BMC Ecology and Evolution

**Open Access** 

# Restoring a butterfly hot spot by large ungulates refaunation: the case of the Milovice military training range, Czech Republic

Martin Konvička<sup>1,2\*</sup>, David Ričl<sup>3</sup>, Veronika Vodičková<sup>1</sup>, Jiří Beneš<sup>2</sup> and Miloslav Jirků<sup>2,4,5</sup>

# Abstract

**Background:** Refaunation/rewilding by large ungulates represents a cost-efficient approach to managing natural biotopes and may be particularly useful for areas whose biodiversity depends on disturbance dynamics and is imperilled by successional changes. To study impacts of refaunation on invertebrates, we focused on butterflies inhabiting the former military training range Milovice, Czech Republic, refaunated since 2015 by a combination of Exmoor pony ("wild" horse), Tauros cattle ("aurochs"), and European wisent.

**Methods:** We analysed butterfly presence-absence patterns immediately after the military use termination (early 1990s), prior to the refaunation (2009), and after it (2016–19); and current abundance data gained by monitoring butterflies at refaunated and neglected plots. We used correspondence analysis for the presence-absence comparison and canonical correspondence analysis for the current monitoring, and related results of both ordination methods to the life history and climatic traits, and conservation-related attributes, of recorded butterflies.

**Results:** Following the termination of military use, several poorly mobile species inclining towards oceanic climates were lost. Newly gained are mobile species preferring warmer continental conditions. The refaunated plots hosted higher butterfly species richness and abundances. Larger-bodied butterflies developing on coarse grasses and shrubs inclined towards neglected plots, whereas refaunated plots supported smaller species developing on small forbs.

**Conclusion:** The changes in species composition following the cessation of military use were attributable to successional change, coupled with changes in species pool operating at larger scales. By blocking succession, large ungulates support butterflies depending on competitively poor plants. Restoring large ungulates populations represents a great hope for conserving specialised insects, provided that settings of the projects, and locally adapted ungulate densities, do not deplete resources for species with often contrasting requirements.

**Keywords:** *Bison bonasus, Bos taurus,* Climatic niche traits, *Equus caballus,* Lepidoptera conservation, Life history traits, Temperate grassland, Trophic rewilding

# Background

In most continents, late-Pleistocene and early Holocene human pressure extirpated, or drastically reduced, the populations of large ungulate herbivores, which reshaped

37005 České Budějovice, Czech Republic

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



the ecological dynamics of entire biomes [38, 55]. This affected nutrient cycling [e.g., 109], fire regimes [e.g., 37,67], seed dispersal and germination [29, 102], and overall vegetation physiognomy [34, 109]. Subsequent activities of preindustrial agriculturalists and pastoralists resumed the role of large wild ungulates, maintaining the disturbance-succession dynamics exploited by numerous species, including invertebrates. Many enigmas and

© The Author(s) 2021. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedicated in a credit line to the data.

<sup>\*</sup>Correspondence: konva333@gmail.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Faculty of Sciences, University South Bohemia, Branišovská 31,

paradoxes encountered in European insects conservation—such as the affiliation of many taxa to purportedly "cultural" grasslands [90, 101], ancient ways of forests use [28, 105], frequently disturbed habitats [74, 95], or finelygrained landscapes [82, 83]—are resolved, once the large ungulates activity is factored in. The current biodiversity has evolved in a megafaunal world [6, 94]. Modern ecosystems are functionally incomplete, with entire trophic levels impoverished or missing, and if not actively managed, they fail to provide habitats for a sizeable portion of associated biota [27, 80].

The current refaunation /rewilding /naturalistic grazing movements [24, 40, 63, 81, 88] strive to reverse the transformation of ecosystems that started in the late Pleistocene and culminated with recent land use intensification [27, 49, 53, 70]. Although insect conservationists have long advocated habitat management by ungulate grazing [e.g., 23,68,84], relatively few megafauna refaunation projects have systematically targeted or monitored the impacts on insects [98]. Each refaunation project develops within specific sociocultural constraints, rarely allowing for proper replications [cf. 77; but see 40]. There is an urgent need to study refaunation effects on invertebrates, both as encouragement for others and as feedback for the wider conservation community [51, 77]. The effects may differ from targeted conservation grazing, a well-established practice for managing habitats of some insect species [e.g., 92]. Conservation grazing tends to be practiced on smaller scales, covering restricted seasonal time windows and under constant supervision of managers [14, 101], whereas refaunation operates on larger scales with minimum interventions.

The initial refaunation plans for the Czech Republic [53] aimed at large protected areas or actively used military training ranges. It was believed that in these large and biotically rich areas, restoring populations of large ungulates would be most feasible. The first refaunation project, however, has materialised on the relatively small scale of two grazing reserves within a disused military training range, in otherwise densely populated Central Bohemia (Fig. 1). Since 2015, three once near-extirpated components of native European megafauna are roaming on grasslands formerly used for army training: the European bison or wisent (Bison bonasus), a species rescued from near-certain extinction [72]; the back-bred "aurochs" in its restored Tauros form, derived from several taurine breeds of domestic cattle (Bos taurus) [41]; and the horse (Equus caballus), in an ancient feral Exmoor pony breed [3, 50].

Coincidently, the area was surveyed for butterflies immediately after the cessation of its military use [62], and again in the following decade, in a survey of abandoned military ranges [23]. The setting thus offers a unique opportunity to study butterfly assemblages' responses to the abandonment of a military-used landscape, and to monitor effects of large ungulates refaunation on such assemblages. Such past-present comparison should also account for factors beyond locally operating disturbance-succession dynamics. An obvious candidate is changing climate, currently restructuring insect faunas on the continental scale [33, 106]. The mean temperatures increased in Cetral Europe by  $\approx 1$  °C during last two decades, although warming may not necessarily improve conditions for thermally demanding species, if microclimates cool down due to eutrophication [104]. Non-climatic drivers of species' distribution, such as large-scale land use changes causing population declines or increases [1], may also play a role.

A promising approach to generalisation from singlesite results focuses on life history (=functional) traits of constituent species. It links habitat properties and the species composition of assemblages via species-specific traits [16, 59]. By linking species traits to results of habitat manipulation, it may disclose the mechanisms of species responses to habitat change [39, 84].

Here, we first compare butterfly records, life history traits, climatic niche traits, and conservation-related attributes from the three subsequent surveys: shortly after the military use termination, shortly before the refaunation by large ungulates, and under the large ungulates' impact. For this past-present comparison, we hypothesised that cessation of military use was followed by losses of specialists of early-successional disturbed grounds, due to diminished ground disturbance by heavy vehicles (H1). Species gains should reflect the recent larger scale changes: Species with warmer climatic niches (H2) and/or species currently increasing their regional distribution extents (H3), should newly colonise the area. We then analyse results of the current monitoring of butterfly assemblages on refaunated versus neglected plots. We expected that by diversifying vegetation and creating more diverse disturbance regime, the whole-year grazing by wild ungulates should increase butterfly species richness and abundances compared to neglected plots (H4). The assemblage should shift from species associated with competitively dominant host plants, favoured by postabandonment succession and typical for little disturbed vegetation, towards species associated with competitively inferior host plants and frequent disturbance by wild ungulates action (H5). We believe that this study is innovative in jointly considering the effects of site history, current species distribution drivers acting at larger scale, and large ungulates acting at small scale, on the composition of butterfly assemblages, and by utilising life history traits for gaining mechanistic understanding of the observed patterns.



## Results

## Past-present comparison

The early 1990s survey of the entire military range [62] detected 72 butterfly species (14 currently Red-listed); the interim survey of the sites N, C, S [23] detected 51 (6 Red-listed) species and the 2016–19 monitoring at the sites N and S detected 58 (7 Red-listed) species (Table 1, Additional file 1). The numbers are comparable only with caution. The 1990s earliest survey covered all biotopes in the area, including wooded parts outside the grasslands. It recorded a higher representation of arboreal species (n=9) than the two latter surveys (4 and 5). The two latter surveys focused on grasslands, but while the interim survey consisted of five visits in a

single year, the current monitoring consisted of 20 visits in four years. Still, even after exclusion of arboreal species and migrants whose abundances vary greatly among years, the earliest survey detected more species than the latter two surveys pooled.

