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1 Introduction

1.1 Trypanosoma brucei

Trypanosoma brucei is a parasitic protist belonging to the order Kinetoplastida that also includes another unicellular parasites such as Trypanosoma cruzi and Leishmania spp.. There are three subspecies of T. brucei: T. b. brucei, T. b. gambiense and T. b. rhodesience. T. b. gambiense and T. b. rhodesience are etiological agent of the African sleeping sickness in 36 countries in West and Central Africa. T. b. gambiense is responsible for 98% cases of this very serious illness. Nearly 50 millions of people are living at the risk of acquiring the disease. A half million of people are infected and ~20 000 patients die annually. T. b brucei infects cattle and also wild animal causing a disease named nagana which brings enormous disease burden to regions where grazing cattle are major source of milk and meat. (http://www.who.int/en/).

T. brucei has a complex life cycle including two very different hosts, mammals and a tsetse fly (Glossina spp.). Due to this fact, T. brucei must undergo large structural and metabolic changes in cell morphology and metabolism. In the tsetse fly, T. brucei dwells in the gastrointestinal track as a procyclic stage. From the gastrointestinal tract, it moves to the salivary glands, where it develops as a metacyclic form capable of being to be transfer to the new host (Volf et al., 2007; Matthews et al., 2004). In the new host, a mammal, T. brucei occurs in the blood and other tissue fluids as a bloodstream form. To evade immune attack, T. brucei developed a variant surface glycoprotein (VSG) coat that is changing during the infection. Therefore, VSG coat confounds the immunity system and T. brucei is protected (McCulloch, 2004; Pays et al., 2004). During the course of infection, the bloodstream form develops to the non-proliferative stumpy form. Subsequently, the stumpy form is sucked by a tsetse fly and the life cycle is complete (Matthews et al., 2004; Figure 1.1). In mammals, there are two stages of the infection. During the first stage, T. brucei is multiplying in the blood and lymph, and this stage is accompanied by symptoms like fever, headaches, joint pains and itching. During the second stage the parasite penetrates through the blood-brain barrier and infects the central nervous system. Typical symptoms for this stage of sleeping sickness are behavioral changes, confusion, sensory disturbances, poor coordination and disturbed sleep cycle. Ultimately, the sleeping sickness is always fatal without a proper treatment (http://www.who.int/en/).
Figure 1.1: Life cycle of *T. brucei*.

Trypanosomes proliferate in the mammal’s bloodstream as a bloodstream form. With increasing number of parasitic cells, a stumpy cells appear. This stage does not multiple and it is ready for a transmission to tsetse fly. After the bloodmeal, *T. brucei* cells start proliferating in the gastrointestinal track of tsetse fly as a procyclic form. After settling, *T. brucei* is arrested in growth, and it moves to the salivary glands, where it reaches a stage of epimastigote. These proliferating cells transform to a non-proliferating metacyclic form which is ready for transmission to the new mammal host (Matthews, 2005).

Sadly, the available drugs suffer from being inefficient, obsolete and difficult to administrate. Moreover, they possess toxic effects for patients because of some of them being mutagenic and carcinogenic (Croft *et al*., 2005). Therefore, it is necessary to find appropriate drugs to treat diseases caused by *Trypanosoma* parasites. Several main points must be followed for the production of new anti-parasitic drugs. The drug must be stable, orally administered and effective against the parasite while being harmless to the human host. The production of the new chemotherapeutic must be at low cost. Moreover, the bioavailability and the possibility of resistance development must be considered when new drugs are released (de Jersey *et al*., 2011).

Because purine acquisition in *Trypanosoma* and *Leishmania* cells is an indispensable nutritional process, and because parasites do not possess *de novo* pathway to produce purines, the enzymes of the purine salvage pathway are considered as attractive targets for therapeutic development (Berg *et al*., 2010b).

1.2 Purine Salvage Pathway

The purine and its nucleobases (Figure 1.2) are essential molecules for life. Purine nucleotides serve as a nucleic acid precursor, a modulator of enzyme activity, a component
of coenzymes like ATP, GTP, NADH, the acetyl coenzyme A, and they are precursors for the synthesis of the second messenger cyclic AMP (Berg et al., 2010b).

![Fig. 1.2. Most common purine nucleobases.](image)

Purine nucleobases can be synthesized in cells by two different ways: by the *de novo* biosynthesis or by the purine salvage pathway (PSP). Using the *de novo* biosynthesis, inositol monophosphate (IMP), that can be transfer to other nucleobases or nucleotides like GMP or AMP, is created from simple precursors like glycine (Berg et al., 2010b). On the other hand, PSP exploits the exogenous purine sources followed by in-cell metabolism of these molecules (Lawton, 2005). Unlike mammals, *T. brucei* and nearly all parasitic protozoa are auxotrophic for purines and they cannot synthesize purine by *de novo* biosynthesis (Berriman et al., 2005). Given that, this pathway is the only way for *T. brucei* how to obtain essential purine molecules, and the purine salvage pathway is considered to be a potential drug target.

Various parasites have different preferences for purine nucleobases. For example, *T. brucei* and *T. cruzi*, intracellular parasite from South America causing Changase disease, uptake adenine as the most favorable purine, followed by hypoxanthine and guanine. Xanthine is the least preferred source of purine. Nucleosides are uptaken in a similar order (Berg et al., 2010a). Hypoxanthine and adenine are the major sources of purine in the blood, though adenine appears in considerably less concentrations (Vodnala et al., 2008).

In a case of extracellular parasite like *T. brucei*, the host purines must cross only the cell plasma membrane while in a case of intracellular parasites, like *T. cruzi*, *Leishmania* and *Plasmodium*, the purines must go through host's plasma membrane, across the parasitophorous vacuole membrane and the parasite’s cell membrane (Berg et al., 2010b). Although a simple diffusion seems to be plausible to obtain essential purines, an efficient purine uptake is enabled by nucleoside/nucleobase transporters (de Koning and Jarvis, 1997). In the genome of *T. brucei*, 12 nucleoside transporters have been identified, and it is still unclear why *T. brucei* needs so many of them. There are two nucleoside transporters, P1 and P2, and four nucleobase transporters, H1, H2, H3, H4 (Berg et al.,
P1 nucleoside transporter allows adenosine, inosine, guanosine and sometimes hypoxanthine to cross the plasma membrane, and this receptor is expressed in both life stages of *T. brucei* (Ortiz *et al.*, 2009; Sanchez *et al.*, 2002). P2 nucleoside transporter enables transport of adenosine and adenine, and only a bloodstream form expresses this transporter (Mäser *et al.*, 1999). The nucleobases transporters H1-H4 facilitate import of all types of nucleobases, though H1 and H4 transporters are expressed only in the procyclic form and H2 and H3 are found in the bloodstream form (de Koning *et al.*, 2005).

Once the host’s purines are inside of the parasitic cell, the enzymes of purine salvage pathway are responsible for their modifications, conversion and metabolism (Figure 1.3).

**Figure 1.3: Purine salvage pathway in *T. brucei.***

Inosine-adenosine-guanosine nucleoside hydrolase (IAG-NH), inosine-guanosine nucleoside hydrolase (IG-NH), methylthioadenine phosphorylase (MTAP), adenine phosphoribosyltransferase (APRT), hypoxanthine-guanine phosphoribosyltransferase (HGPRT) adenosine deaminase (ADA) and adenosine kinase (AK) (Berg *et al.*, 2010b).

Nucleoside hydrolases (NH), methylthioadenine phosphorylase (MTAP) and phosphoribosyltransferases (PRT) belong to N-ribosyl transferases. These transferases catalyze reactions that transfer a purine from or to ribose or deoxyribose. NH and MTAP split the nucleoside bond between the sugar residue ribosyl and aglycon using water or phosphate molecule. Two types of NH were discovered in cell of *T. brucei*: Inosine-adenosine-guanosine nucleoside hydrolase (IAG-NH) and inosine-guanosine nucleoside hydrolase (IG-NH) (Parkin, 1996; Pellé *et al.*,1998; Verseés *et al.*, 2001). IG-NH is known as 6-oxopurine specific and it has a greater affinity to guanosine and inosine while IAG-NH is purine nucleoside specific with high affinity especially for adenosine (Vandemeulebroucke *et al.*, 2010). Since NH activity has not been detected in the mammalian cell, these enzymes can be potential drug targets against trypanosomas (Berg *et al.*, 2010a). In contrast to cleaving activities of NH and MTAP, PRTases are binding enzymes and transfer phosphoribosyl to free nucleobase such as adenine, xanthine, hypoxanthine and guanine.
Depending on the type of nucleobase, three types of PRTases are distinguished in the cell of *T. brucei*: hypoxanthine-guanine phosphoribosyltransferase (HGPRT), xanthine phosphoribosyltransferase (XPRT) and adenine phosphoribosyltransferase (APRT). PRTases are present in both parasitic protozoa cells and mammalian cells (Berg *et al.*, 2010a).

As it has been mentioned before, the purine salvage pathway in *T. brucei* is a potential drug target. Inhibitors targeting IAG-NH, MTAP and adenosine kinase (AK) are of the main biological interest, however the inhibition of these enzymes can be bypassed by an uptake of simple purine bases (Berg *et al.*, 2010b). Since nucleobases as adenine, hypoxanthine, xanthine and guanine may be converted to the appropriate nucleoside using enzymatic activity HGPRT, XPRT and APRT, parasites can survive on simple purine sources like hypoxanthine and adenine (Pellé *et al.*, 1998; Reyes *et al.*, 1982; Verseés *et al.*, 2001). Thus, the purine salvage pathway seems to be versatile and the inhibition of one enzyme is inefficient. Therefore, the treatment must be complex and it must include at least two different inhibitors (Berg *et al.*, 2010b).

### 1.3 6-oxopurine phosphoribosyltransferases

6-oxopurine phosphoribosyltransferases (6-oxopurine PRTases) belong to the bigger family of ten different enzymes that synthesize purine nucleotides, pyrimidine nucleotides and NAD. 6-oxopurine PRTases catalyze reaction between phosphoribosyl and 6-oxopurine nucleobase (Figure 1.4).

![Figure 1.4: Reaction catalyzed using 6-oxopurine PRTases](image)

Nature occurring bases are guanine (R is -NH2), hypoxanthine (R is -H) a xanthine (R is -OH) (Kehoug *et al.*, 2009).

For this catalytic reaction, a divalent metal ion must be present, and in many cases this ion is magnesium Mg²⁺ (de Jersey *et al.*, 2011). Exact enzymatic mechanism of 6-oxopurine PRTases is known only for the human 6-oxopurine PRT, since its crystal structure has been
solved (Eads et al., 1994; Figure 1.5). However other PRTases will most likely follow the same reaction mechanism. The reaction starts when divalent metal ion binds to phosphoribosyl, then the purine base comes to the reaction center followed by pyrophosphate dissociation and the formation of nucleoside monophosphate is (de Jersey et al., 2011).

Interestingly, in addition to the divalent metal ion, a large flexible loop is another common feature for 6-oxopurine PRTases (Figure 1.5). This loop is comprised of ten to twenty amino acids and it plays a role in closing around the enzyme active site during the catalytic reaction. Thus, the transmission of nucleoside is stabilized and the bond between ribose and pyrophosphate can be formed (Krahn et al., 1997).

Various 6-oxopurine PRTases from different organisms can be divided according to their specificity to individual natural occurring purine bases (guanine, hypoxanthine and xanthine) and regarding their affinity ($K_{cat}$) values to their appropriate substrates. For example bacterial PRTases are the most efficient enzymes being 4-7 times more effective in comparison to human PRTase. Some of the PRTases can use as substrate all of three nucleobases, some of them has affinity to two different ones and some enzymes are highly specific to only one special nucleobase. Many organisms including human have only one 6-oxopurine PRTase (de Jersey et al., 2011).

