CZECH UNIVERSITY OF LIFE SCIENCES PRAGUE

Faculty of Economics and Management

Evaluation of the Diploma Thesis by Opponent

Thesis Title	Business Process Modeling for the Crowne Plaza Krasnodar Hotel					
Name of the student	Bc. Vladislav Dub, LL.B.					
Thesis supervisor	Ing. Martin Pelikán, Ph.D.					
Department	Department of Information Engineering					
Opponent	Mgr. Ondřej Gryc					
Institution	Ministry of Foreign Affairs					
Position	Former CIO					
Evidence of a logical process being used						
The structure of parag	raphs and chapters					
Formal presentation o	f the work, the overall impression					
Formulation of objecti	ves					
Choice of appropriate	methods and methodology used 1 2 3 4					
Professional contribut	ion of the work and its practical usage 1 2 3 4					
Work with data and in	formation 1 2 3 4					
Work with scientific lit	rerature (quotations, norms)					
Clarity and professionalism of expression in the thesis						
Summary and key-words comply with the content of thesis						
Fulfillment of objective	es 1 2 3 4					
Thesis topic and thesis	s significance (relevance) 1 2 3 4					
Theoretical background of an author						
Comprehensibility of t	he text and level of language					
Formulation of conclus	sions 1 2 3 4					
Evaluation of the work by grade (1, 2, 3, 4) 2						
	Evaluation: 1 = the best					
Date 16/05/2022	Signature of Opponent					

Other comments or suggestions:

The author of the thesis introduced very complex topic of business process modeling of the Crowne Plaza Krasnodar hotel. The text is very well structured and gives very deep view into the theory. All the chapters are well structured and the language used is on a very high level.

The practical part starts at chapter 4 and gives detailed characteristics of the hotel such as geographical location, economic activity and others. There are also some attempts to analyze external environmental characteristics of the organization, but this chapter remains mostly theoretical without prime binding to the Krasnodar hotel. There are two documents mentioned in the chapters 4.1.1 and 4.1.2 – Annex A and Appendix B. These two additional documents have not been found within the thesis.

Conclusion chapter gives brief information about the results of the research – compression of the management pyramid and decrease the level of multiple approvals. The conclusions fulfilled the expectations that some processes shall be optimized and will help for better work of the hotel.

On the other hand and there are some remarks which should be mentioned. The list of the literature at the end of the thesis seems to be in good order and some parts of the text are properly identified with the source in the list. But especially within the theoretical part of the thesis the citations shall be used more precisely, otherwise it looks that all the text belongs to the author of the thesis. The situation with two missing annexes shall be mention once again.

It is the situation in the use of resources that ultimately tarnishes the excellent overall of the work.

Questions for thesis defence:

Question 1

There were some recommendations introduced within the thesis and will they be given to the management of the hotel as a potential hints for improving the services in the hotel?

Question 2

Is there any other hotel which can be used to compare the processes set-up with the Krasnodar Crowne Plaza?

	16/05/2022			
Date :				Signature of Opponent
		Czech University of Life Sciences	Prague * Kamýcká 129, 165 00	Praha - Suchdol