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#### Abstract

The suffix -ly is often considered to be primarily adverbial. The aim of this Bachelor's thesis is to revise that hypothesis through corpus-based research. Data from corpora, one of British and one of American English, both strongly suggest that the suffix -ly is mainly used for creating adverbs. The thesis is written in the English language.


## Anotace

Přípona -ly v anglickém jazyce je často považována za primárně adverbiální. Cílem této Bakalářské práce je revize této hypotézy pomocí korpusového výzkumu. Data z korpusů britské i americké angličtiny silně nasvědčují tomu, že přípona -ly slouží převážně k tvoření příslovcí. Práce je psaná v anglickém jazyce.
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## Introduction

Theoretical part of the thesis draws from publications of linguists and professors of linguistics, such as Rochelle Lieber, Ingo Plag, Leonhard Lipka or Laurie Bauer. There is a brief introduction into basics of morphology, including a quick overview of the differences between inflection and derivation.

There is a mention of different types of classification of affixes, such as categories based on semantics, or on the part of speech of the derivatives and their bases. Besides prefixes and suffixes, some less known types of affixes are mentioned, such as infixes, interfixes, circumfixes or simulfixes.

A closer focus is put on the suffix -ly. Multiple sources consider adjectival -ly to be barely productive, unlike its adverbial counterpart.

The practical part contains corpus-based research within the British Web corpus and the American Corpus of Contemporary American English. Its aim is to investigate the frequency of the suffix -ly and its distribution between adverbs and adjectives, with the goal to examine the hypothesis assuming that the suffix is primarily adverbial.

## Theoretical part

## 1. Morphology

"Morphology is the study of word formation, including the ways new words are coined in the languages of the world, and the way forms of words are varied depending on how they're used in sentences." (Lieber 2009: 2). A morpheme is defined as the smallest unit of language with its own meaning (Ibid., 3).

Lipka speaks of so-called linguistic signs. They are mental units linking concepts to phonic images according to Saussure's binary model (Lipka 1992: 41-42), while Ogden and Richards's semiotic triangle model shows an indirect relationship between things and words that denote them - a symbol or a word symbolizes a thought or a reference, which refers to an extralinguistic thing, also called the referent (Ibid., 43). In Bühler's organon model, a sign is a tool which is used not only to represent an object, but also to link it with the the speaker/sender and the hearer/addressee (Ibid., 44). Not all linguistic signs are words, morphemes are the smallest linguistic signs (Ibid., 68).

Complex words, for example blackboard or crystallize, are made up of more than one morpheme (Lieber 2009: 4). Simple words like giraffe or yellow are morphemes, but so are prefixes such as re- and pre- and suffixes like -ize and -er (Ibid., 3-4). Words consisting of only one morpheme are called monomorphemic and cannot be decomposed into smaller meaningful units (Plag 2002: 13).

Morphemes which can stand alone as words are called free morphemes (Lieber 2009: 33). Bound morphemes cannot stand alone and only occur if attached to some other morpheme(s) (Ibid., 33). Some bound morphemes have fixed positions, for example unmust always be attached before the so-called root or base (before the central meaningful element of the word), whereas other bound morphemes, such as -ity, -ness, or -less, must follow the base (Plag 2002: 13). Prefixes and suffixes are bound morphemes that come before or after the base, respectively; affix is the cover term for all bound morphemes that attach to bases (Ibid., 13).

Plag says that the part of a word to which an affix is attached is called the base, while he uses the term root to refer to bases that cannot be further divided into morphemes (Plag 2002: 13). The term root is used to explicitly refer to the indivisible central part of a complex word (Ibid., 14). In cases where the status of a form as indivisible is undisputed, we can speak of bases or base-words (Ibid., 14).

Derivation is the process of forming new lexemes by adding prefixes and suffixes to a base (Lieber 2009: 33). Words created in this way are referred to as derivatives or derived words (Plag 2002: 14). The base is the semantic core of the word to which the prefixes and suffixes attach; it is often a free morpheme (Lieber 2009: 33).

Earlier, we defined affixes as bound morphemes that attach to bases. However, there is a distinction between affixes and bound bases (Lieber 2009: 33). Bound bases cannot stand alone as words, but they are not affixes (Ibid., 33). Considering Lieber's examples of the words pathology, psychopath, dermatitis and endoderm - morphemes such as path or derm can occur either before or after another bound base (Ibid., 33). There are no affixes which sometimes precede its base and sometimes follow it, which suggests that path and derm are not prefixes or suffixes (Ibid., 33). However, many bound bases have relatively fixed positions, for example: psych(o)- or endo- always precedes another base while ology or -itis always follows (Ibid., 33).

One difference between bound bases and affixes is that prefixes and suffixes tend to occur more freely (Lieber 2009: 34). For example, the negative prefix un- can be added to any number of adjectives, but there are far fewer words with the bound base psych(o) (Ibid., 34). However, this is not the most reliable way to distinguish between bound bases and affixes, because there are a few bound bases - -ology is one of them - that occur with great freedom, and there are some prefixes and suffixes that don not occur very often for example, the $-t h$ in width or health (Ibid., 34).

Another reason to separate bound bases from affixes is that they seem to have far more substantial meanings than the average affix (Lieber 2009: 33). Whereas a prefix like un(unhappy, unwise) simply means 'not' and the suffix -ish (reddish, warmish) means 'sort of', psych(o) means 'having to do with the mind', -logy means 'the study of ', path means 'sickness', derm means 'skin' and -itis means 'disease' (Ibid., 34). Semantically, bound bases can form the core of a word, just as free morphemes can - the criterion of 'semantic robustness’ (Ibid., 34).

Besides the confusion between affixes and bound bases, we may sometimes find it hard to distinguish between a bound morpheme and a free morpheme (Plag 2002: 90). In words like error-free or prison-like, we may at first think of -free and -like as suffixes (Ibid.,
91). However, if we paraphrase them as free of error(s) and like a prison respectively, we will realize that both occurrences of the words free are the same lexical item and not two different ones (a suffix and a free form), and therefore error-free should be regarded as a compound, the same being true for prison-like (Ibid., 90-91).

In contrast, the morpheme -less in words like careless or flawless means 'without' and therefore has a different meaning from the free morpheme less, the opposite of more (Ibid., 91). In this case, there are two homographic morphemes - a suffix and a free morpheme, which also differ in syntactic category, -less creating adjectives and less being an adverb (Ibid., 91).

## 2. Inflection and derivation

There are various different ways of creating new words based on already existing ones, addition or subtraction of phonetic or orthographic material being among them (Plag 2002: 17). According to Plag, the study of word-formation can thus be defined as the study of how new complex words are built based on other words or morphemes (Ibid., 17). However, this definition might raise a problem - it would, for example, suggest that all nouns with the plural suffix $-s$ should be counted as new words (Ibid., 18).

Traditionally, a distinction has been made between inflection (conjugation and declension in traditional grammar) as part of the grammar, and derivation and compounding as part of word-formation (or rather lexeme formation) (Ibid., 18).

Suffixes like participial -ing, plural $-s$, or third person singular $-s$ create new word-forms, i.e., grammatical words, but they do not create new lexemes (Ibid., 19). Inflectional morphemes encode grammatical categories such as plural (workers), person (works), tense (picked), or case (John's) (Ibid., 19). These categories are relevant for the building of sentences and are referred to by grammar - for example, subject-verb agreement is a grammatical rule in English that demands that a third-person singular subject be followed by a verb marked as the third person singular (Ibid., 19). There is a similar demand for plural marking in sentences (Ibid., 19).

In contrast, suffixes like -er and -ee (both attached to verbs, as in worker or employee), or prefixes like re- or un- (as in rephrase or unconvincing) do form new lexemes (Ibid., 18-19). Even though one might argue that the suffix -er in worker is syntactically relevant
because it is important for the syntax whether a word is a noun or a verb, it is not relevant for the syntax whether the noun ends for example in -er, -ee, -ion, or whether the noun is morphologically complex at all. In that sense, derivational suffixes are not relevant for the syntax (Ibid., 19).

In English, derivational morphemes can occur either at the beginning or at the end of the base words, while regular inflection is always expressed by suffixes (Plag 2002: 19). There is no inflectional prefix in English. Irregular inflection uses non-affixational means, for example, in mouse - mice or sing - sang (Ibid., 19).

Furthermore, inflectional morphemes always occur outside derivational morphemes they prevent the word from further (derivational) affixation. However, derivational suffixes can occur inside other derivational suffixes, examples being derivatives like un-truthful-ness or dis-establish-ment-arian-ism. (Ibid., 19-20)

Another interesting difference concerns the part of speech. Derivational suffixes might change the part of speech of the base word (Ibid., 20). For example, the suffix -less makes an adjective out of a noun, the suffix -ity makes a noun out of an adjective, and the suffix -ize turns an adjective into a verb (Ibid., 20). On the other hand, the inflectional suffixes do not change the category of the base word - a plural marker on a noun or a past tense marker on a verb do not change the category (Ibid., 20). However, not all derivational affixes are category-changing; most prefixes do not change the part of speech (for example in decolonialize or non-issue), nor does the nominal suffix -ism, which can attach to nouns to form nouns (for example terrorism) (Ibid., 20).

Another property called semantic opacity, which is often found in derivation but hardly ever in inflection, can be exemplified by the derived word interview (Ibid., 20). If we consider the meaning of interview and the meaning of the ingredient morphemes interand view, we can see that the meaning of interview is not the sum of the meaning of its parts (Ibid., 20). The meaning of inter- can be paraphrased as 'between', that of (the verb) view as 'look at something,' whereas the meaning of interview is 'to ask someone questions, especially in a formal meeting' (Ibid., 20). The meaning of the derived word cannot be inferred based on its constituent morphemes, which means that it is to some extent opaque or non-transparent (Ibid., 20). "Non-transparent formations are quite common in derivational morphology, but rare in inflection. Closely related to this
generalization is the fact that inflectional categories tend to be fully productive, whereas derivational categories often show strong restrictions as to the kinds of possible combinations." (Plag 2002: 20)

A productive morpheme can be attached regularly to any word of the appropriate class (Plag 2002: 21). For example, a morpheme expressing past tense can occur on all regular main verbs; similarly, a morpheme expressing plural on nouns can be fully productive because all count nouns can take plural endings in English - the 'appropriate class' here is the class of count nouns; non-count nouns (such as rice and milk) regularly do not take a plural (Ibid., 21). Some plural endings might be irregular, (for example ox-en), but the fact remains that plural morphology is fully productive (Ibid., 21). In contrast, not all verbs take, for example, the adjectival suffix -ive, nor do all count nouns take, say, the adjectival suffix -al (Ibid., 21).

## 3. Affixation

### 3.1. Prefixes and suffixes

Prefixes and suffixes usually have unique requirements and attach only to specific sorts of bases (Lieber 2009: 35). Some of these requirements might concern the phonology or the semantics of their bases, but the most basic requirements are often the syntactic part of speech or the category of their bases (Ibid., 35). For example, the prefix un- attaches to adjectives (where it means 'not' - such as unhappy or uncommon) and to verbs (where it means 'reverse action' - such as untie or undress), but not to nouns. (Ibid., 35).

Earlier, we made the distinction between affixes and bound bases according to semantic robustness. Some affixes do not add much meaning, Lieber gives the following examples: -ment agreement, placement, advancement
-al refusal, arousal, disposal
-ity purity, density, complexity
-ness happiness, sadness, thickness
(Lieber 2009: 39)

These suffixes do not seem to carry much meaning of their own beyond turning verbs and adjectives into nouns with meanings like 'process of X-ing', 'result of X-ing' or 'the abstract quality of $X^{\prime}$, with X representing the base (Lieber 2009: 39). Affixes like these, whose primary function is to change the category of their base without adding any extra meaning, are sometimes called transpositional affixes (Ibid., 39)-

Lieber contrasts them with these examples:

```
-ee employee, recruitee, deportee
-less shoeless, treeless, rainless
re- reheat, reread, rewash
```

These affixes seem to have more of a meaning: -ee on a verb indicates a person who undergoes an action; -less means 'without'; and re-means 'again' (Ibid., 39).

Many languages have common semantic categories for sorting affixes. Some of those categories mentioned by Lieber are:

- 'Personal affixes which create 'people nouns' from verbs or nouns (Lieber 2009: 40). Lieber's examples in English are the suffix -er which forms agent nouns (the 'doer' of the action) like writer or runner, and the suffix -ee which forms so called patient nouns (the recipient of the action), some of which are mentioned above (Ibid., 40).
- Negative and privative affixes - negative affixes add the meaning 'not' to their base; for example, the prefixes un-, in-, and non- (unhappy, inattentive, nonfunctional), while privative affixes mean something like 'without $X$ '; for example, the suffix -less (shoeless, hopeless) or the prefix de- (debug, debone) (Ibid., 40).
- Prepositional and relational affixes often convey notions of space and time. Examples in English are prefixes like over- and out- (overfill, overcoat, outrun, outhouse) (Ibid., 40).
- Quantitative affixes are related to the number or amount. In English, there are affixes like -ful (handful, helpful), multi- (multifaceted), or re-, which means action being repeated (reread) (Ibid., 40).
- Evaluative affixes include diminutives and augmentatives, which signal a smaller and a bigger version of the base respectively (Ibid., 40). The suffix -let as in booklet or droplet is an example of a diminutive affix in English. The closest thing to augmentatives that exist in English are prefixes like mega- (megastore, megabite) (Ibid., 40). Diminutives and augmentatives might also carry additional meaning - diminutives are often used to convey endearment, while augmentatives sometimes have pejorative overtones (Ibid., 40).