6-oxopurine PRTases are important in all living organism, and due to this fact, they have a common structure and catalytic features. However, in different organisms 6-oxopurine PRTases convert distinct substrates, and they possess differences in their catalytic activities. For example, mammalian PRTases have no affinity to xanthine while this nucleobase is a preferential substrate for the trypanosomes’ XPRT (Berg et al., 2010a). Thus, it is possible that inhibitors of 6-oxopurine PRTases will selectively inhibit parasite’s enzyme and cause a death of this infectious agent. Furthermore, it has been shown that people with mere 3% activity of HGPRT live without major problems (Dawson et al., 2005). Therefore, a partial inhibition of human HGPRT using anti-parasite inhibitor should not affect the patient’s life. Taking this information together, it is reasonable to investigate the function

Figure 1.5: Subunit A of human HGPRT (Swiss-model template library)
and activity of parasitic PRTases to evaluate these enzymes as potential drug targets and to inhibitors of PRTases for their selective inhibitory properties.

6-oxopurine PRTases were extensively studied in cells of *Leishmania donovani*, a parasitic protozoa causing a visceral leishmaniasis (Volf *et al.*, 2007). *L. donovani* possesses the same enzymes as *T. brucei*: HGPRT, XPRT and APRT (Hwang and Ullman, 1997). Furthermore, it was reported that HGPRT and XPRT enzymes are essential for parasite viability, infectivity and purine acquisition (Boitz and Ullman, 2006a). In additional, HGPRT and XPRT were surprisingly localized to the glycosome (Shih *et al.*, 1998), the specialized subcellular organelle unique for Kinetoplastida (Michels *et al.*, 2006). Glycosomes are peroxisome-like organelles (Gauldron-Lopéz and Michels, 2013), and they contain many metabolic pathways which normally occurred in the cytosol like glycolysis, ether lipid biosynthesis, sterol and isoprenoid biosynthesis, gluconeogenesis, pyrimidine biosynthesis, β-oxidation, pentose phosphate pathway and purine salvage pathway. Many of these enzymes and pathways are essential for *T. brucei* (Colasante *et al.*, 2006), and a mislocation of these important proteins to the cytosol leads to the cell death (Brennand *et al.*, 2012). Peroxisomal and glycosomal proteins are synthesized using cytosolic free ribosomes (Brennand *et al.*, 2012; Gauldron-Lopéz and Michels, 2013), and their localization is determined by the glycosomal or the peroxisomal-targeting signal (PTS). PTS can be located at the C-terminal end of the protein (PTS1), or it can be found at the N-terminus (PTS2). PTS1 consists of three amino acid of SKL motif where first amino acid is small uncharged, second one contains a hydrogen binding group, and the last one is of the hydrophobic nature. PTS2 is defined by a more complex nonpeptide motif (Gauldron-Lopéz and Michels, 2013). Moreover, there is a variable internal PTS, called I-PTS (Penha, *et al.*, 2009). Both HGPRT and XPRT from *L. donovani* contain well defined PTS1 targeting signal (Boitz and Ullman, 2006a).

Likewise in *L. donovani*, 6-oxopurine PRTases were extensively studied in one of the most serious human parasitic pathogen, *Plasmodium*, an infectious agent of malaria. (Schmidt and Roberts, 2009). *P. falciparum* possesses three key purine salvage enzymes: adenosine deaminase (ADA), purine nucleoside phosphorylase (PNP) and hypoxanthine-guanine-xanthine phosphoribosyltransferase (HGXPRT) (Zhao *et al.*, 2012). PNP has similar function as already mentioned NH in *T. brucei*. Interestingly, PNP and NH enzymes have never been found together in one organism (de Jersey *et al.*, 2011). Unlike *T. brucei* or *L. donovani, P. falciparum* has only one 6-oxopurine PRT (HGXPRT), whose main substrate
is hypoxanthine (Abdelwahab et al., 2012). Recently, analogs of mononucleotides were designed and explored as potential inhibitors of this 6-oxopurine PRT. These inhibitors are called acyclic nucleoside phosphonates (ANPs). Importantly, the ANPs inhibit the HGXPRT enzyme in vitro and they hinder the growth of Plasmodium cells in vivo (Keough et al., 2009; De Clercq, 2013).

1.4 Acyclic nucleoside phosphonates

Acyclic nucleoside phosphonates (ANPs) are 2-(phosphonoalkoxy)alkyl derivatives of purine and pyrimidine bases. ANPs consist of purine base and phosphate group mimicking the 5’– phosphate group link to the ribose (De Clercq, 2012). There are three main differences between ANPs and mononucleotides (Figure 1.6). First, there is a replacement of oxygen ester with carbon. This replacement provides resistance to the enzymatic dephosphorylation or chemical hydrolysis. Second, there is a displacement of oxygen atom. The classic atomic arrangement of nucleotides is C-O-P, but the arrangement of ANPs is O-C-P. This difference causes greater resistance to the degradation of ANPs compared to the normal nucleotide. The last difference is an absence of the glycosidic bond that further increases the resistance to the degradation. Moreover, ANPs are very flexible in their structure. This flexible structure enables conformational changes important for the binding to the active site of the enzyme (Keough et al., 2009).

Figure 1.6: Differences between mononucleotides and some ANPs (Keough et al., 2009).

Chemical and pharmaceutical properties of ANPs are objects of intensive studies due to their antiviral and antiparasitic activity (Holý, 2005) and their non-toxicity for human cells (Česnek et al., 2012). Currently, quite a few ANPs are in clinical use to treat serious viral
diseases (Figure 1.7). The most famous ANPs are (S)-9-(2,3-Dihydroxypropyl)adenine [(S) DHPA, known commercially as Duviragel], (S)-1-(3-Hydroxy-2-phosphonomethoxypropyl) cytosine [(S)-HPMPC, known as Cidovir or Vistide,] or 9-(2-Phosphonomethoxyethyl) adenie (PMEA, Adefovir). Duviragel is an aliphatic nucleoside analog with broad-spectrum of antiviral activity, and it is used to treat infection by herpes labialis. Cidovir has been used to treat cytomegalovirus retinitis in AIDS patients and in other immunosuppressed patients since 1996. Moreover, Cidovir can be used against human papillomavirus infection, polymavirus infection and even smallpox. Adefovir was originally developed as a potent drug against HIV virus. However, the dose required to eliminate HIV infection was too high and thus toxic for the patients, yet this drug is used to cure hepatitis B virus infection. Finally more effective Tenofir [(R)-9-(2-Phosphonomethoxypropyl)adenine, (R)-PMPA] was introduced to the market to treat HIV infection (De Clercq, 2013).

Figure 1.7: Examples of ANPs in current clinical use.
A: (S)-DHPA, Duviragel
B: (S)-HPMPC, Vistide
C: (R)-PMPA, Tenofir and its fumarate salt known as Viread
(De Clercq, 2013)

As it was mentioned above, ANPs also possess antiparasitic activity, and they were at first tested on Plasmodium cells. ANPs, which inhibit Plasmodium HGXPRT, include (S)-HPMPG (similar to Vistide, but containing guanine instead of cytosine) and PMEG (similar to Adefovir, containing guanine instead of adenine) (De Jersey et al., 2011). First evidence of inhibition HGXPRT by ANPs was observed in 1999 (Smeijsters et al., 1999),
but the mechanism of their action was unclear until the crystal structures of PfHGXPRT bound with PPI.Mg$^{2+}$ and human HGXPRT inhibited with acyclic guanosine phosphonate were solved (De Jersey et al., 2011).

There are three key enzyme regions that are responsible for the inhibitor binding: the 5'-phosphonate binding site, the large mobile loop and the purine binding site. The 5'-phosphonate binding site is a loop that changes radically its structure when a mononucleotide product or a transition state analogue or a phosphonate binds to the active site. The phosphonate group’s oxygen then creates tight hydrogen bonds with this loop due to close interaction between the enzyme and ANP. The second important region is the large mobile loop that needs the Mg$^{2+}$ ion and phosphoribosyl to change its structure and to close up the active side. The last key region, the purine binding site, provides the selectivity and the affinity. When nucleobase as hypoxanthine or xanthine is linked to either ribose-5'-phosphonate or ANP and binds to the active site, it triggers the displacement of the hydrogen bond inside of the purine binding side and forming of new hydrogen bond between the purine binding side and the oxygen atom of the purine ring (Keough et al., 2009). Additionally, the length of ANP is also important. Binding of short ANP is annulled. On the other hand, longer ANP does not bind also, because ANP is too long to bridge the purine binding site and the 5'-phosphate binding site. (Keough et al., 2009)

Since T. brucei posseses two 6-oxopurine PRTases (HGPRT and XPRT), we decided to test if selected ANPs will inhibit these enzymes. Furthermore, it has been reported in the past that some types of ANPs [e.g. (S)-HPMPA] have cytotoxic effects on T. b. rhodosience, T. b. gambience and T. b. brucei cells (Kaminsky et al., 1998;Kaminsky et al., 1996). Additionally, it seems that some ANPs can cross blood-brain barrier, so they can be used to treat second stage of the infection (Freeman and Gardiner,1996).

ANPs are synthesized in the former lab of Prof. Holy, which is now led by Dr Zlatko Janeba (Institute of Organic Chemistry and Biochemistry, Prague CZ). Over 100 different derivatives of ANPs were provided to us by Dr. Dana Hockova from the same lab. Having an opportunity to work with such a wonderful library, we have initiate studies to characterize T. brucei HGPRT/XPRT proteins and to decipher mode of action of selected ANPs.
2 Aims

1. Assess biological roles of TbHGPRT/1, TbHGPRT/2 and TbXPRT enzymes in vivo

2. Over-express and purified recombinant TbHGPRT/1 and TbXPRT proteins

3. Establish in vitro activity assays of purified TbHGPRT/1 recombinant protein

4. Determine the IC$_{50}$ values for selected ANPs in vivo
3 Materials and Methods

3.1 Cloning

3.1.1 Primer design and Polymerase Chain reaction (PCR)

There is a single gene (Tb927.10.1390) encoding XPRT while there are two genes encoding HGPRT proteins (Tb927.10.1400, HGPRT/1; Tb927.10.1470, HGPRT/2). Nevertheless, HGPRT/1 and HGPRT/2 are very similar at the nucleotide and amino acid level (Figure 4.1) with only several amino acids being different at the C-terminus.

For the purpose of this study, HGPRT/1, HGPRT/2 and XPRT genes were cloned to different vectors: pBSK3 (Chen et al., 2004), pT7v5 (Flaspohler et al., 2010) and p2T7-177 (Wickstead et al., 2002). pBSK3 vector is used to over-express proteins tagged with His tag in the bacterial cells. pT7V5 vector is used to over-express proteins tagged with v5 in T. brucei cells. p2T7-177 plasmid is used to produce dsRNA and thus silence an expression of the protein of interest in T. brucei cells. First, the genes were amplified by PCR using primers containing different restriction sites (see Table 3.1).

Table 3.1: Primers for PCR amplification. Underline sequence are specific restriction sites.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expression in pSKB3</th>
<th>Primer Sequence (Restriction site)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TbXPRT Fw</td>
<td>CAGGGATCCACTCGGGCCATCTCAC (BamHI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TbXPRT Rv</td>
<td>GTGCTCGAGTTACATTTGCTCGGGTACC (XhoI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TbHGPRT/1 Fw</td>
<td>CAGGGATCCGAACCGGCTTCGAAATACC (BamHI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TbHGPRT/1 Rv</td>
<td>GTGCTCGAGTTACGCTTGAGGCTTCC (XhoI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over-expression in pT7v5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TbXPRT Fw</td>
<td>CAGGGATCCACTCGGGCCATCTCAC (BamHI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TbXPRT Rv</td>
<td>CACTCTAGATTACATTTGCTCG (XbaI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TbHGPRT/1 Fw</td>
<td>TACGGATCCGAACCAGTTGCAAATACC (BamHI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TbHGPRT/1 Rv</td>
<td>GCGTCTAGATTACGTTTTCACGACG (XbaI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TbHGPRT/2 Fw</td>
<td>CAGGGATCCGAACCAGTTGCAAATACC (BamHI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TbHGPRT/2 Rv</td>
<td>GCGTCTAGATTACGTTTTCACGACG (XbaI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNAi in p2T7_177</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TbXPRT Fw</td>
<td>CACGAACCTTTGACTCGGGCCATCTCTCA (HindIII)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TbXPRT Rv</td>
<td>CACCTCGAGTGAGGCCCCCGTGGCGCAAAT (XhoI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TbHGPRT Fw</td>
<td>GACGGATCCGACGCTCGCAA (BamHI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TbHGPRT Rv</td>
<td>GTGAAGCTTTGCGATAGCCACG (HindIII)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The PCR was carried at Thermal Cycler (Biorad) under following conditions:

1. Denaturation 96°C 5 minutes
2. Denaturation 94°C 0.5 minute
3. Primer annealing 55°C 0.5 minute
4. Amplification 72°C 1 minute
5. Amplification 72°C 10 minutes
6. Hold at 4°C

Steps 2, 3 and 4 were repeated 30-times.

