella | Pyralidae | Phycitinae | 6057 | 534495.5 | 15.50 | 23.9 | 24.20 | -0.438 | 3.78 |
| Endotricha flammealis | Pyralidae | Pyralinae | 8027 | 685384.5 | 34.90 | 20.00 | 19.90 | 0.164 | 3.43 |
| Hypsopygia costalis | Pyralidae | Pyralinae | 5981 | 521977.6 | 22.20 | 24.9 | 25.70 | -0.17 | 3.323 |
| Cnaphalocrocis exigua | Crambidae | Pyraustinae | 5418 | 485846.3 | 20.60 | 26.30 | 5.10 | -0.452 | 4.31 |
| Chilo suppressalis | Crambidae | Crambinae | 4386 | 383147.9 | 43.10 | 16.00 | 13.00 | 0.011 | 5.33 |
| Acentria ephemerella | Crambidae | Nymphulinae | 6151 | 533043.56 | 21.9 | 27.8 | 10.3 | -0.138 | 9.78 |
| Bombyx mori | Bombycidae | Bombycinae | 5263 | 391633.58 | 30.2 | 45.9 | 12.1 | 0.216 | 4.39 |
| Antheraea yamamai | Saturniidae | Saturniinae | 2856 | 234576.05 | 42.9 | 27.2 | 11.0 | 0.186 | 4.49 |
| Samia cynthia | Saturniidae | Saturniinae | 2880 | 227361.93 | 45.4 | 31.7 | 6.7 | 0.336 | 4.85 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

This comparative approach allowed us to overcome some limitations of the proteomic analysis and helped to identify additional silk genes. The final list of E. kuehniella and G. mellonella candidate silk proteins is shown in Tables 1,2.

## Some of the silk genes are arranged in clusters

Our results show that several silk genes including sericins, seroins, and zonadhesins, form clusters on different chromosomes. The genes for sericins were located in two clusters that are conserved between species to some extent. However, the microsynteny of one of these clusters appears to be impaired in G. mellonella compared to E. kuehniella, and A. transitella. Figure 5 shows the microsynteny of a genomic region containing mainly genes for sericins from three moths flanked by evolutionarily conserved genes (see Table 3). The lines connect
the putative orthologs of the conserved genes. Comparison of sericin coding regions between species showed that a number of sericin genes are in tight cluster, which is present only in G. mellonella but not in the other two species. Our data support the hypothesis that the genomic region encoding the sericin gene cluster has been recently duplicated in G. mellonella.

## Phylogenetic relationships among silk genes

The two major classes of putative adhesive proteins produced by the MSG are sericins and mucins. They are generally encoded by large genes with repetitive sequences that contain a high proportion of serine residues. We identified at least four putative sericin proteins (Ek-Ser1A, Ek-Ser1B, Ek-Ser3 and Ek-Ser4), three different mucins (Ek-Muc1, Ek-Muc2 and Ek-Muc3), and one protein (Ek-P150) in E. kuehniella that can be



FIGURE 6
(A) Multiple sequence alignment of Ser1 C-terminal sequences containing conserved CXCX motif: $G$. mellonella Ser1A (MG770315), E. kuehniella Ser1A (ON604817) P. interpunctella Ser1A (JAJAFSO10000011.1), H. costalis Ser1A (OW443355.1), B. mori Ser1 (XM_038013610.1), G. mellonella Ser1B (XM_031911923), E. kuehniella Ser1B (ON604818), P. interpunctella Ser1B (JAJAFS010000011.1), H. costalis Ser1B (OW443355.1). Conserved cysteine residues are marked with asterisks. (B) Multiple sequence alignment of Muc1 C-terminal sequences containing conserved CXCXCX motif: G. mellonella (MG7703121), E. kuehniella (ON604821), P. interpunctella (JAJAFS010000011.1), A. suavella (OW971956.1), E. flammealis (LR990879.1). H. costalis (OW443355.1), B. mori (XM_021350004.2). Conserved cysteine residues are marked with asterisks.
classified as both a sericin and a mucin. Two sericin-1-like proteins, Ek-Ser1A and Ek-Ser1B, carry a CXCX motif near the C-terminus, whereas Ek-Mucl has the three-Cys motif NCFCTC near the C-terminus (Figure 6), similar to the KCYCSC motif of Ek-P150. Such motifs seem to be conserved among species.

It has previously been suggested that sericin 1 (Ser1), mucin-1 (Muc1), and P150 loci might be related (Kludkiewicz et al., 2019). To explore possible phylogenetic relationships, we tested these sequences in one dataset. The phylogram (Supplementary Figure \$1A) clearly shows, apart from mucin-1, a distinct group of Serl and P150. Within this group, the P150 loci formed well-supported subclusters, but the discrimination of Serl and P150 may not be complete because GmSer1A is separated from the other Serl-like proteins.

We found four seroin-like proteins localized in a single cluster in the genomes of both $E$. kuehniella and $G$. mellonella. All four seroin types contained putative signal peptides. Except for Ek-Sn2, all were found in the cocoons of both species via proteomic analysis (Ek-Sn2 was inferred from homology and its expression was validated by qPCR ). Previously, only three seroin genes were identified in $G$. mellonella (Kludkiewicz et al., 2019). As shown in Supplementary Figure S1B, the newly discovered seroin 4 forms a separate branch.

Within the Pyraloidea superfamily, species have only one copy of the fibrohexamerin (P25) gene, and its genealogy follows the phylogeny of the Pyraloidea (Supplementary Figure S1C). At least four zonadhesin-like proteins have been detected in $E$. kuehniella. Zonadhesins apparently have EGF_2/TIL domains (these domains partially overlap; see Supplementary Table 4). Phylogenetic analysis revealed that these genes belong to three well-delineated clusters (Supplementary Figure S1D). A schematic diagram showing the evolutionary relationships of the Pyraloidea moths is also shown for comparison in Supplementary Figure 1E (adapted from Regier et al., 2012).

## Comparison of FibH proteins from nine species of pyraloidea

To learn more about the specific and conserved features of FibH proteins, we identified seven additional fibH genes from pyralid moths in assemblies published by the Wellcome Sanger Institute. The species comprise two families (five members of Pyralidae and three Crambidae) and six subfamilies. The list of species and protein parameters is shown in Table 3. A schematic representation of the FibH sequences is shown in Supplementary Figure S2.

The length of fibroin proteins ranges from 4386 (Ch. suppressalis) to 8027 amino acids (E. flammealis). As expected, FibH molecules consist of nonrepetitive N - and C -termini that are well conserved, and large repetitive regions, that are highly species-specific (Figure 7). As shown in Supplementary Figure S2, the arrangements and lengths of the repetitive sequences vary considerably, but all sequences of the Pyralidae memebrs
A. suavella, E. flammealis, H. costalis, G. mellonella and P. interpunctella) have clusters of amino acid residues similar to E. kuehniella VIVIEENQSSAAAAASSSSS with 4 hydrophobic amino acids and 1-4 hydrophilic amino acids, and a crystalline domain with a block of alanine and serine residues. The hydrophobic motif also occurs in A. ephemerella which belongs to the family Crambidae and in the C-terminal part of $C$. exigua FibH . while in Ch. suppressalis this motif does not exist (Supplementary Figure S2).

There are major differences in the hydrophobicity of the FibH proteins, with G. mellonella having the most hydrophobic fibroin, whereas the silks of $A$. suavella and $C$. exigua are very hydrophilic (Table 3). The FibH of $E$. kuehniella is much less hydrophobic than the FibH of G. mellonella (see Supplementary Figures S2A,B), which is probably related to the high hygroscopicity and solubility of this silk (see above). The fibroin genes can also be divided into two categories according to the regularity of the arrangement of the repeated sequences, with the fibroins of $E$. kuehniella and $G$. mellonella showing a very regular arrangement of these sequences while Ch. suppressalis is the most irregular (Supplementary Figure S2I). Interestingly, A. ephemerella FibH contains $3.7 \%$ tyrosine residues and the isoelectric point (pI) is 9.62 which is reminiscent of the FibH from caddisfly $P$. conspersa (Rouhova et al., 2022). A. ephemerella is an aquatic insect whose larva pupates in an underwater cocoon filled with air.

## Discussion

In this study, we identified 30 genes encoding major silk components of $E$. kuehniella cocoon and verified specificity of their expression. We also analyzed silk genes from G. mellonella, which belongs to the same moth family. By comparing the silk genes of the two species, we gained insight into the degree of divergence between the species and found that several orthologs of genes encoding sericins present in G. mellonella are absent in E. kuehniella. In addition, we annotated the $f i b H$ genes of several other members of the Pyraloidea and analyzed their sequences.

## Specific features of silk from pyralid moths

The silks studied so far are characterized by the insolubility of the fibers and the solubility of the sericin coating. Consequently, the fibroins of B. mori, A. yamamai, and other saturniids are hydrophobic with an GRAVY index representing the hydrophobicity (Kyte and Doolittle, 1982) ranging from 0.186 to 0.336. Interestingly, the GRAVY indexes of fibroins in the Pyraloidea vary greatly from the extremely hydrophobic fibroin of G. mellonella (GRAVY $=0.553$ ) to the hydrophilic fibroins of A. suavella or C. exigua with a negative GRAVY index of -0.440 and -0.452 , respectively. The silk of E. kuehniella

exhibits intermediate hydrophobicity (GRAVY $=0.054$ ), and is readily soluble under the conditions used in degumming the silk of other species.

Comparison of available FibH sequences from Pyraloidea revealed remarkable differences in size, amino acid composition, structure of repeats etc. These molecules contain putative crystalline regions consisting of Ala and Ser residues typical of molecules of the X-ray class III (Lucas and Rudall, 1968), which are shorter than similar $S(A)_{13-15} S$ motifs in FibH proteins of A. yamamai or $S$. ricini. Crystalline sequences include the SSAAAAASSSS motif in E. kuehniella and the SSAASAAAA motif in G. mellonella (Supplementary Figure S2). Previous experiments have shown that fibers with regularly ordered repeat sequences of fibroins from G. mellonella and E. kuehniella have much higher tensile strength than fibers from $P$. interpunctella with disordered repeat sequences (Fedic et al., 2003). Interestingly, C. exigua, contains a crystalline $A_{8} S_{2}$ sequence accompanied by (PXX) $)_{-21}$ motifs (Supplementary Figure S2). Such motifs have been shown to form so called polyproline II helices that can self-assemble and form compacted structures (Jin et all., 2009).

It has been consistently reported that the silks of some arthropod species can absorb considerable amounts of water and that they are quite hygroscopic; for example, the aggregate glue in spider webs absorbing atmospheric water and dissolving
glycoproteins so that they spread and adhere upon contact with flying insects (Opell and Stellwagen, 2019). The silk of B. mori can absorb up to $30 \%$ of its weight in water (Hasan et al., 2019). Silk is considered as a highly hygroscopic material, and degummed silk is slightly less hygroscopic because the sericins absorb better than the fibre (Sonwalkar, 1993). Our results show that the hygroscopicity of E. kuehniella silk is extremely high, at least twice that of B. mori or G. mellonella. It is likely that both the sericins and fibroin core contribute to this property. The high hygroscopicity of $E$. kuehniella silk is possibly an adaptation to the dry environment in which Mediterranean flour moths and other members of subfamily Phycitinae live. The cocoon of $E$. kuehniella may help it absorb water from the air and protect the pupa from desiccation. It has previously been reported that $E$. kuehniella silk appears to increase moisture in stored agricultural products, increasing the likelihood of fungal outbreaks (https://www.internationalpheromones.com/ product/meal-moths-ephestia-plodia-species/).

## Genes encoding coating proteins

The adhesive proteins that form the envelope around the fibroin core can be formally divided into several classes, including

Ser1-like proteins, high-serine outer layer sericins, P150-like sericins, mucins, and zonadhesin-like proteins.

Serl-like proteins are expressed in the rear part of MSG and are deposited on the fibroin core as the first sericin layer (Takasu et al., 2007; Kludkiewicz et al., 2019; Rouhova et al., 2021). B. mori contains a single Ser1-like gene consisting mainly of a repetitive sequence of 38 amino acid residues, of which $31 \%$ are serine residues. It is expressed in the middle and posterior regions of the MSG, and four to five Ser1 transcripts are generated by alternative splicing. The truncated Ser1 mutant of B. mori tends not to spin and often forms coarse cocoons (Takasu et al., 2017). Interestingly, Ser1-like proteins in other species, such as $E$. kuehniella and G. mellonella, are encoded by two genes (Ser1A and Ser1B), encoding proteins with $14-17 \%$ serine residues in E. kuehniella and $22-35 \%$ serine residues in $G$. mellonella. All Ser1 proteins contain CXCX motifs near their C-terminus, including those of B. mori, A. yamamai, and T. bisselliella (Kludkiewicz et al., 2019). Ser1-like proteins may represent a constant sericin component present in most moth silks and could have a specific function by directly covering the fibroin fiber as the innermost layer.

It has been reported that $B$. mori has only one sericin protein in the cocoon besides Ser1, namely sericin 3 (sericins 2 and 4 are not present in the cocoon silk) (Takasu et al., 2007; Dong et al., 2019). Ser3 is characterized by a high serine content, is localized in the outer silk layer, and possibly serves as a lubricant to reduce friction during secretion (Takasu et al., 2007). We discovered a putative sericin gene product from E. kuehniella (Ek-Ser4), which contains more than $40 \%$ serine residues, resembling Ser 3 of $B$. mori or MG2 or MG6 of G. mellonella. In contrast, there are at least eight sericins with high-serine in G. mellonella. Homologs of these G. mellonella genes are most likely absent in E. kuehniella. Previous phylogenetic analyses support the idea that sericins resembling Ser3 in B. mori expand multiple times during evolution, as suggested by the species-specific branching of sericin proteins in the phylogenetic trees of G. mellonella, $A$. yamamai and S. cynthia ricini (Kludkiewicz et al., 2019). The high proportion of sericins in the silk of G. mellonella may contribute to the compactness of the cocoon in this species as required for its protection from bees. Our results suggest that sericins may serve as adhesives, lubricants or regulators of silk compactness and cross-linking of silk proteins.

Previous phylogenetic analyses have shown that the cocoon of G. mellonella contains a very abundant sericin-like protein called P150. It appeared to be phylogenetically quite distant from other sericin genes (Kludkiewicz et al., 2019). In this study, we discovered the putative homolog of this protein in E. kuehniella and named it Ek-P150. Phylogenetic analyses show that P150like proteins form a distinct group that can be classified as both mucin and sericin because they contain serine rich repeats and a CXCXCX motif near their C -termini.

Mucins form a distinct group of silk proteins that contain serinerich repetitive sequences. Unlike sericins they include repeats with
threonine and proline residues (Syed et al., 2008). Such proline-threonine-serine motifs usually account for about one-third of the total length of the mucin protein (Perez-Vilar and Hill, 1999). We found at least three mucins in the silk of E. kuehniella and at least four in the silk of G. mellonella. At least one of them in each species could represent mucin-1-like proteins with a CXCXCX motif near the C-terminus. Our study is consistent with the idea of a common origin of the mucin and sericin families.

## Impact of omics methods on silk research

Omics methods allow silk to be studied with great breadth and resolution by capturing large, if not complete, populations of genes or proteins that are related to their structure and elucidating the evolutionary and structural relationships among them. In addition, parallel study of related species allows comparison and completion of missing information. Comparative data can be also supplemented using sequences from high-quality genomes published by Wellcome Sanger Institute and other sources. However, due to the rapid diversification of these proteins, the similarities among silk proteins are not obvious, sequence conservation is rare and limited to species of the same family or subfamily. Identification of the structural components of silk still requires "traditional methods". The lack of similarity and correct annotation of genomic data is an important limitation. Here we were able to detect heavy chain fibroins in a number of pyralid moths based on sequence conservation in both ends of the fibroin molecules and compare their structures. Our results suggest that Ser1 and Mucl can also be detected based on similarity. The use of BLAST methods for most other genes is still limited and needs more information.

Using omics data, we analyzed homologous regions on chromosomes and traced the synteny of putative sericin sequences in tight clusters. Detailed analysis revealed that the sericin region containing at least 12 genes in the G. mellonella genome is likely the result of a recent duplication. Synteny provides a robust framework for the identification of homologs to known genes, helps searching for new genes, and provides important information on annotation. For example, two of the genes localized in the G. mellonella genomic sericin cluster-annotated as dentin sialophosphoprotein-like (XM_031908961) and cell wall protein IFF6-like (XM_026895003) - are expressed in SGs, contain a signal peptide, a repetitive sequence, and a high proportion of serine residues ( $21 \%$ and $29 \%$ ), and thus appear to belong to the sericin family of G. mellonella.

Overall, we identified the silk genes in E. kuehniella and G. mellonella based on proteomic analysis of cocoon silk and by homology searches in the transcriptomes and genomes of both species. We discovered a region containing clusters of sericin genes and identified blocks of synteny between both genomes (colocalized gene clusters shared between genomes). The resulting microsynteny map allowed identification of
duplication events in the sericin family. Finally, we present the complete primary structures of nine fibH genes and proteins from both families of the suborder Pyraloidea and discuss their specific and conserved features.
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Supplementary Figure 1. Phylogenetic analysis of selected silk proteins in Pyraloidea species and evolutionary relationships among Pyraloidea.

Supplementary Figure 2. Supplementary Figure 2. FibH protein sequences of nine pyraloid moths predicted from the genomic regions using online Augustus software (Stanke and Morgenstern, 2005).
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Supplementary Table 2. Statistics of expression levels of selected silk genes detected by qPCR in E. kuehniella. AMS, anterior-middle SG; MMS, middle-middle SG; RMS, rear-middle SG; PS, posterior SG; PS, anterior-middle SG; WLWS, wandering larva without SG. Statistical differences (T-test; $P<0.05$ ) are indicated by asterisk (*).

Supplementary Table 3. (A) BUSCO assessment of initial and improved transcriptome of $E$. kuehniella. (B) Genome assembly statistics for E. kuehniella.

Supplementary Table 4. Summary of domains identified in zonadhesin protein sequences of $E$. kuehniella, G. mellonella, A. transitella, and Danaus plexippus plexippus. The search was performed using the web tool MOTIF Search (https://www.genome.jp/tools/motif/) against motif library PROSITE Pattern, PROSITE Profile and Pfam.

Supplementary Table 5. Summary of proposed landmark genes linked with silk genes in E. kuehniella, G. mellonella and A. transitella. These genes are evolutionarily conserved and their positions in the genomes of the three species are shown in Figure 5. The corresponding genes in Figure 5 are connected by coloured lines (blue, green and magenta).

