## ČESKÁ ZEMĚDĚLSKÁ UNIVERZITA V PRAZE

Fakulta tropického zemědělství

## Posudek oponenta diplomové práce

Název práce

Republic

Survey of parasitoses in beef cattle from two geographical areas of the Czech

	Republic			
Student	Bc. Lukáš Kubelka			
Vedoucí práce	prof. MVDr. Daniela Lukešová, CSc.			
Pracoviště	Katedra chovu zvířat a potravinářství v tropech			
Oponent	doc. Ing. Jaroslav Vadlejch, Ph.D.			
		<del>, P</del>		
Formulation of the air	ms 1	2	3	4
Choice of suitable methods		2	3	4
Fulfilment of the aims		2	3	4
Scientific contribution	n of the thesis	2	3	4
Originality of the thes	sis	2	3	4
Theoretical backgrour	nd of the author	2	3	4
Handling with data an	nd information	2	3	4
Handling with scientif	fic literature (citations)	2	3	4
Argumentation and cr	ritical thinking 1	2	3	4
Abstract and keyword	ds 1	2	3	4
Structure of the chapt	ters and paragraphs	2	3	4
Comprehensibility of	the text	2	3	4
Accuracy of the termin	inology	2	3	4
Quality of scientific la	anguage 1	2	3	4
Formatting, layout and	nd general impression 1	2	3	4
Celkové hodnocení pr	ráce známkou			3
	н	lodnocení:	1 = n	ejlepší
Datum 22. 9. 2016	podpis opon	podpis oponenta práce		

## Odůvodnění hodnocení a celkové shrnutí:

Lukáš Kubelka submitted this work after an unsuccessful defence of his original thesis. The review report of his original diploma thesis contained constructive corrections and suggestions which should help improve the quality of the original submission. However, Lukáš implemented only a number of them, and as a result, the quality of the currently revised thesis is still low. The most significant problems are evident in the experimental design as well as in the discussion section of results.

I would like to point out only a few of the inaccuracies. The statement "farms that administered anthelmintic to livestock had significantly lower amounts of EPG/OPG in animal faeces" is incorrect for the following reasons: i) only an anthelmintic drug (effective against nematodes and tapeworms) was applied to the animals; ii) the effect of an anthelmintic drug was not evaluated according to standard procedures; and iii) it was not clearly defined as to how long the animals were without antiparasitic therapy prior to the start of the experiment. The results should be discussed in much more detail; the majority of the discussion section deals with irrelevant topics. Due to the above mentioned comments, I feel merits this thesis a grade of "good".

## Otázky k obhajobě:

- 1. Could you define the factors that influence the efficacy of an anthelmintic drug?
- 2. Could you define the importance (veterinary, economic) of parasitic infections in individual livestock species (cattle, sheep, goats) and explain the reasons for your opinion.