PCR products were visualized on the agarose gel, the proper size was checked using a known DNA ladder, and the amplified DNA was extracted from the gel using QIAquick Gel Extraction (QIAGEN).

3.1.2 pGEM-T easy cloning and E. coli transformation

The purified PCR products were ligated to the pGEM®-T easy Vector System (Promega) (Figure 3.1) accordingly the manufacturer's instruction.

After the ligation step, the reaction was transformed to E. coli strain XL1 (5μl of ligation reaction into 50μl of the bacterial culture). Cells were incubated on ice for 10-20 minutes followed by a heat shock (45 seconds at 42°C). Then cells were cooled down on ice for 2 minutes, 250μl of SOC medium was added, and cells were incubating for 45-75 minutes at 37°C in the shaking incubator. In a meantime, 50μl of 100mM IPTG and 30μl of X-gal (at 200mg/ml) were added to the agarose plate containing ampicillin.

![Figure 3.1: Map of commercial vector pGEM®-T easy (Promega).](image)
(100μg/ml) to select cells contacting correct plasmid using blue/white selection screen. Cells were spread on the plate, incubated at 37°C over night, and white colonies were picked up to following analyzes. Single colonies were grown in 5ml of LB media overnight at 37°C in the shaking incubator. Plasmid DNA was isolated by QIAprep Spin Miniprep Kit (QIAGEN) using manufacturer’s protocol, and plasmid DNA was verified using restriction reaction with the appropriate restriction enzymes and by sequencing. Restricted fragments carrying targeted genes were isolated from the agarose gel and used for subsequent cloning step to pBSK3, pTv5 N-terminus and p2T7-177 vectors.

3.1.3 Preparation of pTv5-N-terminal vector

In order to create a plasmid that would contain the v5 tag at its N-terminus (pTv5-N-term), the original pTv5 plasmid (containing v5 tag at its C-terminus; Figure 3.2 A) was mutated using QuikChange Lightning Multi Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit to create XbaI restriction site before the 3xv5tag sequence. In the second step, the 3xv5 tag were amplified by PCR and pGEM®-T easy. The 3xv5tag was cloned between T7 promoter and place for gene of interest using HindIII and BamHI restriction enzymes. Finally, the original 3xv5tag was cut out with BamHI and XbaI restriction enzymes (Figure 3.2 B). This modified vector was used to over-express XPRT and HGPRT genes in *T. brucei*.

![Figure 3.2: Map of original pTv5 vector (A) and modification to create pTv5-N terminal (B).](image-url)
3.1.4 Ligation to pSKB3 vector, pTv5-N-terminal vector and p2T7_177 vector

Maps of pSKB3 vector and p2T7_177 are on Figure 3.3.

Vectors were cleaved with particular restriction enzymes (Table 3.2). Restrictions were proved on the agarose gel, and restricted vectors were isolated from the gel followed by ligation of the specific inserts. In case of p2T7_177, fragment of TbHGPRT was cloned at first followed by cloning of TbXPRT fragment. Success of ligation was verified using specific restriction enzymes and by sequencing. Verified vectors pSKB3 carrying specific inserts were transformed to *E. coli* strain BL21, and proved vectors pTv5-N-term and p2T7_177 were electroporated to *T. brucei* cells.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vector</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Restriction enzymes</th>
<th>Genes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pSKB3</td>
<td>Over-expression in <em>E. coli</em></td>
<td>BamHI, XhoI</td>
<td>TbXPRT, TbHGPRT/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pTv5-N-Term</td>
<td>Over-expression in <em>T. brucei</em></td>
<td>BamHI, XbaI</td>
<td>TbXPRT, TbHGPRT/1, TbHGPRT/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p2T7_177</td>
<td>RNAi in <em>T. brucei</em></td>
<td>BamHI, HindIII, XhoI</td>
<td>Fragments of TbXPRT and TbHGPRT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.1.5 Electroporation, antibiotic selection and cultivation of *T. brucei* bloodstream form cells

Verified plasmids p2T7-177/TbHGPRT+TbXPRT, pTv5-N-term_TbXPRT, pTv5-N-term_TbHGPRT/1 and pTv5-N-term_TbHGPRT/2 (20ug) were cleaved by NotI restriction enzyme (1.5ul) at 37°C overnight. The NotI enzyme cleaves specific sequence that is homologue to the rDNA in the case of pTv5 plasmid or transcriptionally silent 177 region in the case of p2T7-177 plasmid of *T. brucei*, and thus directs this plasmid to
the genome by homologue recombination. Linearized constructs (50μl reaction) were precipitated by 5μl of 3M sodium acetate and 125μl 96% ethanol, air-dried and resuspended in the sterile water.

A specific single marker (SM) cell line was used to introduce linearized plasmid DNA into the genome. SM cell line is genetically modified cell line of *T. brucei* BF427 containing the T7 polymerase and the tetracycline repressor under the G418 selection. These two genes products enable inducible expression of the gene of interest in pTv5-N-term or expression of dsRNA in p2T7-177. SM are kept under the G418 selection at the concentration of 2.5μg/ml in HMI-9 medium (HMI-9/G).

To transflect cells with the linearized plasmid, approximately 3x10^7 SM cells were harvested by centrifugation. The cell pellet was washed with 20ml of sterile PBS-G and resuspended in 100μl AMAXA human T-cell solution. Cells were placed into the electroporation cuvette and 10-15μg of precipitated linearized plasmid DNA was added. Electroporation was conducted using the Nucleofector II (Amaza) X-001 program, after which the transfected cells were transferred to 30ml HMI-9/G. 10-fold serial dilutions of the transfected cells (1x10^7, 1x10^6 and 1x10^5) were dispensed into 24-well plates and left to recover for 16h at 37°C in 5% CO2. Then 1ml of HMI-9 with phleomycine (final concentration 2.5μg/ml, HMI-9/G/Phleo;) was added into every well for p2T7-177 vector (p2T7-177 bears resistance for phleomycine), and 1ml of HMI-9/G with puromycin (final concentration 0.1μg/ml, HMI-9/G/Puro) was added for pTv5-N-terminal vector (pTv5 bears resistance for puromycine). Plates were incubated at 37°C for up to 10 days until the antibiotics selection was completed and clones selected. Cells resistant to antibiotics underwent successful homologue recombination event. Through the study the bloodstream form *T. brucei* was kept in HMI-9 media (Hirumi et al., 1997) with specific antibiotics at 37°C under 5% CO2 at the density of 1x10^5 – 2x10^6 cells/ml.

### 3.2 Expression and purification of recombinant protein

*TbHGPRT/1_6His and TbXPRT_6His*

#### 3.2.1 Pilot expression

To determined over-expression of TbHGPRT/1_6His and TbXPRT_6His, *E. coli* cells were growing in 5 ml LB media containing kanamycin (pSKB3 bears resistance for kanamycin) at 37°C in the shaking incubator. When the OD_{600} reached 0.4, cells were induced with IPTG (final concentration 100μM). Right before the induction, 1ml of culture
was taken and harvested by centrifugation as a non-induced whole cell lysate (WCL) sample. Induced cells were left growing for one, two and three hour, and every hour 1ml of culture was harvested as an induced WCL sample. Expression was verified on the 12% SDS-PAGE gel and coomassie staining.

3.2.2 Solubility pilot assay

To assess a solubility of TbHGPRT/1_6His and TbXPRT_6His, the solubility pilot assay using Bug Buster solution (Novagen) was performed. Briefly, the cell pellet was prepared from 5ml of bacterial culture that was induced at OD600=0.4, for hours at 37°C. Right before the induction, 1ml of culture was taken and harvested by centrifugation as a non-induced WCL sample. Induced cells were left growing for one hour, and then 1ml of culture was harvested as a WCL sample and the rest of the culture was harvested for the solubility analysis. WCL samples were obtained by resuspending cell pellets in 100μl PBS and 50μl 3xSDS-PAGE loading dye. To assess if protein of interest is soluble or not, the cell pellets were resuspended in 200μl of the Bug Buster solution containing 1x protease inhibitors (Roche) and were rotated for 30 minutes in RT. Then cell lysates were centrifuged at 16,000xg for 20 minutes at 4°C and supernatants were transferred to new eppendorf tubes. Supernatant volumes were measured that pellets were resuspended in 1xPBS at the same volume as supernatant samples. All samples were then analyzed by SDS-PAGE followed by coomassie staining, or proteins were blotted onto the PVDF membrane, probed with anti-His primary antibody (1:2000; Invitrogen) followed by anti-mouse secondary antibody (1:2000; Biorad).

3.2.3 HPLC Purification of TbHGPRT/1_6His

Accordingly to the result of the solubility pilot assay, soluble TbHGPRT/1_6His was purified under native conditions. The cell pellet was prepared from 500ml of bacterial culture that was induced at OD600=0.4 for 2 hours at 37°C. The cell pellet was resuspended in STE buffer with 2x protease inhibitors. The volume of buffer was adjusted to the weight of the cell pellet (30 ml STE/1g of pellet). Cells were lysed with 1% Triton X-100 and freshly prepared lysozyme (30mg/1g of pellet) on ice for 30 minutes followed by DNAse I treatment (150μg/1g of pellet and 30mM MgCl₂). The sample was rotated for 10 minutes at 4°C and spun down at 10.000xg for 30 minutes at 4°C. The supernatant was filtered with the 0.45μm filter to remove any particles before loading onto the Ni-NTA column (1ml Histrap™ HP, GE Healthcare) that specifically binds His-tagged proteins. TbHGPRT/1_6His
was purified using ÄKTA prime plus accordingly to the product manual. STE buffer was used as a buffer A, and STE containing 500mM imidazol was used as a buffer B. Flow through was collected in 5ml fractions. Then fraction size was change to 1ml and elution was performed using a linear gradient (50ml, 0.5ml/min from 0mM to 500mM imidazol). Flow through and elution fractions were collected and verified on the SDS-PAGE gel. Elution fractions containing recombinant TbHGPRT/1_6His were pooled together and dialyzed against STE buffer with no imidazol using a dialyzing tube with 3,5kDa pores (Serva). The dialyzed recombinant protein was checked by SDS-PAGE, and its concentration was measured using Bradford protein assay (BioRad) with BSA as standards. 2.7mg of recombinant protein TbHGPRT_6His was sent for the antibody production to Davids Biotechnology (Regensburg, Germany), and it was also used in in vitro assays.

