CZECH UNIVERSITY OF LIFE SCIENCES PRAGUE

Faculty of Economics and Management

Evaluation of the Bachelor Thesis by Opponent

Thesis Title	**Social factors influencing food eating (comparative study of Czechia and Kyrgyzstan)**
Name of the student	**Aiza Myrzabekova**
Thesis supervisor	**prof. PhDr. Michal Lošťák, Ph.D.**
Department	**Department of Humanities**
Opponent	**Bc. Ing. Lada Popl Petránková**

Logical process being used	1	2	**3**	4
The structure of paragraphs and chapters	1	2	**3**	4
Formal presentation of the work, the overall impression	1	2	3	**4**
Formulation of objectives and Choice of appropriatemethods and methodology used	1	2	**3**	4
Work with data and information	1	2	3	**4**
Work with scientific literature (quotations, norms)	1	2	3	**4**
Clarity and professionalism of expression in the thesis	1	**2**	3	4
Summary and key-words comply with the content the thesis	1	**2**	3	4
Fulfillment of objectives, formulation of conclusions	1	2	3	**4**
Comprehensibility of the text and level of language	1	**2**	3	4

Evaluation of the work by grade (1, 2, 3, 4) **3**

Evaluation: 1 = the best

Date 17/04/2023

el. signed by Bc. Ing. Lada Popl Petránková *on* 17/04/2023 14:55
Signature of Opponent

Other comments or suggestions:

The aim of the Thesis was only partially fulfilled, with significant shortcomings. The author does not answer the set research question, which was part of the aim of the thesis.

The methodology of the Thesis is set at the Beginning. However, the research part of the Thesis was not conducted in accordance with the methodology set out in the introduction.

An in-depth literature review was done well. The literature review could have been shorter and the author should have been more focused on the Analytical part of the Thesis (esp. the Survey). On the other

hand, the analytical part would benefit from more details and depths. The survey is completely missing.

Citations do not comply with the prescribed citation standard. References to literature in the text are missing throughout the Thesis. The Reference List is also not in accordance with the prescribed citation standard. Despite the fact that the plagiarism detection program has evaluated the Thesis as original, the Opponent considers the thesis as plagiarized for the reasons mentioned above.

The Thesis contains a couple of formal or stylistic shortcomings (e.g. missing period at the end of a sentence p. 25, Paragraph 2, 2nd sentence; spelling errors and typos).

Fulfilled with serious deficiencies just above the required minimum, which fundamentally affects the overall quality of work.

Questions for thesis defence:

Answer your research question.

In chapter 2.2 Methodology you state that a short survey will be conducted and a subsequent comparison of the influence of social and economic factors on the food habits of the population of the Czech Republic and Kyrgyz Republic. Where in your thesis did you analyse the survey? Can you briefly summarise the results of the survey?

Date 17/04/2023

el. signed by Bc. Ing. Lada Popl Petránková *on* 17/04/2023 14:55

Signature of Opponent