

# CZECH UNIVERSITY OF LIFE SCIENCES PRAGUE

Faculty of Economics and Management

## Evaluation of the Bachelor Thesis by supervisor

Thesis Title **Analysis of Diversity of Managerial Characteristics based on Gender and Cultural Background**

Name of the student **Kristýna Houšková**

Thesis supervisor **Ing. Richard Selby, Ph.D.**

Department **Department of Management**

Logical process being used	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
The structure of paragraphs and chapters	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Formal presentation of the work, the overall impression	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Formulation of objectives and Choice of appropriate methods and methodology used	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Work with data and information	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Work with scientific literature (quotations, norms)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Clarity and professionalism of expression in the thesis	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Summary and key-words comply with the content the thesis	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Fulfillment of objectives, formulation of conclusions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Comprehensibility of the text and level of language	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Evaluation of the work by grade (1, 2, 3, 4)				<b>4</b>

Evaluation: 1 = the best

Date 02/05/2022

.....  
Supervisor signature

**Other comments or suggestions:**

Although we exchanged emails, there were no proper consultations regarding this thesis, and the student was still struggling with the topic until early in 2022. Consequently there was never any real focus nor clear methodology until a very late stage. The review of literature is adequate, but the practical part is woefully thin. Reliance on just four interviews (which are not recorded) is insufficient. An attempt at Grounded Theory would have helped, but there is nothing which I can call "research".

Unfortunagely, I cannot recommend this thesis to the State Exam board.

I recommend the student withdraws from the FSE, performs some serious original research, and rewrites the thesis in time for the State Exam in February.



**Plagiarism control:** The system Theses.cz has not assessed the thesis as suspicious.

Date 02/05/2022

.....  
Supervisor signature