3.2.4 HPLC Purification of TbXPRT_6His

Accordingly to the result of the solubility pilot assay with Bug Buster, the insoluble TbXPRT_6His was purified using a protocol that used sarkosyl to solubilize inclusion bodies. The cell pellet and cell lysate were obtained as mentioned above for TbHGPRT/1_6His protein. In contrast to the protocol above, the pellet after the Triton X-100 lysis (not the supernatant) was washed once with PBS and resuspended in PBS (15ml/1g of the cell pellet) containing 1.5%.sarkosyl. The sample was rotated at 4°C overnight and spun down at 10.000xg for 30 minutes at 4°C. The supernatant was filtered with the 0.45μm filter to remove any particles before loading onto the Ni-NTA column, and recombinant TbXPRT_6His was purified using ÄKTA prime plus accordingly to product manual under the same condition as for the purification of TbHGPRT/1_6His. Buffer A, and buffer B were (see chapter Solutions and Buffers) used during purification. Flow through and elution fractions were collected and verified on the SDS-PAGE gel. Elution fractions containing recombinant TbXPRT_6His were pooled together and were step dialyzed in two different buffers to decreases amounts of salts and to get rid off imidazole. The dialyzed recombinant protein was checked on the SDS-PAGE gel and its concentration was assessed by Bradford protein assay. The recombinant protein TbXPRT_6His was sent for an antibody production.

3.3 Measuring in vitro activity of TbHGPRT/1_6His

TbHGPRT/1_6His activity was measured by a continuous spectrophotometric assay measuring the conversion of hypoxanthine, guanine and xanthine to IMP, GMP and XMP, at 245nm, 257.5nm and 255nm, respectively. The concentration of enzyme (75μg/ml) and
PribPP (100uM) were kept constant. The reaction was carried out at 1.0 cm path length at UV Visible spectrometer 1601 (Shimadzu) in the reaction buffer at the room temperature. The initial velocity ($V_0$ [μM/min]) was calculated using Lambert-Beer law for 7 different concentration of the individual substrate molecules:

$$A = \Delta \varepsilon \cdot L \cdot c$$

where $A$ is measured absorbance, $L$ is length of cuvette, $\Delta \varepsilon$ is constant (5817 M$^{-1}$*cm$^{-1}$ for guanine, 2283 M$^{-1}$*cm$^{-1}$ for hypoxanthine and 4685 M$^{-1}$*cm$^{-1}$ for xanthine; Keough et al., 1998) and finally, $c$ is concentration of the product formed in one minute ($V_0$). Obtained data were processed with software GraphPad Prism using Michaelis-Menten kinetics to calculate the maximum velocity $V_{max}$ and the Michaelis constant $K_m$ values for individual substrate molecules $K_{cat}$ was then calculated from the equation:

$$K_{cat} = \frac{V_{max}}{[E]}$$

where $[E]$ is concentration of enzyme.

Specific activity (μmol*s$^{-1}$*μg$^{-1}$) of the enzyme is defined as amount of the product (μmol) generated in 1 minute at 60uM purine substrate per 1μg enzyme.

### 3.4 SDS-PAGE and Western blot

Samples prepared from *T. brucei* whole cell lysates, from *E. coli*, from protein purifications and from digitonin fractionations were heated at 97°C for 7 minutes, and they were loaded on 12% polyacrylamid gel. For subsequent analysis, the proteins were blotted onto the PVDF membrane (BioRad) incubated over night at PBS-T containing 5% milk. Next day the membranes were incubated with primary antibodies (anti-v5 and anti-His, Invitrogen; anti-enolase and anti-hexokinase, gift from Julius Lukeš, Hannaert *et al.*, 2003, Cardenas *et al.*, 1998; anti-hsp70, anti-XPRT, anti-HGPRT, our own production) for one hour, then they were 3-times washed in PBS-T, incubated with secondary antibodies (anti-mouse and anti-rabbit, BioRad) in milk for one hour followed by another 3-times wash in PBS-T. The signal was visualized using Clarity™ Western ECL Substrate (Biorad) in Chemidoc™ MP instrument (Biorad).

### 3.5 Sub-cellular fractionation with digitonin

Whole cell lysate was prepared from 1x10$^7$ cells expressing TbHGPRT/1_v5 or TbXPRT_v5 proteins. To obtain a membrane and cytosolic fractions, 1x10$^8$ cells over-expressing TbHGPRT/1_v5 or TbXPRT_v5 were harvested by centrifugation, washed in
PBS-G, resuspended in 500μl SoTE and lysed with 500μl SoTE containing 0.03% digitonin. The cells were incubated on ice for 5 minutes followed by centrifugation (4°C, 3000xg, 3 minutes). Supernatant represents the cytosolic fraction (cyto) and pellet represent the membrane fraction (mem).

3.6 Immunofluorescence assay (IFA) to visualize proteins of interest in situ

_T. brucei_ cells over-expressing TbHGPRT/1_v5 or TbXPRT_v5 were induced by tetracycline (final concentration 1μg/ml) for 24 hours. 2x10^7 non-induced cells and 7x10^7 induced cells were harvested by centrifugation. In a meantime rounded coverslips were treated with 20μl of 10% poly-L-Lysine solution, air-dried and washed 3-times with 1xPBS. The harvested cells were resuspended in 200μl PBS, 40μl cells were added on the coverslip, let it sit for 15 minutes and fixed with 3.6% formaldehyde in 1xPBS for 15 minutes. Then cells were permeabized with 100μl 0.1% Triton X-100 in 1xPBS for 10 minutes followed by 3-times wash with 1xPBS. As a blocking solution, 100μl 5.5% FBS in 1xPBS was added for 45 minutes followed by 2-times washes with 1xPBS. Cells were then incubated for 1 hour with 40μl of 3% BSA in 1xPBS-T containing primary antibodies mouse anti-v5 (1:200), rabbit anti-enolase and rabbit anti-hexokinase (1:200). Primary antibody was washed out using 1xPBS followed by secondary antibodies staining (1:400) goat anti-mouse labeled by Texas Red®-X (Molecular Probes, Invitrogen) or goat anti-rabbit labeled by FITC (Sigma). Cells were washed 3-times with 1xPBS-T and 2-times with 1xPBS. Finally, the coverslips were mounted on a glass slide with VECTASHIELD Mounting media containing DAPI to stain the nucleus and kinetoplast DNA. Coverslips were examined using Yeiss AxioPlan 2 microscope (Carl Yeiss, Jena, Germany). Pictures were taken using F-view CCD camera, and photos were colored using Adobe Photoshop.

3.7 Alamar blue assay

All ANPs were tested on wild type BF427 cells, and the most efficient ones were also tested on TbHGPRT/1_v5 over-expressing cells line.

The 96-well plate was filled with 100ul of HMI-9 media. Into the last well of each row, 25ul of the studied compound (25mM) was added and mixed shortly. Out of the last well, 25ul of solution was taken and added into the next well (generating a dilution by 5). The dilution was continued until the last well. By this procedure the drug solution was diluted along the row giving a serial dilution of the drug (Figure 3.4). Then, 100ul of cells
(1x10⁴) were added into an each well (diluting the compound again by 2), resulting in a final cell concentration at 5x10³ per well. The plate was left for 24 hours at 37°C, then 20ul of resazu-rine solution (100ug/ml) was added and incubated for additional 6 hours.

The resulting fluorescence was read on the microplate reader (TECAN) using fluorescence excitation wavelength of 540-570nm and reading emission at 580-610nm. The results were analyzed by plotting the fluorescence intensity versus compound concentration using nonliner regression (curve fit) and sigmodial dose-response analysis by Graph Pad Prism software.

3.8 Growth curves

*T. brucei* RNAi cell lines carrying the p2T7-177/HGPRT+XPRT vector were growing in 5ml in HMI-9/G/Phleo medium. Cells were divided into two different flasks (non-induced and induced) at the concentration of 2x10⁵ cells/ml. RNAi cells were induced by tetracycline (final concentration 1μg/ml). Cell density was measured every day by Beckam Coulter Z2 Particle Counter. If their cell density reached 5x10⁵ cells/ml, the culture was split down to the starting density of 2x10⁵ cells/ml.

3.9 Real-time quantitative PCR

3.9.1 RNA isolation

RNA from single markers, non induced cells and RNAi induced cells for two or four days was purified using RNA blue reagent (TopBio) accordingly to the manufacturer’s protocol. Briefly, 7x10⁸ cells were incubated for 10 minutes in RT in 25ml of RNA blue reagent, then 5ml of chloroform was added, tubes were shaken vigorously and were spun down (10 minutes, 12,000xg at 4°C). Upper aqueous phase was transferred to a fresh tube, 12.5ml of isopropanol solution was added and tubes were incubated for 2 hours at 4°C.
Samples were then centrifuged (30 minutes, 12,000xg at 4°C), washed with 10ml of ice-cold 75% ethanol and spun down (10 minutes, 12,000xg at 4°C). The samples were air-dried for 5-10 minutes, the RNA was resuspended in sterile MilliQ/RNAse-free water. Final concentration of RNA samples were determined with Nanodrop (Thermo Scientific).

3.9.2 Reverse transcription, creation of cDNA

Isolated RNA from SM, non induced cells, induced cells (15μg) was treated with Dnase I accordingly to DNA-free kit (Applied Biosystems). Reverse transcription reaction took place in a volume of 20μl accordingly to Transcriptor HF cDNA synthesis kit (Roche; Table 3.3). Reaction without the reverse transcriptase (-RT) enzyme served as a control for DNA contamination. RNA samples with random primers were mixed to reverse transcription (Table 3.4).

**Table 3.3: Preparation to reverse transcription.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Volume</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5x First Strand Buffer</td>
<td>4μl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protector Rnase Inhibitor</td>
<td>0.5μl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dNTP Mix</td>
<td>2μl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTT</td>
<td>1μl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT</td>
<td>1.1μl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MiliQ water</td>
<td>11.5μl</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3.4: RNA sample preparation to reverse transcription.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RNA</td>
<td>4μg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Random primers</td>
<td>250ng</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MiliQ water</td>
<td>To 11.5μl</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RNA samples including random primers (Table 3.4) were first heated at 65°C for 10 minutes and then left on ice for 1 minute. Then the enzyme mixture (Table 3.3) was added to the samples, incubated at 29°C for 10 minute, at 48°C for 60 minutes and 85°C for 5 minutes in the PCR cycler. cDNA was then kept on ice or stored at -20°C. For the purpose of real-time qPCR, RT samples were diluted 500x with water and -RT samples were diluted 10x.

3.9.3 Real-Time qPCR

LC480 Multiwell Plate 96-white well plate (Roche) and LC480 SYBR Green I Master kit were used. 18S rRNA and β tubuline primers served as an internal control. One reaction contained: 10μl 2xSYBR Green I master, 2μl cDNA and 4μl 300nM specific primes (Table 3.5) or 4μl 300nM 18S rRNA primers or 4μl 300nM β tubuline primers. All samples were prepared in triplicates. The reaction plate was run in LightCycler 480 according to the program:
1. denaturation 95°C 10 minutes
2. denaturation 95°C 15 seconds
3. Annealing 60°C 1 minute

Cycle was repeated 65 times.