The indirect CA analyses (Fig. 2) revealed differences among the three surveys in butterfly species composition (total variation = 0.22, axis 1 separating the earliest and the two subsequent surveys: 59.5%, axis 2 distinguishing the interim and the current survey: 40.5%). The pattern held if the localities N, C, S were treated separately (variation = 0.40; % subsequent axes: 45.2, 29.0). Removing 11 arboreal and migrant species (cf. Table 1) decreased the explained variation (three

Species	Abbreviation	RL status	Early 1990s	2009			2016–19			
			Entire area	Site S	Site C	Site N	Pooled	Site S	Site N	Pooled
Hesperidae										
Carcharodus alceae	Calc	NT	+	_	_	_	_	+	+	+
Erynnis tages	Etag	_	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Carterocephalus palaemon	Cpal	_	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Pyrgus malvae	Pmal	_	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Pyrgus armoricanus		EN	+	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Ochlodes sylvanus	Osyl	_	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Thymelicus lineola	Tlin	_	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Thymelicus sylvestris	Tsyl	_	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Thymelicus acteon		EN	+	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Spialia sertorius	Sser	VU	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Hesperia comma	Hcom	VU	+	_	_	_	_	+	+	+
Papilionidae										
Papilio machaon	Pmac	_	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Iphiclides podalirius	lpod	NT	_	_	_	_	_	+	+	+
Pieridae		_								
Pieris brassicae	Pbra	_	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Pieris napi	Pnap	_	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Pieris rapae	Prap	_	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Leptidea juvernica	Ljuv	_	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Gonepteryx rhamni	Grha	_	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Colias alfacariensis	Calf	VU	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Colias hyale <sup>\$\$</sup>	Chya	-		_	_	_	_			
Colias crocea <sup>\$\$</sup>	Ccro	_	+	_	_	_	_	+	+	+
Anthocharis cardamines	Acar	_	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Pontia edusa <sup>\$\$</sup>	Pedu	_	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Nymphalidae										
Apatura iris <sup>\$</sup>	Airi	-	+	_	_	_	_	+	_	+
Apatura ilia <sup>\$</sup>		-	+	+	-	_	_	_	-	-
Aglais urticae	Aurt	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Nymphalis antiopa <sup>\$</sup>		-	+	+	+	+	+	_	-	_
Nymphalis polychloros <sup>\$</sup>		-	+	_	-	_	-	_	-	_
Vanessa atalanta <sup>\$\$</sup>	Vata	_	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Vanessa cardui <sup>\$\$</sup>	Vcar	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Inachis io	lio	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Araschnia levana	Alev	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Polygonia c-album	Pc-a	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Malitaea athalia		NT	+	_	+	+	+	-	-	-
Malitaea cinxia		VU	+	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
Issoria lathonia	llat	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Argynnis aglaja	Aagl	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
Argynnis adippe	Aadi	_	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	+
Argynnis paphia	Арар	_	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Boloria dia	Bdia	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Boloria selene		NT	+	_	_	_	_	_	_	-
Coenonympha arcania	Cacr	NT	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Coenonympha glycerion	Cgly	-	+	+	_	_	+	+	+	+

 Table 1
 List of butterfly species (nomenclature and system: [107] recorded from the former Milovice military training range during the three consecutive surveys, split into respective localities if possible, with their Czech Republic Red-list [RL] status

## Table 1 (continued)

Species	Abbreviation	RL status	Early 1990s	2009			2016–19			
			Entire area	Site S	Site C	Site N	Pooled	Site S	Site N	Pooled
Coenonympha pamphilus	Cpam	_	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Erebia medusa	Emed	NT	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+
Erebia aethiops		EN	+	_	_	-	_	_	-	_
Melanargia galathea	Mgal	_	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Lasiommata megera	Lmeg	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Lasiommata maera		NT	+	-	-	-	-	_	-	-
Pararge aegeria <sup>\$</sup>	Paeg	-	+	+	-	-	-	_	+	+
Maniola jurtina	Mjur	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Hyponephele lycaon		CR	+	-	-	_	_	-	-	-
Aphantopus hyperanthus	Ahyp	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Hipparchia semele		CR	+	_	_	-	_	_	-	_
Lycaenidae										
Thecla betulae		_	+	-	_	-	_	_	_	_
Neozephyrus quercus <sup>\$</sup>		_	+	-	_	_	_	_	_	_
Callophrys rubi	Crub	NT	+	+	+	+	+	+	_	+
Satyrium acaciae	Saca	-	_	-	_	_	_	_	+	+
Satyrium pruni	Spru	NT	+	-	-	_	_	+	-	+
Satyrium w-album <sup>\$</sup>		NT	_	_	+	_	+	-	-	-
Satyrium spini	Sspi	VU	_	_	-	-	_	_	+	+
Lycaena alciphron		VU	_	-	_	+	+	_	_	-
Lycaena dispar	Ldis	-	_	-	_	-	-	+	+	+
Lycaena phlaeas	Lphl	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Lycaena tityrus	Ltit	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+
Lycaena virgaureae	Lvir	NT	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Celastrina argiolus	Carg	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Cupido minimus	Cmin	VU	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+
Aricia agestis	Aage	_	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Aricia eumedon		NT	+	_	_	_	_	_	-	_
Plebejus argyrognomon	Pargy	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+
Plebejus argus	Parg	NT	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Cyaniris semiargus		VU	_	-	-	+	+	-	-	-
Phengaris alcon*	Palc	EN	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Polyommatus bellargus	Pbell	VU	_	-	-	_	-	-	+	+
Polyommatus amandus	Pama	NT	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Polyommatus icarus	Pica	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Polyommatus coridon	Pcor	VU	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Polyommatus daphnis	Pdap	VU	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Polyommatus thersites		VU	+	-	_	_	_	_	_	_
Total		32	71	50	47	49	51	55	55	60
Arboreal + migrants excluded		31	61	44	45	42	46	50	50	54

(NT—near threatened, VU—vulnerable, EN—endangered, CR—critically endangered) following [46]. The early 1990s data are from [62], 2009 data from [23], and this study to the 2016–2019 monitoring. Abbreviations are used in the ordination diagram at Fig. 4

<sup>\$</sup> Arboreal and <sup>\$\$</sup>migrant species excluded from some analyses

\* The "rebeli" ecological form, developing on Gentiana cruciata

samples variant: 0.25, six samples variant: 0.35) without changing the overall pattern (% successive axes: 59.9, 40.1; 47.9, 31.0).

Interpreting the CA ordinations by species' attributes gave results consistent across the four variants (Table 2). Species present shortly after the cessation of military use



on CA ordination of recorded butterflies, in bottom right

and lost subsequently tended to be less mobile. Their ranges were characterised by a broader oceanity niche, narrower continentality niche and lower mean annual temperatures (Fig. 2). Species inclining towards the current survey require higher mean annual temperatures and higher numbers of growing degree days. The species lost since the earliest survey are declining in the Czech Republic, while those gained recently display rather restricted distributions in the country (Table 2).

## **Current monitoring of refaunation effects**

The 61 species currently recorded (Table 1, Additional file 2) were observed in 25,322 individuals. The mean  $(\pm \text{SD})$  /median/ range per plot and year, summed across the five yearly visits, were 24.6(±4.88)/ 24/ 15–38 species, and 395.7(±214.55)/ 343/ 99–1,057 individuals. Species and individuals' numbers were positively correlated (Pearson's r=0.511, t<sub>(N=64)</sub>=4.61, P<0.0001).

The numbers of species per plot differed significantly among years and refaunated plots hosted more species (mean:  $25.7 \pm 4.96$ SD) than neglected ones (23.5±4.50SD), except for 2018 with an opposite pattern, resulting into marginally significant management x year interaction (mixed linear model, year F=2.83 (df: 3, 45.04), P<0.05; management F=4.41 (df: 1, 44.60), P<0.05; interaction F=2.60 (df: 3, 45.09), P=0.06). The numbers of individuals did not differ among years (F=2.00 (df: 3, 45.54), P=0.13) and were consistently higher at refaunated (457.4±251.21SD) than at neglected (345.8±166.75SD) plots (management F=15.07 (df: 1, 45.54), P<0.001; interaction F=1.76 (df: 3, 45.03), P=0.17). At the cattle-grazed plots, the numbers varied highly from year to year (Fig. 3).

In the CCA analyses (Table 3), the covariates nectar, hour, and weather did not affect the composition of assemblages, implying that nectar was available rather evenly across the plots and visits, and visits were mostly under suitable weather. The strong effect of factorially coded year explained the highest variation of all (co) variables. It was followed by plots position, specifically latitude, collinear with the effect of site. Tanks as a separate predictor had no effect. Refaunation alone had no

	Life history traits				Climatic niche traits	Conservation attributes						
Analysis	Ordination axes: traits correlations	% var	Axis1 F, P	All axes F, P	Ordination axes: traits correlations	% var	Axis1 F, P	All axes F, P	Ordination axes: traits correlations	% var	Axis1 F, P	All axes F, P
3-level		-	_	-	<ul> <li>+ Ax1: Oceanity NB;</li> <li>- Ax1: Summer GDD NB</li> <li>+ Ax2: Early summer GDD, Summer GDD</li> <li>- Ax2: Summer GDD NB</li> </ul>	17.0	14.5***	5.0***	<ul> <li>Ax1: Distribution trend CZ; Current range CZ</li> <li>Ax2: Current range CZ</li> </ul>	17.3	19.9***	9.2***
3 level, arboreal/ migrant spp. excluded	<b>–Ax1</b> : Mobility	2.2	2.5 +	_	<ul> <li>+ Ax1: Precipitation NB</li> <li>-Ax1: Early summer GDD</li> <li>+ Ax2: Continentality NB</li> </ul>	13.5	10.9**	4.5***	<ul> <li>-Ax1: CZ distribution trend, CZ current range;</li> <li>+ Ax2: CZ current range</li> </ul>	20.8	13.4***	9.8***
6-level	<b>–Ax1:</b> Mobility	2.0	2.6*	-	–Ax1: Summer GDD+Ax1: Summer GDD	2.5	3.0*	-	<ul> <li>-Ax1: CZ distribution trend, CZ current range;</li> <li>-Ax2: CZ current range</li> </ul>	18.6	15.9***	12.5***
6 level, arboreal/ migrant spp. excluded	-Ax1: Mobility -Ax2: Mobility	3.5	3.4*	-	–Ax1: Summer GDD + Ax2: Summer GDD	2.4	2.6*	-	<ul> <li><b>Ax1</b>: CZ distribution trend, CZ current range;</li> <li><b>Ax2</b>: CZ current range</li> </ul>	20.2	14.7***	9.5***

**Table 2** Results of explaining species scores obtained from the correspondence analyses (CA) of three successive butterfly assemblages surveys (early 1990s, 2009, 2016–19) in the (former) Milovice military training range, by life history traits, climatic niche traits and conservation attributes of constituent species. See Table 5 for explanation of the traits