Supplementary Figure 1. Phylogenetic analysis of selected silk proteins in Pyraloidea species and evolutionary relationships among Pyraloidea. (A-D) Phylograms of selected silk proteins. The evolutionary history was inferred by the Maximum Likelihood method with $1000 \times$ bootstrap. The percentage of trees in which the associated taxa clustered together is shown below the branches. The tree is drawn to scale, with branch lengths measured in the number of substitutions per site. Abbreviations of species are as follows: Amtr, A. transitella; Epku, E. kuehniella; Game, G. mellonella; Stti, Stenopsyche tienmushanensis; Epel, Ephestia elutella; Coce, Corcyra cephalonica; Hade, Haritalodes derogata; Osfu, Ostrinia furnicalis; Dapl, Danaus plexippus; Pcon, Plectrocnemia conspersa. (A) Sericins / mucins / P150. Based on TIM+F+I transition model. Amtr - Ser1A (LOC106134399), Ser1B (LOC106134400 transcript X1), Muc (LOC106133704), P150 (LOC106132366); Epku - Serl A (ON604817), Ser1B (ON604818), Muc (ON604821), P150 (ON604820); Game - Ser1A (LOC113519119, transcript X1), Ser1B (LOC113512273), Muc (MG770312), P150 (LOC113522468). (B) Seroins. Based on HKY+F+G4 model. Amtr - Sro1 (LOC106133523), Sro2 (LOC106133521), Sro3 (LOC106133479), Sro4 (LOC106133522), Srolike (LOC106133524); Epku - Srol (ON604827), Sro2 (OP185488), Sro3 (OP185489), Sro4 (ON604828); Game - Srol (LOC113518338), Sro2 (LOC113518101), Sro3 (LOC113518258), Sro4 (LOC113518326); Stti - Sro (Steno.02678-RA). (C) P25. Based on TN+F+I+G4 model. Epku - Fhx (ON604823); Epel - Fhx part (00014683-RA); Amtr - Fhx-like (LOC106131755); Game Fhx (LOC113510933); Coce - P25 (GQ901976); Hade - P25 (KY792994); Osfu - Fhx-like (LOC114362712); Dapl - Fhx-like (LOC116776386). (D) Zonadhesins. Based on GTR $+\mathrm{F}+\mathrm{I}+\mathrm{G} 4$ model. Amtr - zon-like1 (LOC106138817), zon-like2 (LOC106136372), zon-like3 (LOC106130156), zon-like4 (LOC106131409); Epku - Zon01 (ON604824), Zon02 (ON604825), Zon03 (ON604826), zon-like (OP185494); Game - zon-likel C2 (LOC113516017), zon-like2 C3 (LOC113511957), zon-like3 C1 (LOC113519003), zon-like4 (LOC113511955), ZdB C3 (MG770321), ZdA C3 (MG770320), uncharacterized protein (LOC113509084), zon_C2 (LOC113511802); Dapl - zon-like C3 (LOC116765598), zon C2 (LOC116771299); Pcon - Zon8 (OL405648). (E) The evolutionary relationships of the Pyraloidea (adapted from Regier et al., 2012, DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-3113.2012.00641.x.).


Supplementary Figure 2. FibH protein sequences of nine pyraloid moths predicted from the genomic regions using online Augustus software (Stanke and Morgenstern, 2005). Amino acids are highlighted with cyan (hydrophilic: RKDENQ), magenta (hydrophobic: YVMCLFIW), or no shadings (netural: SGHTAP). Genbank accession codes: E. kuehniella (ON604816), G. mellonella (NHTH01000008.1/NHTH01000133.1), E. flammealis (LR990872.1), H. costalis (OW443343.2), P. interpunctella (JAJAFS010000023.1), A. suavella (OW971947.1), A. ephemerella (OW971889.1), C. exigua, (CM032477.1), Ch. suppressalis (OU963910.1),

## (A) Ephestia kuehniella FibH


(B) Galleria mellonella FibH


(D) Hypsopygia costalis FibH





(I) Chilo suppressalis FibH


Supplementary Table 1. Summary of primer sequences used in E. kuehniella for (A) northern blot probes and (B) real-time qPCR.

| (A) Northerns |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gene | Name | Forward | Reverse |
| FibL | Fibroin light chain | TGCTGCCTATCGTTTTGGTATTAT | CGGGCAGCGTTGGGGTCGTT |
| P25 | P25 | TGCTACGTTTCTGAGTTCTTCTTC | TGGGACCATAATCTTCACAAT |
| Ser1A | Sericin 1A | TCCCAGGATGCGAAAATAAATACT | GGCCGCCTGGTTGAATGAT |
| Ser1B | Sericin 1B | CGACGCCAACGGAAACAC | GCTCTGCGCATTACTTACG |
| Dana-1 | Mucin 1 | AGCGCTCAAGCATCTCAATC | GCGCTGCAATGCCAACTTCA |
| Dana-2 | Mucin 1 | ATCCGAGGAATCTACGACACTT |  |
| Sers-1 | Ser4 | CCCTTGCTTTGGTACTCCTCACG | CCACTGCTACTGCCGCTACTTTGT |
| Ser4-2 | Ser4 | CGGCTCCGGCTCAGAAACA | GAGGAGCCATCAGCCGAGACT |
| (B) qPCR |  |  |  |
| Gene | Name | Forward | Reverse |
| EF-1 $\alpha$ | Elong. factor 1-alpha | TCAAACGGTTACACGCCTGT | GGACTTGGGGTTGTCCTCAG |
| Fbn | Fibrillin | CACGCTCGTCTCCAACATCT | CACTTCCACCATCCGCATCT |
| FibH | Fibroin heavy chain | CAACGGACCAGTTGTCATCA | TGTCTACGGGTAAGACGGCA |
| FibL | Fibroin light chain | AGCCTTGAACAACCGTAGCA | GCGACTGCTCTCAGGAAGTT |
| Lprcp66-1 | rigid cut. protein 66 -like 1 | CGTTTCAGTCCACCCTGTCA | GCGACAGTAGTAGCGTACCC |
| Lprcp66-2 | rigid cut. protein 66-like 2 | AAGTCAAGTCGTCGGCCAAT | CGCCATCCTTCCTCACAACT |
| Muc1 | Mucin 1 | ATCATGCACGCCTTGGAAAC | CATTGGCACGAGTTTAGGCG |
| Muc2 | Mucin 2 | ACCGAACCATCTACCTCCGA | TTCGGTGGTAGTTTCGGTGG |
| P25 | P25 | ACCTTCGCTGACATCACCAC | GTGGAAGTTCTCCCGTTCGT |
| P47 | P47 | ATGATGCTGCAGTGGGTTCA | TCTTCACCCACGCCTGTTAG |
| Pebp | PEBP | CCCGGGAAACTCAACTTCGA | CTGCGAACTTTGCGATGGAG |
| Pssp1 | salivary secr. peptide 1 | AATGCCCTGGTTCTGGGTAC | TGTCCTCCCAAAGCCATGAC |
| Pssp2 | Salivary secr. peptide 2 | CTTCTCTGACCCTGGACAGC | CGTGATGATGGCTGTGGAGT |
| Pssp3 | Salivary secr. peptide 3 | ACCAAGACTTCGTGTACCGC | TAGCGAGGGTACAGCGTACT |
| Pcp | Pupal cut. protein-like | CTCATGGCAAGGCAATGCTG | CTCGGGGGTTTCCAGGATG |
| Serla | Sericin 1A | AAATCTTGGTCCAGGCACCG | ACTAACGGAAACAGCAATGCT |
| Ser1B | Sericin 1B | CTCAGTTGCCCCAGGATCTG | TGAAGAAGCAGAGCTGGTGG |
| Ser3 | Sericin 3 | ACCACCAAACACAGCCATGA | CTTGATCACCTCTCCGGTCG |
| SerP150 | Sericin P150 | CCCAAAGCAGCACCAGTCTT | ATCGAGCTGGACTGTGCATT |
| Snl | Seroin 1 | GTCGTCAAACGTGAACGGTG | TCCTTGGCATTCTTCGGGTC |
| Sn 2 | Seroin 2 | TAGTCCTCCCAATCCGAGCA | AGGAGGAGGAGTAGGCTGTG |
| Sn3 | Seroin 3 | CCGCTTTCGTTTGTGCTTCA | TTCTCAGTTGATGGACCGGT |
| Sn4 | Seroin 4 | TGTGAAGAGCCTCAAGCCAG | CCATGGTCTTCCCGTTCACA |
| Scp24 | Sialomucin core prot. 24 | CGCTAAGACACATAGAGACAGACA | TGCGTGTCGTTTCATTGAGG |
| Spi | Silk proteinase inh. | GGCCGCCATTGTTTCATCAA | TTCCAGAAGCATATCCCGGC |
| Vsp | Venom serine protease | TACACGCCTGGGAAAGACAC | GTCCATGCCGCAGTAGTCTC |
| Zon1 | Zonadhesin 1 | AACGGCTGCGACTGTATTGA | TCCGTTAACGCACGTCGAAT |
| Zon2 | Zonadhesin 2 | TACGAAACCAAGACCCTGCC | GTTGACTTCGCAGGTTGGTG |
| Zon3 | Zonadhesin 3 | TCGGCAAATTCTTCAAATTCTTCCA | TCCAACAGTCACATTATTGCTGA |

Supplementary Table 2. Statistics of expression levels of selected silk genes detected by qPCR in E. Kuehniella. AMS. anteriormiddle SG; MMS, middle-middle SG; RMS, rear-middle SG; PS, posterior SG; PS, anterior-middle SG; WLWS, wandering larva without SG. Statistical differences (T-test; $P<0.05$ ) are indicated by asterisk (*).

|  |  | Fold-change |  |  |  |  | $P$-value |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gene | Name | AMS | MMS | PS | RMS | WLWS | AMS | MMS | RMS | PS |
| Fbn | Fibrillin | 2,203 | 0,903 | 0,768 | 1,501 | 1,001 | ${ }^{*} 0.001$ | 0,701 | 0,155 | 0,069 |
| FibH | Fibroin heavy chain | 2,87 | 16,685 | 119,12 | 17,668 | 1,023 | 0,63 | *0.011 | *0,000 | *0.000 |
| FibL | Fibroin light chain | 1,125 | 3,546 | 29,657 | 5,481 | 1,003 | 0,968 | *0.041 | *0.004 | *0.000 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lprcp66- } \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | Larval / pupal rigid cuticle protein 66 -like <br> 1 | 2,043 | 1,611 | 1,322 | 1,024 | 1,132 | 0,056 | 0,093 | 0,826 | 0,931 |
| ${ }_{2}^{\text {Lprcp66- }}$ | Larval / pupal rigid cuticle protein 66-like 2 | 2,771 | 1,78 | 1,038 | 2,709 | 1,14 | *0.041 | *0.048 | *0.018 | 0,242 |
| Mucl | Mucin 1 | 11,514 | 31,387 | 8,811 | 55,267 | 1,342 | *0,031 | *0.010 | *0.013 | *0.028 |
| Muc2 | Mucin 2 | 0,429 | 0,513 | 0,3 | 0,571 | 1,036 | 0,079 | 0,166 | 0,25 | *0.026 |
| P25 | P25 | 9,418 | 35,525 | 14,334 | 67,263 | 1,031 | *0.001 | *0.000 | *0.001 | *0.003 |
| P47 | P47 | 1,63 | 2,24 | 2 | 4,615 | 1,009 | ${ }^{*} 0.016$ | 0,168 | *0,006 | *0.010 |
| Pebp | Phosphatidylethanolamine-bin. Prot | 0,499 | 0,78 | 1,086 | 0,678 | 1,077 | 0,263 | 0,586 | 0,39 | 0,697 |
| Pssp1 | Probable salivary secreted peptide 1 | 3,879 | 1,587 | 1,914 | 1,823 | 1,006 | *0,000 | 0,345 | 0,159 | *0.005 |
| Pssp2 | Probable salivary secreted peptide 2 | 3,432 | 2,106 | 1,777 | 6,804 | 1,054 | *0.019 | 0,082 | 0,09 | 0,132 |
| Pssp 3 | Probable salivary secreted peptide 3 | 0,114 | 0,102 | 0,076 | 0,079 | 1,016 | *0,003 | *0.002 | *0.000 | *0.001 |
| Pcp | Pupal cuticle protein-like | 1,684 | 4,278 | 0,573 | 1,686 | 1,019 | 0,568 | *0.017 | 0,241 | 0,353 |
| Ser1A | Sericin 1A | 0.569 | 2.064 | 28.324 | 26.681 | 1,077 | 0.229 | 0.059 | *0,007 | 0,058 |
| Serib | Sericin 1B | 2,764 | 7,875 | 27,865 | 36,649 | 1,129 | 0,1 | 0,094 | *0.008 | *0.011 |
| Ser3 | Sericin 3 | 59,288 | 110,316 | 12,281 | 127,197 | 1,309 | *0.025 | *0.013 | *0,023 | 0,061 |
| SerP150 | Sericin P150 | 676,983 | 611,45 | 39,29 | 448,051 | 1,247 | *0.005 | *0.004 | *0.005 | *0.012 |
| Sn1 | Seroin 1 | 15,837 | 14,222 | 5,167 | 13,37 | 1,015 | *0.000 | *0.001 | *0.000 | *0.001 |
| Sn 2 | Seroin 2 | 2,09 | 1,746 | 0,526 | 1,473 | 1,009 | *0.006 | 0,051 | *0.022 | *0.036 |
| Sn3 | Seroin 3 | 4,021 | 2,294 | 1,334 | 1,848 | 1,038 | *0,004 | 0,09 | *0.020 | 0,341 |
| Sn4 | Seroin 4 | 1,678 | 1,506 | 1,083 | 1,949 | 1,024 | *0.033 | 0,07 | *0.011 | 0,349 |
| Scp24 | Sialomucin core protein 24 | 7,77 | 3,925 | 1,911 | 5,235 | 1,231 | *0.019 | *0.013 | *0.005 | 0,102 |
| Spi | Silk proteinase inhibitor | 37,426 | 83,184 | 63,73 | 127,507 | 1,002 | *0.000 | *0.000 | *0.001 | *0.002 |
| $\mathrm{v}_{\text {sp }}$ | Venom serine protease-like | 4,874 | 4,942 | 0,434 | 1,692 | 1,01 | *0,002 | *0.000 | 0,191 | 0,469 |
| Zonl | Zonadhesin 1 | 58,363 | 85,918 | 4,94 | 68,127 | 1,006 | *0,000 | *0.000 | \% 0.000 | *0.006 |
| Zon2 | Zonadhesin 2 | 16,218 | 19,306 | 2,811 | 18,863 | 1,173 | *0.015 | *0.008 | *0.008 | 0,154 |
| Zon3 | Zonadhesin 3 | 106,037 | 142,901 | 96.997 | 205,674 | 1,028 | *0.000 | *0.000 | * 0.000 | *0.001 |

Supplementary Table 3. (A) BUSCO assessment of initial and improved transcriptome of $E$. kuehniella. (B) Genome assembly
statistics for E. kuehniella.

| (A) E. kuehniella transcriptome <br> Element | Initial <br> Number (\%) | Improved <br> Number (\%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Total BUSCO groups searched | $1367(100)$ | $1367(100)$ |
| Complete BUSCOs | $1115(81.6)$ | $1348(98.6)$ |
| Complete and single-copy BUSCOs | $771(56.4)$ | $1341(98.1)$ |
| Complete and duplcated BUSCOs | $344(25.2)$ | $7(0.5)$ |
| Fragmented BUSCOs | $110(8.0)$ | $5(0.4)$ |
| Missing BUSCOs | $142(10.4)$ | $14(1.0)$ |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| (B) E. kuehniella genome assembly | Statistics |  |
| Assembly size $(\mathrm{Mb})$ | 351,8 |  |
| Number of contigs | 165 |  |
| Largest contig (Mb) | 15,1 |  |
| GC content $(\%)$ | 36,1 |  |
| N50 contig length $(M b)$ | 8,3 |  |
| Number of protein coding genes | 13382 |  |
| Mean gene length $(b p)$ | 37,8 |  |
| Repetitive elements $(\%)$ |  |  |

Supplementary Table 4. Summary of domains identified in zonadhesin protein sequences of E. kuelniella, G. mellonella and $A$ transitella from family Pyralidae, and Danaus plexippus from family Nymphalidae. The search was performed using the web too MOTIF Search (https://www.genome.jp/tools/motif/) against motif library PROSITE Pattern, PROSITE Profile and Pfam.

| Lepidopteran species | GenBank | GenPept | Name | Prosite Pattern | Prosite Profile |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Danaus plexippus | XM_032655128.1 | XP_032511019 | zonadhesin-like C3 | EGF_2 4 ; SERPIN | CYS_RICH; PROKAR_LIPOPROT. |
|  | XM_032663119.1 | XP_032519010 | zonadhesin C 2 | ZINC_FINGER_C2H2_1 |  |
| Amyelois transitella | XM_013340097.1 | XP_013195551 | zonadhesin-like 1 | EGF_2; ZINC_FINGER_C2H2_1 | CYS_RICH |
|  | XM_013336902. 1 | XP_013192356 | zonadhesin-like2 | EGF_2 2 6; ASX_HYDROXYL $\times 2$ | CYS_RICH 3 3; SER_RICH |
|  | XM_013328929.1 | XP_013184383 | zonadhesin-like3 | EGF-2 $2 \times 3$ | ANTISTASIN; CYS_RICH $\times 2$ |
|  | XM_013330525.1 | XP_013185979 | zonadhesin-like4 | EGF_2 $225 ;$ serpin | CYS_RICH $\times 2$ |
| Galleria mellonella | XM_026900349.2 | XP_026756150 | zonadhesin-like1 C2 | EGF_ $2 \times 2$; ZINC_FINGER_C2H2_1 | CYS_RICH |
|  | XM_031913577.1 | XP_031769437 | zonadhesin-like2 C3 | EGF_2 $\times 6$ | CYS_RICH x2; SER_RICH |
|  | XM_031914733.1 | XP_031770593 | zonadhesin-like3 $\mathrm{Cl}^{\text {l }}$ | EGF_ $2 \times 3$ | CYS_RICH |
|  | XM_026895687.2 | XP_026751488 | zonadhesin-like4 | EGF_2 $26 ;$ SERPIN | CYS_RICH; SER_RICH |
|  | MG770321.1 | AXY94923 | zonadhesin-like B (ZdB) C3 | EGF_2 25 ; SERPIN | CYS_RICH |
|  | MG770320.1 | AXY94922 | zonadhesin-like A (ZdA) C3 | EGF_2 $26 ;$ SERPIN | CYS_RICH x2; SER_RICH |
|  | NW 022276972.1 | XP_026748171.2 | uncharacterized protein | EGF-2 $2 \times 5$ |  |
|  | XM_031913565 | XP_031769425 | zonadhesin_C2 | EGF_2 26 |  |
| Ephestia kuehniella | ON604824 |  | zonadhesin 01 | EGF_2 13 | CYS_RICH; SER_RICH |
|  | ON604825 |  | zonadhesin 02 | EGF_2 27 ; SERPIN | CYS_RICH; SER_RICH |
|  | ON604826 |  | zonadhesin 03 | EGF-2 23 | SER_RICH |
|  | OP185494 |  | zonadhesin-like | EGF_2 | CYS_RICH |

