

Evaluation of the Bachelor Thesis by Opponent

Thesis Title **Natural plant extracts and compounds to improve the condition of gut microbiota**

Name of the student **Sára Drozenová**

Thesis supervisor **Ing. Olga Leuner, Ph.D.**

Department **Department of Crop Sciences and Agroforestry**

Opponent **Ing. Iva Kučerová, Ph.D.**

Formulation of the aims	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4
Choice of suitable methods	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4
Fulfilment of the aims	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4
Scientific contribution of the thesis	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4
Originality of the thesis	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4
Theoretical background of the author	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4
Handling with data and information	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4
Handling with scientific literature (citations)	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4
Argumentation and critical thinking	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4
Abstract and keywords	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4
Structure of the chapters and paragraphs	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4
Comprehensibility of the text	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4
Accuracy of the terminology	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4
Quality of scientific language	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4
Formatting, layout and general impression	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4
Evaluation of the work by grade (1, 2, 3, 4)				4

Evaluation: 1 = the best

Date 14/05/2022

.....
Signature of Opponent

Other comments or suggestions:

The thesis is not complete. Almost all parts of the thesis are missing. I don't recommend it for the defense.

Questions for thesis defence:

No questions since the thesis is incomplete.



Date 14/05/2022

.....
Signature of Opponent