3-level analyses pooled individual sites surveyed, while 6-level analyses treated the grasslands sites S, C, and N separately, if allowed by the data. -/+ signs preceding the ordination axes Ax1-Ax4 values indicate the direction of the correlation with respective CA axes. **%var, F** and **P** values refer to Monte Carlo tests for the significance of the relationships between trait values and CA ordination scores



**Table 3** Results of CCA analyses, comparing the 2016–19 current monitoring results from plots refaunated by large ungulates versus neglected plots

Model	E1	E2	E3	E4	% variation	Axis1 F, P	All axes F, P
~ Nectar	0.039				1.2	4.9, ns	
~Weather	0.034	0.027			1.7	4.2, ns	3.8, ns
~ Factorial hour	0.021	0.016	0.012	0.008	0.6	2.5, ns	1.3+
~ Polynomial hour	0.017	0.006			0.3	2.1, ns	1.4*
~ Factorial year	0.114	0.049	0.019		6.1	14.5*	7.9**
~ Linear year	0.045				1.4	5.6, ns	
~ Position (forward selected: latitude)	0.026				0.7	3.2**	
~ Site	0.026				0.7	3.2**	
FW covariate model <sup>a</sup> (~ factorial year + latitude)	0.114	0.054	0.020	0.019	8.0	14.5***	6.8***
BW covariate model <sup>b</sup> (~ factorial year + latitude + nectar)	0.120	0.056	0.035	0.019	8.1	15.3***	6.6***
~ Tanks	0.015				0.3	1.9, ns	
~Tanks   factorial year + latitude	0.007				0.1	1.0, ns	
~Tanks   factorial year + latitude + nectar	0.007				0.0	1.0, ns	
~ Refaunation <sup>c</sup>	0.019	0.010			0.5	2.3, ns	1.8, ns
~ Refaunation   factorial year + latitude	0.019	0.006			0.4	2.5**	1.7**
~ Refaunation   factorial year + latitude + nectar	0.016	0.006			0.3	2.5*	1.5*
~ Refaunation + tanks	0.029	0.014	0.010		1.1	3.6, ns	2.2*
~ Refaunation + tanks   factorial year + latitude	0.022	0.009	0.004		0.5	2.9**	1.6**
~ Refaunation + tanks   factorial year + latitude + nectar	0.023	0.008	0.004		0.6	3.1**	1.6**
~ Ungulates <sup>d</sup>	0.023	0.015	0.010	0.005	0.8	2.8+	1.6+
~ Ungulates   factorial year + latitude	0.029	0.010	0.009	0.004	0.4	3.0*	1.3*
~ Ungulates   factorial year + latitude + nectar	0.019	0.009	0.006	0.003	0.3	2.6*	1.2+
~ Ungulates + tanks	0.035	0.015	0.015	0.010	1.4	4.2*	1.9*
~ Ungulates + tanks   latitude + factorial year	0.023	0.010	0.009	0.004	0.4	3.0*	1.3*
~ Ungulates + tanks   latitude + factorial year + nectar	0.025	0.009	0.008	0.005	0.5	3.4*	1.3+

Bold E1-E4 are eigenvalues of respective canonical axes, bold F and P values refer to results of Monte Carlo tests for the first canonical axis and all canonical axes. The models written in **bold** were used for interpreting the ordination axes by species traits (see Table 4)

<sup>a</sup> Obtained by forward selection (FW) from all significant terms above

<sup>b</sup> Obtained by backward selection (BW) from all terms above

<sup>c</sup> 3-level factor (refaunation, cattle, and neglect)

<sup>d</sup> 5-level factor (horse, aurochs, wisent, cattle, and neglect)

 $^+$  P < 0.1,  $^*\!P$  < 0.05,  $^{**}\!P$  < 0.01,  $^{***}\!P$  < 0.001

significant effect. For ungulates, the first axis, which distinguished aurochs and horse from neglect, cattle and wisent, was marginally significant. The marginally significant second and (still canonical) third axes distinguished neglect and cattle, respectively, from other situations. Adding tanks into either ungulates or refaunation models increased the models' statistical significance, suggesting that some butterflies responded to the thus created intensive disturbance.

The models much improved after inclusion of FW (factorial year + latitude) and BW (factorial year + latitude + nectar) selected covariates (Table 3, Fig. 4). This implied that the effects of the focal predictors were originally masked by the variation among years and collinearity between grazing regimes and the plots` position.

For refaunation, the ordinations now separated plots grazed by large ungulates from neglected plots (first axis), and cattle plus tanks from all the other regimes (second

axis). The butterflies closely associated with large ungulates were narrowly specialised forbs feeders, such as the obligatorily myrmecophilous Phengaris alcon, multiple other Lycaenidae (Plebejus argus, Polyommatus coridon), but also some Pieridae (Colias alfacariensis) and Hesperiidae (Erynnis tages, Pyrgus malvae). Species associated with neglect were those preferring coarse grasslands (the fritillary Boloria dia; the Satyrinae Melanargia galathea, Maniola jurtina; the hesperids Ochlodes venatus, Hesperia comma) and shrubs (Iphiclides podalirius, Coenonympha arcania). Cattle pasture was associated with common generalists (Pieris brassicae, Vanessa cardui, Thymelicus lineola), but also with the nationwide vulnerable hesperid Spialia sertorius, which was also closely associated with *tanks*. (See Additional file 3 for positions of all butterfly species.)

Almost identical patterns arose in the analysis with *ungulates*. The first axis distinguished neglect from the



**Fig. 4** Ordination analysis of large ungulates refaunation effects on butterfly assemblages, and interpreting the results by species traits. Top left: CCA biplot relating the current (2016–19) butterfly species composition at monitored plots in the Milovice former military training area to refaunation (model (~ refaunation + tanks | factorial year + latitude; i.e., FW selected covariables). Top right: RDA biplot interpreting the ordination axes by species traits (details: Table 3). Bottom left: CCA biplot for model (~ ungulates | factorial year + latitude + nectar, i.e., BW selected covariables). Bottom right: RDA biplot interpreting the CCA axes by traits. See Table 3 for CCA models parameters and Table 4 for RDA models parameters

three megafaunal species, the second axis distinguished cattle plus tanks, and the (still canonical) third and fourth axes separated aurochs from wisent, and cattle from other management types, respectively (Table 3, Fig. 4, Additional file 3).

Interpreting the resulting CCA models by species traits (Table 4, Fig. 4, Additional file 4) consistently related both refaunation and wild ungulates presence either to host plant form, or to wing span, or to both. Butterflies inclining towards neglect tended to develop on woody plants or coarse grasses and/or tended to be larger than those inclining towards refaunation / ungulates. No climatic niche or conservation-related attribute performed significantly in these analyses.

## Discussion

The former Milovice military training area harbours rich butterfly assemblages; the 55–60 species currently recorded per site is above average for nature reserves in the country [4, 23, 83]. This richness was arguably preserved there owing to exclusion of intensive agriculture and forestry, combined with the past finely-grained disturbance-succession dynamics typical for military areas [15, 23, 76]. Following the cessation of military use, several species were lost, while others were subsequently gained. Presence of aurochs, horses, and wisents increases per-plot butterfly species richness and abundance, presumably by manipulating vegetation conditions, thus supporting multiple species of conservation concern, e.g. the critically endangered obligatorily myrmecophilous *Phengaris alcon* [cf. 91].

## Changes since termination of military use

The termination of military activities was followed by the successional overgrowth of the disturbed sparsely vegetated surfaces, and gradual dominance of coarse grasses and tall forbs [52]. We therefore expected (hypothesis H1) decrease of specialists associated with small competitively inferior forbs, which our analyses of life history traits did not support. The only life history trait responding to the past-present ordination was mobility. Poorly mobile species were associated with the past military use. Among European butterflies, high mobility is a generalist trait associated with broad trophic ranges, long flight period and other features facilitating survival in humandominated landscapes [5, 26, 45], whereas poor mobility increases extinction risks [12, 33]. Because mobility relates inversely to local population density [4], some poorly mobile species may need large habitat areas to sustain viable populations. The changes after cessation of military use probably led to shrinking habitats supply for poorly mobile specialists.

Associations of lost and gained species with climatic niche traits (H2) were more straightforward. In agreement with the warmer and drier climate in Central Europe during the last few decades [87], the locally lost species shared broad oceanity or precipitation niches, whereas the newly gained species require higher temperatures. Also, in agreement with H3 stating that species newly colonising the area should be those currently increasing in distribution, the lost species display decreasing distribution trends in the Czech Republic and elsewhere in Central and Western Europe, whereas the opposite applies for the newly gained species [cf. 100].