Supplementary Table 5. Summary of proposed landmark genes adjacent to silk genes in E. kuehniella, G. mellonella and $A$. transitella. These genes are evolutionarily conserved and their positions in the genomes of the three species are shown in Figure 5. The corresponding genes shown in Figure 5 are connected by colored lines (blue, green and magenta).

| Color code | Species | Contig/Scaffold | Gene | Name |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Blue | Ephestia kuehniella | contig_172 | OP185490 | P47 (silk gene) |
|  | Galleria mellonella | NW_022271951.1 | LOC113518611 \| XP_026759371.2 | P47 (silk gene) |
|  | Amyelois transitella | NW_013535448.1 | LOC106134372 \| XP_013189852.1 | P47 (silk gene) |
| Green | Ephestia kuehniella | contig_172 | EKM1R5v1_00011257 | Similar to protein-lysine N -methyltransferase mettl10 |
|  |  | contig_172 | EKM1R5v1_00011258 | Similar to histidine triad nucleotide-binding protein 3 -like |
|  |  | contig_172 | EKM1R5v1_00011259 | Similar to motile sperm domain-containing protein 2 -like |
|  | Galleria mellonella | NW_022271951.1 | LOC113513742 \|XP_031767745.1 | EEFIA lysine methyltransferase 2 |
|  |  | NW_022271951.1 | LOC113513812 \| XP_026753595.1 | histidine triad nucleotide-binding protein 3-like |
|  |  | NW_022271951.1 | LOC113513811 \|XP_026753594.1 | motile sperm domain-containing protein 2 -like |
|  | Amyelois transitella | NW_013535442.1 | LOC106133710\|XP_013188979.1 | protein-lysine N -methyltransferase mettl10 |
|  |  | NW_013535442.1 | LOC106133711\|XP_013188980.1 | histidine triad nucleotide-binding protein 3 -like |
|  |  | NW_013535442.1 | LOC106133738 \| XP_013189019.1 | motile sperm domain-containing protein 2 -like |
| Magenta | Ephestia kuehniella | contig_493 | EKM1R5v1_00013302 | Similar to uncharacterized protein LOC106133714 |
|  | Galleria mellonella | NW_022271951.1 | LOC113513985 \| XP_026753770.1 | uncharacterized protein LOC1 13513985 |
|  | Amyelois transitella | NW_013535442.1 | LOC106133714\|XP_013188983.1 | uncharacterized protein LOC106133714 |

## Chapter 2

Unravelling the complexity of silk sericins: P150/sericin 6 is a new silk gene in Bombyx mori


#### Abstract

Sericins are a small family of highly divergent proteins that serve as adhesives and coatings for silk fibers and are produced in the middle part of the silk gland. So far, five genes encoding sericin proteins have been found in Bombyx mori. Sericins 1 and 3 are responsible for silk adhesion in the cocoon, while sericins 2,4 , and 5 are present in non-cocoon spun silk of younger larvae (including the early last instar). We found a new gene, which we named P150/sericin 6, which appears to be an ortholog of the sericin-like protein previously found in Galleria mellonella. The B. mori sequence of the P150/sericin 6 ORF was previously incorrectly predicted and assigned to two smaller, uncharacterized genes. We present a new P150/sericin 6 gene model and show that it encodes a large protein of 467 kDa . It is characterized by repeats with a high proportion of threonine residues and a short conserved region with a cysteine knot motif (CXCXCX) at the C-terminus. Expression analysis has shown that B. mori P150/ser6 has a low transcriptional level in contrast to its G. mellonella homolog. We also discuss the synteny of homologous genes on corresponding chromosomes between moth species and possible phylogenetic relationships between P150/ser6 and cysteine knot mucins. Our results improve our understanding of the evolutionary relationships between adhesion proteins in different lepidopteran species.


Keywords: Synteny, sericin, mucin, gene duplication, Bombyx mori, wax moth, Pyralidae

## 1. Introduction

Silk is a secretory product of several arthropod groups, including insects with the best known being produced by specialized larval salivary glands (the silk glands, SG) of the silkworm. Silk fibers are composed mainly of two types of proteins: fibroins and sericins. Fibroins are the central structural proteins that give silk strength and durability. In most moth species, fibroin is a complex of three protein subunits, fibroin heavy chain (Fib-H), fibroin light chain (Fib-L), and fibrohexamerin/P25 (Fhx/P25)[1-3]. They are produced in the posterior part of SG [4]. Sericins, on the other hand, are coating proteins produced in several layers in the middle part of the SG [5]. Sericins are a small highly divergent family of adhesives that help to glue the fibers together so that silk can form intricate structures such as cocoons or feeding tubes. The use of sensitive proteomic methods and the sequencing of transcriptomes and genomes of individual species indicate that the family of sericin proteins is larger than previously thought. The large divergence among sericin proteins suggests that they have evolved to perform slightly different functions depending on the specific needs of the silk-producing organism.

Two major sericin genes of B. mori have been found to produce cocoon sericins: Sericin 1 (Ser1) and Sericin 3 (Ser3) [6, 7]. Silkworm mutants carrying a truncated serl gene failed to spin or produced coarse cocoons, suggesting that it is involved in reducing friction during spinning [8]. The product of another sericin gene, sericin 2 (Ser2), and the products of two recently identified genes sericin 4 (Ser4) and sericin 5 (Ser5), have been described in noncocoon silk and are spun by younger larvae (including early last instar larvae) [9-12]. The presence of Ser2, Ser4, and Ser5 in non-cocoon silks suggests that they may have a specific function during these developmental stages. In this study, we describe another adhesive protein from B. mori silk that is homologous to a previously identified sericin-like protein, P150, found in the larval cocoons of G. mellonella and Ephestia kuehniella (superfamily Pyraloidea) [13, 14].

We show here that there is at least one additional sericin-like protein in the silk of B. mori, which we named P150/ser6 and which is similar to the previously discovered sericin protein P150 of G. mellonella [13]. Our results suggest that B. mori sequences similar to P150 were misannotated in both GenBank and Silk Base and assigned to two different genes with unknown functions. We present a new model of the $P 150 /$ ser 6 gene and show that it is a large gene with 4 exons expressed in the middle part of the SG. The P150/ser6 protein product is
found in the pre-cocoon silk, and to a lesser extent in the inner cocoon layer formed at the end of the last instar. The newly discovered protein adds to the existing sericin family of the silkworm. Our results improve our understanding of the functions of silk proteins and the evolutionary relationships among adhesion proteins in different lepidopteran species.

## 2. Materials and methods

### 2.1. Silkworm strains and datasets used

A non-diapausing B. mori strain, w 1-pnd (white egg 1, non-pigmented and non-diapausing $e g g$ ), was used in the experiments as a wild type ( $w t$ ) strain. Larvae were reared on mulberry leaves at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A list of RNA-seq datasets from the NCBI Sequence Read Archive (SRA) is provided in Table S1. (Supplementary Table S1).

### 2.2. RNA extraction and RT-PCR

To verify the structure of P150/ser6, total RNA was extracted from the SGs from SGs of 3-5-day-old fifth-instar larvae using Trizol reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The first cDNA strand was synthesized using $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of total RNA as templates. The cDNA product was used to verify the last exon junction of B. mori P150/ser6 and its expression level in different tissues. Primers were designed using the Geneious Prime software platform (Biomatters, Auckland, New Zealand; version 2021.2.2) and are listed in Supplementary Table S2.
qPCR was performed using HOT FIREPol EvaGreen qPCR Mix Plus (Solis BioDyne, Tartu, Estonia). The PCR reaction volume of $20 \mu \mathrm{l}$ contained $5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ diluted cDNA and 250 nM primer. Amplification was performed using a Rotor-Gene Q MDx 2plex HRM (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) for 45 cycles $\left(95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ for 15 s ; annealing temperature matched to the primer pair for $30 \mathrm{~s} ; 72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 s$)$ after an initial denaturation step $\left(95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ for 15 min$)$. Each sample was analyzed in triplicate. Results were analyzed using Rotor-Gene Q software (version 2.3.5). Elongation factor 1 alpha (EF 1a, NM _001044045.1) was used as a reference gene, and the relative expression of target genes was calculated using the $2-\Delta \Delta$ CT method [15]. Statistical analysis was performed using Student's $t$-test in $R$ (version 4.1.1); p values $<0.05$
were considered statistically significant. Detailed statistical analysis is provided in Supplementary Table S3.

### 2.3. Proteomic analysis and data mining

Protein analysis of B. mori cocoons and database searches were performed at the Proteomics Core Facility (BIOCEV, Vestec, Czech Republic) as previously described [16]. Approximately 10 mg of the silk cocoon was boiled in 8 M urea, and samples were further processed using solid-phase enhanced sample preparation technology (SP3 beads) [17]. Samples were then digested with trypsin, and the resulting peptides obtained were subjected to liquid chromatography - MS. Four $w t$ cocoons were analyzed in parallel. In addition, raw proteomic data deposited in public databases [18] on the composition of individual silk layers in the $B$. mori cocoon were reanalyzed. The obtained MS/MS spectra were matched against the UniProt database (hwww.uniprot.org), which was enriched for the newly discovered P150/ser6. Quantification was performed using label-free algorithms, and data were analyzed using MaxQuant and Perseus v.1.5.2.4 [19, 20].

### 2.4. Chromosomal localization and collinearity analysis

The genome assemblies and annotated information of B. mori (GCF_014905235.1), E. kuehniella [14, 21], and G. mellonella (GCF_026898425.1) were processed and submitted to the GENESPACE software [22] for syntenic analysis. Plots showing the microsyntenic relationships were then generated based on the best mutual hits between the three species and visualized using the R package ggplot2 [23].

### 2.5. Phylogenetic analysis

Codon-based alignment of the P150/ser6 and cysteine knot mucin 3' ends was performed using MEGA7 software following the MUSCLE method [24]. The phylogram was generated using the IQ-TREE server $[25,26]$, which included both the selection of the best substitution model by ModelFinder [27] and tree inference using MLE (ultrafast bootstrap, 1,000 replicates).

## 3. Results

### 3.1. Identification of P150/ser6 gene in B. mori

To identify the homolog of the major sericin gene P150 described previously in $G$. mellonella and E. kuehniella, we performed a BLAST search against the B. mori genome. We found two adjacent homologous regions in the genomic sequence, which were predicted to be parts of two B. mori genes. Most of the homologous sequence belonged to LOC101737213; the remaining C-terminus was predicted to be a separate gene LOC119629229. The B. mori sequence shared $47.5 \%$ identity per 70 C-terminal amino acid residues with $G$. mellonella P150. We hypothesized that the predicted gene models were incorrect and that the sequences of both $B$. mori genes were part of one large P150/ser6 gene. To test that the two putative $B$. mori genes represent a single gene and produce a single large mRNA, we designed RT-PCR primers that fuse the last two exons of the predicted LOC101737213 with the second exon LOC119629229 and amplified a fragment that confirmed the integrity of the putative large exon (Fig. 1A). We also designed primers that bridge both exons of LOC119629229. As shown in Figure 1B, the amplified cDNA fragments supported the hypothesis of a single large P150/ser6 gene.

The new gene model of P150/ser6 is shown in Figure 1A. The entire gene spans approximately 20 kb and consists of four exons and three introns (Fig. 1A). The first two exons of P150/ser6 encode a signal peptide and part of the short N -terminal nonrepetitive sequence. The third exon is very large (containing 94\% of the ORF) and contains two central repetitive regions flanked by unique sequences. The last exon contains a short ORF and a stop codon. The entire gene contains an ORF encoding 4552 amino acids including a signal peptide (19 amino acid residues).

### 3.2. Putative P150/ser6 protein

The deduced protein product of the P150/ser6 gene is a large protein of 467 kDa consisting of 4552 amino acid residues. It contains a signal peptide of 19 amino acid residues in length, followed by a central portion consisting of a 616 amino acid non-repetitive region, followed by 45 copies of a 30 -amino acid repeat 1 , a 34 -amino acid non-repetitive linker, a
repeat 2 consisting of 73 copies of 35 amino acids, and a nonrepetitive C -terminus of 388 amino acids (the complete sequence is shown in Text. S1). As shown in shown in Fig. 2, P150/ser6 consists of two types of highly conserved, threonine-rich repeat blocks (Supplementary Table S4). The P150/ser6 protein is relatively highly hydrophilic (hydropathy index $=-0.672$ compared to -1.118 of Ser 1 ). The B. mori P150/ser6 contains more than $27 \%$ threonine, $14 \%$ serine, and $12 \%$ alanine residues. The C-terminus (encoded by the last exon) contains a short, conserved cysteine knot motif (Supplementary Table S5). Compared to the P150 proteins of G. mellonella and E. kuehniella, the B. mori P150/ser6 is almost three times larger, less hydrophilic, and contains fewer serine residues. There is very little conservation between the P150/ser6 proteins except for the C-terminal amino acids (Supplementary Table S6).

### 3.3. P150/ser 6 mRNA is specifically expressed in MSG

To determine whether the B. mori homolog of P150/ser6 is specifically expressed in silk glands, we isolated mRNAs from different parts of the silk glands and control tissues (intestine and ovary) of day 3-5 last instar larvae, prepared cDNA, and performed qPCR. As shown in Figure 3, P150/ser 6 mRNA is highly specific for MSG and ASG, whereas it is absent in PSG and control tissues.

In addition, we reanalyzed the publicly available RNA-seq data for silk gene expression from previous experiments $[18,28]$. We used a new annotation of $P 150 /$ ser 6 and quantified transcript abundance using Kallisto software, and estimated fold changes using DESeq2 (see material and methods). As shown in Figure S1, the results support our data above and indicate that P150/ser6 mRNA is highly specific to MSG and its maximal expression occurs in the middle part of MSG, similar to that of Ser2 and Mucin-12 (Muc-12). In addition, the maximal expression of Ser 1 and $\operatorname{Ser} 3$ is found in the middle part of MSG, with a low expression level also in PSG (Fig. S1). The presence of sericin mRNAs in the posterior SG sample may be caused by different separation sites between the posterior and middle SG during tissue dissection.
3.4. Quantitative proteomic analysis of silk samples

To test whether the P150/ser6 protein is present in B. mori cocoons, we performed MS proteomic analyses of silk from $w t$ cocoons. The results were examined using the Andromeda search engine integrated into the MaxQuant software. The relative abundance of proteins was determined by label-free quantification. We identified 118 proteins using the false discovery rate (FDR) of $1 \%$ for protein identification. The intensity of each protein in the biological samples was in strong agreement. A summary of the identified proteins from a triplicate analysis of each cocoon is shown in Table 1.

The results of the proteomic analysis show that the expression of $\mathrm{P} 150 /$ ser6 protein is quite low, similar to those of Ser2, and Muc-12, which are also present at low intensities at the instrumental detection limit (IDL). In contrast, the data (Fig. 4A) showed that Ser1 and Ser3 are the most abundant components of the cocoon silk with concentrations at least five orders of magnitude higher than P150/ser6 (Fig. 4A).

To determine the protein abundance in the different cocoon layers and whether P150/ser6, Muc-12, and Ser2 are coordinately expressed, we also re-analyzed the existing proteomics data in the public repository [18] using our new annotation of P150/ser6. The abundance of P150/ser6, Muc-12 and Ser2 in cocoons is shown in Figure 4B. All three proteins are found at very low levels, with P150/ser6 and Ser2 being the most abundant in the innermost cocoon layer (layer 1), whereas Muc-12 is found in the outermost layer. Taken together, our data show that the abundance of P 150 /ser 6 and Muc-12 in cocoons is low and differs in localization and timing of secretion, with the outermost layer being secreted first, whereas the innermost layer is produced at the end of spinning.

### 3.5. Synteny in regions coding for P150/ser6 genes in Lepidoptera

A previous study on the pyralid moths G. mellonella and E. kuehniella showed that the known sericin genes, except for P150/ser6, lie within the cluster of orthologous genes in the corresponding chromosomal regions [14]. Such microsynteny can be also observed between B. mori and G. mellonella or E. kuehniella (Fig 5). The results also show a number of local rearrangements and duplications in this region including the expansion of several sericin genes in G. mellonella compared to related moths [14].

In contrast, the P150/ser6 gene is located on a different chromosome in a more conserved region of a separate cluster, between the genes encoding metalloprotease 1 and croquemont 1 .

As shown in Figure 5, the region on chromosome 12 of B. mori has a well-conserved synteny, except for an inversion that places the P150/ser6 region in the opposite direction to the surrounding genes.

### 3.6. P150/ser6 may be related to Muc-12

To investigate the evolution of $P 150 /$ ser6, we searched for homologous sequences in insect genomes using BLAST and the C-terminal conserved sequence as bait. We found no obvious orthologs in non-lepidopteran insects, suggesting that the P150/ser6 gene appears to be specific to Lepidoptera. We also found no P150/ser6 ortholog in members of the superfamily Papilionoidea.

P150/ser6 proteins are highly divergent, and homologous proteins from different Lepidopteran families are difficult to align, except for the conserved C-terminus (the consensus sequence is shown in Fig. 3). The most prominent conserved motif is the cysteine knot (CXCXCX) sequence, which is located 12-29 amino acid residues away from the Cterminus.

Interestingly, there are other silk gland-specific proteins, which also contain cysteine knot sequences. One of these is Muc-12, which is reminiscent of $\mathrm{P} 150 /$ ser6 because of its size and the repetitive structure of its molecule. To learn more about the relationship between cysteine knot mucins and P150/ser6 sequences, we constructed a dendrogram of P150/ser6 and Muc12 C-termini from representatives of several lepidopteran families (Fig. 6). The resulting phylogenetic tree is robust and distinguishes both clades-P150/ser6 and Muc-12-with high support except for the sequences from the most primitive species (Fig. 6). The sequence alignment and consensus are shown in Figure 6B.