Semantically contentful affixes may or may not change the syntactic category (Ibid., 40). For example, the suffixes -er and -ee change verbs to nouns, and the prefix de-changes nouns to verbs, while suffixes like -hood or -dom (childhood, kingdom) do not, and prefixes in English generally do not change syntactic category (Ibid., 40).

The change of category during derivation might be called nominalisation, adjectivalisation and verbalisation when the derived word becomes a noun, an adjective, or a verb respectively (Huddleston, Bauer, Pallum 2002: 1667). The derived word can also be called de-adjectival, deverbal or denominal, according to the category of the word is was derived from (Ibid., 1667). For example, the process of creating the noun wetness from adding the suffix -ness to the adjective wet is a case of de-adjectival nominalisation, while adding the suffix -ise to the word terror to form terrorise creates a denominal verb by the process of verbalisation. (Ibid., 1667)

Not only single words, but also phrases can be nominalised or adjectivalised - for example with the suffix -ed to create adjectives such as two-faced - words formed in this way can be called dephrasal. (Ibid., 1667)

Sometimes derivation brings a change between subclasses within the same primary category - for example, a concrete count noun star becomes an abstract non-count noun stardom by adding the suffix -dom. (Ibid., 1667)

Affixation may or may not change the pronunciation or shape of the base word. There is no change in words with prefixes such as decontextualize and reorganize, or words with phonologically neutral suffixes such as managerless or openmindedness (Plag 2002: 98-99).

In contrast, Plag uses many examples including the words feminize, employee, selectivity, hinderance and hindering to demonstrate different changes to base words caused by attaching suffixes (Ibid., 99-100). The base word feminine loses two sounds when -ize
attaches (Ibid., 99) The suffixes -ee and -ity affect the stress pattern of their base words the suffix -ity shifts the primary stress of the base to the syllable immediately preceding the suffix, while the suffix -ee attracts the stress to itself (Ibid., 99). The attachment of the suffix -ing to the word hinder leads to the addition of a syllable which consists of the base-final [r] and the suffix, with the vowel of the last syllable of the base staying unchanged (Ibid., 100). On the other hand, the suffix -ance triggers the deletion of the last base vowel and the formation of a consonant-cluster immediately preceding the suffix, which has the effect that the derivatives have as many syllables as the base, as opposed to -ing which creates a one syllable longer word (Ibid., 100).

In general, suffixes starting with a vowel have a strong tendency to trigger alternations, whereas suffixes starting with a consonant have a strong tendency not to trigger alternations. An example of an exception is the suffix -ish (Ibid., 101).

### 3.1.1 Classification of suffixes

Suffixes may be divided into categories based on the category of the derived word (Plag 2002: 108).

- Nominal suffixes often derive abstract nous from verbs, adjectives and nouns; they often denote properties, qualities, actions and results of actions (Ibid., 109). They also quite often derive person nouns (Ibid., 109).

Examples: -age (coverage, voltage), -al (arrival, renewal) (Ibid., 109), -ance/ence/ancy/ency (riddance, dependency), -ant (applicant, dispersant), -cy/ce (adequacy, emergence) (Ibid., 110), -dom (kingdom, apedom), -ee (employee, amputee), -eer (mountaineer) (Ibid., 111), -er/or (teacher, toaster, diner, conductor), -(e)ry (bakery) (Ibid., 112), -ess (princess), -ful (handful), -hood (adulthood), -an (historian, Anglican) (Ibid., 113), -ing (building), -ion/ation (hyphenation, starvation), -ism (revisionism) (Ibid., 114), -ist (minimalist), -ity (curiosity) (Ibid., 115), -ment (endorsement), -ship (friendship) (Ibid. 116)

- Verbal suffixes derive verbs from other categories, mostly from adjective and nouns (Ibid., 116).

There are four of them: -ate (fluorinate, methanate) (Ibid., 116), -en (broaden, strenghten), -ify (solidify, humidify) (Ibid., 117), -ize (hospitalize, memorize) (Ibid., 118)

- Adjectival suffixes can be divided into two groups: relational adjectives, which express a relation to a noun, and qualitative which express more specific concepts (Ibid., 118).

Examples: -able (fashionable, variable, marriageable), -al (accidental, colonial) (Ibid., 119), -ary (legendary, complementary), -ed (broad-minded), -ful (beautiful, forgetful), -ic/ical (electric, electrical) (Ibid., 120), -ing (boring), -ish (childish, fourteenish, townish), -ive (explosive massive) (Ibid., 121), -less (hopeless), -ly (womanly, daily), -ous (curious, prestigious, ambiguous) (Ibid., 122)

- Adverbial suffixes, examples: -ly (shortly, coldly), -wise (lengthwise, food-wise) (Ibid., 123)


### 3.2. Other types of affixes

Prefixes and suffixes are affixes that go before or after a base respectively. However, these are not the only positions in which affixes can occur. (Lieber 2009: 76)

In the literature on morphology, we sometimes find references to other types of affixes, such as infixes, interfixes, circumfixes, simulfixes or transfixes. (Ibid., 79)

### 3.2.1 Infixes

„Infixes are affixes that are inserted right into a root or base. "(Ibid.,76)
For example, in Tagalog (Malayo-Polynesian language spoken in the Philippines), verbs with meaning, become $X^{\text {c }}$ may be formed by inserting the morpheme -um- after the first consonant of the root (Ibid., 76-77).

| ganda | beauty |
| :--- | :--- |
| gumanda | become beautiful |
| hirap | difficulty |
| humirap | become difficult |

(Ibid., 77)

There are no productive processes of infixation in English, but there is one process that comes close, which is referred to as "fuckin" infixation or expletive insertion (Lieber 2009: 77; Bauer, Lieber, Plag 2013: 413). In colloquial spoken English, we might take a word such as fucking, goddam, or frigging in American English, or bloody in British English - and insert it into a base word:
abso-fuckin-lutely
fan-bloody-tastic
Ala-friggin'-bama
(Lieber 2009: 77)
This infixation is used for emphasis - to strenghten a word (Ibid., 77). An interesting fact is that we cannot insert fuckin just anywhere in a word, but there are phonological and morphological restrictions on the insertion of expletives (Ibid., 77-78).

Expletive infixation may be excluded from word-formation by some, who might say that this process does not produce new distinct words or word forms due to the core meaning of the base word staying unchanged (Plag 2002: 129). However, others argue that the expression of the speaker's attitude is a new meaning being added, and they compare expletive infixation to diminutives and augmentatives, which are considered distinct words produced by word-formation, even though they might sometimes be used only to express speaker's attitude - for example the word doggy being used for dogs for emotional reasons, regardless of their size (Ibid., 129-130).

### 3.2.2 Interfixes

Interfixes are also known as linking elements. (Lieber 2009: 46) They appear inside compounds, and their sole purpose is to link bound bases together - they do not add any meaning of their own. (Ibid., 46)

We can look at an example found in Latin, where -i- serves as a linking element:

| capra | goat |
| :--- | :--- |
| ficus | fig |
| caprificus | goat fig (wild fig) |

(Ibid., 46)

### 3.2.3 Circumfixes

A circumfix consists of two parts - a new lexeme is created from a base by adding a prefix and a suffix together, neither of which can create that type of lexeme by itself (Lieber 2009: 78). A phenomenon in which the simultaneous presence of two morphemes signals a particular morphological category is called parasynthesis. (Ibid., 78).

Lieber gives an example from Dutch, where the affixes ge- and -te are added to a base to form a collective noun from a count noun:
berg mountain
gebergte mountain chain
vogel bird
gevogelte flock of birds
(Ibid., 78)
Neither geberg nor bergte are words, both parts of the circumfix are needed to signal the collective meaning (Ibid., 78).

### 3.2.4. Simulfixes

Internal stem changes might sometimes be called simulfixes or apophony (Lieber 2009: 79). This type of lexeme formation involves changing the quality of a vowel or consonant inside the base - sometimes along with affixation. (Ibid., 79).

As pointed out by Lieber, an example of this process can be found in the Manchu language where female equivalents are formed from male nouns by turning back vowels into front vowels (Ibid., 79).
haha man
hehe woman
amila cock
emile hen
(Ibid., 79)

Consonant mutation was shown by Lieber using examples from the Chemehuevi language, where reflexive verbs are created by replacing initial stop consonants (such as $p, t$ or $k$ ) with a voiced continuant (such as $v$ or $r$ ) and adding the prefix na- (Ibid., 80).
punikai see navunika see (reflexive) tika eat narika eat (reflexive) (Ibid., 80)

### 3.2.5 Transfixes

What might sometimes be referred to as transfixes, is also called templatic morphology or root and pattern morphology. (Lieber 2009: 79, 82) The root carries the core meaning, and other letters are added to form patterns with additional meanings (Ibid., 82). This morphology is typical for Semitic languages such as Arabic or Hebrew. (Ibid., 82)

To illustrate this, Lieber listed a few words in the Arabic language:
katab wrote
kattab caused to write
kaatab corresponded, wrote to each other
ktatab wrote, copied
kutib was written (perfective passive)
(Ibid., 81)
All these words share the root $k t b$ and have a meaning related to writing - we can notice that the active verb forms all have the vowel $a$ in them, while the passive verb form contains the vowels $u$ and $i$ instead (Ibid., 82). The different patterns of vowels and consonants add their own meanings - the CVCCVC pattern adds a causative meaning, while the CVVCVC pattern signifies reciprocity (Ibid., 82).

## 4. The suffix -ly

The suffix -ly can be used to create both adjectives and adverbs. It is usually added to nouns and noun phrases when forming adjectives (Bauer, Lieber, Plag 2013: 304). It can also be added to some adjectives, to form words such as deadly or goodly.

It belongs between so called non-cohering or neutral suffixes, which means that it does not change the stress position of the the word (Štekauer, Lieber 2005: 29).

The adjectival suffix -ly (among other suffixes such as -al, -ic or -ous) appears to add no specific meaning other than what would be attributed to the derivative's categorial status as an adjective (Bauer, Lieber, Plag 2013: 314).

When added to a base represented by X , the meaning of the derivative is usually something like 'in the manner of X,' 'characteristic of X' or 'like an X' (Plag 2002: 122). It is often added to base nouns denoting persons (such as brotherly, fatherly or womanly), temporal concepts (such as half-hourly, daily, weekly, monthly) or directions (such as easterly or southwesterly) (Ibid.., 122).

It is a native suffix (among others such as -ful, -ish or -en), as opposed to Latinate suffixes (such as -al, -ous or -esque) (Štekauer, Lieber 2005: 416)

Adjectival suffix -ly is barely productive. (Bauer, Lieber, Plag 2013: 306) When used to coin new words, it is often used on bases which denote humans: actorly, dancerly, designerly, readerly, speakerly, writerly. (Ibid., 33)

It has not been very productive since the the $19^{\text {th }}$ century, and that it has been replaced by -like. (Huddleston, Bauer, Pallum 2002: 1711)

However, the suffix -ly overall is one of the most productive suffixes in English; it can be added to most adjectives to form a corresponding adverb (Bauer, Lieber, Plag 2013: 323). Historically, the adverbial suffix -ly appears to be the same form as the adjectiveforming suffix -ly. (Ibid., 323)

The adjectival suffix -ly generally does not allow the adverbial suffix -ly to be added, although such words rarely occur (Ibid., 325). Another unlikely combination of -ly is with adjectives ending in $-y$ with bases ending in $l$, such as oily or curly. (Ibid., 325)

Unlike adjectives, adverbs ending in -ly usually do not take the synthetic degree variants using -er and -est, among rare exception being archaic forms such as boldlier or quicklier (Ibid., 108). Instead, we will usually see so-called periphrastic comparative and superlative forms, such as more boldly or most gently (Ibid., 108). However, they can occur both on adjectives that end in -ly (likelier, likeliest) or on other adverbs (sooner, soonest) (Ibid., 323).

Some -ly adverbs have unsuffixed variants, for example easy - easily, loud - loudly, quick - quickly (Ibid., 108). Bauer et al. say that according to Palmer et al., in the positive degree the suffixed adverb forms seem to be more frequent (for example talk loudly rather than talk loud), while in the comparative and superlative degree the synthetic variant of the unsuffixed adverb is often more common (talk louder rather than talk more loudly) (Ibid., 108).

The fact that the -ly affix in adverbs is not followed by any other affixes, either derivational or inflectional, is sometimes compared to the characteristic of inflectional endings which also do not allow further affixation in English (Ibid., 323).

When used as an adverbial suffix, -ly is added exclusively to adjectives (Plag 2002: 123). It is for the most part syntactically triggered and obligatory, which is another reason why -ly adverbs have been treated as inflectional variants of adjectives by some (Ibid., 123). However, there is sometimes a difference in meaning between the adjective and the adverb derived from it by adding the suffix -ly - for example, words like shortly, hardly, dryly, hotly or coldly have a meaning different from their base adjective, often a metaphorical meaning (Ibid., 123). Such shifts in meaning would be unexpected for an inflectional suffix, which speaks against the idea of classifying adverbial -ly as an inflectional suffix (Ibid., 123).

Moreover, other affixes that are highly productive and semantically transparent (such as -ness) that are never claimed to be inflectional (Bauer, Lieber, Plag 2013: 323).

Adverbs created with the use of the suffix -ly may also be, along with their adjectives, divided into qualitative (shortly, fiercely, quietly) and relational (geographically, architecturally) (Ibid., 104).

## 5. Investigating affixes

Large dictionaries and computerised corpora can be used to investigate the properties of derived words and the affixes used to derive them (Plag 2002: 93).