Melting curves were measured to determine a present of single amplicon. Obtained data were analyzed by LightCycler 480 Software, linear regression of PCR efficiency was calculated by LinRegPCR program, and mean efficiency value for every sample was counted using Pfaffle calculation table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3.5: qPCR primers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TbXPRT Fw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TbXPRT Rv</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TbHGPRT Fw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TbHGPRT Rv</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.10 Solutions and Buffers

Agarose plate:
1% tryton, 0.5% yeast extract, 0.5% NaCl, 1.5% agar

Dialyzing Buffers for TbXPRT_6His:
First buffer: 500mM NaCl, 0.1% saksosyl
Second buffer: 150mM NaCl, 0.1% saksosyl

In vitro Assay Reaction Buffer:
0.1 M Tris-HCl pH 8.5, 0.11M MgCl₂

LB medium:
1% tryton, 0.5% yeast extract, 0.5% NaCl

PBS:
5.6mM Na₂HPO₄×12H₂O, 3.6mM NaH₂PO₄×2H₂O, 0.145M NaCl

PBS-G:
5.6mM Na₂HPO₄×12H₂O, 3.6mM NaH₂PO₄×2H₂O, 0.145M NaCl, 3.3mM glucose

PBS-T:
5.6mM Na₂HPO₄×12H₂O, 3.6mM NaH₂PO₄×2H₂O, 0.145M NaCl, 0.05% Tween

Purification Buffer A:
20mM NaPO₄ pH 8, 500mM NaCl, 25mM imidazole, 0.2% saksosyl

Purification Buffer B:
20mM NaPO₄ pH 8, 500mM NaCl, 500mM imidazole, 0.1% saksosyl

Purification PBS:
20mM NaPO₄ pH 8, 500mM NaCl

SDS-PAGE loading dye:
63mM Tris-HCl, 10% glycerol, 2% SDS, 0.0025% Bromophenol Blue, pH 6.8

SOC medium:
2% tryton, 0.5% yeast extract, 10mM NaCl, 2.5mM KCl, 10mM MgCl₂, 10mM MgSO₄, 20mM glucose

SoTE:
20mM Tris-HCL pH 7.5, 0.6M sorbitol, 0.4% EDTA

STE:
50mM Tris-HCl, 150mM NaCl, 1mM EDTA
4 Results

4.1 Identification of genes for HGPRT and XPRT in genome of *T. brucei*

HGPRT and XPRT proteins were identified in the genome of *T. brucei* (Kotrbová, 2012; Aistleitner, 2012) using related sequences from *Leishmania donovani* and *L. major* (Jardim *et al.*, 1999). TbXPRT is encoded by a single gene (Tb927.10.1390) while TbHGPRT is encoded by two genes (Tb927.10.1400: HGPRT/1, Tb927.10.1470: HGPRT/2). HGPRT/1 and HGPRT/2 are almost identical at the nucleotide and amino acid level (97.6% similarities) with just a few differences at their C-terminus (Figure 4.1). TbXPRT and TbHGPRT/2 protein sequences contain a glycosomal targeting signal at their C-terminus, and thus these proteins might be localized into the glycosomes. In contrary, TbHGPRT/1 is missing such a signal and its localization could not be predicted using various software.

![Figure 4.1: Protein sequence alignment of TbHGPRT/1 (Tb1400) and TbHGPRT/2 (Tb1470) in *T. brucei*. Alignment was created using Geneious.](image-url)
4.2 *In vitro* characterization of TbHGPRT/1_6His and TbXPRT_6His proteins

4.2.1 Expression, solubility and purification of TbHGPRT/1_6His and TbXPRT_6His proteins

To obtain purified recombinant TbHGPRT/1_6His and TbXPRT_6His proteins for subsequent experiments, the *TbHGPRT/1* and *TbXPRT* open reading frames were cloned into the bacterial vector pSKB3. The N-terminally 6-his tagged TbHGPRT/1 and TbXPRT proteins were expressed and their solubility properties were tested using Bug Buster solution (Figure 4.2).

![Figure 4.2: Solubility assay with Bug Buster. TbHGPRT/1_6His is half percent soluble, and TbXPRT_6His is insoluble under native conditions.](image)

A: Solubility of TbXPRT_6His  
B: Solubility of TbHGPRT/1_6His

Samples from whole cell lysate (WCL) before induction (T0) and later from induction (T1), pellet (Pel) and supernatant (Sup) after treatment with Bug Buster were analyzed by immunoblotting using anti-His (1:1000) primary antibody and anti-mouse (1:1000) secondary antibody.

The recombinant proteins TbHGPRT/1 and TbXPRT were detected using anti-His (Invitrogen) antibody in whole cell lysate after 1 hour of induction (Figure 4.2 A and B). Importantly, the signal for TbHPRT/1_6His was also detected in the soluble fraction (supernatant) suggesting that this protein remains in the cytosol of the bacterial cells and can be purified using native condition. In contrast to these results, the recombinant TbXPRT_6His protein was detected only in the pellet fraction implying that under the conditions used, this protein is assembled into the inclusion bodies.
4.2.1.1 Purification of recombinant TbHGPRT/1_6His

Since the signal for TbHGPRT/1_6His was detected in the soluble fraction, purification under native condition was performed to obtain purified TbHGPRT/1_6His protein. Cells expressing TbHGPRT/1_6His were treated with lysozyme and Dnase I, and supernatant was further proceed for a purification using ÄKTA prime plus. Flow through and elution fractions were collected and verified on the SDS-PAGE gel (Figure 4.3 A). A little bit of protein is detected in the flow through fraction, suggesting that a small portion of the recombinant protein did not bind to the column. High amount of the recombinant TbHGPRT/1_6His protein appeared in the fractions 4 to7. Elution fractions 4-9 were pooled, dialyzed and checked on the SDS-PAGE gel (Figure 4.3 B). A small degradation of the protein of interest was observed. However, majority of the detected protein runs at the expected size.

Bradford analysis revealed that 14mg of the recombinant TbHGPRT/1_6His protein was purified. The amount of 2.7mg of the purified protein was sent for an antibody production, and the rest of the protein was kept at -20 and used for in vitro assays.
In summary, we purified sufficient amount of the recombinant protein under native condition for a specific antibody production and for *in vitro* assays (see below).

### 4.2.1.2 Purification of TbXPRT

Unlike TbHGPRT/1_6His, TbXPRT_6His is insoluble and could not be purified under the native condition. Therefore, a detergent sarkosyl was used to solubilize inclusion bodies. Induced *E. coli* cells expressing TbXPRT_6His were treated with lysozyme and Dnase I, and pellet after this lysis was treated with sarkosyl. After the spin, supernatant was diluted to decrease the sarkosyl concentration and loaded on a Ni-NTA column using ÄKTA Prime plus instrument. As in the case of TbHGPRT/1_6His, samples were taken from every step of purification and verified on the SDS-PAGE gel (Figure 4.4).

![Figure 4.4: Purification of TbXPRT_6His using the sarkosyl solubilization protocol. TbXPRT was successfully purified.](image)

A: Purification profile of TbXPRT_6His
B: Elution fractions
C: Verification after dialysis

In every step samples were taken and verified on the SDS-PAGE gel. Lysis Sup: supernatant after treatment with lysozyme and Dnase I; Lysis Pel: Pellet after treatment with lysozyme and Dnase I, than treated with sarkosyl; Sarkosyl Sup: Supernatant after sarkosyl treatment loaded on column; Sarkosyl Pel: Pellet after sarkosyl treatment; FT: flow trough

Gel was stained by coomasie.

Though most of the protein is detected in the pellet after the treatment with sarkosyl, a sufficient amount of TbXPRT_6His protein stayed in the supernatant and was loaded
on the Ni-NTA column (Figure 4.4 A). Protein of interest appeared in the elution fraction 6 and disappeared in the fraction 12 (Figure 4.4 B). Hence, these fractions were collected and dialyzed. After dialysis, a band of an appropriate size was detected (Figure 4.4 C). Bradford analysis revealed that 1.43mg of recombinant protein was purified. This amount of the protein was sent for an antibody production. In summary, using the sarkosyl solubilization protocol we purified sufficient amount of protein for specific antibody production.

4.2.2 In vitro activity of the recombinant TbHGPRT/1_6His protein

Activity of the purified recombinant TbHGPRT/1_6His was measured in vitro by spectrophotometry (Figure 4.5 Table 4.1). Values for $V_0$ ($\mu$M/min) calculated for different concentration of hypoxanthine and guanine were used. Using steady-state conditions, TbHGPRT/1_6His exhibited a $K_m$ value of 13.23$\mu$M for hypoxanthine when PRibPP was fixed at concentration of 30mM and a $k_{cat}$ value of 0.202s$^{-1}$. Gaunine was also recognized by HGPRT/1_6His protein as substrate with $K_m$ value of 6.35$\mu$M and $k_{cat}$ value of 0.184s$^{-1}$.

![Figure 4.5: Michaelis-Melten kinetics model of recombinant TbHGPRT/1_6His for hypoxanthine (A) and guanine (B).](image)

2.5$\mu$M enzyme, 1mM PRibPP and different concentration of purine substrates (hypoxanthine, guanine and xanthine) were used to assay at pH 8.5. The initial velocity was calculated from the change of absorbance that happened during the first 10s reaction, the value was then related to 60 seconds. The dependence of initial velocity on substrate concentration was processed by GraphPad Prism software using Michaelis-Menten kinetics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Hypoxanthine</th>
<th>Guanine</th>
<th>Xanthine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$K_m$ ($\mu$M)</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>6.35</td>
<td>Non</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_{max}$ ($\mu$M* s$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>0.607</td>
<td>0.552</td>
<td>Non</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_{cat}$ (s$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>0.202</td>
<td>0.184</td>
<td>Non</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{cat}/K_m$ (s$^{-1}$*$\mu$M$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>Non</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific activities of HGPRT ($\mu$mol*s$^{-1}$*μg$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>0.434</td>
<td>0.396</td>
<td>Non</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
As predicted by the homology search, recombinant TbHGPRT/1_6His can bind only hypoxanthine and guanine while xanthine was not recognized at all. The catalytic efficiencies for hypoxanthine and guanine are very similar. Nevertheless, conversion of guanine to GMP is slightly more effective than conversion of hypoxanthine to IMP, although $V_{\text{max}}$ and $K_{\text{cat}}$ are slightly better for hypoxanthine reaction. In summary, we confirmed \textit{in vitro} specificity of recombinant TbHGPRT/1_6His to hypoxanthine and guanine.

Activity of TbXPRT_6His could not be evaluated, because sarkosyl blocked the activity of the enzyme, although we attempted to remove it by dialysis.

4.2.3 Test of specific antibodies against TbXPRT and TbHGPRT

Specific purified rabbit antibodies against TbHGPRT and TbXPRT were tested using Western blot analysis. Whole cell lysate from $1 \times 10^7$ cells of wild types of both procyclic (PF) and bloodstream form (BF) were fractionated on the SDS-PAGE gel, blotted onto PDVF membrane and probed with the antibodies at dilution 1:1000 (Figure 4.6 A). Anti-XPRT detected same level of XPRT protein in both form. Unfortunately, under these conditions no signal was detected when anti-HGPRT antibody was used (data not shown). Thus, dilution of 1:500 (Figure 4.6 B) was tested using the same samples. Using these conditions, a weak band was detected in PF cells while no band was detected in BF lane. The non-purified serum was also tested resulting in a detection of a weak band of expected size also in BF lane in addition to a strong band at ~38Kda. This band most likely represents a cross-reaction with unknown protein (Figure 4.6 C). Moreover, anti-HGPRT antibody was tested on recombinant TbHGPRT/1_6His protein and on TbHGPRT/1_v5 over-expressing \textit{T. brucei} cell line (Figure 4.7) to verify anti-HGPRT specificity to TbHGPRT protein. Anti-HGPRT recognizes the recombinant TbHGPRT/1_6His at concentration of 8,8$\mu$g. The expression of v5-tagged TbHGPRT/1_v5 was also recognized by anti-HGPRT antibody, weakly in non-induced cells and strongly in the tet-induced cells (Figure 4.7).

In summary, purified anti-XPRT serum works satisfactory at dilution 1:1000 detecting only one band of the expected size in both PF427 and BF427 cells. Considering that the same amount of cells was loaded on a gel, we can conclude that the level of TbXPRT expression is the same in PF and BF cells. On the other hand, purified anti-HGPRT appeared as a weak antibody recognizing TbHGPRT only in PF cells. When non-purified serum was tested, two bands were detected and the lower band was of the expected size. Comparing the intensity of the TbHGPRT band to the higher band serving as a loading control we can
conclude that TbHGPRT protein seems to be regulated at the expression level between PF and BF cells.

Figure 4.6: Testing of specific antibodies.
A: Purified anti-XPRT; dilution 1:1000
B: Purified anti-HGPRT; dilution 1:500
C: Non-purified anti-HGPRT; dilution 1:500
1*10^7 cells per well of PF or BF were loaded on 12% SDS-PAGE gel, and probed with protein specific primary antibody and anti-rabbit (1:1000) secondary antibody.

