# University of South Bohemia in České Budějovice

Faculty of Science

# Differential expression of genes in replicative (spiral) and persistent (biofilms) forms of the causative agent of human Lyme borreliosis

**Bachelor** Thesis

## Sabrina Vranjes

Supervisor: Maryna Golovchenko, MSc. Co-Supervisor: Natasha Rudenko MSc., PhD.

Biology Centre CAS, Institute of Parasitology

České Budějovice 2023

Vranjes S. Differential expression of genes in replicative (spiral) and persistent (biofilms) forms of the causative agent of human Lyme borreliosis. Bc. Thesis, in English 57 pages, Faculty of Science, University of South Bohemia, České Budějovice, Czech Republic.

## Annotation

The onset and development of post treatment Lyme disease syndrome (PTLDS) remains a constant challenge in the diagnosis and treatment of Lyme borreliosis (LB). The driving force behind this is the formation of persisters cells, which are able to hide within the host, "invisible" to the immune system and resistant to antibiotic treatment. Thus far, few types of persisters have been found to be formed by the *Borrelia burgdorferi* spirochete, with biofilms being one of them. Multiple atypical forms of spirochetes often are uneasy to be detected via the average testing methods, leading to many cases being misdiagnosed. Additionally, the formation of biofilms, as a resilient complex community of cells, has not only been observed in case of Lyme disease, but also in other illnesses worldwide. This thesis deals with the analysis of genes differentially expressed in biofilms in comparison to the replicating forms of spirochetes, with aim to better understand the formation of these morphotypes.

## Declaration

I declare that I am the author of this qualification thesis and that in writing it I have used the sources and literature displayed in the list of used sources only. České Budějovice, 11/05/2023

Sabrina Vranjes

## Acknowledgements

I must first express my immense gratitude to Maryna Golovchenko, MSc, and Natasha Rudenko, MSc, PhD, my research supervisors, for their unwavering encouragement and expert guidance throughout all my research and experiments. Your broad expertise, helpful critiques, and contributions helped me more than you may know. Without Maryna's help and committed participation at each stage, this thesis would not have been completed. I admire your unfailing tolerance and guidance, and I cherish all the long days we spent together in the lab. The knowledge and life lessons that you have both passed on to me are not only practical and theoretical in nature, but also hold significant value for my personal growth and development as I navigate through the journey of life.

In addition, I want to thank the entire Institute of Parasitology staff for promoting a positive work atmosphere and for their assistance in any time of need.

A further heartfelt thanks must go to the most caring professor, RNDr. Libor Grubhoffer, for always being there for students in need and for being a prominent presence and the program's leader, as well as RNDr. Ján Štěrba, Ph.D. who took over this role with just as much compassion and dedication.

Most importantly, I would like to extend my deepest gratitude towards my parents and siblings. Without their unwavering love and assistance, I would never have been able to advance this far.

# List of abbreviation

ACA	Acrodermatitis chronica atrophicans	glpD	Gene encoding glycerol-3- phosphate dehydrogenase
AFM	Atomic force microscope	HipA	Serine/threonine kinase
ATL	Tissue lysis buffer	HSP	heat shock protein
AW	Washing buffer	LB (medium)	Luria-Bertani medium
bb sl	Borrelia burgdorferi sensu lato	metG	Methionyl-tRNA synthetase
Bb ss	Borrelia burgdorferi sensu stricto	Osp	Outer surface protein
BmpA	basic membrane protein A	oxyR	Oxidative stress regulator
BSA	Bovine serum albumin	PCR	Polymerase Chain reaction
BSK	Barbour-Stoenner-Kelly	plsB	Glycerol-3-phosphate 1-O- acyltransferase
CDC	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention	ps	phylostratum
cDNA	Circular DNA	psi	Pounds per square inch
CFS	Cystic Fibrosis Serum	psp	Phage shock protein
Clp	Caseinolytic protease	qRT-PCR	Quantitative real-time reverse transcription PCR
ddH <sub>2</sub> O	Double-distilled water	RB	Round bodies
dNTP's	Deoxyribonucleotide triphosphates	RT-PCR	Reverse transcription PCR
dps	Gene involved in DNA repair and protection	TAE	Tris-acetate-EDTA
eDNA	Extracellular DNA	TBE	Tris-borate-EDTA
EDTA	Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid	tkA	Translocase A
EM	Erythema migrans	UV	ultraviolet
EPS	Extracellular polymeric substance	Lp	Linear plasmid
GBP	Glucan-binding protein	ср	Circular plasmid