Reverse dictionaries such as Walker (1924), Lehnert (1971), or Muthmann (1999) list words in alphabetical order according to their spelling from right to left, so that words ending in $a$ come first, those ending in $z$ come last - for example, sofa is among the first words in reverse dictionaries, while the word fuzz is among the last (Ibid., 93). This kind of organisation is very convenient for the study of suffixes, whereas when it comes to investigating prefixes, any large dictionary will be useful. (Ibid., 93).

The reverse dictionary by Muthmann (1999) is very convenient for morphological research because it groups words according to their pronunciation or morphology instead of listing them in strictly orthographical order (Ibid., 93-94). For example, if one is looking for words with the suffix -ion, the relevant words can be found in one subsection with no non-pertinent words intervening - words ending in the same string of letters, such as lion, are found in a different subsection and do not spoil the list of words with the suffix -ion (Ibid., 94). This kind of dictionary is useful for the analysis of word-formation patterns, but it has the disadvantage of only containing wordforms, without any additional information on these forms such as their meaning, first attestations or usage (Ibid., 94).

## Practical part

## 6. Methodology

I was trying to find the frequency of the adverbial and adjectival suffix -ly. My research was corpus-based. I used $50 \%$ sample version of the British Web Corpus (ukWaC) and the Corpus of Contemporary American English (COCA), both of which use around one billion words. I chose them because of their size being quite large, and also similar to each other.

COCA was created by Mark Davies. It uses many types of evenly balanced sources, such as blogs and websites, multiple sections of newspapers, magazines of various topics, fiction books, movie scripts, academic journals, and unscripted spoken conversations from TV and radio. In November 2021, the corpus consisted of one billion words from 485202 texts, and it is still growing. (Wikipedia; Corpus of Contemporary American English)

UkWaC was created by Adriano Ferraresi based on the .uk domain, with the help of the British National Corpus. Its sources might contain other variants of English as long as they are from the .uk domain, but it is considered to be a corpus of mainly British English. (Sketch Engine; UkWaC - British Web corpus from the .uk domain). It contains around two billion words, but I used the $50 \%$ sample version which searches among around one billion words.

I started by finding adverbs and adjectives ending with letters $l y$ respectively by searching "*ly_r" and "*ly_j" in the American corpus, while in the British corpus I typed "*ly_RB" and "*ly_JJ" to find adverbs and adjectives respectively. The "*" stands for any number of arbitrary characters, while "ly" are the last two letters the words have to contain. "_r," " $j$," " $\_$RB" and " JJ" restrict the results shown to either adjectives or adverbs only.

When I searched for a list of words ending with the letters $l y$, the Corpus of Contemporary American English found 10936291 adverbs out of which 14698 were unique, and 1178260 adjectives, out of which 7045 were unique, while the $50 \%$ sample of ukWaC found 10226406 instances of adverbs and 1178541 instances of adjectives.

However, not all words ending with the letters $l y$ were created by adding the suffix $-l y$. When listing the most frequent words I found in both corpora, I excluded many adjectives formed using the suffix $-y$, for example oily, curly or smelly, and a few other words such as silly, jolly, burly, no-fly or single-family.

I also decided not to count in compound hyphenated adjectives containing the adverb only, such as members-only or abstinence-only, even though I found over 14000 instances of them in both corpora combined.

However, I decided to include hyphenated compound adjectives created from the adjective friendly (such as user-friendly or eco-friendly), the most common of which are listed below separately as distinct words, with the rest of them being counted together under the name "x-FRIENDLY."

## 7. Findings

In both corpora, only is the most common -ly ending adverb, followed by the word really. The adjective only is the most common in the American corpus, followed by the word early, in the British corpus we can see the same top two adjectives, but in the opposite order.

The rest of the top ten American -ly ending adverbs are the words: actually, probably, especially, finally, simply, exactly and certainly, in this order, while in the British corpus we find the words currently, particularly, usually, probably, especially, actually, simply and recently, in this order. The top ten adjectives contain the words daily, weekly, monthly, friendly, lovely, and elderly in different orders in both corpora, lively and quarterly are the remaining two of the top ten -ly adjectives in the British corpus, while in the American corpus it is the words deadly and ugly. (Table 1,2)

If we add up the twenty most common adjectives and adverbs ending with the suffix -ly found in each corpus, we find 4429805 adverbs and 796644 adjectives in COCA, and 3757088 adverbs and 856815 adjectives in UkWaC. (Table 1, 2)

Twenty most common -ly adverbs and adjectives in COCA and their sum.

|  | COCA |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | ---: |
|  | adverbs | 4429805 | adjectives | 796644 |
| 1 ONLY | 905097 | ONLY | 325877 |  |
| 2 REALLY | 895916 | EARLY | 178363 |  |
| 3 ACTUALLY | 353861 | DAILY | 61095 |  |
| 4 PROBABLY | 269732 | LOVELY | 29070 |  |
| 5 ESPECIALLY | 186075 | FRIENDLY | 27548 |  |
| 6 FINALLY | 181243 | UGLY | 23967 |  |
| 7 SIMPLY | 166558 | WEEKLY | 21690 |  |
| 8 EXACTLY | 162663 | ELDERLY | 19744 |  |
| 9 CERTAINLY | 138162 | MONTHLY | 19414 |  |
| 10 NEARLY | 138033 | DEADLY | 17527 |  |
| 11 RECENTLY | 125532 | LONELY | 17067 |  |
| 12 USUALLY | 123303 | COSTLY | 11069 |  |
| 13 | QUICKLY | 120141 | TIMELY | 7194 |
| 14 CLEARLY | 105966 | LIVELY | 6797 |  |
| 15 PARTICULARLY | 104017 | SCHOLARLY | 6758 |  |
| 16 COMPLETELY | 103940 | BODILY | 5083 |  |
| 17 | ABSOLUTELY | 98574 | X-FRIENDLY | 5021 |
| 18 OBVIOUSLY | 85368 | HEAVENLY | 5011 |  |
| 19 | EARLY | 84142 | ORDERLY | 4313 |
| 20 EVENTUALLY | 81482 | YEARLY | 4036 |  |

Table 1
Twenty most common -ly adverbs and adjectives in UkWaC and their sum.

|  | UKWAC |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | adverbs | 3757088 | adjectives | 629016 |
| 1 | ONLY | 972709 | EARLY | 227799 |
| 2 | REALLY | 356280 | ONLY | 208987 |
| 3 | CURRENTLY | 200589 | FRIENDLY | 69883 |
| 4 | PARTICULARLY | 189904 | DAILY | 66466 |
| 5 | USUALLY | 170631 | MONTHLY | 46679 |
| 6 | PROBABLY | 166819 | LOVELY | 46546 |
| 7 | ESPECIALLY | 164370 | WEEKLY | 46446 |
| 8 | ACTUALLY | 159551 | ELDERLY | 25042 |
| 9 | SIMPLY | 159167 | LIVELY | 18189 |
| 10 | RECENTLY | 153266 | QUARTERLY | 16877 |
| 11 | FULLY | 131885 | TIMELY | 11496 |
| 12 | DIRECTLY | 112427 | COSTLY | 10317 |
| 13 | FINALLY | 111448 | LONELY | 9769 |
| 14 | CLEARLY | 110945 | UGLY | 9093 |
| 15 | HIGHLY | 109042 | DEADLY | 8808 |
| 16 | GENERALLY | 105720 | SCHOLARLY | 8553 |
| 17 | CERTAINLY | 101196 | YEARLY | 8294 |
| 18 | EASILY | 97344 | HEAVENLY | 6126 |
| 19 | QUICKLY | 95041 | HOURLY | 6007 |
| 20 | NORMALLY | 88754 | BODILY | 5438 |

[^0]In COCA, the relative frequency of the adverb only is approximately 840.6 per one million words, followed by the word really with circa 832.1 per million. The adverb actually has relative frequency of 328.7 , the adverb probably appears 250.5 times per a million words. The adverbs especially, finally, simply and exactly all have frequency below 180 and above 150 per one million words, the adverbs certainly, nearly, recently, usually and quickly are below 130 and above 110 per one million words. Relative frequency of the adverbs clearly, particularly, completely and absolutely is between 90 and 100 per a million words, the adverbs early and eventually show frequencies between 75 and 80 per one million words.

| RELATIVE FREQUENCY (per 1 mil words) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| COCA |  |  |  |  |
| ONLY | 840,63 |  | ONLY | 302,67 |
| REALLY | 832,10 |  | EARLY | 165,66 |
| ACTUALLY | 328,66 |  | DAILY | 56,74 |
| PROBABLY | 250,52 |  | LOVELY | 27,00 |
| ESPECIALLY | 172,82 |  | FRIENDLY | 25,59 |
| FINALLY | 168,33 |  | UGLY | 22,26 |
| SIMPLY | 154,69 |  | WEEKLY | 20,15 |
| EXACTLY | 151,08 |  | ELDERLY | 18,34 |
| CERTAINLY | 128,32 |  | MONTHLY | 18,03 |
| NEARLY | 128,20 |  | DEADLY | 16,28 |
| RECENTLY | 116,59 |  | LONELY | 15,85 |
| USUALLY | 114,52 |  | COSTLY | 10,28 |
| QUICKLY | 111,58 |  | TIMELY | 6,68 |
| CLEARLY | 98,42 |  | LIVELY | 6,31 |
| PARTICULARLY | 96,61 |  | SCHOLARLY | 6,28 |
| COMPLETELY | 96,54 |  | BODILY | 4,72 |
| ABSOLUTELY | 91,55 |  | *-FRIENDLY | 4,66 |
| OBVIOUSLY | 79,29 |  | HEAVENLY | 4,65 |
| EARLY | 78,15 |  | ORDERLY | 4,01 |
| EVENTUALLY | 75,68 |  | YEARLY | 3,75 |

Table 3
The most common adjective in COCA - only - has relative frequency of 302.7 per one million words, which is less than the third most common adverb in the same corpus. Relative frequency of the adjective early is approximately 165.7 per a million words, the adjective daily appears only 56.7 per one million words. The adjectives lovely, friendly, ugly and weekly all appear between 20 and 30 times per one million words, while adjectives elderly, monthly, deadly, lonely and costly are below 20 and above 10 per one million words. The adjectives timely, lively, scholarly, bodily, heavenly, orderly and yearly, as well as the sum of multiple different compound hyphenated adjectives ending with the word friendly all have relative frequency between 3 and 7 per one million words.

In $u k W a C$, the adverb only has relative frequency of 863 per one million words, the adjective really appars 316.1 times per a million words. The adverbs currently, particularly and usually have relative frequency between 150 and 180 per one million words. Relative frequency of the adverbs probably, especially, actually, simply, recently and fully is below 150 and above 110 per one million words. The adverbs directly, finally clearly, highly, generally, certainly, easily, quickly and normally all have relative frequency between 75 and 100 per one million words.

| RELATIVE FREQUENCY (per 1 mil words) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| UKWAC |  |  |  |  |
| ONLY | 863,05 |  | EARLY | 202,12 |
| REALLY | 316,12 |  | ONLY | 185,43 |
| CURRENTLY | 177,98 |  | FRIENDLY | 62,00 |
| PARTICULARLY | 168,50 |  | DAILY | 58,97 |
| USUALLY | 151,40 |  | MONTHLY | 41,42 |
| PROBABLY | 148,01 |  | LOVELY | 41,30 |
| ESPECIALLY | 145,84 |  | WEEKLY | 41,21 |
| ACTUALLY | 141,56 |  | ELDERLY | 22,22 |
| SIMPLY | 141,22 |  | LIVELY | 16,14 |
| RECENTLY | 135,99 |  | QUARTERLY | 14,97 |
| FULLY | 117,02 |  | TIMELY | 10,20 |
| DIRECTLY | 99,75 |  | COSTLY | 9,15 |
| FINALLY | 98,88 |  | LONELY | 8,67 |
| CLEARLY | 98,44 |  | UGLY | 8,07 |
| HIGHLY | 96,75 |  | DEADLY | 7,82 |
| GENERALLY | 93,80 |  | SCHOLARLY | 7,59 |
| CERTAINLY | 89,79 |  | YEARLY | 7,36 |
| EASILY | 86,37 |  | HEAVENLY | 5,44 |
| QUICKLY | 84,33 |  | HOURLY | 5,33 |
| NORMALLY | 78,75 |  | BODILY | 4,82 |

Table 4
In ukWaC, the adjective early has relative frequency of 202.1 per one million words, followed by only with frequency around 185.4 per a million words. The adjective friendly appears 62 times per one million words, the adjective daily nearly 60 times per a million words. The adjectives monthly, lovely, weekly and elderly fall between 20 and 50 per one million words. The adjectives lively, quarterly and timely are below 20 and above 10 per a million words. The adjectives costly, lonely, ugly, deadly, scholarly, yearly, heavenly, hourly and bodily are of frequencies between 4 and 10 per one million words.

