

# CZECH UNIVERSITY OF LIFE SCIENCES PRAGUE

Faculty of Economics and Management

## Evaluation of the Bachelor Thesis by Opponent

Thesis Title **Agricultural business in Kyrgyzstan**

Name of the student **Mirsamat Mukhamedziev**

Thesis supervisor **Ing. Pavel Kotyza, Ph.D.**

Department **Department of Economics**

Opponent **Ing. Tomáš Maier, Ph.D.**

Logical process being used	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4
The structure of paragraphs and chapters	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4
Formal presentation of the work, the overall impression	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4
Formulation of objectives and Choice of appropriate methods and methodology used	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4
Work with data and information	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4
Work with scientific literature (quotations, norms)	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4
Clarity and professionalism of expression in the thesis	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4
Summary and key-words comply with the content the thesis	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4
Fulfillment of objectives, formulation of conclusions	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4
Comprehensibility of the text and level of language	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4
Evaluation of the work by grade (1, 2, 3, 4)				<b>3</b>

Evaluation: 1 = the best

Date 07/04/2022

.....  
Signature of Opponent

**Other comments or suggestions:**

In the thesis is very low added value. There is wrong formulation of the aim. The two interviews are very poor. There are not topical issuee (figure 4 etc.).

**Questions for thesis defence:**

1. What is the impact of war in Ukraine to the agriculture in Kyrgyzstan?
2. What is the main support for farmers in Kyrgyzstan from the government?



Date 07/04/2022

.....  
Signature of Opponent