## Abstract

Lyme borreliosis is a multisystem illness caused by the spiral bacterium of the *Borrelia burgdorferi* sensu lato complex. The disease is wildly distributed in the Northern Hemisphere, with the number of infections increasing in recent years. Although most cases are successfully treated with antibiotics, in some cases the disease progresses into a persistent infection resulting in the development of post-treatment Lyme disease syndrome (PTLDS). This chronic form of the disease is, in large part, linked to the formation of persistent forms of spirochetes, which are able to evade the hosts immune system and resist antibiotic treatment through the differential regulation of their gene expression. Biofilm aggregates are a complex community of cells and constitute one type of persister formed by the *Borrelia* species. Currently there are no effective diagnostic or therapeutic protocols for the detection and elimination of the persistent forms in human patients.

This thesis aims to investigate and identify some genes differentially expressed in biofilms in comparison to replicative forms and demonstrate their difference at a transcriptome level. In this study we present the examples of the genes that are up-regulated in biofilm-dominated cultures and down-regulated in spirochetes. Additionally, we investigate the sequences of these genes with the aim to analyze their functions.

## Summary

The differential expression of genes in biofilms and replicating spirochetes of *Borrelia burgdorferi* sensu stricto was investigated *in vitro*. A comprehensive proteomics analysis, conducted at the Faculty of Sciences USB, was used as a basis for this study, from which proteins exhibiting differential expression between the two morphotypes were selected. Their corresponding nucleotide sequences were obtained from UNIPROT database, which allowed specific primers to be designed. The gene-specific primers were tested using RT-PCR with replicative spirochete and biofilm RNA as templates and analyzed by gel electrophoresis. Results revealed an overexpression of two genes BB\_A40 and BB\_0383 in biofilm-dominated cultures. The genes were sequenced and showed a high percentage of similarity with the nucleotide sequence obtained from the original proteins defined by proteomics analysis. These findings confirm the differential expression of genes upon biofilm formation, and also could be used to develop novel testing methods directed at biofilms. More research must be conducted in order to confirm these findings.

# **Table of Contents**

1.	Int	oduction	. 1
	1.1	Borrelia Burgdorferi Sensu Stricto	. 1
	1.2	Lyme Borreliosis (LB)	. 1
	1.2	.1 Stages of Lyme Borreliosis	. 2
	1.3	Persisting forms of <i>borrelia</i>	. 3
	1.3	.1 Granules/ Blebs	. 5
	1.3	.2 Cell Wall Deficient Forms (L-Forms)	. 6
	1.3	.3 Cystic Forms	. 6
	1.4	Biofilms	. 7
	1.5	Genetic Factors Involved In Persistence	. 9
2.	Ma	terials	11
3.	Me	thods	14
	3.1	Borrelia Cultivation	14
	3.1	.1 Preparation of Modified Kelly-Pettenkoffer (MKP) Medium for The Cultivation of	
	Bo	relia Cultures	14
	3.2	Genomic DNA Isolation	15
	3.3	RNA Isolation	16
	3.4	Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) Analysis	17
	3.4	.1 Standard PCR	17
	3.4	.2 One-Step Reverse Transcription (RT) PCR	18
	3.4	.3 Preparation of Reactions And Procedure	18
	3.5	Gel Electrophoresis	20
	3.6	Cloning of PCR Products	21
	3.6	.1 Ligation of PCR Product	21
	3.6	.2 Transformation of Competent Cells	21
	3.6	.3 Preparation of Luria-Bertani (LB) Liquid Medium	22
	3.6	.4 Preparation of LB Agar Plates	22
4.	Re	sults	22
	4.1	Borrelia Cultivation	22
	4.2	RNA Isolation	23
	4.3	Results Summary	24
	4.3	.1 Sequencing Results	27
	4.3	.2 Gene Bb A40	28
	4.3	.3 Gene Bb_0383	29
5.	Dis	cussion	30
6.	Lit	erature:	33
7.	Suj	plemented Materials	36
	7.1	Sequences	36
	7.2	Primer Pairs	47