**Table 4** Interpreting results of selected CCA models, comparing the 2016–19 current monitoring results from plots refaunated by large ungulates versus neglected plots (cf. Table 3), by species traits. Species ordination scores from the CCA were related to life history, climatic niche and conservation related traits via redundancy analysis (RDA), best-fitting combinations were forward-selected. See Additional file 4 for single effects of all traits to all models assessed

Model	% variation	E1	E2	Axis1 F, P	All axes F, P	
~ Refaunation   factorial year + latitude	5.5	0.070		4.5*		Host plant form—small forbs with refau- nation, bulky plants towards neglect
~ Refaunation   factorial year + latitude + nectar	4.4	0.060		4.4*		Host plant form—as above
~ Refaunation + tanks   factorial year + latitude	2.4	0.040		2.5+		Host plant form—as above
$\sim$ Refaunation + tanks   factorial year + latitude + nectar	4.4	0.060		3.8*		Host plant form—as above
~ Ungulates   factorial year + latitude	2.5	0.042		2.6+		Host plant form—as above
~ Ungulates   factorial year + latitude + nectar	2.1	0.037		2.3+		Wing span—increasing towards neglect
~ Ungulates + tanks   latitude + factorial year	1.7	0.034		2.1 +		Host plant form, small forbs with wild ungulates, bulky plants towards neglect
~ Ungulates + tanks   latitude + factorial year + nectar	3.1	0.056	0.007	3.4+	2.0+	Host plant form—as above Wing span—increasing towards neglect

A combination of restricted mobility and broad oceanity or precipitation niches applies to several locally lost and nationally threatened species [cf. 9,47]: the hesperids Pyrgus armoricanus (currently re-expanding elsewhere in Central Europe [11, 57]) and Thymelicus acteon, and the satyrines Hipparchia semele, Hyponephele lycaon, and Erebia aethiops. The latter is a sparse woodland species [82] only loosely associated with grasslands, but its current occurrence in the area was safely excluded by concurrent targeted searches. The remaining four, all declining in Central Europe [100], require sparsely vegetated substrates and often colonise such landforms as disused quarries and post-industrial barrens [8, 13, 95,96]. Broad oceanity tolerance certainly applies to Hipparchia semele, distributed from Eastern Europe to Atlantic coastal dunes [78], but also to Hyponephele lycaon and Pyrgus armoricanus, whose ranges follow maritime climates far north to southern Fennoscandia [35, 66]. The species newly gained during the last two decades include Iphiclides podalirius, Satyrium acaciae, S. spini, Lycaena dispar, and Polyommatus bellargus, all currently (re) expanding in Central Europe. The first three are associated with shrubs [9], and the fourth with tall ruderal forbs [86], hence they might have profited from concurrent effects of successional abandonment. Only the fifth, gained as late as 2018, develops on Securigera varia (L) host plants growing at sparsely vegetated surfaces [8], likely profits from the ungulates' grazing. The gains and losses thus reflect the interaction of local management changes, and forces affecting species pool at larger scales [75, 93].

## **Refaunation by large ungulates**

Plots affected by large ungulates displayed increased butterfly species richness and abundance, supporting our hypothesis H4 that wild ungulates grazing should increase butterfly resource base [40, 55]. At the same time, refaunation affected the local assemblages' composition. It favoured smaller species developing on small forbs over larger species developing on large forbs, grasses or shrubs, supporting our hypothesis H5.

As in other studies [25, 49, 108], the immediate effects of year-round ungulates' presence included reduction of tall coarse grasses, slowing down scrub growth due to browsing and bark peeling, reduction of grass blooming by consuming grass inflorescences, and exposing barren ground around tracks and wallows. As in experiments with feral horses [40], some richly blooming forbs, including species that rarely bloomed in the years preceding the refaunation, increased in abundance [31]. The differences in butterfly assemblages` composition between refaunated and neglected plots became more apparent after statistical control for the effect of year and the monitored plots position. Still, species benefitting from refaunation included the iconic *Phengaris alcon f. rebeli*, whose host plant, the poorly competitive [cf. 43,71] and chemically protected [73] perennial *Gentiana cruciata*, boomed shortly after the establishment of grazing. This obligatorily myrmecophilous butterfly is likely host plant limited, because its females prefer oviposition on prominent plants overtopping surrounding vegetation [44, 64, 103]. Another limitation may be presence of symbiotic *Myrmica* sp. ants, and the ungulates-ants dynamics deserves more detailed research [91].

Interpretations of the assemblages' responses by species attributes risk being confounded by phylogeny, because life history and even climatic niche traits are often phylogenetically conserved [20]. The traits used in our analyses, however, were shown to retain basic topology of their mutual relations when controlled for phylogeny [5], and the species developing on small herbs and favoured by refaunation belong to several families, suggesting robustness of this result.

The simplest explanation of the higher butterfly richness and abundance at refaunated plots, congruent with the positive richness-abundance correlation, is the generally smaller size of the forbs-feeding specialists, a trait known to be associated with higher local population densities and lower mobility [4, 5, 26]. In European butterflies, large body size is also associated with lower number of generations and feeding on mechanically, rather than chemically, protected host plants, i.e., woody species and grasses, favoured by more advanced succession [22] but supressed by ungulates presence. In contrast, many plant groups avoided by horses (e.g., Rosaceae, Fabaceae, Polygonaceae, Orobanchaceae: [21]), once the dominant grazers of West-Palaearctic grasslands, are frequent in the larval diet of European butterflies. Possible coevolutionary relationships between mammalian megafauna and herbivorous insects, and their conservation implications, deserve further investigation.

The patterns revealed by ordinations relating species composition to refaunation were admittedly less convincing than in studies comparing starkly contrasting habitats, such as close woodlands vs. clearings [e.g., 10,80]. It appears that the refaunated and neglected plots were interconnected by individual movements. The distances among study plots were within the routine movement abilities of most butterflies [36, 85], although this may not apply for the least mobile species [58]. Also, the small-scale vegetation mosaic at the study sites ([52]; Fig. 1) could blur potential effects to species community structures. It is likely that individual butterflies located some of their vital resources at both grazed and ungrazed sections of the area, in line with the resource-based understanding of animal habitats [17, 97].

The setting of our study did not allow distinguishing between the effects of horses and big bovids, as both pastures contained combinations of these ungulates. The literature on refaunation in temperate [e.g., 102,108] and northern boreal [61] regions agrees that these two ungulate groups supplement each other in effects on vegetation, as well as seasonal and diurnal habitat use. Additionally, both horses and bovids acted as dominant grazers in late Quaternary European ecosystems, and both were present as domesticated forms in traditional rural landscapes.

The mechanical disturbance by armoured vehicles (factor tanks) exhibited no separate effect, seemingly countering the claims [48] that it provides disturbed conditions beneficial for some insects. Presence of tanks, however, increased the explanatory power of models containing ungulates or refaunation effects (Table 3), suggesting a complementarity with large grazers for some butterfly species. This might be the case of *Spialia sertorius*, a skipper associated with tanks in ordination diagrams and developing on *Sanguisorba minor*, a competitively inferior forb preferring sparsely vegetated surfaces [42]. Arguably, on military lands, and in the current Milovice reserves, the heavy vehicles supplement yet another lost component of the megaherbivore fauna of interglacial Europe, proboscideans [99].

The effect of domestic cattle, grazed at three plots for two years of the project, was orthogonal to the ordination gradient distinguishing refaunation and neglect. The cattle were grazed with high stocking and supplementary feeding during the vegetation season and were not present in winter. Such grazing style suppresses forbs and fails to suppress coarse grasses. Grazing by domestic breeds in more biodiversity-friendly ways is possible [32, 46, 49], but this was not the case in our system.

While being demonstrably positive for butterflies associated with poorly competitive forbs, the refaunation did not detectably imperil species associated with coarse grasses or shrubs. In this respect, the Milovice situation differs from some projects with documented negative outcomes for insect assemblages [98]. It seems beneficial that contrary to some refaunation sites amidst urbanised landscapes [60], our study system is situated in a diverse rural setting, including ungrazed/neglected plots, which provide conditions contrasting with the grazed sites. This habitat diversity likely allows for resource compensation/ supplementation by the butterflies [69], enabling coexistence of species requiring different disturbance levels [18]. The current grazing pressure  $\approx 0.5$  grazers\*ha<sup>-1</sup> does not deplete the sites of larval host plants or nectar. There is a potential long-term risk, as the whole operation is funded from the EU Agri-environmental scheme "grazing", which requires maintaining stable grazing intensity. Flexibility may be necessary, as grazing levels appropriate for restoring overgrown sites may become too high once species-rich dry grasslands develop, as well as if accelerating climate change will decrease rainfall levels during the vegetation period.

## Conclusions

Whereas abandonment and successional changes of a former military area restructured the rich local butterfly fauna, refaunation of parts of the area by megafaunal grazers contributes to maintaining high butterfly species richness and abundance. Analysing traits of the constituent butterfly species revealed that the post-abandonment changes, spanning across two decades, affected butterfly assemblages via different mechanisms than does the current megaherbivores activity. The post-abandonment changes led to losses of some poorly mobile species and gains of some regionally expanding species, presumably rather good dispersers. The changes also had a climatic component, indicated by differences in climatic niche traits between past and present assemblages. At present, the megaherbivores affect butterfly assemblages by transforming vegetation, and hence supporting smaller species developing on small forbs on the expense of larger species developing on bulky forbs, coarse grasses, and woody plants. Local heterogeneity of conditions, and existence of ungrazed sections in the vicinity of the grazed ones, ensure that species from the other group are not locally imperilled. Given that many of the species lost since abandonment of the area by the military were poor dispersers, reintroductions of some of the lost species, whose habitats the ungulates have restored, is a logical next step.

Unresolved questions include differences among ungulate species in affecting butterfly larval and adult resources, possible legacies of coevolution between temperate butterflies and ungulates, and future development of the butterfly assemblages. The latter question is tractable by sustained monitoring, whereas the former two can be approached by expansion of studies similar to ours to sites varying in composition of both butterfly assemblages and ungulate species. This ambitious programme is increasingly feasible, as the refaunation movement expands and the number of potential study systems rapidly increases. In the Czech Republic alone, progeny of the Milovice ungulate herds currently roam at an additional seven sites, offering rich opportunities for future research.