Out of twenty most common -ly adverbs and adjectives, only and early are the only two adjectives. They are highlighted in green in the table below, adverbs are yellow.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
|  | coca |  | ukwac |  |
| 1 ONLY | 905097 | ONLY | 972709 |  |
| 2 REALLY | 895916 | REALLY | 356280 |  |
| 3 ACTUALLY | 353861 | EARLY | 227799 |  |
| 4 ONLY | 325877 | ONLY | 208987 |  |
| 5 PROBABLY | 269732 | CURRENTLY | 200589 |  |
| 6 ESPECIALLY | 186075 | PARTICULARLY | 189904 |  |
| 7 FINALLY | 181243 | USUALLY | 176789 |  |
| 8 EARLY | 178363 | PROBABLY | 166819 |  |
| 9 SIMPLY | 166558 | ESPECIALLY | 164370 |  |
| 10 EXACTLY | 162663 | ACTUALLY | 159551 |  |
| 11 CERTAINLY | 138865 | SIMPLY | 159167 |  |
| 12 NEARLY | 138033 | RECENTLY | 153266 |  |
| 13 USUALLY | 129412 | FULLY | 131885 |  |
| 14 | RECENTLY | 125532 | DIRECTLY | 120121 |
| 15 QUICKLY | 120141 | FINALLY | 111448 |  |
| 16 | CLEARLY | 105966 | CLEARLY | 110945 |
| 17 | PARTICULARLY | 104017 | HIGHLY | 109042 |
| 18 | COMPLETELY | 103940 | GENERALLY | 105720 |
| 19 | ABSOLUTELY | 98574 | CERTAINLY | 101406 |

Table 5

In the following graph (based on the Table 8) we can see twenty most frequent adverbs and adjectives with the suffix -ly in both corpora combined.


In this graph (based on the Table 6) we can see twenty most frequent -ly adverbs with the suffix -ly in both corpora combined.


In this graph (based on the Table 7) we can see twenty most frequent -ly adjectives with the suffix -ly in both corpora combined.


Twenty most common -ly adverbs, sorted by the sum of results from both corpora.

|  | COCA |  | UKWAC | sum |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| adverbs | 4363327 |  | 3690418 | 8053745 |
| ONLY | 905097 |  | 972709 | 1877806 |
| REALLY | 895916 |  | 356280 | 1252196 |
| ACTUALLY | 353861 |  | 159551 | 513412 |
| PROBABLY | 269732 |  | 166819 | 436551 |
| ESPECIALLY | 186075 | 164370 | 350445 |  |
| SIMPLY | 166558 |  | 159167 | 325725 |
| USUALLY | 123303 |  | 170631 | 293934 |
| PARTICULARLY | 104017 |  | 189904 | 293921 |
| FINALLY | 181243 |  | 111448 | 292691 |
| RECENTLY | 125532 |  | 153266 | 278798 |
| CURRENTLY | 66051 |  | 200589 | 266640 |
| CERTAINLY | 138162 | 101196 | 239358 |  |
| EXACTLY | 162663 |  | 70223 | 232886 |
| NEARLY | 138033 |  | 79296 | 217329 |
| CLEARLY | 105966 | 110945 | 216911 |  |
| QUICKLY | 120141 |  | 95041 | 215182 |
| FULLY | 63237 |  | 131885 | 195122 |
| DIRECTLY | 79121 |  | 112427 | 191548 |
| COMPLETELY | 103940 |  | 78951 | 182891 |
| GENERALLY | 74679 |  | 105720 | 180399 |

Table 6
Twenty most common -ly adjectives, sorted by the sum of results from both corpora.

|  | COCA |  | UKWAC | sum |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| adjectives | 793198 |  | 856815 | 1650013 |
| ONLY | 325877 |  | 208987 | 534864 |
| EARLY | 178363 |  | 227799 | 406162 |
| DAILY | 61095 | 66466 | 127561 |  |
| FRIENDLY | 27548 |  | 69883 | 97431 |
| LOVELY | 29070 | 46546 | 75616 |  |
| WEEKLY | 21690 | 46446 | 68136 |  |
| MONTHLY | 19414 |  | 46679 | 66093 |
| ELDERLY | 19744 | 25042 | 44786 |  |
| UGLY | 23967 |  | 9093 | 33060 |
| LONELY | 17067 | 9769 | 26836 |  |
| DEADLY | 17527 |  | 8808 | 26335 |
| LIVELY | 6797 |  | 18189 | 24986 |
| COSTLY | 11069 | 10317 | 21386 |  |
| QUARTERLY | 3392 |  | 16877 | 20269 |
| TIMELY | 7194 | 11496 | 18690 |  |
| SCHOLARLY | 6758 | 8553 | 15311 |  |
| YEARLY | 4036 |  | 8294 | 12330 |
| HEAVENLY | 5011 |  | 6126 | 11137 |
| BODILY | 5083 |  | 5438 | 10521 |
| HOURLY | 2496 |  | 6007 | 8503 |

Table 7

One hundred most common -ly adverbs add up to 7693884 in the American corpus and 7099245 in the British corpus, while the sum of one hundred most common -ly adjectives add up to only 863466 and 930065 in the American and the British corpus respectively. (Table 8, 9)

In the list of one hundred most common -ly ending adverbs and adjectives in both corpora combined, we can find only seven adjectives: only, early, daily, friendly, lovely, weekly, and monthly, with the remaining ninety-three of them being adverbs. This shows that the eighth most common adjective - elderly, is less frequent than the ninety-third most common adverb - commonly. (Table 8-10)

Out of twenty most common -ly ending adverbs and adjectives, there are only two adjectives - only and early. The former being fourth most common in both corpora, and the latter being third most frequent in the British corpus, but only eighth most frequent in the American corpus. (Table 5)

When I looked up lists of most frequent adverbs and most frequent adjectives in COCA, over six hundred adverbs but only nineteen adjectives ending with the letters $l y$ appeared on the first, one-thousand-word long page of each list. However, some of these words may not contain the suffix -ly. I decided to look into the first five hundred words from each list.

To my surprise, there were only seventeen adverbs with the suffix -ly within the first hundred most frequent adverbs, and only three in the top fifty. Understandably, a lot of the top one hundred adverbs were words such as just, out, how, here, more, well, never, back, again, else, very, today, and so on. Within the top two hundred, I found seventyone -ly adverbs. In the whole five-hundred-word-long list, two hundred and eighty end with the suffix $-l y$, which is more than a half.

As expected, not many adjectives with the suffix -ly were among the most frequent ones. Only six of them appeared within the whole five-hundred-word list, three of which fall within the first two hundred and only two are in the top one hundred most common adjectives.

Within the fifty most frequent adverbs, only three with the suffix -ly appeared. With 2154862 instances out of 40422 147, they make up only $5.3 \%$.


There is only one less -ly adjective in the fifty most common ones, but these two adjectives only add up to 503954 instances out of total 16275954 , which is $3.1 \%$.


There are seventeen adverbs with the suffix -ly within the top one hundred most common adverbs. They make up 4178779 out of 48674040 instances of adverbs, which is approximately $8,6 \%$.


In the top one hundred most frequent adjectives, two contain the suffix -ly. They add up to 503954 instances, which is around $2.2 \%$ out of the total 22622201 instances of adjectives within the top hundred.


Over a half of the five hundred most common adverbs were created by the suffix -ly. These two hundred and eighty different words add up to 9619445 instances, which means that they make up around $16.6 \%$ out of the total 57825833 instances of top five hundred adverbs.


The list of the five hundred most common adjectives only contains six adjectives with the suffix -ly. Their 644826 instances out of the total 41360521 amount to around $1.6 \%$.


On previous pages, we could see a visualisation of the frequency of instances of words with the suffix -ly. In graphs below we can see the proportion -ly words make up in the lists of most frequent adverbs and adjectives.

As mentioned before, only seventeen -ly adverbs and two -ly adjectives show up among the one hundred most common adverbs and adjectives respectively, which means that they make up $17 \%$ and $2 \%$ of their one-hundred-word lists respectively.



Among five hundred most common adverbs, we can find two hundred and eighty adverbs created with the suffix -ly, which means they make up $56 \%$. However, only six -ly adjectives were found in the list of five hundred most common adjectives, which is $1.2 \%$.



## 8. Conclusion

Both the sum of top twenty and the top one hundred words ending with the suffix $-l y$, as well as the original total number of words ending with the letters $l y$ found in each corpus might suggest, that British English might have slightly more such adjectives than American English, while American English seems to have slightly more such adverbs than British English does. However, I find these differences insignificant.

Where we do see a remarkable difference, is between the number of adjectives and adverbs ending with the suffix -ly, which is clearly apparent in both corpora. Adverbs ending with the suffix -ly are much more common than adjectives created by adding the same suffix. This is corroborated by the relative frequency of most common adverbs and adjectives with the suffix $-l y$ too.

The gap between the prevalence of -ly adverbs and -ly adjectives on lists of most frequent words of their respective part of speech category, also points to the idea of adjectival -ly being more of a marginal suffix when compared to the adverbial -ly, which is very common.

I believe that the data I found in the corpora support the hypothesis assuming -ly to be a primarily adverbial suffix.
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## Appendix

Top 100 -ly adverbs in both corpora combined, sorted by the sum of both.

|  | COCA | UKWAC | sum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| adverbs | 7693884 | 7099245 | 14793129 |
| ONLY | 905097 | 972709 | 1877806 |
| REALLY | 895916 | 356280 | 1252196 |
| ACTUALLY | 353861 | 159551 | 513412 |
| PROBABLY | 269732 | 166819 | 436551 |
| ESPECIALLY | 186075 | 164370 | 350445 |
| SIMPLY | 166558 | 159167 | 325725 |
| USUALLY | 123303 | 170631 | 293934 |
| PARTICULARLY | 104017 | 189904 | 293921 |
| FINALLY | 181243 | 111448 | 292691 |
| RECENTLY | 125532 | 153266 | 278798 |
| CURRENTLY | 66051 | 200589 | 266640 |
| CERTAINLY | 138162 | 101196 | 239358 |
| EXACTLY | 162663 | 70223 | 232886 |
| NEARLY | 138033 | 79296 | 217329 |
| CLEARLY | 105966 | 110945 | 216911 |
| QUICKLY | 120141 | 95041 | 215182 |
| FULLY | 63237 | 131885 | 195122 |
| DIRECTLY | 79121 | 112427 | 191548 |
| COMPLETELY | 103940 | 78951 | 182891 |
| GENERALLY | 74679 | 105720 | 180399 |
| HIGHLY | 68588 | 109042 | 177630 |
| EASILY | 76351 | 97344 | 173695 |
| IMMEDIATELY | 79855 | 85968 | 165823 |
| EVENTUALLY | 81482 | 62086 | 143568 |
| ABSOLUTELY | 98574 | 42824 | 141398 |
| EARLY | 84142 | 55748 | 139890 |
| OBVIOUSLY | 85368 | 54284 | 139652 |
| EXTREMELY | 51789 | 74087 | 125876 |
| PREVIOUSLY | 44478 | 76825 | 121303 |
| NORMALLY | 29965 | 88754 | 118719 |
| TRULY | 67680 | 50929 | 118609 |
| POSSIBLY | 58071 | 58598 | 116669 |
| SLIGHTLY | 55390 | 59843 | 115233 |
| MOSTLY | 72378 | 40950 | 113328 |
| APPARENTLY | 67250 | 45545 | 112795 |
| SUDDENLY | 77721 | 33707 | 111428 |
| TOTALLY | 67119 | 43584 | 110703 |
| RELATIVELY | 52070 | 56436 | 108506 |
| SERIOUSLY | 64828 | 42349 | 107177 |
| SPECIFICALLY | 48927 | 56316 | 105243 |
| UNFORTUNATELY | 49866 | 55347 | 105213 |
| DEFINITELY | 70982 | 34175 | 105157 |
| INCREASINGLY | 44978 | 55796 | 100774 |
| ENTIRELY | 49351 | 48701 | 98052 |
| EFFECTIVELY | 31506 | 63633 | 95139 |
| ORIGINALLY | 29083 | 64988 | 94071 |
| CAREFULLY | 43034 | 49065 | 92099 |
| APPROXIMATELY | 32193 | 59656 | 91849 |
| SLOWLY | 60873 | 30419 | 91292 |

Table 8.1

| FREQUENTLY | 40034 | 51132 | 91166 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CLOSELY | 35292 | 55391 | 90683 |
| LARGELY | 46002 | 44041 | 90043 |
| MAINLY | 24910 | 63710 | 88620 |
| SIGNIFICANTLY | 46379 | 42198 | 88577 |
| FAIRLY | 36548 | 49730 | 86278 |
| BASICALLY | 62045 | 23113 | 85158 |
| NECESSARILY | 44681 | 40064 | 84745 |
| REGULARLY | 25635 | 57905 | 83540 |
| ULTIMATELY | 49899 | 30557 | 80456 |
| PROPERLY | 29063 | 51085 | 80148 |
| WIDELY | 32220 | 47564 | 79784 |
| TYPICALLY | 41485 | 34993 | 76478 |
| MERELY | 40843 | 33991 | 74834 |
| PERSONALLY | 43236 | 30829 | 74065 |
| HARDLY | 43416 | 30236 | 73652 |
| EQUALLY | 30969 | 41668 | 72637 |
| STRONGLY | 31943 | 40438 | 72381 |
| PRIMARILY | 33079 | 37123 | 70202 |
| AUTOMATICALLY | 20006 | 49373 | 69379 |
| SURELY | 33758 | 35524 | 69282 |
| INITIALLY | 27566 | 41057 | 68623 |
| SUCCESSFULLY | 22132 | 45825 | 67957 |
| PERFECTLY | 37924 | 29372 | 67296 |
| CONSTANTLY | 33169 | 29787 | 62956 |
| POTENTIALLY | 30671 | 31555 | 62226 |
| ESSENTIALLY | 37053 | 24448 | 61501 |
| RAPIDLY | 24922 | 34425 | 59347 |
| HOPEFULLY | 27150 | 31725 | 58875 |
| RARELY | 31747 | 26743 | 58490 |
| LITERALLY | 39095 | 19183 | 58278 |
| DEEPLY | 36652 | 21186 | 57838 |
| VIRTUALLY | 30113 | 27037 | 57150 |
| NATURALLY | 27157 | 28796 | 55953 |
| NEWLY | 23531 | 32266 | 55797 |
| SHORTLY? | 23986 | 31646 | 55632 |
| HEAVILY | 28881 | 25429 | 54310 |
| OCCASIONALLY | 26248 | 27662 | 53910 |
| BARELY | 42257 | 11615 | 53872 |
| SIMILARLY | 26398 | 26673 | 53071 |
| GREATLY | 19911 | 30346 | 50257 |
| PARTLY | 21132 | 28367 | 49499 |
| COMMONLY | 17663 | 27430 | 45093 |
| RESPECTIVELY | 20478 | 24568 | 45046 |
| PRECISELY | 26539 | 18448 | 44987 |
| BADLY | 23330 | 21141 | 44471 |
| CORRECTLY | 17759 | 26275 | 44034 |
| ROUGHLY | 28083 | 14694 | 42777 |
| ACTIVELY | 15863 | 26776 | 42639 |
| LOCALLY | 8963 | 33641 | 42604 |
| GRADUALLY | 18849 | 23047 | 41896 |