## 1. Introduction

#### 1.1 Borrelia burgdorferi sensu stricto

*Borrelia burgdorferi* sensu lato complex (*Bb* sl) is a group of corkscrew-shaped bacteria that belong to the family *Spirochaetocea*, class Spirochetes. It is a tick-borne pathogen that moves between a vertebrate host and tick vector. Today, *Bb* sl complex consists of 23 already described species widely distributed around the world. Five spirochete species within this complex are responsible for the major number of causes of human Lyme borreliosis (LB) worldwide. These include: *Borrelia garinii, Borrelia bavariensis, Borrelia afzelii, Borrelia burgdorferi* sensu stricto and *Borrelia mayonii*. Some other species, which pathogenicity to humans is still under investigation include: *Borrelia spielmanii, Borrelia valaisana, Borrelia bissettii, Borrelia kurtenbachii and Borrelia lusitaniae*. The other thirteen species from *Bb* sl complex were never detected in humans or isolated from samples of human origin. Because of this, until now they are considered to be non-pathogenic to humans.

*Borrelia burgdorferi* sensu lato spirochetes, known as Lyme borreliosis (LB) spirochetes, are primarily transmitted by ticks from *Ixodes ricinus* complex, which are the main vectors for the pathogen worldwide. The main Ixodes species of medical importance are *Ixodes scapularis* and *Ixodes pacificus* (North America, mainly USA) and *Ixodes ricinus* and *Ixodes persulcatus* (Europe and Asia).

#### **1.2 Lyme borreliosis (LB)**

Lyme borreliosis is a multisystem disorder caused by selected species of spirochetes from *B. burgdorferi* sl complex. Even though only 5 species were confirmed to be significantly pathogenic to humans, the number of diagnosed cases increases yearly. Before 2013, existing epidemiological evidence and conscientious estimates maintained the official figures of confirmed cases in Europe at 85,000 annually as well as around 30,000 each year in the United States [1]. The year of 2013 marked a watershed moment in the statistical outlook, as well as the scope of the disease. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, a globally respected epidemiological institute, issued a statement declaring that roughly 329,000 new LB cases were identified yearly in the U. S. between 2005 and 2010, tenfold greater than initially

thought [2, 3]. Furthermore, it was disclosed that approximately 476,000 LB infections were confirmed and treated every year in the U. S. from 2010 and 2018. In a motion on LB (2018/2774 (RSP)) of the European Parliament, which estimated the existence of around 850,000 new infections with LB annually, the growth in newly diagnosed cases in Europe was also emphasized [4].

The most common sign for LB is the appearance of round skin lesions referred to as erythema migrans (EM) in the early stage of the disease. EM can be caused by multiple species of the Bb sl complex and are often accompanied by flu-like symptoms. Once the bacterium disseminates via the bloodstream to the secondary sites of infection it can induce a whole array of clinical manifestations. Borrelia species differ in their organ tropisms and induce a wide range of signs and symptoms. The most prevalent musculoskeletal manifestation of B. burgdorferi s.s. exposure is Lyme arthritis. These transient or recurrent incidents of arthritis affect around 60% of patients with undiagnosed, early signs of infection - erythema migrans [5]. In Europe, where B. garinii and B. afzelii are far more prevalent than B. burgdorferi s.s., the number of LB patients suffering from arthritis drops to 5-25% [6]. Studies on the serotypes of European strains show a striking association between B. garinii infection and neuroborreliosis. It must be noted that both Borrelia burgdorferi s.s. and Borrelia afzelii can induce neurological symptoms as well, though not as often as B. garinii [7, 8]. B. afzelii appears to primarily affect the epidermis in humans, cause lymphadenosis benigna cutis, and acrodermatitis chronica atrophicans (ACA) [9, 10]. While not the only cause of ACA, B. afzelii predominates in the disease's etiology. In truth B. garinii was also identified in ACA cases [8, 11]. While ACA has seldom been documented in the U.S., it can be found in roughly 10% of LB cases in Europe [12]. In patients from Europe, a relationship between B. bissettii and cardiovascular LB symptoms was found [13,14].

#### 1.2.1 Stages of Lyme borreliosis

The progression of LB follows three main stages: 1) early localized (acute) stage, 2) early dissemination stage and 3) the late dissemination stage.

The early acute stage is characterized by unique EM skin lesions at the site of the tick bite experienced by approximately 70-80% of patients [15]. EM usually appears after an incubation period of 3-32 days (mostly within 7 to 10 days) post infection with the rash expanding to approximately 7cm diameter [16]. In the absence of EM this stage of the disease

can easily go unnoticed, rendering treatment options unavailing. In some cases, the infection remains local with minor inherent symptoms - in others the spirochetes disseminate hematogenously further into the host and instigates the second stage of LB.