## Methods

## Study area, refaunation, and earlier butterfly surveys

The Milovice military training range (50.26 N, 14.89E, altitude 200–250 m a.s.l., mean annual temperature

8-9 °C, annual precipitation 500-600 mm) (Fig. 1) was established in 1904, originally on 34.6 km<sup>2</sup>. It was subsequently used by all armies that operated on Czech territory, gradually expanding its area to 40 km<sup>2</sup>. The last users were the Soviets, who operated an air force base and headquarters here for the former Czechoslovakia until 1991. The natural setting is the gently rolling Středočeská Tabule Plain formed by Mesozoic carbonate-rich sandstones, siltstones, and claystones, and covered by brown soils, rendzinas, and carbonate rich sands. Woodlands dominated by Quercus petraea, *Pinus sylvestris*, and *Betula pendula* are interspersed by finely grained mosaics of shrublands, grasslands, and early successional vegetation that developed on former farmlands (mainly wheat, vegetables, and dairy family farms) and were utilised for training troops for over 80 years [23, 52].

Following the cessation of military use, parts of the open training fields were developed (golf course, amusement park, industrial zone), while three large areas were proclaimed a Site of European Community Importance (SCI) Milovice-Mladá. The Central site (local toponym: Pozorovatelna, hereinafter "C", 50.254 N, 14.881E) has been partly managed by conservation grazing by fenced sheep, while the Northern (Traviny, "N", 50.278 N, 14.883E) and Southern (Pod Benáteckým vrchem, "S", 50.241 N, 14.886E) sites remained unmanaged, except for occasional disturbance of S by armoured vehicles practiced by military history enthusiasts and for domestic cattle grazing in a corner of N in 2014–2016. Much of all three sites had suffered succession-driven homogenisation of the once diverse vegetation mosaic by competitively dominant grasses (mainly Calamagrostis epigejos and Arrhenatherum elatius), ruderal forbs and shrubs (mainly Crataegus, Prunus, and Rosa).

The site S (2015–2017, 40 ha; 106 ha since 2018) has been grazed since spring 2015 by  $\approx$ 35 Exmoor ponies (hereinafter "horse") and  $\approx \! 20$  Tauros cattle (hereinafter "aurochs"). Since spring 2016,  $\approx$ 35 horses and  $\approx$ 20 wisents have grazed the site N (125 ha) (Fig. 1). Both S and N are thus year-round cross-grazed by horses and big bovids (aurochs or wisent) living in naturally structured social units, i.e. mixed sex/age harems/herds. To provide variable management regimes, both temporally and permanently ungrazed plots of various sizes (units to tens of hectares) are present both within and outside the grazing reserves at any given time. The animals receive no supplementary feeding and no medication, except for strictly determined individual cases, and predators enter the sites freely [54]. The wolf, as a re-expanding apex predator, is not present yet, but its colonisation is expected. To control grazing intensity, facilitate gene-flow, and avoid social stress, two to three year-old surplus animals are

transferred to similar projects in the Czech Republic and abroad.

The first targeted butterfly survey of the area was conducted in the early 1990s, immediately after the cessation of military use. It produced a commented list of species, treating the entire military range as a single locality [62]. Fifteen years later, in 2009, the training fields S, C and N were surveyed separately in a semiquantitative manner, recording maxima per visit at a logarithmic scale [23]. The current monitoring of the refaunation impact, launched in spring 2016, thus represents the third survey.

## Current butterfly monitoring

We set 16 rectangular plots  $(50 \times 200 \text{ m})$  at both refaunated (n=7) and neglected (n=9); three of them grazed by cattle for two years) sections of N and S sites (n=8)each) (Fig. 1). In 2016-2019, one of us (DR) visited the plots five times each year (May, early June, late June, July, August) to cover seasonal aspects of butterfly assemblages. The recording followed the timed survey protocol [56], appropriate for heterogenous environments with temporally changing locations of butterfly resources, such as flower patches. Each visit to a plot lasted 30 min, abundances of all butterfly species observed were recorded using a net when necessary and taking vouchers of species not recognisable in the field. We also recorded the closest hour, cloudiness (3-point ordinal scale, from clear sky-1 to overcast-3), wind (Beaufort scale 1-4, i.e., calm to gentle breeze), and nectar supply (0-no flowers within the plot, 1-flowers scarce but present, 2-flowers moderately abundant, 3-flowers abundant). We restricted the visits to the highest butterfly activity period (10 AM-4 PM) and to weather suitable for butterflies, randomising their sequence with respect to time of day. A single round of visits took 2-3 consecutive days.

## Past-present comparison

For the past-present comparison, we visualised the patterns defined by species presences/absences recorded in early 1990s [62], 2009 [23], and during the current monitoring, the latter collated across the four years, using correspondence analysis (CA), an unconstrained ordination appropriate for 1/0 data, in CANOCO, v. 5.0 [89]. We computed four variants of CAs: (1) based on three "samples" defined by the three consecutive surveys; (2) differentiating records from the locations N, C, and S (possible using [23] and the current data), thus obtaining six "samples"; and (3+4) as in the previous two cases, but after exclusion of migrant and arboreal species.

We interpreted the CA results by three sets of the constituent species' attributes (Table 5, Additional file 1): (a) Life history traits, as compiled for Central Europe [5]. This selection of traits associated with feeding modes,

	Description	Character
Life history traits [5]		
Wing span		Numeric (mm)
Host plant form	Ephemerals—1, larger forbs—2, grasses and sedges—3, trees and shrubs—4	Ranked
Voltinism	Average number of generations, C. Europe	Numeric
Fertility	Average number of eggs per female at eclosion	Categories 1–9
Mobility	Ranked tendency to disperse	Categories 1–9
Density	Ranked average density per area of habitat	Ranked 1–9
Diet breadth	Number of plant families fed on by larvae in the Czech Republic	Numeric
Flight period length	Number of adult occurrence months (hibernation months excluded)	Numeric
Overwintering stage	Ranked, larva—1, adult—5	
Climatic niche traits [79]		
Annual temperature	Mean annual temperature	°C
Annual temperature niche breadth [=NB]	SD of the above	
Continentality	Annual range in monthly temperatures	°C
Continentality NB	SD of the above	
Precipitation	Annual precipitation sum	mm
Precipitation NB	SD of the above	
Oceanity	Annual range in monthly precipitation sum	mm
Oceanity NB	SD of the above	
Winter GDD	Accumulated growing degree days [GDD] (> 5 $^{\circ}$ C), January–February	°C
Winter GDD NB	SD of the above	
Spring GDD	Accumulated GDD, January–April	°C
Spring GDD NB	SD of the above	
Early summer GDD	Accumulated GDD, January–June	°C
Early summer GDD NB	SD of the above	
Summer GDD	Accumulated GDD, January–August	°C
Summer GDD NB	SD of the above	
Water availability	Soil water content of the upper horizon (0.5 m)	No unit (0–1)
Water availability NB	SD of the above	
Conservation attributes		
Red list status [47]	Czech Republic (1—no status, 2—near threatened, 3—vulnerable, 4—endangered, 5— critically endangered)	ranked 1–5
Present CZ distribution [5]	Occupied Czech Republic 10 $ imes$ 10 km grid squares 2002–2014	Numeric
Past CZ distribution [5]	Occupied Czech Republic grid squares 1951–2001	Numeric
CZ distribution trend [5]	[1- (Present distribution/Past distribution)]	Numeric
Global range size [5]	Categorized, (1—smaller than Europe, 5—larger than the Palaearctic)	Numeric
European range size [79]	Number of occupied (ca 70 $\times$ 70 km) grid squares in Europe	Numeric

**Table 5** Life history traits, climatic niche traits and conservation-related attributes used for analyses of butterfly assemblages inhabiting the former Milovice military range, refaunated by large ungulates

dispersal and population structure reveals a generalistspecialist continuum in the butterfly fauna [cf. 19,65], while also distinguishing multivoltine species associated with small ruderal forbs from univoltine species associated with trees, shrubs and grasses [2]. (b) Climatic niche traits, compiled in [79] on the basis of species ranges in Europe and known to contribute to population trends [33]; and (c) Conservation attributes describing the distribution and Red-list status in the Czech Republic. We used the CANOCO option "explanation of species scores for functional traits". This analysis, a multivariate version of the fourth-corner approach [30, 59], relates the species ordination scores from the CA ordination to trait values of the species, testing for strengths of the relationship using redundancy analysis (RDA), a multivariate version of linear regression [89]. We analysed the three sets of traits separately, using the forward selection process to attain best-fitting traits combinations.

## **Current monitoring**

To compare numbers of butterfly species and individuals recorded, we used linear mixed-effects model in the R package lmer4 with Nelder-Mead optimisation parameter [7]. The factors *year* (4 levels), *management* (2 levels, refaunation *vs.* neglect) and their interaction were fixed, whereas the identity of site (i.e., the pastures N and S) was entered as a random factor. This approach partly ameliorated the problem with non-independence of plots within the two pastures. The three plots grazed by cattle in 2016–17 were excluded from this analysis for these years.

To study the refaunation effects on the per-plot composition of butterfly assemblages, we used canonical correspondence analysis (CCA), a constrained ordination method relating the species composition of samples to external predictors and testing the relationships of species composition to predictors using the Monte Carlo test (999 permutations), again in CANOCO. We log-transformed species abundances per plot visits, and downweighted rare species (a default option). We reflected the temporal structure in the data using a hierarchical permutation design, permuting the individual plots randomly, and the 20 subsequent visits per plot as mutually dependent cyclic shifts.