## 4. Discussion

We discovered a new sericin-like gene P150/ser6 in the genome of B. mori, based on homology with a similar gene in G. mellonella. We also found that the region on chromosome 12 where this gene is located was not correctly annotated. Previous gene models of P150/ser6 contained a homologous sequence that was split into two putative B. mori genes. Here, we
present the correct P150/ser6 gene model and show that its ORF encodes a large protein with a repetitive structure that is specifically expressed in SG.

Structure prediction of large genes is still a problem [29]. The best results are obtained by comparing genomic and cDNA sequences or by using proteomic data [30]. Previous identification of P150/ser6 sequences in G. mellonella and E. kuehniella was successfully performed using this approach [13, 14]. In contrast, finding the B. mori P150/ser6 homolog has been more difficult due to its relatively low expression. Here we show that P150/ser6 and possibly some other silk genes can be identified on the basis of the homology of a sufficiently conserved, relatively short motif. The identification of P150/ser 6 was supported by microsynteny between the corresponding genomic regions in B. mori and G. mellonella (Fig. 5).

Previous results showed that the homolog of $\mathrm{P} 150 /$ ser6 is one of the most abundant silk proteins in G. mellonella [13]. In contrast, our results show that it is present only at trace levels in B. mori silk. The $\mathrm{P} 150 /$ ser6 protein product of B. mori is found mainly in the inner cocoon layer formed at the end of the last instar and also in the non-cocoon silk from earlier instar larvae, as suggested by the data of Dong et al. [28]. Consistently, Ser2, Ser4 and Ser5 are present in the non-cocoon silk [9-11]. Non-cocoon silk has previously been associated with the initial stage of silk spinning and is responsible for holding the molting larva and fixing the cocoon to a suitable substrate [11]. Alternatively, the sericin sequences of B. mori sericin sequences could have high serine content (Supplementary Table S5), as only simple sericins are digestible by cocoonase [31]. Sericins containing less serine would be restricted to non-cocoon silk. Mutants in cocoonase result in adults being trapped in the cocoon.

Why are there multiple sericins in moth silks? Unlike spiders, moths have only one fibroin gene, which is considered to be the main structural component of silk. However, silk is modified differently in different moth species, and sericins appear to be the most variable components, important for building three-dimensional silk structures and contributing to silk strength and toughness [32]. For example, G. mellonella builds very dense feeding tubes and cocoons that are needed for larval protection in hives. Sericins and other soluble silk components make up about $48 \%$ of the cocoon mass of G. mellonella, whereas B. mori cocoons contain only about $26 \%$ soluble cocoon proteins. Some moths, including those of Samia ricini, contain as little as $16 \%$ soluble proteins [11, 33]. The number of sericin genes
can also vary widely, for example, G. mellonella contains twice as many sericin genes as $E$. kuehniella [14].

The cysteine knot motif (CXCXCX) encoded by the C-termini of some SG-specific genes is similar to the motif described in some mammalian growth factors, including the VEGF family [34]. The function of cysteine knot motifs has been suggested for protein structural integrity. In addition to P150/ser6 and Muc-12, there are at least two other B. mori SGspecific proteins that carry this motif. One of these, a putative 53 kDa non-repetitive protein, is also expressed in silk glands and has homologs in other moths and even caddisflies. The other protein, designated egalitarian protein homolog (LOC101741849), is more conserved and appears to be well separated from other cysteine knot proteins [35].

The general similarity of $\mathrm{P} 150 /$ ser6 and Muc-12 suggests that they may have a common origin. P150/ser6 and Muc-12 are both large, highly divergent proteins. They have repetitive sequences encoding ORFs with simple amino acids and both are likely to serve as silk adhesives [13]. Our phylogram (Fig. 6) distinguishes the two clades P150/ser6 and Muc-12 with good support, except for the sequences in the most primitive species, where it is difficult to place them confidently in the phylogram. The evolutionary relationship between P150/ser6 and mucins with a cysteine node motif is not clear. The question of whether P150/ser6 and cysteine knot mucins share a common ancestor and have diverged extensively or whether they are the product of convergent evolution remains an important question for future research.
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Figure. 1. Different models of a B. mori genomic region containing sequences similar to the P 150 protein of $G$. mellonella. (A) The genomic region is part of chromosome 12 (NC_051369.1: 1270000-1280000, strand flipped). The exon-intron structure of the P150/ser6 gene, consisting of four exons and three introns, as predicted by our analysis, is shown in green. Below - comparison with current models in the NCBI database the following gene models are shown: LOC101737213 (pink); LOC119629229 (blue); LOC101742798 (gray); and the model of the gene KWMTBOMO06993 in Silkbase (brown). The size bar in kilobase pairs is shown at the top. (B) The lower inset shows an approximately $2.2-\mathrm{kb}$ region with the chromosomal coordinates and positions of the primer pairs used in this study. (C) Verification of the 3 ' region of the newly designed P150/ser6 gene model by RT-PCR and agarose gel electrophoresis. The expected product sizes of primer pairs \#1F-1R, \#2F-2R, and \#3F-3R were $1003 \mathrm{bp}, 313 \mathrm{bp}$, and 93 bp , respectively. The electrophoretogram contains a 1 kb ladder (lane 1) and 100 bp ladder (lane 5).


Figure. 2. Amino acid sequence logos. The sequence logos show the conservation of amino acids in two types of repeats found in the B. mori P150/ser6 protein. Hydrophobicity of an amino acid is indicated by color: hydrophilic (blue; RKDENQ); neutral (dark gray; SGHTAP); hydrophobic (orange; YVMCLFIW).


Figure. 3. Quantitative PCR (qPCR) analysis of gene expression of silk gland-specific genes (ser1, ser2, ser3, and P150/ser6) and control (non-silk gland-specific gene MAD) in different tissues. Statistical differences were evaluated using Student's t-test (see Supplementary data); error bars are SD .
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Figure. 4. Proteomic analysis of $B$. mori silk proteins (A) from wt cocoons as previously described [16]; and (B) individual cocoon layer data from a public repository [18]. Label-free quantification (LFQ) of silk proteins from cocoons was calculated using MaxQuant. LFQ intensities were $\log 2$-transformed. Relative protein contents in cocoon silk were analyzed using MaxQuant/Andromeda (eight experiments). Error bars indicate the standard deviation. Proteomic analysis confirmed that Ser1 and Ser3 were the most abundant silk components. The other proteins, including P150/ser6, Ser2, and Muc-12, were present at low levels at the instrumental detection limit (IDL).
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| Nematopogon swammerdamelius | Incurvarioidea |
| :---: | :---: |
| Eumeta japonica | Tineoidea |
| Pectinophora gossypiella | Gelechioidea |
| Plodia interpunctella | Pyraloidea |
| Zeuzera pyrina | Cossoidea |
| Bombyx mori | Bombycoidea |
| Pamrnene fasciana | Tortricoidea |
| Sesia apiformis | Sesicidea |
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| Thera britannica | Geometroidea |
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| Sesia apiformis | Sesioidea |
| Zeuzera pyrina | Cossoidea |
| Plodia interpunctella | Pyralaidea |
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Figure. 6. Relationship between P150/ser6 and cysteine knot mucin sequences. (A) Maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree based on the alignment of the C-terminal amino acid sequences of P150/ser6 and Muc-12 homologs in selected lepidopteran species. The Nesw_1 transcript from N. swammerdamellus, (Incurvarioidea), the most primitive in this group, was selected for tree rooting. See Supplementary Text S2 and Supplementary Table S7 for sequences. (B) Alignment of the C-terminal regions of P150/ser6 proteins from representative lepidopteran species. Sequences include the characteristic CXCXCX region, which is well-conserved between species.

Table 1. List of proteins detected in B. mori coccon silk by MaxQuant. Intensity is the sum of intensity values of all replicates.