Figure 4.7: Testing of non-purified anti-HGPRT antibody to recombinant TbHGPRT/1_6His (A) and on TbHGPRT/1_v5 over-expressing cell line (B).
A: 2.2, 4.4, 6.6 and 8.8µg recombinant protein was loaded on 12% SDS-PAGE. Non-purified primary anti-HGPRT was diluted 1:250 and anti-rabbit secondary antibody was diluted 1:1000
B: 1*10^7 cells per well of TbHGPRT/1_v5 over-expressing cell were loaded on 12% SDS-PAGE gel. Lysates were analyzed with non-purified primary anti-HGPRT (1:250) and anti-rabbit secondary antibody (1:1000).

Based on these results, we decided to use purified anti-XPRT at the dilution 1:1000 with the cell lysate made from 1*10^7 cells per well and non purified anti-HGPRT at the dilution 1:250 with the cell lysate made from 2*10^7 cells per well to obtain reproducible results. The nonspecific band visible, when anti-HGPRT serum is used, serves as a loading control.
4.3 *In vivo* characterization of TbHGPRT/1_v5 and TbXPRT_v5 proteins

4.3.1 Sub-cellular localization of TbHGPRT/1_v5 and TbXPRT_v5

Sub-cellular localization of TbHGPRT/1, TbHGPRT/2 and TbXPRT was unknown in cells of *T. brucei*. Therefore, we tried to determine localization using two methods: digitonin fractionation and immunofluorescence assay. For both methods a cell line, in which the expression of TbHGPRT/1_v5, TbHGPRT/2_v5 or TbXPRT_v5 tagged protein can be induced by addition of tetracycline into the media, was used. Three for TbXPRT_v5, two for TbHGPRT/1_v5 and one for TbHGPRT/2_v5 cell lines were induced for 48 hours, and the over-expression of the protein of interest was verified by Western blot (Figure 4.8).

![Figure 4.8: Verification of over-expression by Western Blot.](image)

A: Verification of TbXPRT_v5; predicted size 36kDa
B: Verification of TbHGPRT/1_v5; predicted size 28kDa
C: Verification of TbHGPRT/2_v5; predicted size 28kDa

1*10^7* cells per well were loaded on 12% SDS-PAGE gel, and probed with anti-v5 (1:1000) primary antibody and anti-mouse (1:1000) secondary antibody.

Western blots suggested, that all proteins are being expressed in all tested cell lines. Importantly, signal was also detected in lanes containing whole cell lysates from non-induced cells, suggesting a leaky expression of protein of interest without tetracycline induction. This phenomena is usually explain by a strong activity of the T7 RNA polymerase and by an uncompleted repressing mechanism by tetracycline repressor protein. For the subsequent analysis, the cell lines TbXPRT_v5/1 and TbHGPRT/1_v5/1 were used. TbHGPRT/2_v5 cell line was not used for following analysis because it had been created very recently and we plan to characterize this cell line in a near future.
4.3.1.1 Sub-cellular fractionation with digitonin

A plant glycoside digitonin is a detergent dissolving fats, especially cholesterol. Hence, it can dissolve cholesterol rich cell membranes in low concentration. Using this method, we were able to separate the membrane fraction from the cytosol, and thus detected the localization of targeted proteins TbXPRT_v5 and TbHGPRT/1_v5 (Figure 4.9).

Figure 4.9: Sub-cellular fractionation with digitonin. TbXPRT_v5 is localized into the membrane fraction, and TbHGPRT/1_v5 is localized into the cytosolic fraction.

A: Localization of TbXPRT_v5
B: Localization of TbHGPRT/1_v5
First blot: glycosomal marker hexokinase (50kDa); second blot: cytosolic marker enolase (47kDa); third blot: mitochondrial marker hsp70 (71kDa); fourth blot: target proteins
WCL: whole cell lysate; Cyto: cytosolic fraction; Mem: membrane fraction

$1 \times 10^6$ cells per well were loaded on 12% SDS-PAGE gel, and probed with specific primary antibody and anti-rabbit (1:1000; hexokinase and enolase) and anti-mouse (v5 and hsp70) secondary antibody.

Cells over-expressing TbXPRT_v5 and TbHGPRT/1_v5 proteins were treated with digitonin, and the whole cell, cytosolic and membrane fractions were analyzed using SDS-PAGE followed by Western blot analysis. The TbXPRT_v5 protein was detected in the membrane fraction, the same fraction in which the glycosomal marker hexokinase was present (Figure 4.9 A). On the other hand, TbHGPRT/1_v5 protein was detected in the cytosolic fraction (Figure 4.9 B). The correct localization of marker proteins in the individual fractions verified accuracy of the digitonin treatment. Since this method cannot distinguish between different membrane cellular fractions (glycosomal vs. mitochondrial), immunofluorescence assay (IFA) was performed to distinguish between different cellular compartments.
4.3.1.2 Immunofluorescence assay (IFA)

Using this method, localizations of proteins of interest were performed in situ in fixed cells on a slide. The proteins of interest were visualized using a primary antibody against the tag followed by a fluorescent labeled secondary antibody. The cells were then observed under the fluorescence microscope. Pictures were captured using CCD camera and they were further processed in Photoshop program. The known proteins as enolase and hexokinase were used as marker proteins for the cytosol and glycosomes, respectively.

![Fluorescence images of proteins](image)

**Figure 4.10: TbXPRT_v5 is localized to the glycosome.** Hexokinase: glycosomal marker; enolase: cytosolic marker; 4,6-diamino-2-phenylindole (DAPI): staining of nuclear and kinetoplast DNA; merged: fluorescence from hexokinase or enolase with TbXPRT_v5; merged + DAPI: hexokinase or enolase, TbXPRT_v5 and DAPI.

The secondary antibody to v5 on target proteins was conjugated with Texas Red®-X (red color), and the secondary antibody to glycosomal and cytosolic markers were labeled with FITC (green color). Organelles containing DNA (nucleus and kinetoplast) are blue. Co-localized proteins in merged picture are orange.
Figure 4.11: TbHGPRT/1_v5 is localized to the cytosol. Hexokinase: glycosomal marker; enolase: cytosolic marker; 4,6-diamino-2-phenylindole (DAPI): staining of nuclear and kinetoplast DNA; merged: fluorescence from hexokinase or enolase with TbHGPRT/1_v5; merged + DAPI: hexokinase or enolase, TbHGPRT/1_v5 and DAPI.

The secondary antibody to v5 on target proteins was conjugated with Texas Red®-X (red color), and the secondary antibody to glycosomal and cytosolic markers were labeled with FITC (green color). Organelles containing DNA (nucleus and kinetoplast) are blue. Co-localized proteins in merged picture are orange.

Our results suggest that TbXPRT_v5 is localized to the glycosomes because the red signal co-localizes with the glycosomal marker hexokinase (Figure 4.10). On the other hand, TbHGPRT/1_v5 seems to be localized to the cytosol because its signal overlaps with the cytosolic marker enolase (Figure 4.11). Moreover, localization of TbXPRT_v5 to glycosomes is supported by a presence of PTS1 at the C-terminal end while TbHGPRT/1_v5 has no such a signal. Importantly, the N-terminal v5-tag did not interfere with the glycosomal import of TbXPRT. In summary, these results confirm localization TbHGPRT/1_v5 into the cytosol and determine localization of TbXPRT_v5 into the glycosomes.

4.3.2 Cytotoxicity of selected ANPs tested in TbHGPRT/1_v5 cell line

The cell proliferation can be measured by an Alamar blue assay (Räz et al., 1997). This method was used to evaluate if some types of ANPs can inhibit the growth of BF T. brucei cells. Until now, nearly one hundred of ANPs have been tested in our laboratory and
the most efficient compounds were selected (Table 4.2). To test if these compounds bind to and inhibit TbHGPRT enzyme, compounds containing hypoxanthine or guanine nucleobase were used to estimate IC$_{50}$ values for non-induced cells and cells expressing TbHGPRT/1\_v5 protein. We hypothesized that an increase IC$_{50}$ value in induced over-expressing cells in comparison to non-induced would indicate that TbHGPRT is indeed the target for the tested compounds. The parental single marker cell line (SM), non-induced and induced TbHGPRT/1\_v5 cell line were incubated with selected ANPs for 24 hours, treated with resazurine for additional 6 hours and the IC$_{50}$ values were calculated using Graph Pad Prism (Table 4.3, Figure 4.12).

**Table 4.2: IC50 of efficient ANPs testing on wild types.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>IC50 (μM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DA-XII-73</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DA-XIII-21</td>
<td>2.995±0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-P351</td>
<td>1.43±1.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-P364</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DA-XIII-47</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-P369</td>
<td>3.715±2.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-P372</td>
<td>1.53±0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMEG</td>
<td>2.2±0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-HPMPG</td>
<td>3±0.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Figure 4.12: Action of one ANP (DA-XII-73) on single markers (SM), induced (ind) and non-induced (non) TbHGPRT/1\_v5 over-expressed cell line. Proliferation were evaluated by Alamar blue assay. These data were processed with GraphPad Prism using non-lineral regression.](image)

**Table 4.3: IC50 of efficient ANPs for single markers (SM), non-induced (NON) and induced (IND) TbHGPRT/1\_v5 over-expressed cells.** Experiment was repeated several times and the average IC50 values with its standard deviations are calculated.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>IC50 (μM) SM</th>
<th>IC50 (μM) NON(HGPRT/1_v5)</th>
<th>IC50 (μM) IND(HGPRT/1_v5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DA-XII-73</td>
<td>5.4±1.26</td>
<td>13.1±0.42</td>
<td>16.7±6.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DA-XIII-47</td>
<td>9.49±3.71</td>
<td>15.08±5.91</td>
<td>18.38±2.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-P369</td>
<td>5.99±0.27</td>
<td>11.91±2.16</td>
<td>17.59±3.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-P351</td>
<td>4.47±0.75</td>
<td>6.35±0.71</td>
<td>13.25±1.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
As expected, the IC$_{50}$ values for the selected 4 compounds were in low micromolar values. When IC$_{50}$ values were compared between non-induced and induced cells, no significant differences were found. However, when these values were compared to SM cells, the IC$_{50}$ values for non-induced and induced cells were up to 3 times higher than the IC$_{50}$ values determined for SM cells. These results can be explained by a leakage expression of TbHGPRT/1_v5 tagged protein in non-induced cells as it is apparent from the Figure 4.8. In this figure, the non-induced cells showed a background expression of the tagged TbHGPRT enzyme which may have an effect on IC$_{50}$ values measured in this cell line. In summary, these results suggest that the selected ANPs may inhibit the TbHGPRT enzyme.

4.3.3 Silencing expression of TbXPRT and TbHGPRT by RNAi

To determine, if TbHGPRT and TbXPRT are essential, the expression of these two genes was silenced by RNAi.

4.3.3.1 Analysis of growth phenotype in single knock-down (SKD) cell lines

In the past, no growth phenotype was detected in single knock-down (SKD) RNAi lines silencing expression of TbXPRT or TbHGPRT in regular HMI-9 media containing hypoxanthine as a major purine source (Figure 4.13 A for XPRT; Figure 4.14 A for HGPRT; Aistleitner, 2012). These results might be explained by two views. First, when TbHGPRT is silenced then TbXPRT has an affinity to hypoxanthine and can convert this molecule to IMP. Second, regular fetal bowing serum (FBS) containing purine molecules, was used in this experiment. Due to this fact, the growth of cells can be rescued by the purines obtained from FBS. To evaluate a growth of SKD RNAi cell line, we prepared media that contains only one type of purine source (hypoxanthine or xanthine) and used dialyzed fetal bovine serum (Invitrogen).

In the case of SKD TbXPRT RNAi cells, a strong growth phenotype was observed second day after RNAi induction in the medium containing only xanthine (Figure 4.13 B). Therefore, we concluded that TbHGPRT cannot convert xanthine to XMP, and xanthine is modified only by TbXPRT. In the medium with hypoxanthine, no growth phenotype was observed for SKD TbXPRT RNAi cells confirming the activity of TbHGPRT that converts hypoxanthine to IMP (Figure 4.13 C).
Figure 4.13: Growth phenotype of SKD TbXPRT RNAi. In HMI-9 with xanthine TbXPRT is essential for bloodstream form of *T. brucei*. 
A: Regular HMI-9 (Data from Martina Aistleitner)  
B: HMI-9 with 50μM xanthine  
C: HMI-9 with 50μM hypoxanthine  
Both non-induced (non) and induced (ind) cell lines were diluted if they reached concentration more than $5 \times 10^5$ cell/ml and were growing in 5 ml of media. Single markers (SM) served as a control.