Table 8.2

Top 100 -ly adjectives in both corpora combined, sorted by the sum of both.

|  | COCA | UKWAC | sum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| adjectives | 863466 | 930065 | 1793531 |
| ONLY | 325877 | 208987 | 534864 |
| EARLY | 178363 | 227799 | 406162 |
| DAILY | 61095 | 66466 | 127561 |
| FRIENDLY | 27548 | 69883 | 97431 |
| LOVELY | 29070 | 46546 | 75616 |
| WEEKLY | 21690 | 46446 | 68136 |
| MONTHLY | 19414 | 46679 | 66093 |
| ELDERLY | 19744 | 25042 | 44786 |
| UGLY | 23967 | 9093 | 33060 |
| LONELY | 17067 | 9769 | 26836 |
| DEADLY | 17527 | 8808 | 26335 |
| LIVELY | 6797 | 18189 | 24986 |
| COSTLY | 11069 | 10317 | 21386 |
| QUARTERLY | 3392 | 16877 | 20269 |
| TIMELY | 7194 | 11496 | 18690 |
| SCHOLARLY | 6758 | 8553 | 15311 |
| YEARLY | 4036 | 8294 | 12330 |
| HEAVENLY | 5011 | 6126 | 11137 |
| BODILY | 5083 | 5438 | 10521 |
| HOURLY | 2496 | 6007 | 8503 |
| *-FRIENDLY | 5021 | 3053 | 8074 |
| ORDERLY | 4313 | 2954 | 7267 |
| EARTHLY | 3550 | 3573 | 7123 |
| NIGHTLY | 3641 | 1995 | 5636 |
| WORLDLY | 3004 | 2578 | 5582 |
| USER-FRIENDLY | 1188 | 4358 | 5546 |
| STATELY | 1813 | 2933 | 4746 |
| LEISURELY | 1421 | 3183 | 4604 |
| GHOSTLY | 2194 | 2082 | 4276 |
| GODLY | 1277 | 2681 | 3958 |
| COWARDLY | 2311 | 1086 | 3397 |
| LOWLY | 1840 | 1529 | 3369 |
| MANLY | 2141 | 1151 | 3292 |
| UNRULY | 2131 | 1145 | 3276 |
| GHASTLY | 1497 | 1439 | 2936 |
| HOMELY | 873 | 1722 | 2595 |
| WOBBLY | 1470 | 1105 | 2575 |
| SICKLY | 1593 | 892 | 2485 |
| DISORDERLY | 1377 | 1096 | 2473 |
| UNTIMELY | 1122 | 1275 | 2397 |
| UNFRIENDLY | 1421 | 938 | 2359 |
| PRIESTLY | 912 | 1222 | 2134 |
| UNSIGHTLY | 703 | 1364 | 2067 |
| WESTERLY | 385 | 1631 | 2016 |
| FAMILY-FRIENDL | 962 | 1009 | 1971 |
| ECO-FRIENDLY | 864 | 1036 | 1900 |
| EASTERLY | 364 | 1511 | 1875 |
| NORTHERLY | 379 | 1472 | 1851 |
| SOUTHERLY | 363 | 1463 | 1826 |

Table 9.1

| EXPERTLY | - | 1781 | 1781 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OTHERWORLDLY | 1329 | 427 | 1756 |
| UNGODLY | 822 | 877 | 1699 |
| PRINTER-FRIEND | 16 | 1560 | 1576 |
| SURLY | 1092 | 480 | 1572 |
| WILY | 829 | 649 | 1478 |
| COURTLY | 731 | 605 | 1336 |
| PRINCELY | 452 | 841 | 1293 |
| DEATHLY | 959 | 325 | 1284 |
| KINDLY | 1272 | 8 | 1280 |
| SAINTLY | 669 | 532 | 1201 |
| BROTHERLY | 783 | 416 | 1199 |
| SHAPELY | 692 | 390 | 1082 |
| GOODLY | 465 | 587 | 1052 |
| MASTERLY | 264 | 716 | 980 |
| UNEARTHLY | 531 | 424 | 955 |
| DASTARDLY | 492 | 446 | 938 |
| GENTLEMANLY | 527 | 348 | 875 |
| PORTLY | 596 | 267 | 863 |
| PAINTERLY | 481 | 350 | 831 |
| MOTHERLY | 619 | 211 | 830 |
| FATHERLY | 554 | 267 | 821 |
| ENVIRONMENTA | 81 | 732 | 813 |
| BEASTLY | 420 | 386 | 806 |
| CHILD-FRIENDLY | 186 | 569 | 755 |
| SPRIGHTLY | 280 | 453 | 733 |
| WOMANLY | 529 | 184 | 713 |
| COOLLY | - | 650 | 650 |
| MISERLY | 278 | 341 | 619 |
| KINGLY | 222 | 390 | 612 |
| SCRAGGLY | 545 | 23 | 568 |
| KID-FRIENDLY | 468 | 77 | 545 |
| NEIGHBORLY | 230 | 301 | 531 |
| TWICE-WEEKLY | 204 | 299 | 503 |
| SLOVENLY | 286 | 181 | 467 |
| BI-WEEKLY | 173 | 274 | 447 |
| BUSINESS-FRIEN | 195 | 196 | 391 |
| TWICE-YEARLY | 67 | 305 | 372 |
| KNIGHTLY | 96 | 264 | 360 |
| TWICE-DAILY | 129 | 194 | 323 |
| SOUTHWESTERL) | 82 | 239 | 321 |
| LORDLY | 173 | 147 | 320 |
| SISTERLY | 207 | 87 | 294 |
| WRITERLY | 209 | 78 | 287 |
| UNLOVELY | 140 | 126 | 266 |
| UNMANLY | 161 | 68 | 229 |
| NORTHEASTERLY | 62 | 164 | 226 |
| UNWORLDLY | 125 | 98 | 223 |
| CONSUMER-FRIE | 126 | 93 | 219 |
| NORTHWESTERL | 62 | 151 | 213 |
| SOUTHEASTERLY | 48 | 164 | 212 |
| WIFELY | 179 | 33 | 212 |

Table 9.2

Top 100 -ly adverbs and adjectives in both corpora combined, sorted by the sum of both.
Blue colour highlights adjectives, adverbs are orange.

|  | coca | ukwac | total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ONLY | 905097 | 972709 | 1877806 |
| REALLY | 895916 | 356280 | 1252196 |
| ONLY | 325877 | 208987 | 534864 |
| ACTUALLY | 353861 | 159551 | 513412 |
| PROBABLY | 269732 | 166819 | 436551 |
| EARLY | 178363 | 227799 | 406162 |
| ESPECIALLY | 186075 | 164370 | 350445 |
| SIMPLY | 166558 | 159167 | 325725 |
| USUALLY | 129412 | 176789 | 306201 |
| PARTICULARLY | 104017 | 189904 | 293921 |
| FINALLY | 181243 | 111448 | 292691 |
| RECENTLY | 125532 | 153266 | 278798 |
| CURRENTLY | 66051 | 200589 | 266640 |
| CERTAINLY | 138865 | 101406 | 240271 |
| EXACTLY | 162663 | 70223 | 232886 |
| NEARLY | 138033 | 79296 | 217329 |
| CLEARLY | 105966 | 110945 | 216911 |
| QUICKLY | 120141 | 95041 | 215182 |
| FULLY | 85064 | 120121 | 205185 |
| DIRECTLY | 79121 | 112427 | 191548 |
| COMPLETELY | 103940 | 78951 | 182891 |
| GENERALLY | 74679 | 105720 | 180399 |
| HIGHLY | 68588 | 109042 | 177630 |
| EASILY | 77455 | 97792 | 175247 |
| IMMEDIATELY | 79855 | 85968 | 165823 |
| EVENTUALLY | 81482 | 62086 | 143568 |
| ABSOLUTELY | 98574 | 42824 | 141398 |
| EARLY | 84142 | 55748 | 139890 |
| OBVIOUSLY | 85368 | 54284 | 139652 |
| DAILY | 61224 | 66660 | 127884 |
| EXTREMELY | 51789 | 74087 | 125876 |
| PREVIOUSLY | 44478 | 76825 | 121303 |
| NORMALLY | 29965 | 88754 | 118719 |
| TRULY | 67680 | 50929 | 118609 |
| POSSIBLY | 58071 | 58598 | 116669 |
| SLIGHTLY | 55390 | 59843 | 115233 |
| FRIENDLY | 33549 | 80581 | 114130 |
| MOSTLY | 72378 | 40950 | 113328 |
| APPARENTLY | 67250 | 45545 | 112795 |
| SUDDENLY | 77721 | 33707 | 111428 |
| TOTALLY | 67119 | 43584 | 110703 |
| RELATIVELY | 52070 | 56436 | 108506 |
| SERIOUSLY | 64828 | 42349 | 107177 |
| SPECIFICALLY | 48927 | 56316 | 105243 |
| UNFORTUNATELY | 49866 | 55347 | 105213 |
| DEFINITELY | 70982 | 34175 | 105157 |
| INCREASINGLY | 44978 | 55796 | 100774 |
| ENTIRELY | 49351 | 48701 | 98052 |
| EFFECTIVELY | 31506 | 63633 | 95139 |

[^1]| ORIGINALLY | 29083 | 64988 | 94071 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAREFULLY | 43034 | 49065 | 92099 |
| APPROXIMATELY | 32193 | 59656 | 91849 |
| SLOWLY | 60873 | 30419 | 91292 |
| FREQUENTLY | 40034 | 51132 | 91166 |
| CLOSELY | 35292 | 55391 | 90683 |
| LARGELY | 46002 | 44041 | 90043 |
| MAINLY | 24910 | 63710 | 88620 |
| SIGNIFICANTLY | 46379 | 42198 | 88577 |
| FAIRLY | 36548 | 49730 | 86278 |
| BASICALLY | 62045 | 23113 | 85158 |
| NECESSARILY | 44681 | 40064 | 84745 |
| REGULARLY | 25635 | 57905 | 83540 |
| ULTIMATELY | 49899 | 30557 | 80456 |
| PROPERLY | 29063 | 51085 | 80148 |
| WIDELY | 32220 | 47564 | 79784 |
| TYPICALLY | 41485 | 34993 | 76478 |
| LOVELY | 29070 | 46546 | 75616 |
| MERELY | 40843 | 33991 | 74834 |
| PERSONALLY | 43236 | 30829 | 74065 |
| HARDLY | 43416 | 30236 | 73652 |
| EQUALLY | 30969 | 41668 | 72637 |
| STRONGLY | 31943 | 40438 | 72381 |
| PRIMARILY | 33079 | 37123 | 70202 |
| AUTOMATICALLY | 20006 | 49373 | 69379 |
| SURELY | 33758 | 35524 | 69282 |
| WEEKLY | 22067 | 47019 | 69086 |
| INITIALLY | 27566 | 41057 | 68623 |
| SUCCESSFULLY | 22132 | 45825 | 67957 |
| PERFECTLY | 37924 | 29372 | 67296 |
| MONTHLY | 19481 | 46679 | 66160 |
| CONSTANTLY | 33169 | 29787 | 62956 |
| POTENTIALLY | 30671 | 31555 | 62226 |
| ESSENTIALLY | 37053 | 24448 | 61501 |
| RAPIDLY | 24922 | 34425 | 59347 |
| HOPEFULLY | 27150 | 31725 | 58875 |
| RARELY | 31747 | 26743 | 58490 |
| LITERALLY | 39095 | 19183 | 58278 |
| DEEPLY | 36652 | 21186 | 57838 |
| VIRTUALLY | 30113 | 27037 | 57150 |
| NATURALLY | 27863 | 29201 | 57064 |
| NEWLY | 23531 | 32266 | 55797 |
| SHORTLY回 | 23986 | 31646 | 55632 |
| HEAVILY | 28881 | 25429 | 54310 |
| OCCASIONALLY | 26248 | 27662 | 53910 |
| BARELY | 42257 | 11615 | 53872 |
| SIMILARLY | 26398 | 26673 | 53071 |
| GREATLY | 19911 | 30346 | 50257 |
| PARTLY | 21132 | 28367 | 49499 |
| COMMONLY | 17663 | 27430 | 45093 |
| RESPECTIVELY | 20478 | 24568 | 45046 |

