

SUPERVISOR'S OPINION OF BACHELOR THESIS

Study programme: Regional Development

Department: Department of regional development and public

administration

Author of thesis: Ajaya Shrestha

Name of thesis: The importance of Nepal tourism industry in national

economy

Supervisor of thesis: RNDr. Aleš Ruda, Ph.D.

ASSESSMENT OF THESIS: (always add verbal comments)

1. COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIRED ASSIGNMENT:

Compliance with required assignment is only partial. Mostly given objective wwere not met.

2. WORK WITH ACADEMIC LITERATURE:

Student proved only partial and very poor work with academic literature. There are not almost any principles and scientific approaches meeting the key tourism issue. The state of the art also does not involve no new knowledge accessible from scientific journal, although supervisor reminded it several times.

3. CONTENT LEVEL OF WORK:

From the conten level view the work is very chaotic and messy. The key logical structure is missing. From the scientific point of view student gathered some statistic data and made a brief description, but did not give any added value comming from data analysis.

4. FORMAL LAYOUT:

The formal layout of electronic version differs the printed version. It is visible, that the finalizing of the work was realized in a very short time. That is why citations are almost missing, figures and tables are not related to the text. The level of written English is also very low, especially in scientific terminology.

5. COOPERATION WITH SUPERVISOR:

Student has prolonged his bachelor thesis processing of two years. Within a few months he met with supervisor only four times, but any of given advices were not incorporated to the work. The work is still in unfinished status. Unfortunately the final version of submitted bachelor thesis is insufficient.





of Regional Development and International SPE@FIC REMARKS AND COMMENTS ABOUT THE THESIS:

The bachelor thesis does not achieve the needed status for this type of work and It is necessary to rework it.

| DEFENSE OF THESIS: | not recommended | |
|----------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|
| FINAL CLASSIFICATION | N PROPOSAL: F | |
| Date: 8.6.2014 | | Signature of supervisor |