| Intensity | Accassion | Gene | Residue | M. w. fkDa) | GRAVY | pl | Top 3 AA | Signal peptide |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 380194000000 | XP_037869538. 1 | sericic 1 isoform $\times 1$ | 3385 | 331.903 | -1.118 | 4.773 | Ser (38.405\%) ; Gly ( $12.644 \%$ ) Thr ( 9 365\%) | MrFVLCCTLIALAALSVKAFG |
| 41472000000 | XP 004926112.1 | uncharacterized protein LOC101740726 | 239 | 25.909 | -0.016 | 8.824 | Leu (15.900\%) Ala (10.460\%) Gly ( $8.368 \%$ ) | mkLlvVLavcavaas |
| 27399600000 | XP 004922636.1 | fibrohexamerin-like | 241 | 27.201 | -0.058 |  | Leu (9.959\%); Asn (7.884\%); Ser (7.884\%) | MkLMLCLCLLSFFgLTVA |
| 22181230000 | XP -037874919.1 | LOW QUALITY PROTEIN: zonadhesin | 3973 | 432.78 | -0.563 | 4.644 | Cys (14.372\%), Gly (8.759\%), Asn (8.558\%) | MLKTFLFVACIANVLLVDSTEA |
| 17189800000 | NP_001108116.1 | sericin 3 precursar | 1271 | 123.298 | -1.465 | 5.708 | Ser ( $43.588 \%$ ) ; $\operatorname{Gly}(11.959 \%$ ); $\operatorname{Gln}(7.396 \%)$ | mNCKVALFLIVAIVAVGA |
| 11367900000 | NP-001106733.1 | fibroin heavy chain precursor | 5263 | 391.593 | 0.216 | 4.128 | Gly (45.886\%) Ala (30.268\%), Ser (12.065\%) | MRVKTFVILCCALOYVAYTNA |
| 7049000000 | NP_001037488.1 | fibroin light chain precursar | 262 | 27.754 | 0.024 | 5.188 | Ala (13.740\%); Ser (9.542\%); Gly (8.397\%) | mkPiflvllvatsaya |
| 6734500000 | XP-004921814.1 | uncharacterized protein LOC101741789 | 474 | 50.925 | -0.448 |  | Lys ( $9.705 \%$ ); Ser (9.494\%); Val (8.228\%) | mkVAAAL FTILINNA |
| 4998900000 | NP 001037045.1 | seroin 1 precursor | 108 | 11.882 | -0.388 | 4.059 | Ser (12.037\%); Asp (10.185\%); G1u (9.259\%) | MAFTKFLFVITLITIASA |
| 3343140000 | NP 001078833.1 | beta-N-acetylulucosaminidase 1 precursor | 611 | 69.655 | -0.287 | 6.124 | Leu (9.493\%); Val (7.529\%) ; Arg ( $6.710 \%$ ) | MALHRLLALMTTMHLAGVLC |
| 2228430000 | XP_004921708. 1 | autotransporter adhesin BpaC-like | 261 | 24.119 | -0.133 | 3.879 | Ala (29.502\%); Ser (19.923\%); Thr (17.241\%) | msLSRVAVFASILVCYYusiva |
| 1978960000 | XP_004928642.2 | apolipophorins isoform $\times 1$ | 3317 | 369.106 | -0.315 |  | Leu (9.828\%): Lys (9.014\%); Ser (7.266\%) | MGTISFSLSVILLISVLWEPALA |
| 1776180000 | NP_001106745.1 | carboxypepticase inhitior precursar | 113 | 12.561 | -0.296 |  | Cys ( $13.274 \%$ ); Glu ( (10.619\%); ; Gly (8.850\%) | MkFLLFCAMcILVYG |
| 1700100000 | XP 004925684.1 | +15-interacling protei isoform X1 | 394 | 43.157 | -0.34 | 4.366 | Cys ( $13.959 \%$ ) Pro ( $10.660 \%$ ) Asn ( $8.376 \%$ ) | MFILFCFISTVAA |
| 1198871000 | XP 0.12546338 .1 | glycine-rich cell wall stuctural protein $1.0-\mathrm{lik}$ e | 208 | 18.431 | -0.801 | 3.207 | Gly (36.538\%); Asp (18. $750 \%$ ); Ala (12.500\%) | MkLKWLlllvwvavtwea |
| 1102090000 | XP -037874647.1 | zonachesin isoform $\times 1$ | 683 | 75.835 | -0.606 | 4.663 | Cys ( $14.641 \%$ ); Glu (9.9566\%): Pro ( $7.174 \%$ ) | MGRFCFIMLTAAWLVVAKADA |
| 1100470000 | XP -037867528,1 | zonachesin isoform $\times 1$ | 2721 | 296.36 | -0.549 | 5.952 | Cys (14.627\%); Pro (13.120\%\%) Asn (8.012\%) | mLVLFVGLLMLISSSTA |
| 1081719000 | XP 004928913.2 | varin-like protein 1 | 515 | 57.684 | -0.124 | 5.014 | Val (8.932\%); Leu (7.573\%); Ser (7.379\%) | MKLIFYGLLIFSLTKATS |
| 1060700000 | XP 004923912.1 | alcothol dethycrocenase 2 | 319 | 35.344 | -0.202 | 6.788 | Val ( $9.404 \%$ ) : He ( $8.484 \% \%$; Asn ( $(8.464 \%)$ | MFVITYFNLLFVALFFVNVTST |
| 1034207000 | XP 021204310.2 | uncharacterized protein LOC101744556 isolorm X ${ }_{1}$ | 1979 | 222.161 | -0.727 | 4.753 | Asn (9.803\%); Pro (8.489\%); Ser (8.439\%) | MEYKVNLIFLVVFSSKIIVSIP |
| 961800000 | XP_037871220.1 | fibrohexamerin-like | 240 | 27.327 | -0.179 | 4.591 | Leu (9.583\%): Asn ( $3.33 \%$ ); Gup (7.500\%) | MRIVWYSCLALFGLITA |
| 880980000 | XP -037873722.1 | glucose dehyrrocensase IFAD, quinonel | 577 | 84.896 | -0.155 | 7.01 | Leu (10.052\%: Val (8.145\%): Lys (7.452\%) |  |
| 749953000 | XP 037869877.1 | Uncharacterized protein LOC101740721 isolorm X 1 | 2270 | 251.441 | -0.988 |  | Lvs (9.824\%): $\operatorname{Thr}(9.604 \%) ;$ Ser $(9.47 \%)$ | MDWTKYLVLFLMWVVSS |
| 717188000 | XP 037874060.1 | myrosinase 1 | 567 | 65.135 | -0.404 | 6.173 | Leu [8.466\%); Ser (8.113\%); Ala (7.760\%) | MFGLLSMWISWDLFLFERPASV |
| 700756000 | NP_001139413.1 | fibrohexamerin precursor | 220 | 25.167 | -0.102 | 7.162 | Leu ( $10.000 \%$ ) Ala (7.273\%); Phe ( $6.818 \%$ ) | MLARCLAVAAVAVLASA |
| 677915000 | NP_001108339.1 | integument esterase 1 precursor | 561 | 63.237 | -0.425 | 6.835 | Leu (8.021\%); Gly (7.843\%) ; Pro (7.843\%) | MLLKLIFICAIVYYADA |
| 673315000 | XP_012551240.1 | uncharacterized protein LOC105842476 | 234 | 26.439 | -0.007 | 4.168 | Leu (9.402\%); Asn (8.547\%); Val (7.69\%\%) | mefelclcilsvlglia |
| 474186000 | XP 004924748.1 | proton-couviled fiolate rranspotier | 508 | 57.334 | 0.229 | 8.584 | Leu (10.827\%): lle (9.646\%); Ser (8.661\%) |  |
| 456522000 | XP 012546430,1 | Hsosomal acid gluessylceramidase isoform $\times 1$ | 536 | 61.151 | -0.243 | 7.051 | Leu (9.142\%) : Lys (7.836\%); ile (7.090\%) | - |
| 403273500 | XP 004928916.1 | glucose dehydrogenase [FAD, quinone] | 657 | 73.389 | -0.294 | 8.726 | Leu [8.676\%); Val (7.610\%); Gly (7.154\%) | MHTRRKIFMWFLLFVNANCCDA |
| 352649000 | XP_037868215.1 | beta-glucuronidase isolom $\times 1$ | 688 | 79.06 | -0.304 | 7.015 | Val (7.849\%); Leu (6.977\%); Thr (6.831\%) |  |
| 342382000 | XP-004927001.2 | lipase 1 | 399 | 46.491 | -0.293 | 5.885 | Leu (9.023\%); Gu ( $8.020 \%$ ): Ile (7.519\%) | mvkiglrtifmmlsiglhlasa |
| 342254000 | XP-004922749.1 | protein D2 | 209 | 23.055 | 0.077 | 6.528 | Val (11.005\%): Leu (10.048\%); Ala (8.612\%) | MGALIRCAVLLTVATIVDFRVLTRA |
| 319436000 | XP -037872749.1 | deflydrogenase mpl7 isoform $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ | 585 | 65.476 | -0.206 | 9.092 | Lys (9.573\%); Leu (9.080\%) , Val (8.547\%) |  |
| 314065000 | NP 001037046.2 | seroin 2 precursor | 112 | 12.273 | -0.287 | 9.883 | Ser (12.500\%): Lys (10.714\%); Pro (10.714\%) | MAFTKFLFMLSLITIASA |
| 298483000 | XP 037869036.1 | beta-galactosidase isoform $\times 1$ | 2942 | 328.542 | -0.211 | 6.857 | Leu (9.007\%); Val (7.988\%); Gly (7.104\%) | MTLLIATFALLCFLGQtys |
| 283373000 | XP_012545566. 1 | yellow-d isctorm X 1 | 475 | 53.869 | -0.208 | 6.511 | Val (8.211\%); Leu ( $7.158 \%$ ); Ser (7.158\%] |  |
| 252201950 | NP 001036850.1 | cathepsin B preaursor | 337 | 37.556 | -0.441 | 6.382 | Gly (9.792\%): Ser (7.122\%): Asp (6.825\%) | mFISRAAYUTLVCVLAAA |
| 245267000 | XP 004924612.2 | esterase FE4 | 550 | 61.673 | -0.231 | 5.06 | Leu (8.000\%); Gly (7.636\%): 1 lle ( $7.273 \%$ ) | MLIKTFFVIASVVYVLG |
| 181921000 | XP 037876844.1 | latent-transforming growd factor beta-binding protein 4 | 1359 | 150.321 | -0.652 | 5.589 | Thr ( $10.302 \%$ ) : Ser ( $8.389 \%$ ); Cys (7.873\%) | MKFIVUIFTFLFSTVINA |
| 165783000 | XP -004929234.1 | venom dipeptidy peptidase 4 | 740 | 83.919 | -0. 199 | 5.527 | Leu ( $8.243 \%$ ): Val ( $7.973 \%$ \% He ( $7.162 \%$ ) | MAMEQAVFLLMGLICHTQSSA |
| 149592000 | NP-001093080. 1 | ecdysteroid-requlated 16 KDa protein precursor | 145 | 15.824 | 0.179 | 6.336 | Leu (11.724\%: Val (8.27\%\%); Ala (7.586\%) | MLFFITAAVLLASAEA |
| 148759000 | XP 037874096.1 | myrosinase 1 sotorm ${ }^{1} 1$ | 495 | 57.471 | -0.473 | 5.019 | Leu (8.081\%); GIu (7.879\%); Ser (7.071\%) | MNLSWQVAFSALMACAWG |
| 145757000 | XP 037868236.1 | juvenile hormone esterase-like | 562 | 63.516 | -0.189 | 9.306 | Leu (9.253\%); Asn ( $8.541 \%$ ) ) ll e (7.295\%) | MSNTFYLTVLILVLNTINA |
| 143543000 | NP-001268822.1 | neutral alpha-glucosidisse AB-like precursor | 925 | 104.635 | -0.371 | 5.706 | Leu (8.432\%); Val (8.324\%); Ala ( $8.000 \%$ ) | MkVWALVLVAAFVIIGISA |
| 137424000 | XP_004926880 2 | lysosomal acid glucosylceramidase isofom $\times 1$ | 530 | 59.677 | -0. 19 | 4.426 | Leu (8.113\%); Asp (7.355\%); Ile (7.170\%) | MDINKCIISASLWAAMYLLFGSADA |
| 134477000 | XP_012547740.1 | heat shock 70 kD protein cognate iscform $\times 1$ | 960 | 106.18 | -0.4 | 5.486 | Lys (8.542\%); Val [8.229\%); Ala (8. $125 \%$ \% |  |
| 121943000 | XP 037868883.1 | arysulfatasa B | 537 | 60.465 | -0.397 | 6.796 | Leu (8.752\%): Gly (8.194\%): Lys (7.635\%) | MFVFRVLLISVLMLVAES |
| 116001000 | XP 0.12544831.3 | uncharacterized protein LOC101741510 | 1999 | 228.101 | -0.53 | 5.916 | Val (8.054\%) Leu (8.004\%) Lys (7.404\%) | MSIKSAYLLIFQIIVYYNCSC |
| 114229100 | NP_001037047.1 | silk proteinase inhibitor precursor | 65 | 6.962 | 0.554 | 8.134 | Cys ( $13.846 \%$ ); Gly ( $10.769 \%$ ); Thr ( $10.769 \%$ ) | MKTSIVLIFLLVACCTLGAES |
| 113920600 | XP_021206228.1 | poly(U)-specific endoribonuclease-D isoform $\times 1$ | 536 | 60.005 | -0.79 | 9.886 | Ser (10.634\%); $\operatorname{Thr}(9.888 \%)$; Asn (8.769\%) |  |
| 102791000 | NP_001106744.1 | antennal binding protein precursor | 140 | 15.49 | -0.11 | 7.206 | Lys (11.429\%); Ala ( $10.000 \%$ \% Leu ( $7.857 \%$ ) | mmYLSFVVLICLAFAVFNGGA |
| 97291000 | XP_-04927018.1 | C 3 and PZP-like alpha-2-macroglobulin domain-containing protein 8 | 1448 | 155.934 | -0.031 | 5.938 | Ala (11.119\%); Leu (10.152\%); Ser (8.840\%) | MIEKIKTLLLFILSvPAVTQG |
| 96514000 | XP -037874655.1 | inducible metallopoteinase inhibitor protein-like isoform $\times 1$ | 200 | 22.342 | -0.704 | 5.769 | Cys (10.500\%); Glu (9.500\%): Ala (7.000\%) |  |
| 93201000 | XP 021203913,1 | uncharacterized protein LOC101747119 isolorm $\times 1$ | 722 | 80.01 | -0.235 |  | Val ( $9.695 \%$; Leu ( $7.895 \%$ ) Ser ( $7.895 \%$ ) | MNSNEIYFVILTLCSSVAG |
| 77323000 | XP -012545193,1 | Uncharacterized protein LOC1011735738 isoform $\times 1$ | 671 | 75.143 | -0.309 | 6.349 | Leu (10.581\% \% Gly ( $8,197 \%$ ); Val ( $7.899 \%$ ) | MSVRFVRTLVILLAIVHNSRA |
| 75014000 | NP_001040174.1 | alpha-esterase 13 precursor | 540 | 61.416 | -0.241 | 5.012 | Leu (9.815\%); Phe (7.593\%); Lys (7.593\%) | mlFallicvovisvfg |
| 74413000 | XP_037876352.1 | 4-hydroxy-tetrahydrocipipolinate synthase-l\| | 328 | 35.694 | 0.094 |  | Leu (11.585\%) Ala (8.537\%); Ile (7.927\%) | mYSISGLTFLCVLINVFDTKC |
| 73489010 | XP 037867171.1 | 15-hydroxyprostaglancin dehydrogenase [ $\mathrm{NAD}(+)$ - -ike | 289 | 31.605 | -0.025 | 9.45 | Lvs (10.727\%); lie (10.035\%): Ala (7.958\%) | MTLSLLKTFFVSCFIIIIVSA |
| 71931700 | NP-001037075.1 | careeticulin preeursor | 398 | 45.802 | -0.992 | 4.245 | Asp ( $13.819 \%$ ); Lys ( $12.060 \%$ ); Glu ( $11.307 \%$ ) | MKAVVLVVVSLLALSSINC |
| 68856900 | XP 021206073.2 | Uncharacterized protein LOC105842244 | 1131 | 127.974 | -0.974 | 9.852 | Thr (10.698\%) : Ser (9.991\%): Pro (9.107\%) | mCGARLLLAATALQalllavsc |
| 67460000 | XP -012547984.1 | uncharacterized protein LOC101744060 | 934 | 101.918 | -0.275 | 4.433 | Leu [9.850\%); Ser (8.565\%); Ala (8.458\%) | MNANKKAIVLLTLINILLVRS |
| 66627000 | XP 0.037874369.1 | fibrillin-1 isoform $\times 1$ | 3047 | 325.331 | -0.468 | 4.496 | Gly (12.504\%) : Cys (12.143\%); Asp (7.844\%) | mgdgakmswrllvaabllasvag |
| 60053000 | XP 012550868.1 | uncharacterized protein LOC778506 isoform $\times 1$ | 292 | 30.66 | -0.599 | 4.054 | Ala (16.781\%); Glu (12.329\%); Lys (11.644\%) |  |
| 59817000 | XP -037868167.1 | Uncharacterized protein LOC101736658 isoform $\times 1$ | 456 | 51.569 | -0.228 | 6.318 | Leu (9.649\%); Ser (8.333\%); Vall (8.114\%) | mgSietlvLlclvilssc |
| 59417900 | XP_004929039, 1 | phospholipase A2 | 177 | 20.411 | -0.24 | 4.652 | Glu (7.910\%); Asp (7.34\%\%); Gly (7.345\%) | MFRHLFCLINLIAVHTNKA |
| 58891600 | XP_037867041.1 | alphe-crystallin 8 chain | 241 | 26.543 | -0.263 | 4.361 | Val (11.618\%); Ala (9.129\%); Thr (9.128\%) | mFSPRLFAVFIAFAGFATVTA |
| 55656900 | XP -021207905. 1 | uncharacterized protein LOC101743953 isolorm $\times 1$ | 472 | 52.872 | -0.063 | 7.143 | Leu (11.441\%) Ile (8.283\%); Ala (8.051\%) | mavslyvilviattalg |
| 55294500 | XP 037868227.1 | mucin-5AC | 1768 | 198.075 | -0.847 | 6.971 | Thr (14.989\%) : Ser ( $8.484 \%$ ): Glu (8.256\%) | MGIFDYKFIGKLFKMESTWLTLLLAVIATTPVSS |
| 50392000 | NP -001037090.1 | serine protease inhibitor 4 precursor | 410 | 46.3 | -0.095 | 7.322 | Leu (9.024\%); Ser (7.805\%); 110 ( $7.561 \%$ ) | MCLLKFLVLAIVPLSFA. |
| 44188900 | NP_001037171.1 | protein disulfide isomerase precursor | 494 | 55.589 | -0.278 | 4.325 | Glu (11.134\%); Ala (8.704\%) Lys (8.502\%) | mRVLIf ${ }^{\text {alableglalg }}$ |
| 41498000 | NP-001119727.1 | actin, cytoplasmic A4 | 376 | 41.822 | -0.193 | 5.156 | Ala (7.979\%), Glu ( $7.447 \%$ F Gly (7.447\%) |  |
| 40515000 | XP_037874307.1 | basement memtrane-specific heparan sulfate proteoglycan core protein isolorm $\times 1$ | 4228 | 463.254 | -0.538 | 4.432 | Gly (8.609\%) Ser (8.538\%): Asp (7.427\%) | mraggllahallllstftiovlika |
| 39652000 | XP 004928666.2 | alaserpin | 410 | 45.953 | -0.194 | 5.018 | Leu (10.244\%: \|le (9.024\%): Glu ( $8.293 \%$ ) |  |
| 38903380 | XP 012551293.2 | hame peroxidase 2 | 1344 | 149.897 | -0.304 | 6.792 | Leu (10.045\%) Ala ( $3.929 \%$ ); Pro (7.068\%) | MGAFKASIRSLLLFTLALT |
| 37924900 | NP 001155191.2 | silk gland derived serine protease 1 precursor | 392 | 42.098 | 0.134 | 7.393 | Gly (9.694\%): Ser ( $9.439 \%$ ); Wal (8.163\%) | MCLQLVLVVLALNGVLS |
| 37469400 | NP_001040479.1 | pepetidylproly isomerase B precursor | 205 | 22.397 | -0.267 | 8.842 | Gly (12.195\%) Lys ( $10.244 \%$ ); Thr (8.780\%) | MGTLTMALGILLFIASAKS |
| 37262200 | NP_001037430.1 | yellow-b precursor | 457 | 50.803 | -0.156 | 5.119 | Leu (9.409\%); Ala (8.972\%), Asn (7.440\%) | mRYTLTSLSmmlamllamyamaa |
| 36225300 | NP 001037073.1 | glucosidase precursor | 491 | 55.596 | -0.375 | 4.575 | Asp (7.943\%): Leu (7.943\%); Gly (7.536\%) | MAWLTTLSILAVCHTGLA |
| 34377200 | NP 001268827.1 | low molecuar mass 30 kDa lipoprotein 2161-lke precursar | 256 | 29.858 | -0.714 | 4.942 | Asp ( $10.938 \%$ ); Lys (8.984\%): $\operatorname{Ser}$ ( $8.594 \%$ ) | MKLKILTTLSVIIATFFNMSVEA |
| 30436100 | XP 037870111.1 | probable polyamine oxidase 5 isform $\times 1$ | 485 | 53.978 | -0.216 | 6.632 | Leu (9.485\%); Gly (7.835\%); Thr (7.835\%) | mivRVallvlcvavagrg |
| 29882500 | NP 001036944.1 | juvenile hormone birding protein an-0895, precursor | 243 | 27.294 | -0.047 | 4.558 | Leu (13.992\%) Val (9.053\%): Asn (8.642\%) | mwiglfivlglveswvg |
| 27055300 | XP_021205588. 1 | probable cyclin-dependent serine/threonine-protein kinase DDB_G0292550 isoform x2 | 544 | 59.257 | -1.054 | 6.833 | Ser (18.199\%); Asn (14.154\%); Asp (8.272\%) | MNIARSIIFSVYVILLVGLSPTSG |
| 25743100 | XP -004926879.1 | apolicoprotein D | 269 | 29.851 | -0.199 | 6.508 | Val (8.922\%): Ser (8.550\%) Leu (8.178\%) | MWKLTVLGVFLTVTYYS |
| 25471600 | XP 004926990.1 | ATP-dependent (S) NAD(P)H-hydrale dehydratase | 327 | 35.913 | -0.099 | 7.438 | Ser ( $10.092 \%$ ); lie (9.786\%\%); Gly (8.257\%) | MTPSFNIILVILQVILFTFQITNG |
| 25363500 | XP - 037876745.1 | calsyntenin- isoform $^{\text {x1 }}$ | 953 | 105.996 | -0.324 |  | Ala (9.654\%) Val (8.919\%) Leu (8.185\%) | MIVRFLSVLCVGVFLTAVYG |
| 23648900 | XP_004923234.1 | putative alpha-L-fucosidase | 477 | 55.387 | -0.509 | 775 | Leu (7.757\%): Ser (7.128\%); Gly ( $6.918 \%$ ) | MkSFFLVFLFGFVNG |
| 20809000 | XP - 004933848.1 | 15-hydroxyprostraciancin dehydrogenase [ [NAD( +1$]$ | ${ }_{1}^{283}$ | 31.156 | $-0.108$ | 6.252 | Ile (8.834\%): Ala (8.481\%) Livs (7.774\%) | MAVELTFLFCLVILSVRA |
| 19455700 | NP 001091826.1 | ublquitin and ribosomal protein S27a | 155 | 17.847 | -0.797 | 10.483 | Lys (16.129\%) Leu (7.742\%), Gly (7.097\%) |  |
| 19071700 | NP 001166287.1 | sericin 2 isoform 1 precursor | 1758 | 198.657 | -2.152 | 9.158 | Lys (17.179\%); Ser (15.131\%); Asp ( $11.832 \%$ ) | MkIPYVLLFLVGVAVINA. |
| 17735800 | XP .004928346.2 | uncharacterized protein LOC101735388 | 111 | 12.342 | -0.008 | 5.119 | Ile (9.910\%); Asp (9.co9\%) Cys (8.108\%) | mFSRLVVLGFVICVANA |
| 17105900 | XP 037867498. 1 | chaoptin isoform $\times 1$ | 1367 | 155.077 | -0. 135 | 6.335 | Leu (15.435\%) Ser ( $8.925 \%$ ) : Asn (7.901\%) | MSLMAVUKFGYTLVAVTLLLMIWASLSRA |
| 16636200 | P150 | P150 | 4552 | 467241 | -0.672 | 3.815 | Thr (27.307\%) Ser ( $14.455 \%$ ); Ala (12.017\%) | mivllcainlyialmopalc |
| 16537500 | XP -004921556.2 | mucin-5AC 1 soform $\times 1$ | 856 | 92.849 | -0.557 | 4.199 | Glu (10.748\%): Ser (10.748\%): $\operatorname{Thr}(10.397 \%)$ | MIRSKGDMASPRFSTILLAAFHLFTVC |
| 16068900 | XP 004923220.1 | endoplasmic reticulum resident protein 44 | 410 | 47.029 | -0.391 | 6.032 | Leu ( $10.000 \%$ L Lys ( $8.537 \%$ ), Glu ( $7.561 \%$ ) | MLKMNWKCP NLFNFKLSSCLFILLCHSFYNPTDS |
| 14943100 | XP 037872311.1 | nardilysin | 1146 | 132.148 | -0.49 | 6.333 | Leu (9.686\%) : Glu (8.551\%); Ser (8.115\%) | - |
| 14014160 | XP_004932517.1 | extracellular serinethreonine proten C631145 isoform X1 | 579 | 66.067 | -0.285 | 7.713 | Leu (10.363\%) Arg (8.117\%); Ala (7.772\%) | - |
| 12870000 | NP-001243928. 1 | heat shock protein 68 | 628 | 69.367 | -0.436 | 5.674 | Ala (9.713\%); Asp (7.643\%) Leu (7.643\%) | - |
| 12693000 | XP -037869639.1 | alpha -1,6-mannosy-gy coprotein 2 -beta N -acatylglucosaminyltransierase isoiorm X 1 | 522 | 60.708 | -0.538 | 8.814 | Leu (8.238\%); Asn (7.088\%); Val (6.513\%) |  |
| 12590400 | XP 021205679.2 | mucin-12 isoform $\times 1$ | 3173 | 368.381 | -1.498 | 4.137 | Thr (18.374\%); Glu (16.451\%); Gin (15.065\%) | MTAKTCCLLWVIVLASLLATVVT |
| 11544300 | XP 004930613.1 | peroxidase isoform X1 | 799 | 90.376 | -0.4 | 6.855 | Leu (9.387\%); Ser ( $8.385 \%$ ); Thr (6.383\%) |  |
| 10500500 | XP -004930766.1 | lachesin isoform $\times 1$ | 370 | 41237 | -0.2 | 7.444 | lie (8.378\%); Ala ( $8.108 \%$ \%) Val ( $7.297 \%$ ) | MDRNIMYFYTLLLYFTCNVSA |
| 10166180 | XP-012544866. 1 | zinc finger CCCH domain-containing protein 13 | 1004 | 117.836 | -1.437 | 8.884 | Arga (13.247\%): Pro (11.554\%); $\operatorname{Thr}(9.661 \%)$ | MKRSRHRWLGFTIFVCLLAILASELPNTAA |
| 9468900 | XP 004925158.1 | mannosy-cligosaccharid glucosidase | 807 | 91.5 | -0.417 | 9.002 | Leu ( $10.905 \% \mathrm{~L}$ Gly ( B .302\%): Ser ( $6.839 \%$ ) |  |
| 9318700 | XP 037871889.1 | chitinase-like protein ENO3 isolorm X1 | 456 | 50.569 | -0.292 | 7.002 | Leu (11.209\%: Ala (8.132\%); Gly (7.692\%) | MKLFIMLVGLLALAKA |
| 8995100 | NP -001037584.1 | ferritin precursar | 229 | 26.033 | -0.359 | 7.28 | Leu (11.790\%; Ala (7.860\%); Lys (7.860\%) | MKVYALIVACLALGVLA |
| 8964600 | XP 037869963.1 | low-density lipoprotein receptor-related protein 2 isoform $\times 1$ | 4625 | 512.561 | -0.477 | 5.013 | Asp (9 319\%); Gly (7.892\%) : Cys ( $6.962 \%$ ) | MRSAREAFCLLLLAAAAAA |
| 7894300 | XP 004925541.1 | Uncharacterized protein LOC100500744 | 110 | 12.313 | 0.187 | 9.144 | lee (10.909\%): Leu (10.909\%\%) Lys (8.182\%) | MKFAPVLIIFIFLSLVCVIGC |
| 7344340 | NP 001037486.1 | low molecular 30 kD a liporotein PBMHP-6 precursor | 256 | 29.734 | -0.444 | 6.523 | Lvs ( $9.375 \%$ ); Leu (7.812\%); Glu (7.422\%) | MRLTLFAFVLAVCALASNA |
| 6479200 | NP 001153666.1 | histone H2A-Ike protein 2 | 124 | 13.377 | -0.318 | 11.361 | Ala (12.097\%); Leu (12.097\%); Gly (11.290\%) |  |
| ${ }_{6}^{6435200}$ | NP 001243674.1 | throrbospondin type-1 domain-containing protien 4 -like precursor | 5774 | ${ }^{62783}$ | -0.464 | ${ }^{8.876}$ | Arg $(9.059 \%)$; Gly ( $8.885 \%$ ); Ser ( $8.885 \%$ ) | MAGADLYLLFVIFVTMVVG |
| 5897400 | XP_004929845.2 | peroxidasin isofom $\times 1$ | 1379 | 157.181 | -0.35 | 5.959 | Leu ( $10.007 \%$ ) Asp ( $6.744 \%$ ), Glu ( $6.526 \%$ ) | MRLCNFFKFLLIFNLFSLILS |
| 4868770 | XP-037871221.1 | fibrohexamerin-like | 235 | 26.815 | -0.281 | ${ }_{4}^{4.483}$ | Leu ( $10.638 \%$; Ser ( $7.660 \%$ ); Asp ( $7.234 \%$ ) | MKYKLCLFLSSLLINLIAA MGKISLFFLALASSVMA |
| 4099550 | NP 001037351.1 | cathepsin D precursor | 384 | 41.623 | 0.154 | 6.732 | Leu ( $9.896 \%$ ): Ala ( $9.375 \%$ ): Gly ( $9.115 \%$ ) | MGKIILFFLALIASSVMA |
| 3173900 3103050 | XP $0+12549547.1$ | malate dehydrocenase isoform $\times 1$ | 645 | 70.59 | -0.186 | ${ }_{6}^{6.641}$ |  |  |
| 3103050 2356030 | XP 012551451.2 NP 001037557.1 | Uncharacterized protein LOC105842505 BCP inhibitor precursor | ${ }^{1097}$ | 122.723 12249 | $-0805$ |  | Pro (12.124\%); $\operatorname{Thr}(8.204 \%)$; Ser ( $7.84 \% \%$ ) | MVRGATLALTLALAWVVCVVRT MNFVSVALLIATVVMASSA |
| 1717200 | XP - 004925279.1 | Uncharacterized protein LOC101741292 | 235 | 27.212 | ${ }_{-0.601}$ |  | Arg (10.213\%): Leu (9.787\%); Ser (8.085\%) | MGARGCISClllltcgalvis |