The early dissemination stage occurs weeks to months after initial infection and may include the formation of smaller secondary EM lesions independent from the location of the tick bite. Further migration of the bacterium through the bloodstream and/ or lymphatic system and eventual invasion of distal organs gives rise to a multitude of clinical manifestations. These include carditis if spread to the heart tissue, meningitis accompanied by facial palsy if infection is established in the lining of the brain, arthritis, ophthalmic symptoms and a variety of neurologic symptoms [17]. The ability of *Borrelia* to penetrate the distant sites of secondary colonization proves essential for the evasiveness of the disease.

The final stage of the illness - late dissemination stage, develops months to years after the onset of infection. At this point the disease has fully spread throughout the body and manifests Lyme arthritis, acrodermatitis chronica atrophicans and neurological symptoms such as numbness in extremities, insomnia and behavioral changes [4, 5]. Within six months of the original infection, Lyme arthritis, which occurs in roughly 60% of patients, ranks as the second-most common symptom of this stage [18]. The arthritis is oligoarticular, asymmetrical and monoarticular, proving to be particularly debilitating, as it most frequently affects the knee joints.

Most cases of Lyme borreliosis, if detected early, can be successfully treated with a 2- to 4week course of antibiotics. However, in some cases the bacteria are able to survive this treatment and evading the host's immune response, resulting in post-treatment LD syndrome (PTLDS). The International Lyme and Associated Disease Society attributes this to the likelihood of the infection going unnoticed and the inaccuracy of conventional laboratory testing methods [19]. The etiology of PTLDS is unknown, however several illness-causing mechanisms have been hypothesized, the main one being microbial persistence.

#### 1.3 Persisting forms of Borrelia

Several different morphologies of spirochetes have been identified: spiral-shaped spirochetes, round bodies, blebs or cystic forms. The presence of these diverse morphologies may

3

contribute to the spirochete ability to evade the host immune system and survive in the host tissues [20].

The term "persister" was first coined by Bigger and stems from its precursor "survivor", which referred to a small population of bacterial Staphylococcus that survived penicillin treatment in 1944 [7, 8]. Unfortunately, research on these cell types was limited by their relative rarity and it took several decades before reaching clinical relevance. Ultimately, Moyed and Bertrand encountered an atypical culture of Escherichia coli, which produced a substantial amount of persister cells, shedding light on the feasibility of these unusual phenotypes among the scientific community. Persister cells are unique in their ability to survive antibiotic treatment without having acquired this trait by genetic inheritance, which sets them apart from resistant mutants [22]. Their formation is instigated by hostile changes to their environment, when they undergo a series of morphological changes that lead in their survival. The underlying mechanism by which persisters forms were traced back to regulatory genes, facilitating several parallel processes responsible for the suppression of metabolic activity [23]. Although persister formation leads to a state of suppressed metabolic activity comparable to cell death, these pleomorphic forms are reversible, meaning that as soon as external conditions become favorable again, they can transform back into active, replicating spirochetes and cause a relapse of the infection.

There is evidence that *Borrelia* uses a variety of tactics to bypass host defenses and create long-lasting infections. These strategies include active immune suppression, induction of immunological tolerance, and the utilization of phase and antigenic diversity, for example. Spirochetes can also evade the host's immune system by hiding in the extracellular matrix and not using host tissues for replication or growth. The successful survival highlights *Borrelia's* adaptability and persistence, rendering eradication difficult and attributing to therapeutic shortcomings. Multiple studies have shown that *Borrelia* can establish persistent infections in diverse hosts, including humans. The hosts' immune responses, antibiotic treatment, and infection routes can all impact the ability of spirochetes to persist. More research of the mechanisms underlying survival strategies, discovering of their potential in therapeutic practice, could lead to better methods for treating persistent infections.

