

CZECH UNIVERSITY OF LIFE SCIENCES PRAGUE

Faculty of Forestry and Wood Sciences

Evaluation of the Bachelor Thesis by supervisor

Thesis Title **Comparison of Czech and Russian Forest Technology and Processes, Analysis of productivity, Efficiency and Progress**

Name of the student **Mikhail Nizevich**

Thesis supervisor **Ing. Jan Macků, Ph.D.**

Department **Department of Forest Technologies and Constructions**

Formulation of objectives	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Choice of appropriate methods and methodology used	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Overall approach and work with data and information	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
The structure of paragraphs and chapters	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Work with scientific literature (quotations, norms)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Skill level of language used	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Clarity and professionalism of expression in the work	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Formal presentation of the work, the overall impression	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Fulfillment of objectives, formulation of conclusions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Summary and key-words comply with the content of the thesis	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Evaluation of the work by grade (1, 2, 3, 4)				3

Evaluation: 1 = the best

Date 07/07/2020

.....
Supervisor signature

Other comments or suggestions:

The student submits work with a relatively broad topic, which is not clearly defined. The whole work shows clear features of "last minute" work without oversight and understanding of the issue. The student left everything for the last days before submission. Instead of admitting his mistake, he tried to seduce the supervisor, which disappointed me. The list of literature is relatively weak, especially with regard to the nature of the work – literary research. Despite the above, the work meets the basic requirements and therefore I recommend it to be defended. I rate it: good.



Plagiarism control: The system Theses.cz has assessed the thesis as original.

Date 07/07/2020

.....
Supervisor signature