[^2]First 500 adverbs in COCA

| 1 SO | 2369950 |  | ENOUGH | 280796 | 101 | THEREFORE | 89981 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 JUST | 2270993 | 52 | ALMOST | 279393 | 102 | THROUGH | 87239 |
| 3 UP | 2108810 | 53 | LATER | 274177 | 103 | OBVIOUSLY | 85367 |
| 4 OUT | 1828605 | 54 | PROBABLY | 269727 | 104 | ALONE | 85042 |
| 5 HOW | 1666475 | 55 | FOR | 262501 | 105 | FURTHER | 84817 |
| 6 NOW | 1602015 | 56 | ELSE | 261822 | 106 | EARLIER | 84674 |
| 7 HERE | 1413633 | 57 | FAR | 258380 | 107 | EARLY | 84140 |
| 8 THEN | 1344461 | 58 | HOME | 250336 | 108 | EVENTUALLY | 81479 |
| 9 MORE | 1249010 | 59 | BOTH | 244396 | 109 | BY | 80090 |
| 10 WELL | 1189103 | 60 | LITTLE | 244070 | 110 | IMMEDIATELY | 79855 |
| 11 ALSO | 1142798 | 61 | BETTER | 241826 | 111 | DIRECTLY | 79121 |
| 12 VERY | 963551 | 62 | PLEASE | 236196 | 112 | SUDDENLY | 77721 |
| 13 BACK | 938670 | 63 | LONG | 219954 | 113 | EASILY | 76351 |
| 14 THERE | 932405 | 64 | SOMETIMES | 201797 | 114 | ANYMORE | 75906 |
| 15 EVEN | 920345 | 65 | PERHAPS | 191398 | 115 | GENERALLY | 74679 |
| 16 ONLY | 905094 | 66 | LESS | 190018 | 116 | ALONG | 74461 |
| 17 REALLY | 895913 | 67 | ESPECIALLY | 186072 | 117 | INSIDE | 72440 |
| 18 WHY | 832923 | 68 | PRETTY | 183714 | 118 | MOSTLY | 72378 |
| 19 RIGHT | 830733 | 69 | QUITE | 181432 | 119 | DEFINITELY | 70982 |
| 20 DOWN | 820298 | 70 | FINALLY | 181238 | 120 | SURE | 70758 |
| 21 ON | 818754 | 71 | EITHER | 181132 | 121 | OTHERWISE | 69222 |
| 22 TOO | 771413 | 72 | SIMPLY | 166558 | 122 | HIGHLY | 68584 |
| 23 STILL | 757544 | 73 | EXACTLY | 162663 | 123 | TRULY | 67680 |
| 24 NEVER | 744607 | 74 | NO | 145060 | 124 | APPARENTLY | 67247 |
| 25 IN | 726627 | 75 | BEFORE | 142669 | 125 | TOTALLY | 67117 |
| 26 WHEN | 669532 | 76 | SOON | 138517 | 126 | CURRENTLY | 66051 |
| 27 AS | 662409 | 77 | CERTAINLY | 138161 | 127 | YESTERDAY | 65617 |
| 28 WHERE | 597352 | 78 | NEARLY | 138033 | 128 | SOMEWHERE | 65596 |
| 29 MOST | 561359 | 79 | TONIGHT | 133187 | 129 | SERIOUSLY | 64824 |
| 30 OVER | 538727 | 80 | RECENTLY | 125530 | 130 | LIKE | 63764 |
| 31 AGAIN | 530744 | 81 | LONGER | 123822 | 131 | FULLY | 63237 |
| 32 ALWAYS | 492936 | 82 | USUALLY | 123303 | 132 | BELOW | 62312 |
| 33 OFF | 479462 | 83 | FORWARD | 120846 | 133 | BASICALLY | 62045 |
| 34 ABOUT | 462984 | 84 | QUICKLY | 120139 | 134 | TWICE | 61560 |
| 35 TODAY | 430991 | 85 | THUS | 118653 | 135 | FAST | 61539 |
| 36 EVER | 402614 | 86 | RATHER | 115104 | 136 | ABOVE | 61021 |
| 37 MAYBE | 374334 | 87 | THAT | 112903 | 137 | SLOWLY | 60871 |
| 38 AWAY | 355540 | 88 | P.M. | 110263 | 138 | POSSIBLY | 58071 |
| 39 ACTUALLY | 353855 | 89 | HARD | 109735 | 139 | SOMEHOW | 57579 |
| 40 OKAY | 339646 | 90 | CLEARLY | 105963 | 140 | STRAIGHT | 56942 |
| 41 YET | 338420 | 91 | PARTICULARLY | 104016 | 141 | A.M. | 56128 |
| 42 MUCH | 329243 | 92 | COMPLETELY | 103940 | 142 | SLIGHTLY | 55388 |
| 43 HOWEVER | 326043 | 93 | LOT | 99283 | 143 | ANYWHERE | 53949 |
| 44 AROUND | 324082 | 94 | BEST | 98807 | 144 | CLOSE | 52356 |
| 45 LEAST | 311572 | 95 | ABSOLUTELY | 98574 | 145 | RELATIVELY | 52070 |
| 46 ALREADY | 311499 | 96 | INSTEAD | 98544 | 146 | EXTREMELY | 51789 |
| 47 TOGETHER | 302962 | 97 | ANYWAY | 97820 | 147 | FOREVER | 51733 |
| 48 OFTEN | 295709 | 98 | INDEED | 95859 | 148 | OUTSIDE | 51015 |
| 49 AGO | 294951 | 99 | TOMORROW | 92235 | 149 | ULTIMATELY | 49899 |
| 50 ONCE | 290451 | 100 | AHEAD | 91331 | 150 | UNFORTUNATELY | 49866 |

Table 3.1

| 151 | ENTIRELY | 49350 | 201 | EQUALLY | 30968 | 251 | WAY | 19596 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 152 | SPECIFICALLY | 48927 | 202 | POTENTIALLY | 30670 | 252 | CONSISTENTLY | 19392 |
| 153 | THOUGH | 48918 | 203 | VIRTUALLY | 30111 | 253 | BRIEFLY | 19353 |
| 154 | LATE | 47681 | 204 | NORMALLY | 29963 | 254 | WHEREVER | 18966 |
| 155 | NEITHER | 47463 | 205 | MOREOVER | 29853 | 255 | SEEMINGLY | 18903 |
| 156 | UNDER | 46801 | 206 | FUCKING | 29188 | 256 | GRADUALLY | 18849 |
| 157 | SIGNIFICANTLY | 46378 | 207 | ORIGINALLY | 29083 | 257 | OVERALL | 18591 |
| 158 | LARGELY | 46002 | 208 | PROPERLY | 29063 | 258 | ABROAD | 18254 |
| 159 | EVERYWHERE | 45170 | 209 | HEAVILY | 28880 | 259 | HARDER | 18203 |
| 160 | INCREASINGLY | 44978 | 210 | BESIDES | 28711 | 260 | DAILY | 18185 |
| 161 | NECESSARILY | 44681 | 211 | FASTER | 28420 | 261 | OFFICIALLY | 18080 |
| 162 | PREVIOUSLY | 44478 | 212 | NOWHERE | 28292 | 262 | THIS | 17785 |
| 163 | HARDLY | 43416 | 213 | ROUGHLY | 28083 | 263 | SURPRISINGLY | 17782 |
| 164 | PERSONALLY | 43234 | 214 | INITIALLY | 27566 | 264 | CORRECTLY | 17759 |
| 165 | CAREFULLY | 43033 | 215 | NATURALLY | 27157 | 265 | COMMONLY | 17663 |
| 166 | FORTH | 42834 | 216 | HOPEFULLY | 27150 | 266 | SHORT | 17612 |
| 167 | MEANWHILE | 42307 | 217 | HONESTLY | 26926 | 267 | NONETHELESS | 17584 |
| 168 | BARELY | 42257 | 218 | PRECISELY | 26537 | 268 | UPSTAIRS | 17362 |
| 169 | APART | 42172 | 219 | SIMILARLY | 26398 | 269 | PRACTICALLY | 17237 |
| 170 | PART | 41783 | 220 | OCCASIONALLY | 26248 | 270 | DRAMATICALLY | 17085 |
| 171 | LIKELY | 41539 | 221 | SINCE | 25797 | 271 | PAST | 16881 |
| 172 | TYPICALLY | 41485 | 222 | REGULARLY | 25635 | 272 | IMPORTANTLY | 16802 |
| 173 | MERELY | 40843 | 223 | PUBLICLY | 24932 | 273 | SIMULTANEOUSLY | 16623 |
| 174 | SOMEWHAT | 40262 | 224 | RAPIDLY | 24922 | 274 | ANY | 15960 |
| 175 | CLOSER | 40151 | 225 | MAINLY | 24910 | 275 | ACTIVELY | 15862 |
| 176 | ASIDE | 40129 | 226 | NEVERTHELESS | 24438 | 276 | HISTORICALLY | 15703 |
| 177 | FREQUENTLY | 40033 | 227 | DIFFERENTLY | 24322 | 277 | LAST | 15550 |
| 178 | BEHIND | 39873 | 228 | QUIETLY | 24222 | 278 | LIGHTLY | 15471 |
| 179 | ONLINE | 39528 | 229 | SHORTLY | 23986 | 279 | SOMEDAY | 15352 |
| 180 | DEEP | 39217 | 230 | NEWLY | 23531 | 280 | LEGALLY | 15315 |
| 181 | LITERALLY | 39093 | 231 | BADLY | 23330 | 281 | THEREBY | 15288 |
| 182 | ADDITION | 38709 | 232 | POLITICALLY | 23228 | 282 | SEXUALLY | 15120 |
| 183 | PERFECTLY | 37920 | 233 | WRONG | 23150 | 283 | ALTOGETHER | 14986 |
| 184 | WHENEVER | 37287 | 234 | INCREDIBLY | 23107 | 284 | KINDA | 14792 |
| 185 | ESSENTIALLY | 37053 | 235 | FRANKLY | 22564 | 285 | ALRIGHT | 14773 |
| 186 | DEEPLY | 36652 | 236 | HENCE | 22424 | 286 | INSTANTLY | 14557 |
| 187 | FAIRLY | 36548 | 237 | FURTHERMORE | 22381 | 287 | REPORTEDLY | 14446 |
| 188 | FIRST | 36365 | 238 | PHYSICALLY | 22212 | 288 | GOOD | 14435 |
| 189 | CLOSELY | 35292 | 239 | SUCCESSFULLY | 22129 | 289 | SUPPOSEDLY | 14318 |
| 190 | REGARDLESS | 35166 | 240 | REPEATEDLY | 22066 | 290 | SAFELY | 14285 |
| 191 | SURELY | 33758 | 241 | LATELY | 21666 | 291 | ALLEGEDLY | 14263 |
| 192 | CONSTANTLY | 33165 | 242 | PARTLY | 21132 | 292 | SOLELY | 14236 |
| 193 | PRIMARILY | 33079 | 243 | GENTLY | 20979 | 293 | NEARBY | 14195 |
| 194 | GENERAL | 32547 | 244 | TIGHT | 20839 | 294 | THOROUGHLY | 14022 |
| 195 | WIDELY | 32220 | 245 | RESPECTIVELY | 20476 | 295 | NEAR | 14016 |
| 196 | APPROXIMATELY | 32192 | 246 | ACROSS | 20474 | 296 | LIKEWISE | 13985 |
| 197 | ELSEWHERE | 32162 | 247 | LOUD | 20340 | 297 | MENTALLY | 13939 |
| 198 | STRONGLY | 31943 | 248 | AUTOMATICALI | 20006 | 298 | TRADITIONALLY | 13884 |
| 199 | RARELY | 31747 | 249 | GREATLY | 19911 | 299 | POORLY | 13876 |
| 200 | EFFECTIVELY | 31502 | 250 | SOONER | 19681 | 300 | FIRMLY | 13854 |