We first ran CCAs for the pivotal effect of refaunation, for which we used two different codings, targeting two related questions: Refaunation (3-level factor: refaunation, neglect, and cattle) aimed on the effect of wild ungulates presence, whereas Ungulates (5-levels: horse, aurochs, wisent, cattle, neglect) aimed to decipher effects of different ungulate animals, or lack of them. We also ran CCAs for all possible nuisance covariables: year (both as 4-level factor and as a linear value), site (N vs. S), hour (two alternatives: a factor or 2nd-degree polynomial), weather (a combination of cloudiness and wind), nectar and plots position (forward-selected from latitude, longitude, their polynomials and interaction). We also tested for military vehicle effect (2-level factor tanks).

Next, in order to detect effects of Refaunation and Ungulates not attributable to nuisance effects of the covariates, we constructed two covariate models, one based on CANOCO forward selection from all the covariates that displayed significant effects in the single-term CCAs (FW model), the other based on manual backward elimination from all possible covariates (BW model). Linear combinations of all terms from FW and BW models were then entered as covariates to the refaunation and ungulates final models. These two final models were also explored for adding the effect of tanks.

Analogously to the past-present comparison, we interpreted the final CCA models (refaunation, refaunation + tanks, ungulates, ungulates + tanks) each

controlled for FW and BW selected covariables, by the three sets of species' attributes. We related the CCA scores to the three sets of attributes, using forward selection to identify the best-fitting attributes' combinations.

#### Abbreviations

BW: Backward-selected covariate model; CA: Correspondence analysis; CCA : Canonical correspondence analysis; FW: Forward-selected covariate model; RDA: Redundancy analysis.

## **Supplementary Information**

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1186/s12862-021-01804-x.

Additional file 1. Data on presence/absence of butterflies during three subsequent surveys of the (former) Milovice military training area, used for past-present comparison, together with life history traits, climatic niche traits, and conservation-related attributes of the species.

Additional file 2. Data on butterfly abundances *obtained* during current monitoring, plus environmental characteristics of monitoring plots, and life history, climatic niche, and conservation related-attributes of the species.

Additional file 3. CCA scores of individual butterfly species, plus species weights, from the *current monitoring* ordination analyses, final covariate models for refaunation + tanks and ungulates + tanks effects.

Additional file 4. Detailed results of the RDA analyses, interpreting the results of CCA analyses of refaunation / ungulates effects on butterfly assemblages by the constituent butterflies' life history traits.

#### Acknowledgements

We thank the nature-loving public and the brave people of Milovice, Benátky nad Jizerou, and Lipník, for supporting their wonderful project. Our colleagues A. Bartoňová-Sucháčková, L. Čížek, D. Dostál, and M. Šálek were indispensable in various stages of the work.

#### Authors' contributions

(MK, VV, and MJ planned and designed the study. JB analysed the historical records and contributed current knowledge on butterfly species distribution. R) performed the current monitoring, JB checked vouchers of difficult species. MJ contributed background details about the refaunation project. MK, VV, and MJ analysed the data and jointly wrote the manuscript. All authors have read and approved the manuscript.

#### Funding

EU Operational Programme Environment (CZ.1.02/6.2.00/13.21986, CZ.7.02/6.2.00/15.29686), and the Central Bohemia regional government (S-2140/OŽP/2014, S-3815/OŽP/2015, S-15873/OŽP/2016, S-2325/OŽP/2018, S-8570/OŽP/2018)—preparing and running the refaunation project, funding the monitoring in the field. Technology Agency of the Czech Republic (TB020MZP045, SS01010526) and Czech Academy of Sciences (RVO 60077344)—analysing the data and preparing the manuscript.

#### Availability of data and materials

All the primary data are included to this manuscript as electronic appendices.

#### Declarations

#### Ethics approval and consent to participate

Any handling of the butterflies fully conformed to valid legal provisions of the Czech Republic. The welfare of vertebrate animals was not affected by this study.

#### **Consent for publication**

Not applicable.

#### **Competing interests**

We declare no competing interests, financial or otherwise.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Sciences, University South Bohemia, Branišovská 31, 37005 České Budějovice, Czech Republic. <sup>2</sup>Biology Centre, Czech Academy of Sciences, Institute of Entomology, Branišovská 31, 37005 České Budějovice, Czech Republic. <sup>3</sup>Jílové u Prahy, Czech Republic. <sup>4</sup>European Wildlife, Šultysova 170, 28401 Kutná Hora, Czech Republic. <sup>5</sup>Česká Krajina O.P.S., Šultysova 170, 28401 Kutná Hora, Czech Republic.

## Received: 25 November 2020 Accepted: 22 April 2021 Published online: 30 April 2021

#### References

- Aguirre-Gutierrez J, Kissling WD, Carvalheiro LG, WallisDeVries MF, Franzen M, Biesmeijer JC. Functional traits help to explain half-century long shifts in pollinator distributions. Sci Rep. 2016;6:24451.
- Altermatt F. Tell me what you eat and I'll tell you when you fly: diet can predict phenological changes in response to climate change. Ecol Lett. 2010;13:1475–84.
- 3. Baker S. Survival of the fittest—a natural history of the exmoor pony. Dulverton, Somerset: Exmoor Books; 1993.
- Bartonova A, Benes J, Faltynek-Fric Z, Chobot K, Konvicka M. How universal are reserve design rules? A test using butterflies and their life history traits. Ecography. 2016;39:456–64.
- Bartonova A, Benes J, Konvicka M. Generalist-specialist continuum and life history traits of Central European butterflies (Lepidoptera) - are we missing a part of the picture? Eur J Entomol. 2014;111:543–53.
- Bartonova A, Konvicka M, Korb S, Kramp K, Schmitt T, Fric ZF. Range dynamics of Palaearctic steppe species under glacial cycles: the phylogeography of Proterebia afra (Lepidoptera: Nymphalidae: Satyrinae). Biol J Linn Soc. 2018;125:867–84.
- Bates D, Mächler M, Bolker BM, Walker SC. Fitting linear mixed-effects models using Ime4. J Stat Softw. 2015;67:1. https://doi.org/10.18637/jss. v067.i01.
- 8. Benes J, Kepka P, Konvicka M. Limestone quarries as refuges for European xerophilous butterflies. Conserv Biol. 2003;17:1058–69.
- Benes J, Konvicka M, Dvorak J, Fric Z, Havelda Z, Pavlicko A, Vrabec V, Weidenhoffer Z. Butterflies of the Czech Republic: distribution and conservation (in Czech, extended English summaries). Prague: SOM; 2002.
- Benes J, Cizek O, Dovala J, Konvicka M. Intensive game keeping, coppicing and butterflies: the story of Milovicky Wood. Czech Republic Forest Ecol Manag. 2006;237:353–65.
- Benes J, Ruzicka J, Spitzer L. Recent expansion of Oberthür's Grizzled Skipper (Pyrgus armoricanus [Oberthür, 1910]) (Hesperiidae, Lepidoptera) in the Czech Republic. Acta Carp Occ. 2020;10:74–85 (in Czech, English summary).
- Birkhofer K, Gossner MM, Diekotter T, Drees C, Ferlian O, Maraun M, Scheu S, Weisser WW, Wolters V, Wurst S, Zaitsev AS, Smith HG. Land-use type and intensity differentially filter traits in above- and below-ground arthropod communities. J Anim Ecol. 2017;86:511–20.
- 13. Bourn NAD, Thomas JA. The challenge of conserving grassland insects at the margins of their range in Europe. Biol Conserv. 2017;104:285–92.
- Bubova T, Vrabec V, Kulma M, Nowicki P. Land management impacts on European butterflies of conservation concern: a review. J Insect Conserv. 2015;19:805–21.
- Busek O, Reif J. The potential of military training areas for bird conservation in a central European landscape. Acta Oecol. 2017;84:34–40.
- Carmona CP, de Bello F, Mason NWH, Leps J. Traits without borders: integrating functional diversity across scales. Trends Ecol Evol. 2016;31:382–94.
- Dennis RLH, Shreeve TG, Van Dyck H. Habitats and resources: the need for a resource-based definition to conserve butterflies. Biodivers Conserv. 2006;6:1943–66.