Supplementary Text S1. The full sequence of B. mori P150 protein. The sequence is divided into 5 regions: N-terminus (orange), Repeat 1 (green), linker (magenta), Repeat 2 (grey), and C -terminus (blue). Sequences of the N-terminal signal peptide and C -terminal conserved motif (CXCXCX) are underlined. Triangles in the schematic figure below indicate the variants of Repeat $1(\mathrm{R} 1)$ and Repeat 2 (R2), which are designated as R1A, R1B, R2A and R2B.
[ N -Terminus]-
$15[\mathrm{R} 1]-1[\mathrm{R} 1 \mathrm{~B}]-4[\mathrm{R} 1]-1[\mathrm{R} 1 \mathrm{~B}]-8[\mathrm{R} 1]-1[\mathrm{R} 1 \mathrm{~B}]-5[\mathrm{R} 1]-1[\mathrm{R} 1 \mathrm{~B}]-8[\mathrm{R} 1]-1[\mathrm{R} 1 \mathrm{~A}]-$ [Linker]-
$11[\operatorname{R2} 2-1[\operatorname{R2A}]-2[R 2]-1[R 2 A]-15[R 2]-1[R 2 B]-5[R 2]-1[R 2 B]-2[R 2]-1[R 2 B]-5[R 2]-$
1 [R2B]-1 [R2]-1 [R2B]-1 [R2]-1 [R2B]-2[R2]-1[R2B]-4[R2]-1[R2B]-15[R2]-
[C-Terminus]
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ELKQSTTETNVPSTTATEQSTTETSVPSTIELKQSTTETNVPSTSAIEKSTTETSVPSTTELKQSTTETNASTPT ATEQSTTETSVPSTIELKQSTTETNVPSTTAIEQSTNETSVPSTTDDNVQPVTKEDVTEPTAAYIKVQSTTVTES NTTGAAVQSTTATENTTTDAEAQSTTVTESNTTGAAVQSTTATESGTTDAEVRSTTVNESNTTGAAVQSTTATES ATTDAEAQSTTVTESNTTGTAVRSTTATESAITDAEVQSTTVTESNTTGAAVQSSTATESATTDAEAQSTTVTES NTTGTAVQSTTATESAITDAEVQSTTVTESNTTGAAVQSTTATESATTDAEVQSTTVTESNTTGAAVQSTTATES ATTDAEAQSTTVTESNTTDAAVQSTTATESASTDAEVQSTTVTESNTTGTAVQSTTATESAITDAEVQSTTVTES NTTGAAVQSTTATESATTDAESQSTTVTESSTAGAAVQSTTATDAEDQSTTITESNTTGAAVQSTTATESATTDA EAQSTTVTESNTTGAAVQSTTATESATTDAESQSTTVTESSTAGAAVQSTTATDAEDQSTTVTESNTTGAAVQST TATESATTDAEAQSTTVTESNTTGAAVQSTTATESAITDAEVQSTTVKESNSAGAAVQSSTATESATTDAEAQST TVTESNTTGTAVQSTTATESAITDAEVQSTTVTESNTTGAAVQSTTATESATTDAEVQSTTVTESNTTGAAVQFT TATESATTDAEAQSTTVTESNTTDAAVQSTTATESASTDAEVQSTTVTESNTTGAAVQSTTATESATTDAEAQST TVTESNTTDAAVQSTTATESASTDAEVQSTTVTESNTTGAAVQSTTATESATTDAEAQSTTVTESNTTGAAVQST TATESATTDAEAQSTTVTESNTTGAAVQSTTATESAITDAEVQSTTVKESNSAGAAVQSSTATESATTDAEAQST TVTESNTTGTAVQSTTATESAITDAEVQSTTVTESNTTGAAVQSTTTTESATTDAEAQSTTVTESNTTGAAVQST TATESATTDAATTDAEAQSTTVTESSTAGAAVQSTTATESATTDAEAQSTTVTESNTTGAAVQSTTATESATTDA EAQSTTVTESNTTGTAVQSTTATESAITDAEVQSTTVTESNTTGAAVQSTTATESATTDAEVQSTTVTESNTTGA AVQSTTATESATTDAEAQSTTVTESNTTGAAVQSTTATESATTDAATTDAEAQSTTVTESSTAGAAVQSTTATES ATTDAEAQSTTVTESNTTGAAVQSTTATESAITDAEAQSTTVTESNTTGAAVQSTTATESATTDAATTDAEAQST TVTESSTAGAAVQSTTATESATTDSEAQSTTVTESNTTGAAVQSTTATESAITDAEAQSTTVTELSTAGAAVQST TATENATTDAEAQSTTVTESNTTGAAVQSTTTTESATTDAEAQSTTVTESSTAGAAVQSTTATESATTDAEAQST NVTESNTTGAAVQSTTATESATTDAATTDAEAQSTTVTESSTAGAAVQSTTATESATTDAEAQSTTVTESNTTGA AVQSTTATESATTDAATTDAEAQSTTVTESSTAGAAVQSTTATESATTDAEAQSTTVTESNTTGAAVQSTTATES ATTDAATTDAEAQSTTVTESSTAGAAVQSTTATESATTDAEAQSTTVTESNTTGAAVQSTTATESAITDAEAQST TVTESNTTGAAVQSTTATESATTDAATTDAEAQSTTVTESSTAGAAVQSTTATESATTDAEAQSTTVTESNTTGA AVQSTTATETAITDAEAQSTTVTESNTTGAAVQSTTAKKSTTADAEAQFTTVSESNTGRAAVQSTTATESATTDA EAQSTTVTESNTTGAAVQSTTATESATTDAATTDAEAQSTTVTESSTAGAAVQSTTATESATTDAEAQSTTVTES NTTGAAVQSTTAKKSTTADAEAQFTTVSESNTGRAAVQFTTATESATTDAEAQSTTVTESYTTGAAVQSTTATES ATTDAEAQSTTVTESNTAGAAVQSTTTTESATTDAEAQSTTVTESSTAGAAAQSTTATDSATTDAEAQSTTVTES SSAGAAVQSTTATESATTNAEAQSTTVTESSSAGAAVQSTTTTESATTDAEAQSTTVTESSTAGAAAQSTTATDS ATADAEVQSTTVTELSTTGAAVPSTTATESSTTDAEAQSTTVTESSTAGAAVQSTTATESATTDAEAQSTTVTES SSAGAAVQSTTTTESATTDAEAQSTTVTESSTAGAAAQSTTATDSATADAEVQSTTVTELSTTGAAVPSTTATKS STTDAEAQSTTVTESSTAGVAVQSTSATERAITDTEVQSATVTESNTAAKVHDQPTRTVFTSPCGNHPTSVATDN TSEKESKQTNTPTEHTVIVAHNQLHKTQTKTTASAHPILETVSGMPSIHLTSKNDPPTTDEPIEIWEQSTKQFAP TTFISESLVLKNKQTQFKVIPLEIPTEATQKPVQSTIEPIHPKTKPEQSTIKTVQSTDQSTQATMGSFPSIVKPI QSRESNVFNVKAISSIEPLKSTTEPVHSITQSTVVSKQSSNEPLQVTIELLQSSIEPTQSTVQTQQSSAQPGQFA TKPVNRIETTTENPESKKSNEHRPFIQVTKSTKSPIGSTLKGYYREINPTQGFGDDVGENEDKRKGRYDLSKFNQ APAMHMAVENEITLKTLIVEDGSKYGRCYCSCDLNSKPVFISLDGSALKPRR
>BmP150_N_Terminus
MKVLCAIVLYIATMQPALCDPPFAKKSNEHHTLDHLLNKGPENGNRYRAPSSFFENSAINKHFQNYFVNQQGRVQ PVQPTRSARHLNAKPFRAAENKHNLHANARIPVRHPSIQPKDTQSETNHAKNSNLPTRVSLNLDDVTTESHINEN TVPKQPTEGNGQIDSVTNTIDPIIKKPNEVNGTDKKPEIQWTTNTHEQTTSAVGKGETSSNNQLKLNKDTVLKGH YIVRPATTNALDKTQNVEPLTTDSAYQIPLLPPSTQPADSLLSKESNQEVLEKIIEEVIKDNKYENSDDTNPVKF IYKEELVPNAAIENQENVTTTTDLKGFLKNIKEIKHSEEKIENFKENKTQEWKSKKNMTIKKAESITQHDVSKKT NEYTIEKRNETREESDFESNKQLSKYTEESQFELNTRISLTENSDEFAALNEYLEEVKKIENQNKAYEKVEQKSL QAEKFDDLEFWRQEAEKEEKKREKEIREMQSKLNGGRHTEMTEKELVSKAEELVENDEDEFWNQEAIYLDNNYEN SKTLNKTNSSISSTTNPKQPITGANIAKTTATEQSTTEAKVLSTTELKQSTTATSVPSTVASEQSTSEISVPSTT GTEQPTTETSVPSTTATEQSRTDIKLPSTTSTVQS

## >BmP150_Repeat1

TTETNVPSTSVTEKSTTETSVSSTTKLKQS TTETNASTPTATEQSTTETSVPSTIELKQS TTETNVPSTTATEQSTTETSVPSTIELKQS TTETNVPSTTATEQSTTETSVPSTIELKQS TTETNVPSTSATEKSTTETSVPSTIELKQS TTETNVPSTSAIEKSTTDTSVPSTTELKQS

TTETNASTPTATEQSTTETSVPSTIELKQS TTETNVPSTTATEQSTTETSVPSTIELKQS TTETNVPSTSVTEKSTTETSVSSTTKLKQS TTETNASTPTATEQSTTETSVPSTIELKQS TTETNVPSTTATEQSTTETSVPSTIELKQS TTETNVPSTSAIEKSTTETSVPSTTELKQS TTETNASTPTATEQSTTETSVPSTIELKQS TTETNVPSTSVTEKSTTETSVSSTTELKQS TTETNVPSTTATEQSTTETSVPSTIELKQS TTETSVPSTIELKQS TTETNVPSTSATEQSTTETSVPSTIELKQS TTETNVPSTSVTEKSTTETSVSSTTELKQS TTETNASTPTATEQSTTETSVPSTIELKQS TTETNVPSTTATEQSTTETSVPSTIELKQS TTETSVPSTIELKQS TTETNVPSTSATEQSTTETSVPSTIELKQS TTETNVPSTSVTEKSTTETSVSSTTELKQS TTETNASTPTATEQSTTETSVPSTTKLKQS TTETNASTPTATEQSTTETSVPSTIELKQS TTETNVASTTATEQSTTETSVPSTIELKQS TTETNVPSTSAIEKSTTDTSVPSTTELKQS TTETNASTPTATEQSTTETSVSSTTELKQS TTETNASTPTATEQSTTETSVPSTIELKQS TTETSVPSTIELKQS TTETNVPSTSATEQSTTETSVSSTTKLKQS TTETNASTPTATEQSTTETSVPSTIELKQS TTETNVASTTATEQSTTETSVPSTIELKQS TTETNVPSTTATEQSTTETSVPSTTELKQS TTETNASTPTATEQSTTETSVPSTIELKQS TTETSVPSTIELKQS TTETNVPSTSATEQSTTETSVPSTIELKQS TTETNVPSTSAIEKSTTETSVPSTTELKQS TTETNASTPTATEQSTTETSVPSTTKLKQS TTETNASTPTATEQSTTETSVSSTTKLKQS TTETNASTPTATEQSTTETSVPSTIELKQS TTETNVPSTTATEQSTTETSVPSTIELKQS TTETNVPSTSAIEKSTTETSVPSTTELKQS TTETNASTPTATEQSTTETSVPSTIELKQS TTETNVPSTTAIEQS
>BmP150_Linker
TNETSVPSTTDDNVQPVTKEDVTEPTAAYIKVQS
>BmP150_Repeat2
TTVTESNTTGAAVQSTTATENTTTDAEAQS TTVTESNTTGAAVQSTTATESGTTDAEVRS TTVNESNTTGAAVQSTTATESATTDAEAQS TTVTESNTTGTAVRSTTATESAITDAEVQS TTVTESNTTGAAVQSSTATESATTDAEAQS TTVTESNTTGTAVQSTTATESAITDAEVQS TTVTESNTTGAAVQSTTATESATTDAEVQS TTVTESNTTGAAVQSTTATESATTDAEAQS TTVTESNTTDAAVQSTTATESASTDAEVQS TTVTESNTTGTAVQSTTATESAITDAEVQS TTVTESNTTGAAVQSTTATESATTDAESQS TTVTESSTAGAAVQSTTATDAEDQS

TTITESNTTGAAVQSTTATESATTDAEAQS TTVTESNTTGAAVQSTTATESATTDAESQS TTVTESSTAGAAVQSTTATDAEDQS TTVTESNTTGAAVQSTTATESATTDAEAQS TTVTESNTTGAAVQSTTATESAITDAEVQS TTVKESNSAGAAVQSSTATESATTDAEAQS TTVTESNTTGTAVQSTTATESAITDAEVQS TTVTESNTTGAAVQSTTATESATTDAEVQS TTVTESNTTGAAVQFTTATESATTDAEAQS TTVTESNTTDAAVQSTTATESASTDAEVQS TTVTESNTTGAAVQSTTATESATTDAEAQS TTVTESNTTDAAVQSTTATESASTDAEVQS TTVTESNTTGAAVQSTTATESATTDAEAQS TTVTESNTTGAAVQSTTATESATTDAEAQS TTVTESNTTGAAVQSTTATESAITDAEVQS TTVKESNSAGAAVQSSTATESATTDAEAQS TTVTESNTTGTAVQSTTATESAITDAEVQS TTVTESNTTGAAVQSTTTTESATTDAEAQS TTVTESNTTGAAVQSTTATESATTDAATTDAEAQS TTVTESSTAGAAVQSTTATESATTDAEAQS TTVTESNTTGAAVQSTTATESATTDAEAQS TTVTESNTTGTAVQSTTATESAITDAEVQS TTVTESNTTGAAVQSTTATESATTDAEVQS TTVTESNTTGAAVQSTTATESATTDAEAQS TTVTESNTTGAAVQSTTATESATTDAATTDAEAQS TTVIESSTAGAAVQSTTATESATTDAEAQS TTVTESNTTGAAVQSTTATESAITDAEAQS TTVTESNTTGAAVQSTTATESATTDAATTDAEAQS TTVTESSTAGAAVQSTTATESATTDSEAQS TTVTESNTTGAAVQSTTATESAITDAEAQS TTVTELSTAGAAVQSTTATENATTDAEAQS TTVTESNTTGAAVQSTTTTESATTDAEAQS TTVTESSTAGAAVQSTTATESATTDAEAQS TNVTESNTTGAAVQSTTATESATTDAATTDAEAQS TTVTESSTAGAAVQSTTATESATTDAEAQS TTVTESNTTGAAVQSTTATESATTDAATTDAEAQS TTVTESSTAGAAVQSTTATESATTDAEAQS TTVTESNTTGAAVQSTTATESATTDAATTDAEAQS TTVTESSTAGAAVQSTTATESATTDAEAQS TTVTESNTTGAAVQSTTATESAITDAEAQS TTVTESNTTGAAVQSTTATESATTDAATTDAEAQS TTVTESSTAGAAVQSTTATESATTDAEAQS TTVTESNTTGAAVQSTTATETAITDAEAQS TTVTESNTTGAAVQSTTAKKSTTADAEAQF TTVSESNTGRAAVQSTTATESATTDAEAQS TTVTESNTTGAAVQSTTATESATTDAATTDAEAQS TTVTESSTAGAAVQSTTATESATTDAEAQS TTVTESNTTGAAVQSTTAKKSTTADAEAQF TTVSESNTGRAAVQFTTATESATTDAEAQS TTVTESYTTGAAVQSTTATESATTDAEAQS TTVTESNTAGAAVQSTTTTESATTDAEAQS TTVTESSTAGAAAQSTTATDSATTDAEAQS TTVTESSSAGAAVQSTTATESATTNAEAQS TTVTESSSAGAAVQSTTTTESATTDAEAQS TTVTESSTAGAAAQSTTATDSATADAEVQS TTVTELSTTGAAVPSTTATESSTTDAEAQS TTVTESSTAGAAVQSTTATESATTDAEAQS TTVTESSSAGAAVQSTITTESATTDAEAQS TTVTESSTAGAAAQSTTATDSATADAEVQS TTVTELSTTGAAVPSTTATKSSTTDAEAQS