Figure 4.14: Growth phenotype of SKD TbHGPRT RNAi. In HMI-9 with hypoxanthine slightly phenotype was observed.  
A: Regular HMI-9 (Data from Martina Aistleitner)  
B: HMI-9 with 50μM hypoxanthine  
C: HMI-9 with 50μM xanthine  
Both non-induced (non) and induced (ind) cell lines were diluted if they reached concentration more than $5 \times 10^5$ cell/ml and were growing in 5 ml of media. Single markers (SM) served as a control.
In the case of SKD TbHGPRT RNAi cells, a mild growth phenotype was detected third day after RNAi induction in the medium containing hypoxanthine. After 4 days of RNAi induction, the induced cells reached similar doubling time as non-induced, suggesting an adaptation to the growth conditions. These data may suggest, that TbXPRT can also act on hypoxanthine, and after few day of growth only in hypoxanthine environment TbXPRT was able to fully substitute TbHGPRT. Parental cell line SM and SKD TbHGPRT cells were grown in the media, containing xanthine as the only purine source. Since xanthine is the least preferable source of purines in *T. brucei*, the growth of SM and non-induced cells was mildly affected. Interestingly, a strong phenotype was observed when RNAi was induced, suggesting that TbXPRT was not able to function properly. This observation is difficult to explain and currently the cell line is being verified for RNAi specificity.

Taking all these data together, it seems, that TbXPRT is more promiscuous than TbHGPRT and can act on both hypoxanthine and xanthine. In contrast, TbHGPRT can act only on hypoxanthine. It should be noted that guanine was not tested in these assays.

4.3.3.2 Analysis of growth phenotype in double knock-down (DKD) cell lines

Because RNAi induced silencing expression had not led to a growth phenotype in regular HMI-9, double knock-down (DKD) TbHGPRT/TbXPRT RNAi cell line was created. Non-induced and induced DKD TbHGPRT/TbXPRT RNAi cell lines were growing in regular HMI-9, and their density was measured every day (Figure 4.15).

![Figure 4.15: Growth phenotype of DKD TbHGPRT/TbXPRT RNAi cell lines. Both genes are essential for bloodstream form of *T. brucei*.](image)

A: Non cumulative model of graph
B: Cumulative model of graph

Both non-induced (NON) and induced (IND) cell lines were diluted every day to concentration $2 \times 10^5$ cell/ml and were growing in 5 ml of media. Single markers (SM) served as a control.
The growth curve shows a growth phenotype after three days upon RNAi induction. However, as in the case of SKD cell lines, phenotype was not strong as expected, likely because of purines coming from regular FBS. Due to this fact, DKD TbHGPRT/TbXPRT cells were examined in HMI-9 with dialyzed FBS and with defined purine sources like hypoxanthine, xanthine and adenosine (Figure 4.16).

Importantly, strong growth phenotypes were observed in media containing hypoxanthine or xanthine. These results imply that TbHGPRT and TbXPRT are essential for bloodstream form of *T. brucei*, and their insufficient activity leads to the stagnation in growth. These results suggest that TbHGPRT and TbXPRT are the only enzymes that can convert nucleobase to its monophosphate analog. When both genes are silenced, the cells are not able to divide when 6-oxopurines are in the media.

Interestingly, a mild growth phenotype was observed in the medium containing adenosine. This result was not expected since *T. brucei* possess a strong adenosine kinase activity and several active adenosine transporters (Berg *et al.*, 2010). However it seems plausible that when the cells are grown in the regular HMI-9 media containing hypoxanthine...
that cells may lose the immediate ability to salvage adenosine. Because of this fact, the DKD TbHGPRT/TbXPRT cells were grown in the medium containing adenosine for two weeks to adapt, and after this time the RNAi experiment was repeated (Figure 4.17).

Figure 4.17: Growth phenotype of DKD TbHGPRT/TbXPRT RNAi cell lines in HMI-9 with 50μM adenosine. Adenosine rescue cells.
A: Non cumulative model of graph
B: Cumulative model of graph

Both non-induced (NON) and induced (IND) cells were diluted every day to concentration 2x10^3 cell/ml and were growing in 5 ml of media. Single markers (SM) served as a control.

Due to adenosine preincubation, the RNAi induced growth phenotype observed in the previous experiment was almost diminished. Accordingly to this result, cells of *T. brucei* sufficiently adapted to adenosine as a sole purine source after two weeks.

4.3.3.3 Verifying of silencing expression

RNA interference was verified by qPCR (DKD cell lines) and by specific anti-XPRT antibody.

4.3.3.3.1 Verifying of silencing expression by qPCR

Decreased levels of TbHGPRT and TbXPRT mRNA in DKD TbHGPRT/TbXPRT RNAi cell line were quantified by real-time quantitative PCR (Figure 4.18).
qPCR showed a significant decrease in expression of both \textit{TbHGPRT} and \textit{TbXPRT} genes. These results confirmed silencing expression of both \textit{TbHGPRT} and \textit{TbXPRT} in DKD \textit{TbHGPRT/TbXPRT RNAi} cell line.

4.3.3.2 Verifying of silencing expression by specific anti-XPRT antibody

Moreover, regulation of expression in SKD \textit{TbXPRT}, SKD \textit{TbHGPRT} and DKD \textit{TbXPRT/TbHGPRT RNAi} lines was verified by Western blot using anti-XPRT primary antibody (Figure 4.19)

![Figure 4.19: Anti-XPRT antibody confirmed decreasing level of TbXPRT in a SKD TbXPRT RNAi and in a DKD TbHGPRT/TbXPRT RNAi cell lines.](image)

1x10\(^7\) non induced cells (Non); induced cells for 2 (Ind2) or 4 days (Ind4) were loaded onto the 12\% SDS-PAGE gel and blotted to PDVF membrane. Lysates were analyzed with anti-XPRT (1:1000) primary antibody and anti-rabbit (1:1000) secondary antibody.

Anti-XPRT detected a decrease in TbXPRT protein level in SKD TbXPRT (Figure 4.19 A) and DKD TbHGPRT/TbXPRT RNAi cell lines (Figure 4.19 C) while in SKD TbHGPRT RNAi protein level was unaffected (Figure 4.19 B). This result confirms efficient RNAi silencing of TbXPRT expression in both SKD TbXPRT RNAi and DKD TbHGPRT/TbXPRT RNAi cell lines. Anti-HGPRT was not used to verify these RNAi lines because of its low recognition level.
5 Discussion and conclusion

5.1 In vitro characterization of TbHGPRT/1

Recombinant TbHGPRT/1_6His and TbXPRT_6His proteins were purified and sent to produce specific antibodies. TbHGPRT/1_6His was purified under the native condition, and its activity was measured in in vitro assay. Unfortunately, TbXPRT_6His was purified with the detergent called sarkosyl, which blocks the activity of the enzyme even after a thorough dialysis. A purification under denaturing conditions with 8M urea with subsequent refolding did not yield in sufficient amount of protein for the in vitro activity assay. Therefore, a careful optimization of the purification protocols is needed to obtain sufficient amount of the TbXPRT_6His protein for in vitro assay.

The TbHGPRT/1_6His activity was characterized in vitro using the protocol developed for Plasmodium cells (Keough et al., 1998). We showed that recombinant TbHGPRT/1_6His is active, and hypoxanthine and guanine act as substrates molecules with similar efficiency. \( K_m \) and \( K_{cat} \) values acquired for T. brucei TbHGPRT/1_6His were compared to human HGPRT, Plasmodium HGXPRT, Leishmania HGPRT and XPRT and E. coli XGPRT and HPRT (Table 5.1).

The most effective 6-oxopurine PRT is E. coli XGPRT, because its \( K_{cat} \) values are the highest. Moreover, the efficient constant \( K_{cat}/K_m \) of E. coli XGPRT for guanine is up to 10-time higher than efficient constants for other enzymes and substrates. According to our result, HGPRT of T. brucei is 60-times less active than HGPRT of L. donovani and 300-less active than HGXPRT of P. falciparum when the efficiency constant were compared. The observed low efficiency constant might be be reasoned by several observation.: First, the recombinant TbHGPRT/1_6His was stored for one month in -20°C and during this time this enzyme was several times thawed and refrozen which could result in lower activity. Second, concentrations of purines can be different among various cells due to the activity of purines transporters. T. brucei might posses more active transporters, so the concentration of purines inside T. brucei cell might be higher than in L. donovani or P. falciparum cell. For example adenosine concentration in mammalian blood is less than 0.01μM (Gruber et al., 1989; Moser et al., 1989) while in T. brucei it varies between 1.2-1.8μM due to activity of adenosine transporters depending on the log growth phase as adenosine transporters are expressed less during the early growth phase compared to the later growth phase. (Graven et al., 2014).Therefore, there is a possibility that concentration of hypoxanthine and guanine
might be considerably greater in *T. brucei* then in blood. Third, unlike *T. brucei*, *P. falciparum* and *L. donovani* are intracellular parasites, and so the concentration of purines might be higher than in the bloodstream. For instance mammalian plasma contains hypoxanthine at concentration of ~2.5μM while erythrocyte’s cytoplasm contains hypoxanthine at concentration of ~ 8μM (Boulieu et al., 1983).

Table 5.1: Kinetic constant for *T. brucei*, Human, *Plasmodium*, *Leishmania* and *E. coli 6-oxopurine PRTases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organism</th>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>K_m (μM)</th>
<th>K_cat (s⁻¹)</th>
<th>K_cat/K_m (μM⁻¹s⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>T. brucei</em> HGPRT</td>
<td>Hypoxanthine</td>
<td>13.23</td>
<td>0.202</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Guanine</td>
<td>6.35</td>
<td>0.184</td>
<td>0.029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Xanthine</td>
<td>Non</td>
<td>Non</td>
<td>Non</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human HGPRT</td>
<td>Hypoxanthine</td>
<td>3.4±1</td>
<td>5.2±0.4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Guanine</td>
<td>1.9±0.4</td>
<td>8.2±0.6</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Xanthine</td>
<td>Non</td>
<td>Non</td>
<td>Non</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P. falciparum</em> HGXPRT</td>
<td>Hypoxanthine</td>
<td>0.07±0.03</td>
<td>0.33±0.08</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Guanine</td>
<td>0.83±0.5</td>
<td>0.66±0.07</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Xanthine</td>
<td>189±18</td>
<td>3.3±0.2</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>L. donovani</em> HGPRT</td>
<td>Hypoxanthine</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Guanine</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>1.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Xanthine</td>
<td>Non</td>
<td>Non</td>
<td>Non</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>L. donovani</em> XPRT</td>
<td>Hypoxanthine</td>
<td>448±97</td>
<td>2.6±0.2</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Guanine</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>Non</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Xanthine</td>
<td>7.1±2.3</td>
<td>3.5±1.5</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. coli</em> XGPRT</td>
<td>Hypoxanthine</td>
<td>90.8±11.3</td>
<td>13.7±1.3</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Guanine</td>
<td>2.6±0.7</td>
<td>34.1±2.7</td>
<td>13.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Xanthine</td>
<td>30.5±2.6</td>
<td>37.5±0.7</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. coli</em> HPRT</td>
<td>Hypoxanthine</td>
<td>12.5±2.4</td>
<td>59±3.5</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Guanine</td>
<td>294±20</td>
<td>10.2±0.7</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Xanthine</td>
<td>45.9±2.5</td>
<td>0.008±0.001</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Keough et al., 2010; Allen et al., 1995; Jardim et al., 1999*

*T brucei* HGPRT has similar trend of the specific activity in comparison to *L. donovani* HGPRT. Both HGPRTases have similar specific activity to hypoxanthine and guanine and cannot convert xanthine (Allen et al., 1995). *L. donovani* XPRT prefers xanthine as a main substrate. However, it can transform with lower efficacy also hypoxanthine (Jardim et al., 1999). Similar preferences might be found also in *T. brucei* XPRT, as the SKD HGPRT cell lines was able to growth on hypoxanthine. Therefore, it is
very important to purify recombinant TbXPRT_6His without sarkosyl and perform \textit{in vitro} assays to evaluate specific activity of \textit{T. brucei} XPRT.

