CZECH UNIVERSITY OF LIFE SCIENCES PRAGUE

Faculty of Economics and Management

Evaluation of the Bachelor Thesis bysupervisor

Name of the student	Aiza Myrzabekova	
Thesis supervisor	prof. PhDr. Michal Lošťák, Ph.D.	
Department	Department of Humanities	15/
Logical process being	used	1 2 3 4
The structure of paragraphs and chapters		1 2 3 4
Formal presentation of the work, the overall impression		1 2 3 4
Formulation of object used	ives and Choice of appropriatemethods and methodology	1 2 3 4
Work with data and information		1 2 3 4
Work with scientific literature (quotations, norms)		1 2 3 4
Clarity and professionalism of expression in the thesis		1 2 3 4
Summary and key-words comply with the content the thesis		1 2 3 4
Fulfillment of objectives, formulation of conclusions		1 2 3 4
Comprehensibility of	the text and level of language	1 2 3 4
Evaluation of the work	k by grade (1, 2, 3, 4)	4

Evaluation: 1 = the best

Date 02/05/2023 el. signed by prof. PhDr. Michal Lošťák, Ph.D. on 02/05/2023 15:02
Supervisor signature

Other comments or suggestions:

The thesis was submitted after the deadline. I had no chance to communicate with the student about the final outlook of the thesis because she did not contact me with continual provisions of her progress in thesis writing. That is why the work is not consistent and is not clearly focused (is it about food safety, as the first words in the introduction suggest?; or about food security – the words starting the Main section of the literature review; or is it a food sovereignty?). The text is a draft of ideas, not a real thesis. I was missing own research of the authors (empirical data are secondary data from other publications – but the section about Methods does not indicate such approach – how the secondary data will be used). The section 2.2 (page 16) writes: it will investigate (using short survey) how the respondents reflect social and economic factors when eating the food. I was not able to see any such research using the survey designed by the author of the text. The thesis has inappropriate work with the literature (missing references in the text when using the data – the source of the data and information in the literature review is often unknown – it is against the publication ethics). The section on social factors misses references to show where the ideas come from; moreover, it "brings everything together" and the text does not have clear ideas indicating the existing discourse about sociology of food. Tables (page 33, 35) are not labelled with headings and with the sources of information. The text refers to theory of planned behaviour but this theory is not used in the research. Moreover, a reader does not know what the own research of the author is.



Plagiarism control: The system Theses.cz has not assessed the thesis as suspicious.

Date 02/05/2023

el. signed by prof. PhDr. Michal Lošťák, Ph.D. on 02/05/2023 15:02 Supervisor signature