

CZECH UNIVERSITY OF LIFE SCIENCES PRAGUE

Faculty of Forestry and Wood Sciences

Evaluation of the Bachelor Thesis by Opponent

Thesis Title **Comparison of Czech and Russian Forest Technology and Processes, Analysis of productivity, Efficiency and Progress**

Name of the student **Mikhail Nizevich**

Thesis supervisor **Ing. Jan Macků, Ph.D.**

Department **Department of Forest Technologies and Constructions**

Opponent **doc. Ing. Karel Zlatuška, CSc.**

Formulation of objectives	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4
Work with data and information	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4
Overall approach and work with data and information	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4
The structure of paragraphs and chapters	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4
Work with scientific literature (quotations, norms)	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4
Skill level of language used	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4
Clarity and professionalism of expression in the work	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4
Formal presentation of the work, the overall impression	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4
Fulfillment of objectives, formulation of conclusions	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4
Summary and key-words comply with the content of the thesis	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4
Evaluation of the work by grade (1, 2, 3, 4)				4

Evaluation: 1 = the best

Date 16/07/2020

.....
Signature of Opponent

Other comments or suggestions:

The presented work has the structure of scientific work, but is not filled with scientific information. The presented information is used in an unacceptable, popular form, is unsorted and is incomparable in the time and space they present. Therefore, it was not possible to fulfill the assignment or goals of the work. For this reason, the results are inconclusive or completely missing. The results are not presented in the usual way; they are not supplemented by tables or graphs.

I recommend returning the work for completion.

Questions for thesis defence:

Are you assessing the period since about 1950 or from the beginning of the XX. Century?

What effect does the number of gears (speeds – Table 2) have on the efficiency of the use of the machine in forestry?



Date 16/07/2020

.....
Signature of Opponent