- Bergman KO, Daniel-Ferreira J, Milberg P, Ockinger E, Westerberg L. Butterflies in Swedish grasslands benefit from forest and respond to landscape composition at different spatial scales. Landsc Ecol. 2018;33:2189–204.
- Borschig C, Klein AM, von Wehrden H, Krauss J. Traits of butterfly communities change from specialist to generalist characteristics with increasing land-use intensity. Basic Appl Ecol. 2013;14:547–54.
- 20. Carnicer J, Stefanescu C, Vila R, Dinca V, Font X, Penuelas J. A unified framework for diversity gradients: the adaptive trait continuum. Glob Ecol Biogeogr. 2013;22:6–18.
- Chodkiewicz A. Advantages and disadvantages of Polish primitive horse grazing on valuable nature areas—a review. Glob Ecol Conserv. 2020;21:e00879.
- 22. Cizek L, Fric Z, Konvicka M. Host plant defences and voltinism in European butterflies. Ecol Entomol. 2006;31:337–44.
- Cizek O, Vrba P, Benes J, Hrazsky Z, Koptik J, Kucera T, Marhoul P, Zamecnik J, Konvicka M. Conservation potential of abandoned military areas matches that of established reserves: plants and butterflies in the Czech Republic. PLoS ONE. 2013;8:e53124.
- 24. Cromsigt JPGM, te Beest M, Kerley GIH, Landman M, le Roux E, Smith FA. Trophic rewilding as a climate change mitigation strategy? Philos Trans R Soc B Biol. 2018;373(SI):e20170440.
- Cromsigt JPGM, Kemp YJM, Rodriguez E, Kivit H. Rewilding Europe's large grazer community: how functionally diverse are the diets of European bison, cattle, and horses? Restor Ecol. 2018;26:891–9.
- Dapporto L, Dennis RLH. The generalist-specialist continuum: testing predictions for distribution and trends in British butterflies. Biol Conserv. 2013;157:229–36.
- 27. Dirzo R, Young HS, Galetti M, Ceballos G, Isaac NJB, Collen B. Defaunation in the Anthropocene. Science. 2014;345:401–6.
- Dolek M, Kőrösi Á, Freese-Hager A. Successful maintenance of Lepidoptera by government-funded management of coppiced forests. J Nat Conserv. 2018;43:7–84.
- Doughty CE, Wolf A, Morueta-Holme N, Jorgensen PM, Sandel B, Violle C, Boyle B, Kraft NJB, Peet RK, Enquist BJ. Megafauna extinction, tree species range reduction, and carbon storage in Amazonian forests. Ecography. 2016;39:194–203.
- Dray S, Choler P, Doledec S, Peres-Neto PR, Thuiller W, Pavoine S, ter Braak CJF. Combining the fourth-corner and the RLQ methods for assessing trait responses to environmental variation. Ecology. 2014;95:14–21.
- Dvorský M, Mudrák O, Doležal J, Jirků M. Rewilding with large herbivores helped increase plant species Richness in dry grasslands. Plant Ecol., in review. https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-230859/v1.
- Enri SR, Probo M, Farruggia A, Lanore L, Blanchetete A, Dumont B. A biodiversity-friendly rotational grazing system enhancing flowervisiting insect assemblages while maintaining animal and grassland productivity. Agric Ecosyst Environ. 2017;241:1–10.
- Essens T, van Langevelde F, Vos RA, Van Swaay CAM, WallisDeVries MF. Ecological determinants of butterfly vulnerability across the European continent. J Insect Conserv. 2017;21:439–50.
- Feurdean A, Ruprecht E, Molnár Z, Hutchinson SM, Hickler T. Biodiversity-rich European grasslands: ancient, forgotten ecosystems. Biol Conserv. 2018;228:224–32.
- Fourcade Y, Ranius T, Ockinger E. Temperature drives abundance fluctuations, but spatial dynamics is constrained by landscape configuration: Implications for climate-driven range shift in a butterfly. J Anim Ecol. 2017;86:1339–51.
- Fric Z, Hula V, Klimova M, Zimmermann K, Konvicka M. Dispersal of four fritillary butterflies within identical landscape. Ecol Res. 2010;25:543–52.
- Galetti M. Parks of the Pleistocene: recreating the Cerrado and the Pantanal with megafauna. Nat Conserv. 2004;2:93–100.
- Galetti M, Moleon M, Jordano P, Pires MM, Guimaraes PR, Pape T, Nichols E, Hansen D, Olesen JM, Munk M, de Mattos JS, Schweiger AH, Owen-Smith N, Johnson CN, Marquis RJ, Svenning JC. Ecological and evolutionary legacy of megafauna extinctions. Biol Rev. 2018;93:845–62.
- Gamez-Virues S, Perovic DJ, Gossner MM, Borsching C, Bluthgen N, de Jong H, Simons NK, Klein AM, Krauss J, Maier G, Scherber C, Steckel J, Rothenwohrer C, Steffan-Dewenter I, Weiner CN, Weisser W, Werner M, Tscharntke T, Westphal C. Landscape simplification filters species traits and drives biotic homogenization. Nat Commun. 2015;6:8568.

- Garrido P, Marell A, Ockinger E, Skarin A, Jansson A, Thulin CG. Experimental rewilding enhances grassland functional composition and pollinator habitat use. J Appl Ecol. 2019;56:946–55.
- Goderie R, Lenstra JA, Upadhyay M, Crooijmans R, Linnartz L. Aurochs genetics, a cornerstone of European biodiversity. In: Rewilding Europe. 2015. https://rewildingeurope.com/app/uploads/2016/01/ Aurochs-genetics\_summary\_final.pdf. Accessed 14 Feb 2021.
- 42. Gros P. Interessante Tagfalterfunde (Lepidoptera: Rhopalocera) aus dem Bundesland Salzburg (Oesterreich). Erster Teil: Hesperiidae und Pieridae. Beitr Entomofaunistik. 2002;3:7–15.
- Habel JC, Schmitt T, Hardtle W, Lutkepohl M, Assman T. Dynamics in a butterfly–plant–ant system: influence of habitat characteristics on turnover rates of the endangered lycaenid Maculinea alcon. Ecol Entomol. 2007;32:536–43.
- Habel JC, Teucher M, Ulrich W, Bauer M, Rödder D. Drones for butterfly conservation: larval habitat assessment with an unmanned aerial vehicle. Landsc Ecol. 2016;31:2385–95.
- 45. Habel JC, Trusch R, Schmitt T, Ochse M, Ulrich W. Long-term largescale decline in relative abundances of butterfly and burnet moth species across south-western Germany. Sci Rep. 2019;9:14921.
- Hall SJG, Bunce RGH. The use of cattle Bos taurus for restoring and maintaining holarctic landscapes: Conclusions from a long-term study (1946–2017) in northern England. Ecol Evol. 2019;9:5859–69.
- 47. Hejda R, Farkac J, Chobot K (eds.) Red list of threatened species of the Czech Republic. Invertebrates. Priroda, Praha. 2017;36:1–612.
- Heneberg P, Bogusch P, Rezac M. Off-road motorcycle circuits support long-term persistence of bees and wasps (Hymenoptera: Aculeata) of open landscape at newly formed refugia within otherwise afforested temperate landscape. Ecol Eng. 2016;93:187–98.
- Henning K, Lorenz A, von Oheimb G, Hardtle W, Tischew S. Year-round cattle and horse grazing supports the restoration of abandoned, dry sandy grassland and heathland communities by supressing Calamagrostis epigejos and enhancing species richness. J Nat Conserv. 2017;40:120–30.
- 50. Hovens HJPM, Rijkers TAJM. On the origins of the Exmoor pony: did the wild horse survive in Britain? Lutra. 2013;56:129–36.
- 51. Jepson P. A rewilding agenda for Europe: creating a network of experimental reserves. Ecography. 2016;39:117–24.
- Jirku D, Hais M, Jirku M. Osud vojenských prostorů: krajiny protékající mezi prsty [The fate of military areas: landscapes that seep through your fingers]. Živa. 2020;2020:265–7.
- 53. Jirku M, Dostal D. Alternativní management ekosystémů: Metodika zavedení chovu býložravých savců jako alternativního managementu vybraných lokalit. [Alternative ecosystems management: guidelines for refaunation by large ungulate herbivores as alternative management of selected localities]. In: Czech Ministry of Environment. 2015. https://www.mzp.cz/C1257458002F0DC7/cz/zavedeni\_chovu\_byloz ravych\_savcu\_metodika/SFILE/OZCHP-TACR\_Metodika\_2015\_Priro zena%20pastva\_opr-20160324.pdf. Accessed 14 Feb 2021.
- Jirku M, Dostal D, Robovsky J, Salek M. Reproduction of the golden jackal (Canis aureus) outside current resident breeding populations in Europe: evidence from the Czech Republic. Mammalia. 2018;82:592–5.
- 55. Johnson CN. Ecological consequences of Late Quaternary extinctions of megafauna. Proc R Soc B Biol. 2009;276:2509–19.
- Kadlec T, Tropek R, Konvička M. Timed surveys and transect walks as comparable methods for monitoring butterflies in small plots. J Insect Conserv. 2012;16:275–80.
- Kettermann M, Münsch T, Stuhldreher G, Fartmann T. Manche mögen's heiß—aktuelle Ausbreitung von Pyrgus armoricanus (Oberthür, 1910) im Diemeltal (Ostwestfalen/Nordhessen) und in Deutschland als Folge von Hitzesommern. Nachr Entomol Ver Apollo NF. 2020;40:209–14.
- Korosi A, Orvossy N, Batary P, Kover S, Peregovits L. Restricted within-habitat movement and time-constrained egg laying of female Maculinea rebeli butterflies. Oecologia. 2008;156:455–64.
- Legendre P, Galzin R, HarmelinVivien ML. Relating behavior to habitat: solutions to the fourth-corner problem. Ecology. 1997;78:547–62.
- 60. Lorimer J, Driessen C. Wild experiments at the Oostvaardersplassen: rethinking environmentalism in the Anthropocene. Trans Inst Br Geogr. 2014;39:169–81.