Indeed, persisters encompass a multi-drug tolerant subpopulation of bacteria that have the ability to change in response to environmental fluctuations. This adaptability to hostile pressure proves essential in the *Bb* life cycle, as they move from tick vectors to reservoir

4

hosts, facilitating their adjustment to differing blood pH and temperature during transfer. Spirochete forms are highly heterogenous, differing on the environment in which they reside (*in vivo* or *in vitro*), which further challenges their eradication. According to recent research [1,2] heterogeneous persisters have varying susceptibilities to a wide range of antibiotics. *In vitro* studies of three morphological forms of *Borrelia* demonstrated that five antimicrobials routinely used to treat LB patients (doxycycline, amoxicillin, tigecycline, metronidazole, and tinidazole) had varying efficacy in eliminating spirochetes, round bodies, and biofilm-like colonies [25]. Though all five medications demonstrated considerable mortality of the spirochete forms (85-90%) and round bodies (68-90%), none of these treatments were able to suppress replicative colony formation by over 55%. Differential expression of genes, such as downregulation of the outer surface protein A (OspA) tied to innate immune response prevention, and upregulation of outer surface protein C (OpsC) associated with the deactivation of host complement proteins, further helps spirochetes to go unnoticed [8, 9]. At least four types of persisters are known to be formed by the *Borrelia* spirochetes: granules/ blebs, cystic forms, biofilm aggregates and cell wall deficient L-forms/ round bodies (RBs).



Figure 1. Different morphologies of Borrelia burgdorferi: (a) spirochetes, (B) blebs on spirochetes, (c) H<sub>2</sub>O-induced round bodies and (d) biofilms. Adapted from Leena, 2015 [27].

#### 1.3.1 Granules/ Blebs

Reversible pleomorphism, or the capacity to exist in several morphologies, is a characteristic mechanism of *Borrelia*. Aging of a culture has been linked to the formation of round bodies (RB) or blebs, whose genesis coincides with the demise of motile spirochetes [28]. Previously, the transition of the pathogen's motile form into a spherical body was

assumed to signal a decline in viability. However, it has recently been demonstrated that under favorable conditions, round bodies can still move and transform to the reproductive spiral form.

The looped or round-shaped forms arises when a replicative spirochete shakes or curls around itself liberating granules from the periplasmic sheath, forming detachable granules, capable of multiplying, and in some cases transmitting the disease [29]. These are thought to occur as an intermediate stage between spirochetes and cysts/ RBs. They are comprised of an expanded envelope most commonly on the apex or lateral side of the spirochete harboring a folded protoplasmic cylinder within [27]. Meriläinen and colleagues discovered that granule formation could be instigated by introduction of H<sub>2</sub>O-induced RBs into a normal culture medium. Several stimuli have been linked to the generation of these pleomorphic forms, including antibiotic treatment and complement factors, supporting the trend of their covariance with increased environmental stress . However, it is still unclear whether persisting forms, such as RBs generated in response to antibiotic treatment or RBs that arise in an aged culture, share the same characteristics.

#### 1.3.2 Cell wall deficient forms (L-forms)

Another atypical form of *Borrelia*, formed in response to unfavorable conditions, are L-forms, also referred to as spheroplasts or cell wall deficient forms. They were first reported by Klieneberger, who named them after her place of work "Listner Institute" [19]. As their name may suggest, they lack a cell wall. Without a wall the bacteria lose their "markers", essential for detection by host immune system. Not only does this make them imperceptible to the hosts defense system, it also renders antibiotics ineffective. Similar to the granules, L-forms can revert back to their spiral form once the conditions become favorable [31].

#### 1.3.3 Cystic forms

In 2008 Miklossy and colleagues first observed atypical cystic forms of *Borrelia* utilizing immunohistochemical methods and dark field AFM [29]. They result from adverse conditions through ring-shaped, loop, spherule and end knot formation. Emergence occurs stepwise when the spirochete curls up into a globule and forms a sheath around itself, making it highly resistant to antibiotic treatment. Miklossy and colleagues discovered responsive correlation

between this outer sheath and anti-OspA antibodies, verifying their superior immunity [29]. Although limited data has been acquired on the occurrence of granular forms, they have been located in skin lesions, spinal fluid and on a silver stained hippocampus section in patients with Lyme neuroborreliosis [22]. Brorson and Brorson and Mursic with colleagues demonstrated that spiral forms inoculated in CSF transformed into cysts in less than 24h [14, 15]. Further studies were successful in the cultivation of cystic forms both *in vitro* and *in vivo*, both showing the efficacy of cultivation under a nutritional deficit. CSF-induced blebs reverted to their reproductive spiral forms once transferred to Barbour-Stoenner-Kelly (BSK) medium. Alternatively, cystic forms were obtained by reduction of rabbit serum (a key source of fatty acids) in BSK medium, and readily converted back into their motile analogues once nutrient levels were restored [34]. Cyst generation in response to antibiotics: ceftriaxone, penicillin and doxycycline was also reported, despite continuous access to nutrient-rich BSK medium [35].