Table 11.2

| 301 | OPENLY | 13834 | 351 | SUBSEQUENTLY | 11182 | 401 | ILLEGALLY | 8150 | 451 | INTERNATIONALLY | 6008 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 302 | PRESUMABLY | 13762 | 352 | STATISTICALLY | 11110 | 402 | FRESHLY | 8114 | 452 | UPWARD | 5952 |
| 303 | SADLY | 13633 | 353 | ROUND | 11045 | 403 | BROADLY | 8099 | 453 | INDIRECTLY | 5948 |
| 304 | FORTUNATELY | 13552 | 354 | NAMELY | 10918 | 404 | RANDOMLY | 8080 | 454 | REMOTELY | 5895 |
| 305 | READILY | 13430 | 355 | FORMERLY | 10829 | 405 | WIDE | 8028 | 455 | VAGUELY | 5893 |
| 306 | ADDITIONALLY | 13328 | 356 | USUAL | 10783 | 406 | CULTURALLY | 7919 | 456 | VASTLY | 5875 |
| 307 | SOMETIME | 13317 | 357 | SEVERELY | 10778 | 407 | ABRUPTLY | 7915 | 457 | WITHOUT | 5833 |
| 308 | WORLDWIDE | 13266 | 358 | ANYTHING | 10633 | 408 | WORSE | 7884 | 458 | VOLUNTARILY | 5750 |
| 309 | O'CLOCK | 13145 | 359 | DEAL | 10622 | 409 | INTERESTINGLY | 7804 | 459 | IDEALLY | 5670 |
| 310 | FARTHER | 13099 | 360 | ACCORDINGLY | 10609 | 410 | ALOUD | 7776 | 460 | THANKFULLY | 5663 |
| 311 | EXCLUSIVELY | 12947 | 361 | POSITIVELY | 10578 | 411 | AWHILE | 7709 | 461 | THEREOF | 5660 |
| 312 | HIGH | 12829 | 362 | ROUTINELY | 10568 | 412 | LUCKILY | 7653 | 462 | COMFORTABLY | 5580 |
| 313 | TECHNICALLY | 12829 | 363 | NOTABLY | 10474 | 413 | SAFER | 7581 | 463 | UNDERNEATH | 5563 |
| 314 | PARTIALLY | 12770 | 364 | FINANCIALLY | 10451 | 414 | SECONDLY | 7523 | 464 | ABOARD | 5559 |
| 315 | ALIKE | 12769 | 365 | CONSIDERABLY | 10391 | 415 | INTENTIONALLY | 7508 | 465 | DAMN | 5546 |
| 316 | EXPLICITLY | 12744 | 366 | PRIVATELY | 10189 | 416 | NOWADAYS | 7501 | 466 | CASUALLY | 5523 |
| 317 | EMOTIONALLY | 12733 | 367 | CLEAR | 10160 | 417 | CRITICALLY | 7382 | 467 | SYSTEMATICALLY | 5479 |
| 318 | ANNUALLY | 12677 | 368 | ECONOMICALLY | 10150 | 418 | EFFICIENTLY | 7316 | 468 | FAMOUSLY | 5479 |
| 319 | DOWNTOWN | 12589 | 369 | FUNDAMENTALLY | 10066 | 419 | APPROPRIATELY | 7306 | 469 | UNDERGROUND | 5429 |
| 320 | DESPERATELY | 12530 | 370 | NATIONALLY | 9918 | 420 | SOMEPLACE | 7238 | 470 | PRESENTLY | 5385 |
| 321 | DEEPER | 12475 | 371 | IRONICALLY | 9888 | 421 | EVENLY | 7198 | 471 | MUTUALLY | 5365 |
| 322 | HAPPILY | 12458 | 372 | NICELY | 9834 | 422 | OVERHEAD | 7128 | 472 | LOOSELY | 5322 |
| 323 | PUBLIC | 12449 | 373 | TEMPORARILY | 9811 | 423 | ADEQUATELY | 7100 | 473 | PROFESSIONALLY | 5306 |
| 324 | SOCIALLY | 12426 | 374 | INDEPENDENTLY | 9795 | 424 | VISUALLY | 7070 | 474 | AWFULLY | 5242 |
| 325 | FREELY | 12225 | 375 | GREAT | 9750 | 425 | ODDLY | 6903 | 475 | CALMLY | 5229 |
| 326 | CONSEQUENTLY | 12152 | 376 | CONTINUALLY | 9534 | 426 | AGGRESSIVELY | 6796 | 476 | SINCERELY | 5216 |
| 327 | SOFTLY | 12072 | 377 | SEPARATELY | 9512 | 427 | GENETICALLY | 6778 | 477 | THEORETICALLY | 5209 |
| 328 | Deliberately | 12064 | 378 | PERMANENTLY | 9349 | 428 | PREDOMINANTLY | 6776 | 478 | ADMITTEDLY | 5187 |
| 329 | ACCURATELY | 12052 | 379 | STEADILY | 9330 | 429 | PROUDLY | 6733 | 479 | GLOBALLY | 5126 |
| 330 | STRICTLY | 12034 | 380 | BACKWARDS | 9329 | 430 | WHOLLY | 6698 | 480 | POLITELY | 5101 |
| 331 | PURELY | 11696 | 381 | NATIONWIDE | 9287 | 431 | EVIDENTLY | 6696 | 481 | LOW | 5078 |
| 332 | OVERSEAS | 11658 | 382 | GENUINELY | 9065 | 432 | NEATLY | 6641 | 482 | PERIODICALLY | 5072 |
| 333 | TIGHTLY | 11593 | 383 | LOCALLY | 8963 | 433 | COLLECTIVELY | 6617 | 483 | INTENSELY | 5043 |
| 334 | DEAD | 11586 | 384 | OVERLY | 8952 | 434 | CONTINUOUSLY | 6613 | 484 | SIDEWAYS | 5033 |
| 335 | AFTERWARDS | 11571 | 385 | REMARKABLY | 8885 | 435 | INHERENTLY | 6601 | 485 | UNEXPECTEDLY | 5001 |
| 336 | BETWEEN | 11516 | 386 | HALF | 8853 | 436 | SMOOTHLY | 6584 | 486 | WHEREBY | 4962 |
| 337 | PER | 11469 | 387 | UNDOUBTEDLY | 8775 | 437 | THEREAFTER | 6499 | 487 | AFTER | 4919 |
| 338 | AFTERWARD | 11886 | 388 | ARGUABLY | 8679 | 438 | HIGHER | 6471 | 488 | ENVIRONMENTALLY | 4910 |
| 339 | BEYOND | 11856 | 389 | ACCIDENTALLY | 8623 | 439 | UNDERWAY | 6435 | 489 | INVARIABLY | 4907 |
| 340 | HALFWAY | 11841 | 390 | SELDOM | 8562 | 440 | FINELY | 6423 | 490 | LIVE | 4899 |
| 341 | OVERNIGHT | 11748 | 391 | FORMALLY | 8558 | 441 | OVERWHELMINGLY | 6402 | 491 | CONVERSELY | 4881 |
| 342 | WITHIN | 11726 | 392 | SUFFICIENTLY | 8488 | 442 | PROFOUNDLY | 6334 | 492 | SWIFTLY | 4870 |
| 343 | PURELY | 11696 | 393 | SILENTLY | 8425 | 443 | NEGATIVELY | 6313 | 493 | AMAZINGLY | 4846 |
| 344 | REASONABLY | 11451 | 394 | BEAUTIFULLY | 8405 | 444 | STRANGELY | 6252 | 494 | EXTRAORDINARILY | 4841 |
| 345 | UTTERLY | 11374 | 395 | INDIVIDUALLY | 8401 | 445 | RIGHTLY | 6247 | 495 | SPECIALLY | 4816 |
| 346 | DOWNSTAIRS | 11343 | 396 | MORALLY | 8387 | 446 | RADICALLY | 6244 | 496 | UNIQUELY | 4789 |
| 347 | TERRIBLY | 11298 | 397 | WILDLY | 8367 | 447 | PROMPTLY | 6200 | 497 | SCARCELY | 4769 |
| 348 | SHARPLY | 11293 | 398 | SECRETLY | 8275 | 448 | EXTENSIVELY | 6169 | 498 | BRIGHTLY | 4757 |
| 349 | SUBSTANTIALLY | 11272 | 399 | LOUDLY | 8273 | 449 | UNUSUALLY | 6109 | 499 | PAINFULLY | 4756 |
|  | INEVITABLY | 11245 |  | BACKWARD | 8265 | 450 | FAIR | 6036 | 500 | DRASTICALLY | 4754 |

Table 11.3

First 500 adjectives in COCA

| 1 | SO | 2369950 | 51 | ENOUGH | 280796 | 101 | THEREFORE | 89981 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | JUST | 2270993 | 52 | ALMOST | 279393 | 102 | THROUGH | 87239 |
| 3 | UP | 2108810 | 53 | LATER | 274177 | 103 | OBVIOUSLY | 85367 |
| 4 | OUT | 1828605 | 54 | PROBABLY | 269727 | 104 | ALONE | 85042 |
| 5 | HOW | 1666475 | 55 | FOR | 262501 | 105 | FURTHER | 84817 |
| 6 | NOW | 1602015 | 56 | ELSE | 261822 | 106 | EARLIER | 84674 |
| 7 | HERE | 1413633 | 57 | FAR | 258380 | 107 | EARLY | 84140 |
| 8 | THEN | 1344461 | 58 | HOME | 250336 | 108 | EVENTUALLY | 81479 |
| 9 | MORE | 1249010 | 59 | BOTH | 244396 | 109 | BY | 80090 |
| 10 | WELL | 1189103 | 60 | LITTLE | 244070 | 110 | IMMEDIATELY | 79855 |
| 11 | ALSO | 1142798 | 61 | BETTER | 241826 | 111 | DIRECTLY | 79121 |
| 12 | VERY | 963551 | 62 | PLEASE | 236196 | 112 | SUDDENLY | 77721 |
| 13 | BACK | 938670 | 63 | LONG | 219954 | 113 | EASILY | 76351 |
| 14 | THERE | 932405 | 64 | SOMETIMES | 201797 | 114 | ANYMORE | 75906 |
| 15 | EVEN | 920345 | 65 | PERHAPS | 191398 | 115 | GENERALLY | 74679 |
| 16 | ONLY | 905094 | 66 | LESS | 190018 | 116 | ALONG | 74461 |
| 17 | REALLY | 895913 | 67 | ESPECIALLY | 186072 | 117 | INSIDE | 72440 |
| 18 | WHY | 832923 | 68 | PRETTY | 183714 | 118 | MOSTLY | 72378 |
| 19 | RIGHT | 830733 | 69 | QUITE | 181432 | 119 | DEFINITELY | 70982 |
| 20 | DOWN | 820298 | 70 | FINALLY | 181238 | 120 | SURE | 70758 |
| 21 | ON | 818754 | 71 | EITHER | 181132 | 121 | OTHERWISE | 69222 |
| 22 | TOO | 771413 | 72 | SIMPLY | 166558 | 122 | HIGHLY | 68584 |
| 23 | STILL | 757544 | 73 | EXACTLY | 162663 | 123 | TRULY | 67680 |
| 24 | NEVER | 744607 | 74 | NO | 145060 | 124 | APPARENTLY | 67247 |
| 25 | IN | 726627 | 75 | BEFORE | 142669 | 125 | TOTALLY | 67117 |
| 26 | WHEN | 669532 | 76 | SOON | 138517 | 126 | CURRENTLY | 66051 |
| 27 | AS | 662409 | 77 | CERTAINLY | 138161 | 127 | YESTERDAY | 65617 |
| 28 | WHERE | 597352 | 78 | NEARLY | 138033 | 128 | SOMEWHERE | 65596 |
| 29 | MOST | 561359 | 79 | TONIGHT | 133187 | 129 | SERIOUSLY | 64824 |
| 30 | OVER | 538727 | 80 | RECENTLY | 125530 | 130 | LIKE | 63764 |
| 31 | AGAIN | 530744 | 81 | LONGER | 123822 | 131 | FULLY | 63237 |
| 32 | ALWAYS | 492936 | 82 | USUALLY | 123303 | 132 | BELOW | 62312 |
| 33 | OFF | 479462 | 83 | FORWARD | 120846 | 133 | BASICALLY | 62045 |
| 34 | ABOUT | 462984 | 84 | QUICKLY | 120139 | 134 | TWICE | 61560 |
| 35 | TODAY | 430991 | 85 | THUS | 118653 | 135 | FAST | 61539 |
| 36 | EVER | 402614 | 86 | RATHER | 115104 | 136 | ABOVE | 61021 |
| 37 | MAYBE | 374334 | 87 | THAT | 112903 | 137 | SLOWLY | 60871 |
| 38 | AWAY | 355540 | 88 | P.M. | 110263 | 138 | POSSIBLY | 58071 |
| 39 | ACTUALLY | 353855 | 89 | HARD | 109735 | 139 | SOMEHOW | 57579 |
| 40 | OKAY | 339646 | 90 | CLEARLY | 105963 | 140 | STRAIGHT | 56942 |
| 41 | YET | 338420 | 91 | PARTICULARLY | 104016 | 141 | A.M. | 56128 |
| 42 | MUCH | 329243 | 92 | COMPLETELY | 103940 | 142 | SLIGHTLY | 55388 |
| 43 | HOWEVER | 326043 | 93 | LOT | 99283 | 143 | ANYWHERE | 53949 |
| 44 | AROUND | 324082 | 94 | BEST | 98807 | 144 | CLOSE | 52356 |
| 45 | LEAST | 311572 | 95 | ABSOLUTELY | 98574 | 145 | RELATIVELY | 52070 |
| 46 | ALREADY | 311499 | 96 | INSTEAD | 98544 | 146 | EXTREMELY | 51789 |
| 47 | TOGETHER | 302962 | 97 | ANYWAY | 97820 | 147 | FOREVER | 51733 |
| 48 | OFTEN | 295709 | 98 | INDEED | 95859 | 148 | OUTSIDE | 51015 |
| 49 | AGO | 294951 | 99 | TOMORROW | 92235 | 149 | ULTIMATELY | 49899 |
| 50 | ONCE | 290451 | 100 | AHEAD | 91331 | 150 | UNFORTUNATELY | 49866 |

