CZECH UNIVERSITY OF LIFE SCIENCES PRAGUE

Faculty of Economics and Management

Evaluation of the Bachelor Thesis by supervisor

| Thesis Title | Financial analysis of a chosen firm | |
|--|-------------------------------------|---------|
| | | |
| Name of the student | Nomin Badralt | |
| Thesis supervisor | Ing. Pavel Srbek, Ph.D. | |
| Department | Department of Economic Theories | |
| | | |
| Formulation of objectives and Choice of appropriate methods and 1 2 3 methodology used | | 1 2 3 4 |
| Work with data and information | | 1234 |
| Logical process being used | | 1 2 3 4 |
| The structure of paragraphs and chapters | | 1 2 3 4 |
| Work with scientific literature (quotations, norms) | | 1 2 3 4 |
| Comprehensibility of the text and level of language | | 1 2 3 4 |
| Clarity and professionalism of expression in the thesis | | 1 2 3 4 |
| Formal presentation of the work, the overall impression | | 1 2 3 4 |
| Fulfillment of objectives, formulation of conclusions | | 1 2 3 4 |
| Summary and key-words comply with the content the thesis | | 1 2 3 4 |
| Evaluation of the work by grade (1, 2, 3, 4)4 | | |

Evaluation: 1 = the best

Date 13/04/2021

Supervisor signature

Other comments or suggestions:

Methodology section is absolutely unacceptable. It says nothing about conducted research.

Literature review basically provides what one would expect in this type of work, however, the referencing style does not comprehensively follow referencing standard ISO 690. One can find sections without any references at all, although it is obvious that presented ideas do not come out of author's head.

Practical section seems to be prepared in rush as well. In addition, If we look at the lengt of this thesis (barely 30 pages in the main body) it seems it just fits the minimum requirement, however, the author has added number of extensive tables so that one gets roughly 20 pages of plain text. Hence, the minimum length requirement is not met in this thesis. The entire practical section is presented so taht there is a table (or set of tables) followed by its description in two three paragraphs of three sentences. "Explanations" that are provided do not explain significant changes at all. The meaning and interpretability of presented "findings" is questionable as the comparison is missing. If the values are compared to "textbook recommended" values, it is no evaluation of author's findings, it just a proof of lack of understandind to the matter. Concluding chapter does not even need any comment. No conclusions can be drawn from "conducted" research as the context (relevant proxy group) is completely missing.

List of references is way too short. It includes 6 books and three web pages, I haven't seen anything like that for years.

I perceive this thesis as a very early draft, it cannot be defended at FSE as it is far from completed, acceptable thesis.



Plagiarism control: The system Theses.cz has not assessed the thesis as suspicious.

Date 13/04/2021

Supervisor signature