#### **1.4 Biofilms**

Biofilms represent a complex community of cells permanently attached to an interface, substratum or to each other, immersed in a matrix of extracellular polymeric substances (EPS), which they secrete themselves. [20]. Oxygen and nutrients are acquired from their surroundings via channel-like structures embedded in the extracellular material. The EPS typically contains a plethora of vital components, ranging from polysaccharides and proteins to divalent metals and DNA [36]. The predominant building block, however, is a non-sulfated mucopolysaccharide, commonly referred to as alginate. High amounts of this compound were detected in biofilms formed by multiple bacterial species, including Leptospira biflexa, Treponema denticola and others from the order Spirochaetales [20]. Furthermore, it is occasionally used as a marker for the detection of biofilm colonies, alongside complementary contents such as calcium, and extracellular DNA (eDNA). It must be noted that this method is limited by the chemical versatility in the structure of mature biofilms as well as between species, rendering it unreliable [20]. K. Jefferson managed to compile a series of genes across multiple bacterial species essential for the formation of biofilms (cause genes), as well as ones differentially expressed in mature biofilms (effect genes). The expression of these genes was found to assist the conglomerate in its adhesive abilities, stress response, metabolism, motility and division [37]. Wilson and Devine demonstrated that surface proteins found in certain

strains of bacteria, such as glucan-binding-protein (GBP) in *Streptoccuc muans*, induce the production of polysaccharides, and thus aid biofilm generation [38].



Figure 2. Age-induced Borrelia burgdorferi biofilm agglomerates captured by dark field microscopy (pictured by author).

The formation of biofilms can be partially traced back to Darwin's theory of evolution and explained by the constant strive of living organisms towards a nutrient-rich environment, suitable for replication, with the ultimate goal being survival and propagation. Seeing as the body provides ideal conditions for many multicellular organisms, there is a constant effort for its invasion, provoking the adaptation of microbe colonization strategies in order to evade immune detection. The formation of biofilms provides one such possibility, as the metamorphosis into planktonic mode of growth conceals the threat from the immune system's radar. The immediate extracellular environment of the EPS facilitates the bacteria's adhesion to host tissue and serves as a protective layer, shielding it from unfavorable conditions, such as non-physiological pH, extreme temperature changes, xenobiotics/ antimicrobials, high concentrations of metals and evasion of phagocytes [20]. It's worth noting that the phagocytosis of biofilms may in fact impose greater damage on surrounding tissue than on the agglomerate itself. Studies performed on staphylococci and enteroccoci record EPS synthesis in direct response to osmotic pressure and iron depravation - conditions similar to those encountered within a host [24, 25]. Alternatively, Escherichia coli gene expression, regulating biofilm formation showed increased activity when nutrients were reduced, in comparison to controlled analogues [41].

In contrast to other persisting forms, biofilms aren't purely a designated defense mechanism, nor are they exclusively a colonization strategy. As demonstrated by K. Jefferson, their

genesis can also be driven by communal behavior or occur as a default stage of microbial development [20]. Nevertheless, it must be kept in mind that the driving factors in the appearance of biofilm communities may vary between species [7, 22, 26].

In terms of *Borrelia*, the generation of biofilms is typically achieved through culture aging or implementation of environmental stress, and starts with the initial phase of cell-cell and cell-surface aggregation, followed by progressive aging and suppression of metabolic activity [20]. This leads researchers to consider the establishment of *Borrelia* biofilms primarily as a stage of microbial development, as well as a mechanism of defense. Indeed, this sessile community of cells constitutes a key agent in the resilience of LB, its continuous reoccurrence and ultimately the establishment of a chronic infection. The immune-privileged nature of biofilms with emphasis on their extracellular matrix, renders them especially problematic to eradicate [22].

As for today detection of biofilms, as well as other persisting forms of *Borrelia*, is not as reliable as techniques available for detection of spiral forms. This along with the absence of biofilm-targeted antibiotics enables them to go undetected for months-years and reside within the human body as a ticking time bomb [19].