Table 4.1

| 151 | ENTIRELY | 49350 | 201 | EQUALLY | 30968 | 251 | WAY | 19596 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 152 | SPECIFICALLY | 48927 | 202 | POTENTIALLY | 30670 | 252 | CONSISTENTLY | 19392 |
| 153 | THOUGH | 48918 | 203 | VIRTUALLY | 30111 | 253 | BRIEFLY | 19353 |
| 154 | LATE | 47681 | 204 | NORMALLY | 29963 | 254 | WHEREVER | 18966 |
| 155 | NEITHER | 47463 | 205 | MOREOVER | 29853 | 255 | SEEMINGLY | 18903 |
| 156 | UNDER | 46801 | 206 | FUCKING | 29188 | 256 | GRADUALLY | 18849 |
| 157 | SIGNIFICANTLY | 46378 | 207 | ORIGINALLY | 29083 | 257 | OVERALL | 18591 |
| 158 | LARGELY | 46002 | 208 | PROPERLY | 29063 | 258 | AbROAD | 18254 |
| 159 | EVERYWHERE | 45170 | 209 | HEAVILY | 28880 | 259 | HARDER | 18203 |
| 160 | INCREASINGLY | 44978 | 210 | BESIDES | 28711 | 260 | DAILY | 18185 |
| 161 | NECESSARILY | 44681 | 211 | FASTER | 28420 | 261 | OFFICIALLY | 18080 |
| 162 | PREVIOUSLY | 44478 | 212 | NOWHERE | 28292 | 262 | THIS | 17785 |
| 163 | HARDLY | 43416 | 213 | ROUGHLY | 28083 | 263 | SURPRISINGLY | 17782 |
| 164 | PERSONALLY | 43234 | 214 | INITIALLY | 27566 | 264 | CORRECTLY | 17759 |
| 165 | CAREFULLY | 43033 | 215 | NATURALLY | 27157 | 265 | COMMONLY | 17663 |
| 166 | FORTH | 42834 | 216 | HOPEFULLY | 27150 | 266 | SHORT | 17612 |
| 167 | MEANWHILE | 42307 | 217 | HONESTLY | 26926 | 267 | NONETHELESS | 17584 |
| 168 | BARELY | 42257 | 218 | PRECISELY | 26537 | 268 | UPSTAIRS | 17362 |
| 169 | APART | 42172 | 219 | SIMILARLY | 26398 | 269 | PRACTICALLY | 17237 |
| 170 | PART | 41783 | 220 | OCCASIONALLY | 26248 | 270 | DRAMATICALLY | 17085 |
| 171 | LIKELY | 41539 | 221 | SINCE | 25797 | 271 | PAST | 16881 |
| 172 | TYPICALLY | 41485 | 222 | REGULARLY | 25635 | 272 | IMPORTANTLY | 16802 |
| 173 | MERELY | 40843 | 223 | PUBLICLY | 24932 | 273 | SIMULTANEOUSLY | 16623 |
| 174 | SOMEWHAT | 40262 | 224 | RAPIDLY | 24922 | 274 | ANY | 15960 |
| 175 | CLOSER | 40151 | 225 | MAINLY | 24910 | 275 | ACTIVELY | 15862 |
| 176 | ASIDE | 40129 | 226 | NEVERTHELESS | 24438 | 276 | HISTORICALLY | 15703 |
| 177 | FREQUENTLY | 40033 | 227 | DIFFERENTLY | 24322 | 277 | LAST | 15550 |
| 178 | BEHIND | 39873 | 228 | QUIETLY | 24222 | 278 | LIGHTLY | 15471 |
| 179 | ONLINE | 39528 | 229 | SHORTLY | 23986 | 279 | SOMEDAY | 15352 |
| 180 | DEEP | 39217 | 230 | NEWLY | 23531 | 280 | LEGALLY | 15315 |
| 181 | LITERALLY | 39093 | 231 | BADLY | 23330 | 281 | THEREBY | 15288 |
| 182 | ADDITION | 38709 | 232 | POLITICALLY | 23228 | 282 | SEXUALLY | 15120 |
| 183 | PERFECTLY | 37920 | 233 | WRONG | 23150 | 283 | ALTOGETHER | 14986 |
| 184 | WHENEVER | 37287 | 234 | INCREDIBLY | 23107 | 284 | KINDA | 14792 |
| 185 | ESSENTIALLY | 37053 | 235 | FRANKLY | 22564 | 285 | ALRIGHT | 14773 |
| 186 | DEEPLY | 36652 | 236 | HENCE | 22424 | 286 | INSTANTLY | 14557 |
| 187 | FAIRLY | 36548 | 237 | FURTHERMORE | 22381 | 287 | REPORTEDLY | 14446 |
| 188 | FIRST | 36365 | 238 | PHYSICALLY | 22212 | 288 | GOOD | 14435 |
| 189 | CLOSELY | 35292 | 239 | SUCCESSFULLY | 22129 | 289 | SUPPOSEDLY | 14318 |
| 190 | REGARDLESS | 35166 | 240 | REPEATEDLY | 22066 | 290 | SAFELY | 14285 |
| 191 | SURELY | 33758 | 241 | LATELY | 21666 | 291 | ALLEGEDLY | 14263 |
| 192 | CONSTANTLY | 33165 | 242 | PARTLY | 21132 | 292 | SOLELY | 14236 |
| 193 | PRIMARILY | 33079 | 243 | GENTLY | 20979 | 293 | NEARBY | 14195 |
| 194 | GENERAL | 32547 | 244 | TIGHT | 20839 | 294 | THOROUGHLY | 14022 |
| 195 | WIDELY | 32220 | 245 | RESPECTIVELY | 20476 | 295 | NEAR | 14016 |
| 196 | APPROXIMATELY | 32192 | 246 | ACROSS | 20474 | 296 | LIKEWISE | 13985 |
| 197 | ELSEWHERE | 32162 | 247 | LOUD | 20340 | 297 | MENTALLY | 13939 |
| 198 | STRONGLY | 31943 | 248 | AUTOMATICALL | 20006 | 298 | TRADITIONALLY | 13884 |
| 199 | RARELY | 31747 | 249 | GREATLY | 19911 | 299 | POORLY | 13876 |
| 200 | EFFECTIVELY | 31502 | 250 | SOONER | 19681 | 300 | FIRMLY | 13854 |

Table 12.2

| 301 | OPENLY | 13834 | 351 | SUBSEQUENTLY | 11182 | 401 | ILLEGALLY | 8150 | 451 | INTERNATIONALLY | 6008 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 302 | PRESUMABLY | 13762 | 352 | STATISTICALLY | 11110 | 402 | FRESHLY | 8114 | 452 | UPWARD | 5952 |
| 303 | SADLY | 13633 | 353 | ROUND | 11045 | 403 | BROADLY | 8099 | 453 | INDIRECTLY | 5948 |
| 304 | FORTUNATELY | 13552 | 354 | NAMELY | 10918 | 404 | RANDOMLY | 8080 | 454 | REMOTELY | 5895 |
| 305 | READILY | 13430 | 355 | FORMERLY | 10829 | 405 | WIDE | 8028 | 455 | VAGUELY | 5893 |
| 306 | ADDITIONALLY | 13328 | 356 | USUAL | 10783 | 406 | CULTURALLY | 7919 | 456 | VASTLY | 5875 |
| 307 | SOMETIME | 13317 | 357 | SEVERELY | 10778 | 407 | ABRUPTLY | 7915 | 457 | WITHOUT | 5833 |
| 308 | WORLDWIDE | 13266 | 358 | ANYTHING | 10633 | 408 | WORSE | 7884 | 458 | VOLUNTARILY | 5750 |
| 309 | O'CLOCK | 13145 | 359 | DEAL | 10622 | 409 | INTERESTINGLY | 7804 | 459 | IDEALLY | 5670 |
| 310 | FARTHER | 13099 | 360 | ACCORDINGLY | 10609 | 410 | ALOUD | 7776 | 460 | THANKFULLY | 5663 |
| 311 | EXCLUSIVELY | 12947 | 361 | POSITIVELY | 10578 | 411 | AWHILE | 7709 | 461 | THEREOF | 5660 |
| 312 | HIGH | 12829 | 362 | ROUTINELY | 10568 | 412 | LUCKILY | 7653 | 462 | COMFORTABLY | 5580 |
| 313 | TECHNICALLY | 12829 | 363 | NOTABLY | 10474 | 413 | SAFER | 7581 | 463 | UNDERNEATH | 5563 |
| 314 | PARTIALLY | 12770 | 364 | FINANCIALLY | 10451 | 414 | SECONDLY | 7523 | 464 | ABOARD | 5559 |
| 315 | ALIKE | 12769 | 365 | CONSIDERABLY | 10391 | 415 | INTENTIONALLY | 7508 | 465 | DAMN | 5546 |
| 316 | EXPLICITLY | 12744 | 366 | PRIVATELY | 10189 | 416 | NOWADAYS | 7501 | 466 | CASUALLY | 5523 |
| 317 | EMOTIONALLY | 12733 | 367 | CLEAR | 10160 | 417 | CRITICALLY | 7382 | 467 | SYSTEMATICALLY | 5479 |
| 318 | ANNUALLY | 12677 | 368 | ECONOMICALLY | 10150 | 418 | EFFICIENTLY | 7316 | 468 | FAMOUSLY | 5479 |
| 319 | DOWNTOWN | 12589 | 369 | FUNDAMENTALLY | 10066 | 419 | APPROPRIATELY | 7306 | 469 | UNDERGROUND | 5429 |
| 320 | DESPERATELY | 12530 | 370 | NATIONALLY | 9918 | 420 | SOMEPLACE | 7238 | 470 | PRESENTLY | 5385 |
| 321 | DEEPER | 12475 | 371 | IRONICALLY | 9888 | 421 | EVENLY | 7198 | 471 | MUTUALLY | 5365 |
| 322 | HAPPILY | 12458 | 372 | NICELY | 9834 | 422 | OVERHEAD | 7128 | 472 | LOOSELY | 5322 |
| 323 | PUBLIC | 12449 | 373 | TEMPORARILY | 9811 | 423 | ADEQUATELY | 7100 | 473 | PROFESSIONALLY | 5306 |
| 324 | SOCIALLY | 12426 | 374 | INDEPENDENTLY | 9795 | 424 | VISUALLY | 7070 | 474 | AWFULLY | 5242 |
| 325 | FREELY | 12225 | 375 | GREAT | 9750 | 425 | ODDLY | 6903 | 475 | CALMLY | 5229 |
| 326 | CONSEQUENTLY | 12152 | 376 | CONTINUALLY | 9534 | 426 | AGGRESSIVELY | 6796 | 476 | SINCERELY | 5216 |
| 327 | SOFTLY | 12072 | 377 | SEPARATELY | 9512 | 427 | GENETICALLY | 6778 | 477 | THEORETICALLY | 5209 |
| 328 | DELIBERATELY | 12064 | 378 | PERMANENTLY | 9349 | 428 | PREDOMINANTLY | 6776 | 478 | ADMITTEDLY | 5187 |
| 329 | ACCURATELY | 12052 | 379 | STEADILY | 9330 | 429 | PROUDLY | 6733 | 479 | GLOBALLY | 5126 |
| 330 | STRICTLY | 12034 | 380 | BACKWARDS | 9329 | 430 | WHOLLY | 6698 | 480 | POLITELY | 5101 |
| 331 | PURELY | 11696 | 381 | NATIONWIDE | 9287 | 431 | EVIDENTLY | 6696 | 481 | LOW | 5078 |
| 332 | OVERSEAS | 11658 | 382 | GENUINELY | 9065 | 432 | NEATLY | 6641 | 482 | PERIODICALLY | 5072 |
| 333 | TIGHTLY | 11593 | 383 | LOCALLY | 8963 | 433 | COLLECTIVELY | 6617 | 483 | INTENSELY | 5043 |
| 334 | DEAD | 11586 | 384 | OVERLY | 8952 | 434 | CONTINUOUSLY | 6613 | 484 | SIDEWAYS | 5033 |
| 335 | AFTERWARDS | 11571 | 385 | REMARKABLY | 8885 | 435 | INHERENTLY | 6601 | 485 | UNEXPECTEDLY | 5001 |
| 336 | BETWEEN | 11516 | 386 | HALF | 8853 | 436 | SMOOTHLY | 6584 | 486 | WHEREBY | 4962 |
| 337 | PER | 11469 | 387 | UNDOUBTEDLY | 8775 | 437 | THEREAFTER | 6499 | 487 | AFTER | 4919 |
| 338 | AFTERWARD | 11886 | 388 | ARGUABLY | 8679 | 438 | HIGHER | 6471 | 488 | ENVIRONMENTALLY | 4910 |
| 339 | BEYOND | 11856 | 389 | ACCIDENTALLY | 8623 | 439 | UNDERWAY | 6435 | 489 | INVARIABLY | 4907 |
| 340 | HALFWAY | 11841 | 390 | SELDOM | 8562 | 440 | Finely | 6423 | 490 | LIVE | 4899 |
| 341 | OVERNIGHT | 11748 | 391 | FORMALLY | 8558 | 441 | OVERWHELMINGLY | 6402 | 491 | CONVERSELY | 4881 |
| 342 | WITHIN | 11726 | 392 | SUFFICIENTLY | 8488 | 442 | PROFOUNDLY | 6334 | 492 | SWIFTLY | 4870 |
| 343 | PURELY | 11696 | 393 | SILENTLY | 8425 | 443 | NEGATIVELY | 6313 | 493 | AMAZINGLY | 4846 |
| 344 | REASONABLY | 11451 | 394 | BEAUTIFULLY | 8405 | 444 | STRANGELY | 6252 | 494 | EXTRAORDINARILY | 4841 |
| 345 | UTTERLY | 11374 | 395 | INDIVIDUALLY | 8401 | 445 | RIGHTLY | 6247 | 495 | SPECIALLY | 4816 |
| 346 | DOWNSTAIRS | 11343 | 396 | MORALLY | 8387 | 446 | RADICALLY | 6244 | 496 | UNIQUELY | 4789 |
| 347 | TERRIBLY | 11298 | 397 | WILDLY | 8367 | 447 | PROMPTLY | 6200 | 497 | SCARCELY | 4769 |
| 348 | SHARPLY | 11293 | 398 | SECRETLY | 8275 | 448 | EXTENSIVELY | 6169 | 498 | BRIGHTLY | 4757 |
| 349 | SUBSTANTIALLY | 11272 | 399 | LOUDLY | 8273 | 449 | UNUSUALLY | 6109 | 499 | PAINFULLY | 4756 |
| 350 | INEVITABLY | 11245 | 400 | BACKWARD | 8265 | 450 | FAIR | 6036 | 500 | DRASTICALLY | 4754 |
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