TTVTESSTAGVAVQSTSATERAITDTEVQS
>BmP150_C_Terminus
ATVTESNTAAKVHDQPTRTVFTSPCGNHPTSVATDNTSEKESKQTNTPTEHTVIVAHNQLHKTQTKTTASAHPIL ETVSGMPSIHLTSKNDPPTTDEPIEIWEQSTKQFAPTTFISESLVLKNKQTQFKVIPLEIPTEATQKPVQSTIEP IHPKTKPEQSTIKTVQSTDQSTQATMGSFPSIVKPIQSRESNVFNVKAISSIEPLKSTTEPVHSITQSTVVSKQS SNEPLQVTIELLQSSIEPTQSTVQTQQSSAQPGQFATKPVNRIETTTENPESKKSNEHRPFIQVTKSTKSPIGST LKGYYREINPTQGFGDDVGENEDKRKGRYDLSKFNQAPAMHMAVENEITLKTLIVEDGSKYGRCYCSCDLNSKPV FISLDGSALKPRR

## Supplementary Text S2. Sequences of P150 and Mucin-12 homologs used for the alignment

 and dendrogram construction in Figure 6.```
>P150
GTAACAAAATCAACAAAGAGTCCGATCGGGAGCACCCTAAAAGGATATTACCGAGAAATCAACCCTACCCAAGGC
TTCGGTGATGATGTCGGGGAAAATGAAGATAAACGCAAAGGAAGATATGATTTATCTAAATTTAATCAAGCTCCG
GCAATGCATATGGCCGTTGAAAACGAAATAACATTGAAGACTCTGATCGTCGAAGACGGATCCAAGTATGGACGC
TGCTACTGTTCGTGTGACCTGAATAGCAAGCCAGTCTTCATTAGCTTGGATGGAAGTGCTTTGAAACCTAGACGA
TGA
>XP_021205679.2
CATGCAGATGGATCTAGCACTGAACCTAATAGGACAGTGCCTTGCAATAAGCCGCCTGCAGGACCTGGGCAGAAG
GAGCCGGCGACTGACTTGCAGCCTACCCCGCCTGGTACTGGAGGCAAATTGGCCTTGAATCAAGGCAAGAAAGAA
ATAATGCAAGCTTTGGAAACCAGCATGAATCTGAAGGTTTTAATTCTGCCAAATGGTCCTCAAGGGCAGAACTGT
TTCTGTACTTGTGACAACACGAGCGCACCTAAACTTATTCCTTTAAAAGATTTGCCGGCTGGTATCACTGGCAGT
TGA
>BRAKERZPYPO0000020335.1
GAAAGCAGTGAAACTATTAAGACCGCACAAGTTAATCAGTTCCAACCACCTAAAAAACCAGGACATAATGATATT
GAGAGTCATCAGGCATCACAAGATTATAACGAAACAGAAAATAGAAGAGGACGATATGATCTTTCAAAGAAAAAT
TCTAGACAAGAAATCCGACAGGCTATTGAAAGTGATGTTAACTTCAAGACACTTATCATCGATGATGGTTCCAAG
AATGGACGATGTTACTGTTCGTGTGATATCAACAACAGACCAGTGTTTCTTAATGTGGATCAAAATAAACCTTTT
TAG
>BRAKERZPYP00000016428.1
GCGACCCCGCCGCTACAACCAGAAAGACCAGGGCAGTCTGCTTCAGGGCCACAACCAGTCGCTCCAAGCAACGAA
CTCGAGAGTGACGGCAAAAAAGGAGGAAAATTAGCATTGCAACAAGGCCGCAAAGATGTAATGCATGCATTGGCA
ACCAACATGAACCTGAAAATTCTCATACTGCCGAACGGACCAAATAAGAAAAACTGCTTCTGCACATGCGAGAAT
GACAGCCCTCCCAAACTGATACCAGTGGAGGACTTACCACCACAAATCAGAGGAATTAGAGCAAAACCCTTAGCC
TAA
>XP_049868194.1
GTAGACAAACCAGGTCAAGACACGCCGGGACAAGATCAACCAGTCCAAGATAAGCCAGGAAATGATAAACCTGGA
CGGCAACCAGATCAATGCAGACCACAAAGACCAGGCCAGAATTCTCGCAGAAAGGGTCGCTATGATTTAAACAAG
GGCAAACCAGCTCTTCAAAGGGCCCTGGAGAGCCACATTGAGTTTCAGACCCTGATAGTTTACGACAGTAAAGGA
GGAGAAGGAAAATGCTACTGCTCTTGCGGCAGTAACGGCAGACCTAAATTTATTAGTGTTGATCCTAGCATCCTA
TAG
>XP_049884459.1
ACAGAAAATACGGAAAGTGAAACGCAATGGAGCAAGGACAGCAAGACTCCAAGACCTGGTCGTGGAACTCCGCCA
ACGCGACAACCGCAGCCACTGCCAAAGAAGGGAGGGAAACTCAACATCCAACATGGGAGACATGAAATCATTCGC
GGTGTTGAAACCACAATGAAGATGAAGTTCCTGGTGGTTCCTCATGGTGAGAAGGGCAAGAACTGCTTCTGTTCG
TGCGACAGCACTGCTGCTCCCAAACTGGTACCGCTTGCAGACCTCCAAGAGCTAGACTCCTTTGGGAAAGAAAAC
TAA
>ENSTAIPO0005009985.1
CCTGCTGAAAGTGAAGCCACATTGAACCGCAGCAATAAAGATAAGAAACAAAATGTTTACACTTCGGATAATCGT
GATACTAAATCTATATTTGCAAAGAGAGAAGAGGAACACAAAACAGGGCGTTATGATTTCAAACAAATGGATGGA
GCCAAAGCGATCCACAACGCGATACAGATGGATTTCAACTATAAGATGTTGTTGGTGGAAGACGGCTCTGCCCCA
GGGAAGTGCTACTGCACCTGTGATATGAACAGCCGACCTGTCTTTATGACGTTAGATTCTGAGTCCATACCTTCC
TAG
```


#### Abstract

>ENSTAIP00005010080.1 ACAACACAAACTAGCTGGACCAATGAAGTAAGCAATAATCATGATAGCTCAGAAGAATCCTCATTCGAACAAACT ACTGATAAACAGGATAATTCAGAACCAATCACAACGAATCAAACTACAGATAAGGTAGCAGCAACCACACAAACA AACATAATGCACGCGCTTGAGTCCAATATGAATCTGAAGGTGCTTATAATTCCCAACGAGGCCACCGGTGGGAAA TGCTTCTGCACTTGCGCCGCAGACACGCCCAAGCTGATTCCTCTCGAGGATCTGCCAAAAGAACTCACGCAGGAT TGA >BRAKERPYPP00000015107.1 GTTGGCGATGCTGTTGGAGGAATCGCAGCTGGCGTTGGCGGGATCGTTGGAGGAGTTGAAGCTGGTGTTGGTGAT GTCGTTGAAGAAGTTGGGTCTGGTATTGCCGGAATCACCGGAGATAACAAACCAGAAAACAAACCAGGTCTTCAA CAAGCCATCGCTGCTGATTTGGACCTTCAAATGATGACGGTGGCAGATGGGACTCCCAGAGGGAAGTGCTACTGC TCTTGCAGTCTGAACAATCAGCCGGTATTCTTGGAGATAAATGAAGCAGCTCTGCCACGTTCCCTAGCGCTTACA TAG >XP_032528373.1 TTAGACCCAAAAGACAAACCTGAAGCCTCTGGACCAAAAGACGAAGTACAATCTACCGAGTCTCAGTCTACACCT GCGCCACCCAGCCTAAAACCTAACCAGGACCCAAGTACTTCTGGACCCCAGGATCTTTCAACAGACCCGCAAGCT СTCCAAGTGTCGATGAATCTGAAACTCCTCATCCTACCCAAGGGACCAAAAAACACAAAGAATTGCTACTGCGCC TGCGAGGGCCAGGAACCGCCCAAACTGGTCCCGATGCGACAACTTCCGGTCGGGATTACTAGTGTAGATAAAAAT TAA >XP_053613123.1 GCCCAAAGCAACACCTACCTATATGGACAACCAGAACAACCTGGTTACCCAGGACCAGGGCAATCAGGCTCAAGC TCAGGTTCTTCCTCACAAAGCCAAAGCAATGCTCAGTCCAGCTCAACAGACGTCATCGACAGACGAGGCCGTTAC GATCTGAACAAACCTAGAGGTATTGAAACTGACATGAACCTGAATACACTATTTATCAACGACGGGACGAAGGAT GGAAGGTGTTATTGCTCATGTGGAGTCAACCGCCGCCCTATCTTCTTGAGCGTCGAGCCCAACCAACTTGGACTT TAA >XP_053622877.1


AgTGAATCAGAATCTCGTGCAGAATCTGAATCGAGAAGAGATTCTGAATTGGAGTCCGAAAAGGAAGTAGGCGTT GCTGTGCCGCCGACCAAACCTGGAGTGGGAGGCAAGCTGGCTATTCAACAAGGAAAACGCGAAATCATGCACGCC TTGGAAACTAACACGAACTTGAAAATCCTGATCTTGCCAAACGGACCCAAGCAAAAGAACTGCTTCTGCACCTGT CAAAGTGACAGCGCGCCAAAACTTGTACCAATGAAAGACTTGCCGGCCGAAATCACCGCCATCGAGTCAAAATCG TAA
>BRAKERSAFP00000015049.1
CCTGGCGAACCAGAGCAACCAGGTGAACCAGGTGAAACAGCAGAGCCAGGCCAGCCGGGTGAACCAGAAGAATAT GATGATGATGAAGAGGACGACGGACACTACAGAAGAAGGGGACGTTACAACTTGAGACGTAGGAAAGGACACCGT GCCCTCGAAACTGACCTCCATTTCAAGACTTTGATCGTGCAAGACGGATCAAAGACCGGAAGTTGCTTCTGTTCC TGTGACGTCAACAACCGTCCAGTATTCCTCACCGTAGACCCCAAGTACTTGCCCGGACTTCGCGCCCCACTGAAC TAA
>BRAKERSAFP00000011847.1
TCTGGTCTCCCTAGACCAGAACACCCAGGTTCAGGACCACAGCCATCTGTTCCGAACAGACCTTTGCCAGATGAC TATTTAATACATGACAAGAGAGGCGGCAAGTTAGCTTTGCATCATGGTCGACGAAAAATAATGAATGCACTGGAA ACCAACATGAATCTCAAAATATTGATTCTGCCCAACACTCCCGATCGCAAGAACTGCTTCTGTACCTGTGAAAAC AACGACCCGCCAAAACTCATACCGCTTCGCGATTTGCCGCCGGAAGTACGAGAAATCGGCGCGAAGGAATCAGAC TAG
>BRAKERPFCP00005001188.1
GACAGCCAGGCCAGCCAGAACAACCAAATCAGCCAGAACAGCCAGATCAGCCAGGCCAGCCAGGACAAGGAGGCC ATTCAGGGCAATCAGGACAGCCAGGACCACCAGGGACTGACAAAATATTTTGTAGGAGGTTTGGAGTTAAAACTT GACTACGATGATCGTGCGATTGAGGCCGACCTCAACCTGCGGACCATGATCATCCCGAGCCGCTACGGCAACCAG CCGGGCCGCTGCTACTGCTCCTGCGACCTCAACAACAAGCCCGTCTTCATCAGCGTCGACCCCAGGCGGCTCTCG TGA