#### **1.5 Genetic factors involved in persistence**

The phenomenon of persistence in *Borrelia* continues to puzzle researchers in its phenotypic adaptability in reaction to environmental change. When a subpopulation of bacteria encounter stimuli from a hostile environment, they go through a series of changes, dynamically altering their gene expression. During the span of their life, they are found to continuously switch between two available phenotypes: susceptible and persistent, strictly enforced by epigenetic factors. The susceptible state represents replicative, active forms of the bacteria in their characteristic spiral shape, able to actively induce a state of inflammation within the host. In contrast, persistent forms embody dormant pleomorphisms, capable of hiding from the host's immune system and resistant to harsh conditions [43]. Although literature on the exact mechanism of their metamorphosis remains limited, contiguous studies on persistence in viruses and fungi have provided insight into the series of changes leading to persistence formation and survival. Aside from the gene expression and epigenetic adaptations, such as changes in DNA modification and protein signaling, alterations also played a part in the

9

generation of persistent cells [43]. Zhang and colleagues located permutations of several persister genes (Ying-Yang model) by means of mutagenesis [43]. Mutations causing an increase in persistent traits include: metG encoding methionyl-tRNA synthetase, glpD encoding glycerol-3-phosphate dehydronase, *hipA* encoding toxin and *tktA* encoding transketolase A [43]-[45]. Mutations conferring decreased bacterial persistence were found to be: relA, ubiF, phoU and sucB [43], [46]–[48]. Additional pathway linked to persistence include: stringent response (dksA, relA), signaling pathways (oxyR, pspBC, tnaA, flu; comE/ comC), DNA repair and protection (xerC, xerD, recA, recB, lexA, dps), macromolecular degradation, anti-oxidative stress (catalase, superoxide dismutase) and reserve energy production (tgs1, ubiF, plsB, sucB, glpD) [7, 28]. It must be noted that the approach used to identify these permutations (mutagenesis) is limited to non-essential dominant genes, having a noticeable effect on the resulting phenotype, and proves ineffective in identification of multideterminant phenotypes. Furthermore, keeping in mind that in vivo persisters differ from in vitro persisters, a certain degree of variability should be considered when investigating their "genetic fingerprint". Potential overlaps can be investigated via RNA sequencing analysis or single-cell techniques for better understanding of the differential gene expression patterns of Borrelia.

Additionally Feng and colleagues (2015) conducted an extensive analysis on differentially expressed genes observed in Bb treated with amoxicillin and doxycycline, the two most commonly used antibiotics for the treatment of LB [24]. According to their findings a total of 675 differentially expressed genes were observed in the doxycycline tolerant persisters and 516 in those unsusceptible to amoxycillin. Interestingly, the genes upregulated in the doxycycline treated group included Clp Protease (ClpP), the most upregulated protein, and heat shock proteins (HSP). The intracellular protease ClpP can detect and destroy misfolded proteins through the assistance of the ClpX, C, or A subunits. Since doxycycline interferes with bacterial protein production by attaching to its 30S ribosomal subunit thereby misfolding the protein, ClpP upregulation might be a reaction to this. The HSP chaperones provide support to proteins, assuring proper folding, and assist in the refolding of broken proteins, which may be vital for the survival of persisters. The authors discovered several up-regulated genes that are linked to DNA repair, which potentially could support DNA stability upon antibiotic strain. Perhaps the most surprising finding was that the majority of proteins being down-regulated both in amoxicillin and doxycycline, were outer membrane proteins. This may help *Bb* withstand antibiotic treatment by reducing the requirement for protein synthesis.

10

A shortage in these lipoproteins could in fact strengthen the inner membrane through upregulation of membrane proteins. This is critical, as doxycycline and amoxicillin both work by inhibiting protein synthesis.

Earlier we conducted our own proteomic analysis and compared the protein profiles of three forms of *Borrelia* – active replicative, biofilms and cystic forms. Our findings provided a ground for further investigation into the driving factors behind appearance of alternative spirochetes morphologies and persistence, as well as possible detection strategies. The main goal of this project was to analyze the data obtained by proteomics analysis and to identify differentially expressed genes, characteristic and unique to *Borrelia* biofilms, that can be used for the development of reliable and sensitive molecular detection of persisting forms.

## 2. Materials

Table 1. Materials used in this study.

