

CZECH UNIVERSITY OF LIFE SCIENCES PRAGUE

Faculty of Economics and Management

Evaluation of the Bachelor Thesis by Opponent

Thesis Title **Scenarios of future economic growth in Kazakhstan**

Name of the student **Mariya Maslova**

Thesis supervisor **Ing. Petr Procházka, Ph.D., MSc**

Department **Department of Economics**

Opponent **Ing. Michal Čermák**

| | | | | |
|---|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Formulation of objectives and Choice of appropriate methods and methodology used | <input type="checkbox"/> 1 | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 | <input type="checkbox"/> 3 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4 |
| Work with data and information | <input type="checkbox"/> 1 | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3 | <input type="checkbox"/> 4 |
| Logical process being used | <input type="checkbox"/> 1 | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 | <input type="checkbox"/> 3 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4 |
| The structure of paragraphs and chapters | <input type="checkbox"/> 1 | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 | <input type="checkbox"/> 3 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4 |
| Work with scientific literature (quotations, norms) | <input type="checkbox"/> 1 | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 | <input type="checkbox"/> 3 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4 |
| Comprehensibility of the text and level of language | <input type="checkbox"/> 1 | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3 | <input type="checkbox"/> 4 |
| Clarity and professionalism of expression in the thesis | <input type="checkbox"/> 1 | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 | <input type="checkbox"/> 3 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4 |
| Formal presentation of the work, the overall impression | <input type="checkbox"/> 1 | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 | <input type="checkbox"/> 3 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4 |
| Fulfillment of objectives, formulation of conclusions | <input type="checkbox"/> 1 | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3 | <input type="checkbox"/> 4 |
| Summary and key-words comply with the content the thesis | <input type="checkbox"/> 1 | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3 | <input type="checkbox"/> 4 |
| Evaluation of the work by grade (1, 2, 3, 4) | | | | 4 |

Evaluation: 1 = the best

Date 17/05/2019

.....
Signature of Opponent

Other comments or suggestions:

The thesis is focused on an interesting topic but the stand of presentation of the thesis is insufficient. The chapter methodology does not contain the appropriate methods. The logical structure of the thesis is not any good. The same case is with the reference list, which is not appropriately given. There are many stylistic mistakes. This thesis is needed to be re-write.

Questions for thesis defence:

Under the stand of the thesis there is no need to ask questions.



Date 17/05/2019

.....
Signature of Opponent