```
>BRAKERPFCP00005013050.1
```

GAAGAGGAGGAAGAGGTTACAGAAGGGTTCGCGTCAGGCAAGAAACCAGCGGCTCCTGGTACGCCAGTTGCACCG ACGACGCCAGACGATGGCAAGAAGGGAGGAAAGCTAGCGGTCCAGAAAGGAACTAAAGAAATACTGCACGCCCTG GAAACCAGCATGAACCTCAAGGTCCTCATCCTGCCCAACGGCCCTAACGGCAAGAACTGCTTCTGCACTTGCGAC AACAACGCTGCGCCTAAGATCATCCCTCTGTCTGCTCTGCCAGCACAGGTCTCTGAGATTGCCGCAGAAAAAAAC TAA
>Tibi_1
CGCCCAGGAGATGCAGGACGACCGGGAAGTCCAGGTCAACCAGAAGATTCGGGCAAGCCTTGTGATCGCCCAGGA AGACCAGGCCCCAATACACCTGGGGGTCGTAAAGATGTCGTACGTGCAATTGAATCGCGAGTCCTATTTAAGACA ATTATGATTCCCGACGAAGACGGCGGCGGCAAATGTTTCTGTACTTGTGAAAGTAAAACTACACCGAAAATTATT TGGGTCGACGAAAACGGTCCCCGAGTTGAATACGTCCATAATAAAAATGGTGACCAAAACGAGAAAGGAATTAAA TAA
>Euja_1
TCAGAATTCGATAAAATCCATAAATCAAAAATAAAAAAGGAAACTGATATAGAGGAAGATGATGAGACAAGCGAA TATGAAGACGATCTATATGAAAGCGAATTTGATAAAAAACACAAGCGGCGACGTCATCCCAAGCATCACAAGCCA GATGAAAAAATTAGAGCTATGGAGGCCGAAGTAGATTACAAGTTGGTGATCGTCCAGAACGGGCCGGATAAAGGC CACTGCTACTGCACGTGCACGACCAAAACCAGTCCGCACTTCGTCGTCTGGGACGACAAGAAAAAGAAGAATCCT TAA
>Euja_2
GgCACAACGAAACAACCAGGTGGTGGGGTTAAACCTGGGGATTCTGGAAAACCGCAAGTATCGGGCAAGACAGAA CAACCGAAAAAACCGAATGATGGCAAAATAGGTGCTTCTACCGGACCTAGTAAAACAGGCACACCAAGCAAACCT CGTCCCCGCAAGCGGACTGGTATTCCGGAAATCTATCGCGGTTTCAAAATGGATGTCAAATTCAAGACGGTGATG GTGACGAACGGATTGCAGGAGGACAAGTGCTTCTGCACATGCTCGCCGCTCGATTCGCCGACGTGGATTAAGCGT TGA
>Nesw_1
CCGCACCGCGCCCGCACCACGCGACTGCGAGTGAGTGCACTGTGTTACAAGGGGCGCGTGTGTGTTGCAGGGTCG CCGCGCGGCGTCGAGACGGACGTCACCTTCAAGACGATCATAGTGCAGAACGGACCCGACCGCAAGTCCTGCTAC TGCTCGTGCACCAGCAACTCGCGGCCCAAACTCATCGGCTGG

Supplementary Figure S1. Expression levels of B. mori P150 gene along with major silk genes (Sericin 1-3 and Mucin-12) and the control gene (mothers against dpp; Mad) in different parts of silk glands. Heat maps represent the log2 fold-change values. As shown in both figures, P150 is highly expressed in middle silk glands. Sources of the raw RNA-seq data were listed in Supplementary Table S1.
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Supplementary Table S1. Sources of the RNA-seq datasets utilized to verify the expression of B. mori P150 and other genes.

| Run | BioProject | BioSample | Age | Instrument | LibraryLayout | LibrarySource | Organism | Tissue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SRR10035619 | PRJNA559726 | SAMN12608940 | 5 th instar day 3 | Illumina NovaSeq 6000 | PAIRED | TRANSCRIPTOMIC | Bombyx mori | Posterior-Silk-Gland |
| SRR 10035620 | PRJNA559726 | SAMN12608939 | 5th instar day 3 | Illumina NovaSeq 6000 | PAIRED | TRANSCRIPTOMIC | Bombyx mori | Posterior-Silk-Gland |
| SRR 10035621 | PRJNA559726 | SAMN12608938 | 5th instar day 3 | Illumina NovaSeq 6000 | PAIRED | TRANSCRIPTOMIC | Bombyx mori | Posterior-Silk-Gland |
| SRR 10035669 | PRJNA559726 | SAMN12609122 | 5th instar day 3 | Illumina NovaSeq 6000 | PAIRED | TRANSCRIPTOMIC | Bombyx mari | Middle-Silk-Gland |
| SRR10035670 | PRJNA559726 | SAMN12609121 | 5th instar day 3 | Illumina NovaSeq 6000 | PAIRED | TRANSCRIPTOMIC | Bombyx mori | Middle-Silk-Gland |
| SRR 10035671 | PRJNA559726 | SAMN12609120 | 5th instar day 3 | Illumina NovaSeq 6000 | PAIRED | TRANSCRIPTOMIC | Bombyx mari | Middle-Silk-Gland |
| SRR 10035726 | PRJNA559726 | SAMN12609071 | 5th instar day 3 | Illumina NovaSeq 6000 | PAIRED | TRANSCRIPTOMIC | Bombyx mori | Midgut |
| SRR 10035727 | PRJNA559726 | SAMN12609070 | 5th instar day 3 | Illumina NovaSeq 6000 | PAIRED | TRANSCRIPTOMIG | Bombyx mari | Midgut |
| SRR10035728 | PRJNA559726 | SAMN12609069 | 5th instar day 3 | Illumina NovaSeq 6000 | PAIRED | TRANSCRIPTOMIC | Bombyx mori | Midgut |
| SRR 10035756 | PRJNA559726 | SAMN12609044 | 5th instar day 3 | Illumina NovaSeq 6000 | PAIRED | TRANSCRIPTOMIC | Bombyx mori | Anterior-Silk-Gland |
| SRR10035757 | PRJNA559726 | SAMN12609043 | 5th instar day 3 | Illumina NovaSeq 6000 | PAIRED | TRANSCRIPTOMIC | Bombyx mori | Anterior-Silk-Gland |
| SRR 10035758 | PRJNA559726 | SAMN12609042 | 5th instar day 3 | Illumina NovaSeq 6000 | PAIRED | TRANSCRIPTOMIG | Bombyx mori | Anterior-Silk-Gland |
| (B) datasets used in Supplementary Figure S1B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Run | BioProject | BioSample | Age | Instrument | LibraryLayout | LibrarySource | Organism | Tissue |
| DRR186474 | PRJDB8614 | SAMD00180408 | fifth instar day 3 | Illumina NovaSeq 6000 | PAIRED | TRANSCRIPTOMIC | Bombyx mori | Anterior silk gland |
| DRR186475 | PRJDB8614 | SAMD00180409 | fifth instar day 3 | Illumina NovaSeq 6000 | PAIRED | TRANSCRIPTOMIC | Bombyx mori | Anterior silk gland |
| DRR186476 | PRJDB8614 | SAMD00180410 | fifth instar day 3 | Illumina NovaSeq 6000 | PAIRED | TRANSCRIPTOMIC | Bombyx mori | Anterior silk gland |
| DRR186477 | PRJDB8614 | SAMD00180411 | fifth instar day 3 | Illumina NovaSeq 6000 | PAIRED | TRANSCRIPTOMIC | Bombyx mori | Anterior part of the middle silk gland |
| DRR186478 | PRJDB8614 | SAMD00180412 | fifth instar day 3 | Illumina NovaSeq 6000 | PAIRED | TRANSCRIPTOMIC | Bombyx mori | Anterior part of the middle silk gland |
| DRR186479 | PRJDB8614 | SAMD00180413 | fifth instar day 3 | Illumina NovaSeq 6000 | PAIRED | TRANSCRIPTOMIC | Bombyx mori | Anterior part of the middle silk gland |
| DRR186480 | PRJDB8614 | SAMD00180414 | fifth instar day 3 | Illumina NovaSeq 6000 | Paired | TRANSCRIPTOMIC | Bombyx mori | Middle part of the middle silk gland |
| DRR186481 | PRJDB8614 | SAMD00180415 | fifth instar day 3 | Illumina NovaSeq 6000 | PAIRED | TRANSCRIPTOMIC | Bombyx mori | Middle part of the middle silk gland |
| DRR186482 | PRJDB8614 | SAMD00180416 | fifth instar day 3 | Illumina NovaSeq 6000 | Paired | TRANSCRIPTOMIC | Bombyx mori | Middle part of the middle silk gland |
| DRR186483 | PRJDB8614 | SAMD00180417 | fifth instar day 3 | Illumina NovaSeq 6000 | PalRED | TRANSCRIPTOMIC | Bombyx mari | Posterior part of the middlle silk gland |
| DRR186484 | PRJDB8614 | SAMD00180418 | fifth instar day 3 | Illumina NovaSeq 6000 | Paired | TRANSCRIPTOMIC | Bombyx mori | Posterior part of the middle silk gland |
| DRR186485 | PRJDB8614 | SAMD00180419 | fifth instar day 3 | Illumina NovaSeq 6000 | PAIRED | TRANSCRIPTOMIC | Bombyx mori | Posterior part of the middle silk gland |
| DRR186486 | PRJDB8614 | SAMD00180420 | fifth instar day 3 | Illumina NovaSeq 6000 | PAIRED | TRANSCRIPTOMIC | Bombyx mori | Posterior silk gland |
| DRR186487 | PRJDB8614 | SAMD00180421 | fifth instar day 3 | Illumina NovaSeq 6000 | PAIRED | TRANSCRIPTOMIC | Bombyx mari | Posterior silk gland |
| DRR186488 | PRJDB8614 | SAMD00180422 | fifth instar day 3 | Illumina NovaSeq 6000 | PAIRED | TRANSCRIPTOMIC | Bombyx mori | Posterior silk gland |
| DRR186492 | PRJDB8614 | SAMD00180426 | fifth instar day 3 | Illumina NovaSeq 6000 | PAIRED | TRANSCRIPTOMIC | Bombyx mori | Midgut |
| DRR186493 | PRJDB8614 | SAMD00180427 | fifth instar day 3 | Illumina NovaSeq 6000 | PAIRED | TRANSCRIPTOMIC | Bombyx mori | Midgut |
| DRR186494 | PRJJB8614 | SAMD00180428 | fifth instar day 3 | Illurnina NovaSeq 6000 | PAIRED | TRANSCRIPTOMIC | Bombyx mori | Midgut |

Supplementary Table S2. Sequences of primers used in (A) exon junction sequencing and (B) qPCR analysis.

| (A) Sequencing primers |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| P150 \#1F | GAATCGAGCACTGCAGGAGT |
| P150 \#1R | AGTAGGCTGATCGTGGACCT |
| P150 \#2F | CCACGAAACAGTTTGCTCCA |
| P150 \#2R | TAGGCTCGATTGTGGACTGC |
| P150 \#3F | CCCAAGGCTTCGGTGATGAT |
| P150 \#3R | TATGCATTGCCGGAGCTTGA |


| (B) qPCR primers |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| P150 F | CCCAAGGCTTCGGTGATGAT |
| P150 R | TATGCATTGCCGGAGCTTGA |
| Ser1F | CACAACCGATAAGACGAG |
| Ser1 R | GACGAAGTGGAGGAAGC |
| Ser2 F | CATCGGCTGACTACCA |
| Ser2 R | AGAGTTGCTGCCCTTAC |
| Ser3 F | TGTCTCGTCGGTGGAA |
| Ser3 R | TTGTTGTATGACTGGCTCT |
| Mad F | ACACAAGGCGTCACATAGGG |
| Mad R | TGGTGATTGCAGTTACGGCT |
| EF1a F | CAAGTCTGGAGATGCAGCCA |
| EF1a R | GGGGTGGGAATTCCTGGAAG |

Supplementary Table S3. Statistical analysis of quantitative PCR analysis of gene expression of genes in different tissues. Statistical differences were evaluated by Student's t -test. ASG: anterior silk glands; MSG: middle silk glands; PSG: posterior silk glands; OVA: ovaries. Backgound colors indicale slatistical significance: orange ( $p<0.001$ ); yellow ( $p<0.01$ ); blue ( $p<0.05$ ); blank ( $p>=0.05$ )

|  | ASG |  |  |  |  | MSG |  |  |  |  | PSG |  |  |  |  | ova |  |  |  |  | GUT |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Asg |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| msg | $6.89 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 9.38E-05 | 5.64E-02 | 3.66E-01 | 8.29E-01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PSG | 1.16E-01 | 3.66E-02 | 8.92E-01 | 8.28E-02 | $8.81 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 1.14E-04 | 1.98E-02 | 1.07E-03 | 9.82E-02 | 8.89E-01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OVA | $3.89 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 4.14E-02 | 1.84E-01 | 4.36E-01 | 1.22E-01 | 2.57E-06 | 3.05E-04 | 1.37E-07 | $2.23 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 1.99E-03 | 2.64E-02 | 2.54E-02 | 7.48E-03 | 6.18E-03 | 9.12E-03 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| gut | $2.28 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.06 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 1.50E-01 | 1.59E-02 | 4.47E-01 | 4.10E-05 | $1.20 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 3.08E-08 | $2.81 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 1.96E-01 | 1.13E-01 | 1.80E-02 | $4.87 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 1.10E-01 | $2.42 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 1.40E-01 | 7.94E-02 | 4.12E-03 | 4.05E-02 | $2.71 \mathrm{E}-03$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | P150 | Ser1 | Ser2 | Ser3 | Muc | P150 | Ser1 | Ser2 | Ser3 | Muc | P150 | Ser1 | Ser2 | Ser3 | Muc | P150 | Ser1 | Ser2 | Ser3 | Muc | P150 | Ser1 | Ser2 | Ser3 | Muc |

®゙

Supplementary Table S4. Properties of 2 types of repeat sequences in B. mori P150 protein. GRAVY: grand average of hydropathy; M. w.: molecular weight; pl: isoelectric point.

|  | GRAVY | Residue | M. w. (Da) | pl | Top1 AA | Top2 AA | Top3 AA | Top4 AA | Top5 AA |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Repeat 1 | -0.8512 | 1275 | 133254.12 | 3.8738 Thr: $417(32.706 \%)$ | Ser: $237(18.588 \%)$ | Glu: $162(12.706 \%)$ | Pro: $75(5.882 \%)$ | Val: $75(5.882 \%)$ |  |
| Repeat 2 | -0.4541 | 2220 | 215927.31 | 2.6857 Thr: $696(31.351 \%)$ | Ala: $440(19.820 \%)$ | Ser: $320(14.414 \%)$ | Glu: $211(9.505 \%)$ | Val: $161(7.252 \%)$ |  |

Supplementary Table S5. Properties of B. mori sericins and mucin-12 proteins. GRAVY: grand average of hydropathy; M. w.: molecular weight; pl: isoelectric point. The conserved cystein residues at the C-terminal region were labeled in red.

| Gene | Accession | GRAVY | Residue | M. w. (Da) | pl | Top1 AA | Top2 AA | Top3 AA | Top4 A | Top5 AA | C-terminus |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sericin 1 | XP_037869538.1 | -1.1181 | 3385 | 331903.34 | 4.7726 | Ser: 1300 (38.405\%) | Gly: 428 (12.644\%) | Thr: 317 ( $9.365 \%$ ) | Asn: 270 (7.976\%) | Asp: 221 (6.529\%) | LLHKPGQGKICLCFENIFDIPYHLRKNIGV |
| Sericin 2 | NP_001166287.1 | -2.1516 | 1758 | 198657.39 | 9.1575 | Lys: 302 (17.179\%) | Ser: 266 (15.131\%) | Asp: 208 (11.832\%) | Glu: 195 (11.092\%) | Asn: 123 (6.997\%) | SSSSssssssssrssssstytgshdossee |
| Sericin 3 | NP_001108116.1 | -1.4651 | 1271 | 123298.08 | 5.7076 | Ser: 554 (43.588\%) | Gly: 152 (11.959\%) | Gln: 94 (7.396\%) | Asn: 85 (6.688\%) | Lys: 79 (6.216\%) | QSKASSSFSASSASESSSLSSDVNFEEKTD |
| Sericin 4 | BGIBMGA011896 | -0.9876 | 2270 | 251440.57 | 6.7156 | Lys: 223 (9.824\%) | Thr: 218 (9.604\%) | Ser: 215 (9.471\%) | Glu: 205 (9.031\%) | Gly: 169 (7.445\%) | IHGGENTEAGGHSPGLVGGLFKYLLGGKTQ |
| Sericin 5 | кWмтвомо06271 | $-2.0155$ | 1976 | 218868.3 | 9.6116 | Lys: 399 (20.192\%) | Asp: 254 (12.854\%) | Glu: 211 (10.678\%) | Ser: 203 (10.273\%) | Gly: 202 (10.223\%) | SESASSSRSSYHSSSMQRNQKTSFDDDQNE |
| Sericin 6/P150 | Sericin 6/P150 | -0.6718 | 4552 | 467241.21 | 3.8151 | Thr: 1243 (27.307\%) | Ser: 658 (14.455\%) | Ala: 547 (12.017\%) | Glu: 479 (10.523\%) | Val: 297 (6.525\%) | SKYGRCYCSCDLNSKPVFISLDGSALKPRR |
| Mucin-12 | XP_021205679.2 | -1.4977 | 3173 | 368381.36 | 4.137 | Thr: 583 (18.374\%) | Glu: 522 (16.451\%) | Gln: 478 (15.065\%) | Pro: 218 (6.870\%) | Leu: 202 (6.366\%) | QGQNCFCTCDNTSAPKLIPLKDLPAGITGS |

Supplementary Table S6. Properties of P150 proteins in B. mori, E. kuehniella and G. mellonella. GRAVY: grand average of hydropathy; M. w.: molecular weight; pl: isoelectric point.

| Accession | Species | Family | Superfamily | GRAVY | Residue | M. w. (Da) | pl | Top1 AA | Top2 AA | Top3 AA | Top4 AA | Top5 AA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P150 | Bombyx mori | Bombycidae | Bombyooidea | $-0.6718$ | 4552 | 467241.21 | 3.8151 | Thr: 1243 (27.307\%) | Ser: 658 (14.455\%) | Ala: 547 (12.017\%) | Giu: 479 (10.523\%) | Val: 297 (6.525\%) |
| WDD44665.1 | Ephestia kuehniella | Pyralidae | Pyraloidea | -0.8492 | 1741 | 173261.02 | 4.212 | Ser: 603 (34.635\%) | Glit: 193 (11.086\%) | Gly: 160 (9.190\%) | Pro: 154 (8.845\%) | lle: 94 (5.399\%) |
| XP_026763958.2 | Galleria mellonella | Pyralidae | Pyraloidea | -1.2812 | 1465 | 160622.85 | 4.7732 | Ser: 334 (22.799\%) | Gln: 251 (17.133\%) | Asn: 116 (7.918\%) | Glu: 101 (6.894\%) | Thr: 101 (6.894\%) |

Supplementary Table S7. Coding sequences of P150/ser6 and Mucin-12 homologs for alignment and tree construction.

| Class | ID | Species | Superfamily |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| - | Nesw_1 | Nematopogon swammerdamellus | Incurvarioidea |
| - | Euja_1 | Eumeta japonica | Tineoidea |
| P150 | XP_049868194.1 | Pectinophora gossypiella | Gelechioidea |
| P150 | XP_053613123.1 | Plodia interpunctella | Pyraloidea |
| P150 | BRAKERZPYP00000020335.1 | Zeuzera pyrina | Cossoidea |
| P150 | P150 | Bombyx mori | Bombycoidea |
| P150 | BRAKERPFCP00005001188.1 | Pammene fasciana | Tortricoidea |
| P150 | BRAKERSAFP00000015049.1 | Sesia apiformis | Sesioidea |
| P150 | BRAKERPYPP00000015107.1 | Protodeltote pygarga | Noctuoidea |
| P150 | ENSTAIP00005009985.1 | Thera britannica | Geometroidea |
| - | Euja_2 | Eumeta japonica | Tineoidea |
| - | Tibi_1 | Tineola bisselliella | Tineoidea |
| Mucin | ENSTAIP00005010080.1 | Thera britannica | Geometroidea |
| Mucin | XP_032528373.1 | Danaus plexippus plexippus | Papilionoidea |
| Mucin | XP_049884459.1 | Pectinophora gossypiella | Gelechioidea |
| Mucin | BRAKERPFCP00005013050.1 | Pammene fasciana | Tortricoidea |
| Mucin | BRAKERSAFP00000011847.1 | Sesia apiformis | Sesioidea |
| Mucin | BRAKERZPYP00000016428.1 | Zeuzera pyrina | Cossoidea |
| Mucin | XP_053622877.1 | Plodia interpunctella | Pyraloidea |
| Mucin | XP_021205679.2 | Bombyx mori | Bombycoidea |

## Conclusions

This Ph.D. thesis expands the knowledge of silk components in lepidopteran species and provides a perspective on the origin of silk genes. In Chapter 1, we have conducted a thorough study focused on the cocoon silk components of pyralid moths, specifically $E$. kuehniella (subfamily Phycitinae) and G. mellonella (subfamily Galleriinae). This study involved a thorough analysis utilizing proteomic, transcriptomic, and genomic data. Our investigation unveiled intriguing findings about the cocoon silk properties of $E$. kuehniella. We discovered that this particular silk is remarkably hygroscopic, exhibiting a capacity to absorb moisture that surpasses that of $G$. mellonella silk by $38 \%$. In addition, we have presented complete sequences for nine FibH proteins derived from the suborder Pyraloidea, and conducted a discussion on the conserved structural features of these proteins. Another noteworthy aspect of our study involves the confirmation of microsyntenic relationships surrounding the sericin gene cluster. We observed these relationships among E. kuehniella, G. mellonella, and Amyelois transitella. This insight into the gene expansion observed in $G$. mellonella adds valuable knowledge to the field of silk research. In Chapter 2, we have provided a detailed description of a previously misannotated silk component gene, P150/ser6, found in B. mori. Prior to our study, this gene had been incorrectly annotated as two separate genes encoding uncharacterized proteins in both GenBank and SilkBase. We proposed a new model for P150/ser6, and verified its specific expression in the middle silk glands of B. mori. Previously, the P150/ser6 protein of B. mori has been identified in both the non-cocoon silk and the inner cocoon layer of the silk. We observed a similar expression pattern for P 150 /ser6 homologs in B. mori, E. kuehniella and G. mellonella. However, we also detected variations in the intensity of the P 150 /ser6 protein within silk cocoons. This discrepancy suggests that the utilization of the P150/ser6 protein during silk synthesis may be influenced by additional genetic factors.

One of the ongoing projects in this laboratory revolves around the characterization of natural silk across various lepidopteran and trichopteran species. As our dataset continues to expand, we encounter two significant challenges that demand immediate attention.

Firstly, it is imperative to address the effective management of our sequence database to conduct comparative studies. Currently, while we do document the sources of the data and the methods employed in generating the sequences, this information is not integrated seamlessly. This disjointed documentation often results in confusion, particularly in the nomenclature of
genes. Furthermore, sequences generated on different platforms frequently contain invalid characters and exhibit varying line endings, which subsequently lead to errors in their utilization. The unprocessed headers within FASTA files also pose a potential source of errors due to the presence of invalid characters, exceeding program limits, or encountering duplicates. Many tools have been created to sanitize sequence data (Waldmann et al., 2014; Foley et al., 2019); however, there is a pressing need to establish a unified standard to streamline future utilization.

Secondly, in comparison to the extensive sequence data, we find ourselves lacking a complete evaluation of silk properties. To address this gap in our research, it is essential to systematically describe a wide range of silk properties, including structural, mechanical, thermal, hydration, optical, electrical, biodegradability, and more. By accumulating more data on these properties, we can enhance our understanding of the intricate relationship between the protein sequences and the resulting silk properties. A compelling example of a resource that has already made significant strides in this direction is the Spider Silkome Database (https://spider-silkome.org). This comprehensive repository houses both sequence and property data for over 1,000 spider species (Arakawa et al., 2022). By adopting a systematic approach, we can enhance our ability to draw meaningful conclusions about silk properties across moths and caddisflies.

Our extensive sequence dataset serves as a valuable resource for our collaborators in the biomaterial field, enabling them to explore and develop new materials with diverse applications. Simultaneously, our dataset holds substantial significance for evolutionary biologists who are keen on untangling the origins of silk production ("phenotype") from the wealth of sequence information we've gathered ("genotype") in Lepidoptera. To tackle this question, it is necessary to adopt a more sophisticated strategy that broadens our search for homologous genes. Beyond traditional approaches, such as sequence alignment-based and microsynteny information-based methods, there is a growing interest in utilizing protein structure-based techniques for homology detection. Historically, structure alignment programs relied heavily on a priori knowledge of solved protein structures. With the advancements in machine learning, however, we now have access to highly accurate protein structure prediction tools like AlphaFold (Jumper et al., 2021) and ESMFold (Lin et al., 2023). Additionally, emerging structure-aware aligners like PRotein Ortholog Search Tool (PROST) (Kilinc et al., 2023) and TM-Vec/DeepBLAST (Hamamsy et al., 2023) are gaining
prominence as alternative methods to identify remote homologs. Incorporating these tools into our research toolkit is an important consideration for future investigations. Another important consideration is the inclusion of as many species as possible in our search for an all-inclusive understanding. Accessing high-quality genome data through repositories like NCBI or the Darwin Tree of Life Data Portal (Blaxter et al., 2022) has become increasingly convenient. However, it's crucial to recognize that to gain a holistic and accurate perspective, we should not restrict ourselves to data from only a handful of organisms. This approach allows us to capture variations, adaptations, and unique features at different taxonomic levels that might be missed when relying solely on a limited set of species.
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