- 61. Macias-Fauria M, Jepson P, Zimov N, Malhi Y. Pleistocene Arctic megafaunal ecological engineering as a natural climate solution? Philos Trans R Soc B Biol. 2020;375:20190122.
- 62. Matouš J. Motýli bývalého VVP Mladá. Prác Muz Kolín Přírod. 1994;1:97–126.
- Merckx T, Pereira HM. Reshaping agri-environmental subsidies: From marginal farming to large-scale rewilding. Basic Appl Ecol. 2015;16:95–103.
- 64. Meyer-Hozak C. Population biology of Maculinea rebeli (Lepidoptera: Lycaenidae) on the chalk grasslands of Eastern Westphalia (Germany) and implications for conservation. J Insect Conserv. 2000;4:63–72.
- Middleton-Welling J, Wade RA, Dennis RLH, Dapporto L, Shreeve TG. Optimising trait and source selection for explaining occurrence and abundance changes: a case study using British butterflies. Funct Ecol. 2018;32:1609–19.
- 66. Mikkola K. Vanishing and declining species of Finnish Lepidoptera. Notul Entomol. 1979;59:1–9.
- 67. Navarro LM, Pereira HM. Rewilding abandoned landscapes in Europe. Ecosystems. 2012;15:900–12.
- Öckinger E, Eriksson AK, Smith HG. Effects of grassland abandonment, restoration and management on butterflies and vascular plants. Biol Conserv. 2006;133:291–300.
- 69. Ouin A, Aviron S, Dover J, Burel F. Complementation/supplementation of resources for butterflies in agricultural landscapes. Agric Ecosyst Environ. 2004;103:473–9.
- Pedersen PBM, Olsen JB, Sandel B, Svenning JC. Wild Steps in a semi-wild setting? Habitat selection and behavior of European bison reintroduced to an enclosure in an anthropogenic landscape. PLoS ONE. 2019;14:e0198308.
- Petanidou T, Dennijs JCM, Oostermeijer JGB. Pollination ecology and constraints on seed set of the rare perennial Gentiana cruciata L. in the Netherlands. Acta Bot Neerl. 1995;44:55–74.
- 72. Plumb G, Kowalczyk R, Hernandez-Blanco JA. Bison bonasus. In: The IUCN red list of threatened species 2020: e.T2814A45156279. https://dx.doi.org/https://doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2020-3.RLTS.T2814A4515 6279.en. Accessed 14 Mar 2021.
- Popovic Z, Krstic-Milosevic D, Stefanovic M, Matic R, Vidakovic V, Bojovic S. Chemical and morphological inter- and intrapopulation variability in natural populations of Gentiana pneumonanthe L. Chem Biodivers. 2019;16:e1800509.
- Rehounkova K, Cizek L, Rehounek J, Sebelikova L, Tropek R, Lencova K, Marhoul P, Bogusch P, Maca J. Additional disturbances as a beneficial tool for restoration of post-mining sites: a multi-taxa approach. Environ Sci Pollut Res. 2016;23:13745–53.
- Reif J, Storch D, Vorisek P, Stastny K, Bejcek V. Bird-habitat associations predict population trends in central European forest and farmland birds. Biodivers Conserv. 2008;17:3307–19.
- 76. Reif J, Marhoul P, Cizek O, Konvicka M. Abandoned military training sites are an overlooked refuge for at-risk open habitat bird species. Biodivers Conserv. 2011;20:3645–62.
- Root-Bernstein M, Gooden J, Boyes A. Rewilding in practice: projects and policy. Geoforum. 2018;97:292–304.
- Schirmel J, Fartmann T. Coastal heathland succession influences butterfly community composition and threatens endangered butterfly species. J Insect Conserv. 2014;18:111–20.
- Schweiger O, Harpke A, Wiemers M, Settele J. CLIMBER: climatic niche characteristics of the butterflies in Europe. ZooKeys. 2014;367:65–84.
- Sebek P, Bace R, Bartos M, Benes J, Chlumska Z, Dolezal J, Dvorsky M, Kovar J, Machac O, Mikatova B, Perlik M, Platek M, Polakova S, Skorpik M, Stejskal R, Svoboda M, Trnka F, Vlasin M, Zapletal M, Cizek L. Does a minimal intervention approach threaten the biodiversity of protected areas? A multi-taxa short-term response to intervention in temperate oak-dominated forests. Forest Ecol Manag. 2015;358:80–9.
- Seddon PJ, Griffiths CJ, Soorae PS, Armstrong DP. Reversing defaunation: Restoring species in a changing world. Science. 2014;345:406–12.
- Slamova I, Klecka J, Konvicka M. Woodland and grassland mosaic from a butterfly perspective: habitat use by Erebia aethiops (Lepidoptera: Satyridae). Insect Conserv Divers. 2013;6:243–54.
- 83. Slancarova J, Benes J, Kristynek M, Kepka P, Konvicka M. Does the surrounding landscape heterogeneity affect the butterflies of insular

grassland reserves? A contrast between composition and configuration. J Insect Conserv. 2014;18:1–12.

- Slancarova J, Bartonova A, Zapletal M, Kotilinek M, Fric ZF, Micevski N, Kati V, Konvicka M. Life history traits reflect changes in Mediterranean butterfly communities due to forest encroachment. PLoS ONE. 2016;11:e0152026.
- Stevens VM, Baguette M. A meta-analysis of dispersal in butterflies. Biol Rev. 2010;85:625–42.
- Strausz M, Fiedler K, Franzen M, Wiemers M. Habitat and host plant use of the large copper butterfly Lycaena dispar in an urban environment. J Insect Conserv. 2012;16:709–21.
- Stuhldreher G, Fartmann T. Threatened grassland butterflies as indicators of microclimatic niches along an elevational gradient implications for conservation in times of climate change. Ecol Indic. 2018;94:83–98.
- Svenning JC, Pedersen PBM, Donlan CJ, Ejrnaes R, Faurby S, Galetti M, Hansen DM, Sandel B, Sandom CJ, Terborgh JW, Vera FWM. Science for a wilder Anthropocene: synthesis and future directions for trophic rewilding research. Proc Nat Acad Sc USA. 2016;113:898–906.
- Ter Braak CJF, Šmilauer P. CANOCO reference manual and user's guide: software for ordination, version 5.0. Microcomputer Power, Ithaca, USA. 2012.
- 90. Thomas JA. Holocene climate changes and warm man-man refugia may explain why a 6th of British butterflies possess unnatural early successional habitats. Ecography. 1993;16:278–84.
- 91. Thomas JA, Settele J. Evolutionary biology—butterfly mimics of ants. Nature. 2014;432:283–4.
- 92. Thomas JA, Simcox DJ, Clarke RT. Successful conservation of a threatened Maculinea Butterfly. Science. 2009;325:80–3.
- Thomas JA, Edwards M, Simcox DJ, Powney GD, August TA, Isaac NJB. Recent trends in UK insects that inhabit early successional stages of ecosystems. Biol J Linn Soc. 2015;115:636–46.
- 94. Todisco V, Gratton P, Cesaroni D, Sbordoni V. Phylogeography of Parnassius apollo: hints on taxonomy and conservation of a vulnerable glacial butterfly invader. Biol J Linn Soc. 2010;101:169–83.
- Tropek R, Kadlec T, Karesova P, Spitzer L, Kocarek P, Malenovsky I, Banar P, Tuf IH, Hejda M, Konvicka M. Spontaneous succession in limestone quarries as an effective restoration tool for endangered arthropods and plants. J Appl Ecol. 2010;47:139–47.
- Tropek R, Cizek O, Kadlec T, Klecka J. Habitat use of Hipparchia semele (Lepidoptera) in its artificial stronghold: necessity of the resource-based habitat view in restoration of disturbed sites. Pol J Ecol. 2017;65:385–99.
- Turlure C, Schtickzelle N, Dubois Q, Baguette M, Dennis RLH, Van Dyck H. Suitability and transferability of the resource-based habitat concept: a test with an assemblage of butterflies. Front Ecol Evol. 2019;7:127.

- van Klink R, Wallis deVries MF. Risks and opportunities of trophic rewilding for arthropod communities. Philos Trans R Soc B Biol. 2018;373(SI):e20170441.
- van Kolfschoten T. The Eemian mammal fauna of central Europe. Neth J Geosci. 2000;79:269–81.
- Van Swaay C, Cuttelod A, Collins S, Maes D, López Munguira M, Šašić M, Settele J, Verovnik R, Verstrael T, Warren M, Wiemers M, Wynhof I. European red list of butterflies. Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union; 2010.
- van Swaay C, Collins S, Dusej G, Maes D, Munguira ML, Rakosy L, Ryrholm N, Sasic M, Settele J, Thomas JA. Dos and don'ts for butterflies of the habitats directive of the European Union. Nat Conserv—Bulgaria. 2012;1:73–153.
- 102. Vera FWM. Grazing ecology and forest history. Wallingford: CAB International; 2000.
- Vilbas M, Esperk T, Edovald T, Kaasik A, Teder T. Oviposition site selection of the Alcon blue butterfly at the northern range margin. J Insect Conserv. 2016;20:1059–67.
- Wallis de Vries MF, Van Swaay CAM. Global warming and excess nitrogen may induce butterfly decline by microclimatic cooling. Glob Change Biol. 2006;12:1620–6.
- Warren MS. The successful conservation of an endangered species, the heath fritillary butterfly Mellicta athalia in Britain. Biol Conserv. 1991;55:37–56.
- 106. Warren MS, Hill JK, Thomas JA, Asher J, Fox R, Huntley B, Roy DB, Telfer MG, Jeffcoate S, Harding P, Jeffcoate G, Willis SG, Greatorex-Davies JN, Moss D, Thomas CD. Rapid responses of British butterflies to opposing forces of climate and habitat change. Nature. 2001;414:65–9.
- Wiemers M, Balletto E, Dinca V, Fric ZF, Lamas G, Lukhtanov V, Munguira ML, van Swaay CAM, Vila R, Vliegenthart A, Wahlberg N, Verovnik R. An updated checklist of the European Butterflies (Lepidoptera, Papilionoidea). Zookeys. 2018;811:9–45.
- Zielke L, Wrage-Monnig N, Muller J, Neumann C. Implications of spatial habitat diversity on diet selection of European Bison and Przewalski's Horses in a Rewilding Area. Diversity (Basel). 2019;11:63.
- Zimov SA, Chuprynin VI, Oreshko AP, Chapin FS, Reynolds JF. Chapin MC Steppe-tundra transition—a herbivore-driven biome shift at the end of Pleistocene. Am Nat. 1995;146:765–94.

## Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

## Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

## At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