5.2 Sub-cellular localization

The predicted localizations of TbXPRT and TbHGPRT/1 were verified by experimental procedures. Both digitonin fractionation and immunofluorescence analysis showed that TbXPRT\textsubscript{v5} is localized into the glycosomes while TbHGPRT/1\textsubscript{v5} is localized into the cytosol. Moreover, the localization of TbXPRT\textsubscript{v5} to glycosomes is supported by the presence of PTS1 C-terminal signal. In contrast, TbHGPRT/1 C-terminal ends with the AKR sequence that has never be predicted as glycosomal targeting signal (Shih \textit{et al.}, 1998). In the past, we used C-terminal v5-tagging for TbHGPRT and TbXPRT, showing that this tag interferes with the PTS1 of TbXPRT as this protein was found in the cytosol (Kotrbová, 2012). Due to transfection problems, analysis of TbHGPRT/2 localization has not been performed yet. However, we predict that TbHGPRT/2 will be localized into the glycosomes because it contains the glycosomal targeting signal PTS1. Moreover, TbHGPRT/2 was identified in the glycosomal fraction in the past (Colasante \textit{et al.}, 2006). Importantly, in Colasante's study the TbHGPRT/2 protein was found in the glycosomes of the procyclic form while it was not detected in the bloodstream form. The absence of signal for HGPRT/2 protein in the glycosomal fraction of BF cells can be explained by its down regulation of expression in this stage (Vertommen \textit{et al.}, 2008). Therefore, it is important to experimentally show localization of TbHGPRT/2 in the bloodstream form to support the bioinformatic prediction. Further, it would be interesting to check expression of TbHGPRT/1 and TbHGPRT/2 in procyclic and bloodstream form because there is a possibility that one form of TbHGPRT is more expressed in the bloodstream form and the second from TbHGPRT is expressed in the procyclic form.

HGPRT and XPRT enzymes were extensively studied in cells of \textit{L. donovani}, where they were localized into glycosomes using several techniques: confocal microscopy, fluorescent microscopy (Shih \textit{et al.}, 1998), and localization of XPRT was also confirmed with sub-cellular fractionation (Zarella-Boitz \textit{et al.}, 2004). Moreover, creating an \textit{L. donovani} mutant with XPRT without PTS1 verified importance of PTS1 in the glycosomal transport, because this XPRT cannot cross glycosomal membrane and remained in the cytosol. Nevertheless, PTS1 in XPRT is not essential for its function, as XPRT without PTS1 was stable and was active (Zarella-Boitz \textit{et al.}, 2004). Thus, cytosolic localization of \textit{T. brucei} HGPRT/1 may have no effect on its function.
5.3 Effect of selected ANPs on cells of *T. brucei*

Next aim of this study was to determine IC50 values for several compounds from the class of acyclic nucleoside phosphonates to evaluate their potential as chemotherapeutic. In cooperation with the laboratory of Zlatko Janeba (UOCHB, Prague), we screened nearly one hundred of these compounds. Nine of the selected ANPs with hypoxanthine or guanine in their structure were found to be effective in micro and nano molar concentration. These are DA-XIII-47, DA-XIII-21, PP-P351, PP-P364, PP-P369, PP-P372, PMEG and S-HPMPG. A few selected compounds which we have in sufficient quantity, were tested on TbHGPRT/1_v5 over-expressing cell lines and on the parental cell line. A significant difference in IC50 values was detected between the parental cell line and induced cells. Almost no difference was detected between non-induced and induced cells. This result might be explained by a leaky transcription of TbHGPRT/1_v5 protein in non-induced cells by T7 RNA polymerase even in the absence of tetracycline. Such expression was visible on the western analysis investigating the level of Tb HGPRT/1_v5 in non-induced and induced cells. DA-XII-73 was the most effective compound, since its IC50 value for the parental cell line was 3-time lower than for TbHGPRT/1_v5 over-expressed induced cells. These data are in accordance with result from *Plasmodium*, where the IC50 of the most effective compounds were in range 1-10µM (Česnek et al., 2012).

Accordingly to this data, new more effective compounds are being synthesized in the laboratory of Zlatko Janeba. In future, we would like to analyze more compounds especially with xanthine in their structure, because these might efficiently inhibit TbXPRT. In addition, a combination of two different ANPs might generate higher inhibitory effect in the BF cells. Moreover, *in vitro* study of the inhibiting properties of ANPs using TbHGPRT/1_6His protein is in progress. It might be very interesting to define $K_m$ for these compounds. Furthermore, $K_i$ values for similar ANPs compounds were determined for human HGPRT in a frame of the *Plasmodium* project, and these $K_i$ are considerably greater, suggesting that these compounds do not affect the human enzyme (Hocková et al., 2012).

5.4 Importance of XPRT and HGPRT for *T. brucei* BF cells

The single-knock downs of TbHGPRT or TbXPRT have no effect on *T. brucei* bloodstream form. On the other hand, it has been shown using the double-knock down that TbHGPRT and TbXPRT are essential for *T. brucei* bloodstream form. Therefore, these results suggest similarities in the enzyme activity of these two enzymes. This observation
confirms the versatility of PSP (Berg et al., 2010a). Moreover, our result are in agreement with
the studies on *L. donovani* cells, where single-knock downs did not result in growth
phenotype while the double-knock out was lethal (Boitz and Ullman, 2006a; Boitz and
Ullman, 2006b).

To investigate the exact role of the studied enzymes we examined SKD TbXPRT, SKD
TbHGPRT and DKD TbXPRT/TbHGPRT RNAi cell lines in the media containing just one
type of a purine molecule. Thus, we find out that TbXPRT is essential for *T. brucei* under
the conditions, when xanthine is the only purine source. In this way, the activity of
TbHGPRT cannot support the cell growth in the media with only xanthine as purine source
suggesting that TbHGPRT cannot convert xanthine to its monophosphate nucleotide. This
result is in agreement with our *in vitro* study which showed no TbHGPRT activity with
xanthine as a substrate. On the other hand, when TbHGPRT expression is silenced by RNAi,
TbXPRT can compensate the activity of TbHGPRT, because almost no phenotype was
detected in the media containing hypoxanthine as the only purine source. Because it seems
that TbXPRT can act on hypoxanthine, it is necessary to develop new purification protocol to
TbXPRT_6His to confirm these results *in vitro*. Surprisingly, a strong growth phenotype was
observed for TbHGPRT RNAi cells in the medium with xanthine. In this case TbXPRT
should be able to utilize xanthine creating XMP and sustain the PSP. It is noteworthy that
xanthine is the least preferred purine source, and if xanthine is the only source cells are
growing very slowly. In the future, it would be interesting to investigate if the cell can adapt
to only xanthine conditions, and if the activity and abundance of the XPRT enzyme would
not be sufficient to support the growth of RNAi TbHGPRT cells then.

As expected, the double-knock down TbHGPRT/TbXPRT RNAi cell line exerts
a growth phenotype under hypoxanthine or xanthine conditions and leads to cell death.
On the other hand, adenosine has the ability to rescue the RNAi cells, thought the cells
needed two weeks of adaption to adenosine. This result may suggest that *in vitro* grown
*T. brucei* cells are used to utilize hypoxanthine as a typical component of HMI-9 media.
Once they are in the media containing only adenosine, the cell metabolism has to adapt to
new conditions by higher expression of adenosine transporters, higher expression of
adenosine kinase and other PSP enzymes, which are involved in adenosine/adenine salvage.
It is possible that adenosine not-adapted cells use pathway through adenosine deaminase to
convert adenosine to inosine, followed by activity of IAG-NH to create hypoxanthine and
finally the HGPRT enzyme to converts hypoxanthine to IMP. When cells can efficiently
uptake adenosine after a few weeks of incubation, this molecule can be transformed by IAG-NH to adenine and converted to AMP by APRT or can be directly converted to AMP by adenosine kinase (Figure 5.1).

Finally, we confirmed the theory that hypoxanthine and adenosine are more preferred purine sources (Berg et al., 2010a), because doubling time is the shortest for adenosine and hypoxanthine. Nevertheless, cells prefer all purine sources because in regular media containing non-dialyzed serum the doubling time is the shortest (Table 5.2).

Table 5.2: Wild type doubling time in media with different purine source.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Hypoxanthine</th>
<th>Adenosine</th>
<th>Xanthine</th>
<th>Regular media</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Doubling time (hours)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.5 Specific antibodies anti-XPRT and anti-HGPRT

We produced specific antibodies anti-XPRT and anti-HGPRT proteins. Anti-XPRT appears to be an efficient antibody, and it was used to verify the SKD TbXPRT and DKD TbHGPRT/TbXPRT RNAi cell lines. On the other hand, antibody against TbHGPRT seems to be weaker antibody recognizing TbHGPRT only in procyclic cells under low titer conditions. Therefore, we are not able to confirm SKD TbHGPRT and DKD TbXPRT/TbHGPRT RNAi cells with anti-HGPRT. Nevertheless, we showed that anti-HGPRT has affinity to TbHGPRT using recombinant TbHGPRT/1_6His and TbHGPRT/1_v5 cell lines. Thus an absence of the signal for HGPRT in BF cells might be due to low expression of this enzymes in the infectious stage of *T. brucei*.

We showed that TbXPRT seems to be expressed in same level at both BF and PF, whereas TbHGPRT seem to be upregulated in PF compared to BF. In past it was determined that glycosomal TbHGPRT is more concentrated in PF than in BF (Vertommen et al., 2008). Furthermore, TbXPRT was expressed several times more than glycosomal TbHGPRT in bloodstream form of *T. brucei* (Vertommen et al., 2008).
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7 List of abbreviation

ADA: adenosien deaminase
AK: adenosine kinase
ANP: acyclic nucleoside phosphonate
APRT: adenosine phosphoribosyltransferase
BF: bloodstream form
cDNA: complementary DNA
DKD: double-knock down
dsRNA: double-strained RNA
FBS: fetal bowing serum
G: neomycin
HGPRT: hypoxanthine-guanine phosphoribosyltransferase
HPRT: hypoxanthine phosphoribosyltransferase
HGXPRT: hypoxanthine-guanine-xanthine phosphoribosyltransferase
IAG-NH: inosine-adenosine-guanosine nucleoside hydrolase
IC50: inhibitor concentration killing 50% parasites
IG-NH: inosine-guanosine nucleoside hydrolase
IMP: inositol monophosphate
MTAP: methylthioadenine phosphorylase
NH: nucleoside hydrolase
PCR: polymerase chain reaction
PF: procyclic form
Phleo: phelomycin
PNP: nucleoside phosphorylase
PRT: phosphoribosyltransferase
PSP: purine salvage pathway
PTS: peroxisomal-targeting signal
PTS1: C-terminal peroxisomal-targeting signal
PTS2: N-terminal peroxisomal-targeting signal
Puro: puromycin
qPCR: quantitative real time polymerase chain reaction
rDNA: ribosomal DNA
RNAi: RNA interference
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Full Form</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RT</td>
<td>room temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT</td>
<td>reverse transcription</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SKD</td>
<td>single knock down</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM</td>
<td>single markers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VSG coat</td>
<td>variant surface glycoprotein coat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WCL</td>
<td>whole cell lysate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XGPRT</td>
<td>xanthine-guanine phosphoribosyltransferase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XPRT</td>
<td>xanthine phosphoribosyltransferase</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>