Borrelia cultivation		
MKP medium	14.4% rabbit serum	
Persteril- solution of ethaneperoxoic acid, hydrogen peroxide and sulfuric acid	0.5% solution	
DNA is	solation	
Genomic DNA isolation kit	DNeasy® Blood & Tissue Kit (250), (Qiagen)	
PCR products purification (columns)	Centrifugal Filter Units DNA extraction from agarose (Millipore)	
RNA is	solation	
RNA isolation kit	Direct-zol <sup>™</sup> RNA Miniprep, Zymo-Spin <sup>™</sup> Column, (Zymo research)	

P	CR
RT-PCR kit	<ul> <li>ONETAQ® One-Step RT-PCR Kit, (New England Biolabs)</li> <li>SuperScript<sup>™</sup> IV One-Step RT- PCR System, (ThermoFisher Scientific)</li> </ul>

G	el	el	ect	tro	p	ho	res	sis
U	UI I	CI	cu	uu	P	10		<b>J</b> 1.3

50x TAE buffer	50mM EDTA, 200mM Tris-HCl	
10x TBE buffer	25mM EDTA, 450mM boric acid,1.3M tris	
	base	
Agarose (DNA ELFO)	0.8-2% agarose (Serva) for DNA	
	electrophoresis in 1xTAE buffer	
	RNA Gel Loading Dye (2x), (Thermo	
2x Bromophenol Blue, Xylene Cyanol FF	Fisher Scientific)	
6y Corall and PCR loading dyn	HotStarTaq® Plus Master Mix Kit,	
supplemented with SVPD Cold	(Qiagen)	
supplemented with S1 BK Gold	SYBR Gold (10000x) (Molecular probes)	
PCP Markon	Gene Ruler 100 bp Plus DNA Ladder,	
r CR Marker	(Thermo Fisher Scientific)	
DNA (	Cloning	
Claning bit for segmenting	TOPO <sup>TM</sup> TA Cloning <sup>TM</sup> Kit for Sequencing,	
Cloning kit for sequencing	without competent cells, (Invitrogen)	
DH5a chemically competent cells		
Ampicillin	working concentration 50µg/mL	
(S.O.C.) Super Optimal broth with		
Catabolite repression medium		
(Invitrogen)	Self-prepared or ready to use (Amresco®)	
LB broth	-	
Agar		

Table 2. Laboratory supplies used in this study.

	Туре
	Centrifuge 5415 C (Eppendorf)
Contrifugos	Centrifuge 5415 D (Eppendorf)
Centinuges	Centrifuge 1415 R (Eppendorf)
	Centrifuge Universal 32 R (Hettlich centrifuge)
	SHU6 (Sigma Aldrich)
Electrophoresis	OVL Easycast <sup>TM</sup> B2 (Thermo Scientific)
Power supply	Consort
Dark field microscope	Leica DM 1000 LED (Leica)
PCR cycler	Mastercycler personal (Eppendorf)
Thermomixer	Thermomixer (Eppendorf)
NanoDrop	NanoDrop® ND-1000, (Thermo Scientific)
Photosystem for gel	Kodak
documentation	
<b>Biosafety Laminar Flow</b>	Gelaire
cabinet	
PCR box	DNA/RNA UVC/T-M-AR (Biosan)
Vortex	Heidolph REAX top
Thermostat	Biological Thermostat 120
Shaker	Stuart Scientific
Magnetic Stirrer	Sigma
UV illuminator	HeroLab UVT-20M
	Set for:
Thermostat	34°C ( <i>Bb</i> cultivation)
	37 °C (E. coli growing conditions)

## 3. Methods

## 3.1 Borrelia cultivation

3.1.1 Preparation of modified Kelly-Pettenkoffer (MKP) medium for the cultivation of borrelia cultures.

#### 3.1.1.1 Preparation of basic MKP medium

Table 3. Ingredients used for the preparation of basic MKP medium (200mL).

Reagent	Mass [g]
CMRL-1066 (10x) without	1.94
Glutamine	
Neopeptone	0.6
HEPES	1.2
Citric Acid	0.14
D (+) Glucose	0.6
Pyruvic Acid	0.16
N-acetyl glucosamine	0.08
Sodium Bicarbonate	0.4

All powdered ingredients were added to 100mL of  $ddH_2O$  and mixed until fully dissolved (20-30 minutes). The pH was adjusted to 7.6 by 10N NaOH solution. The total volume was adjusted to 200mL using  $ddH_2O$  and sterilized by vacuum filtration through 0.22-micron filter. Sterile medium was transferred to 50mL tubes and stored at -20°C (up to 3 months).

#### 3.1.1.2 Preparation of complete MKP medium

Table 4. Amount of reagents used in complete MKP medium.

Reagents	Volume [mL]
Basic MKP medium	200
7% Gelatin	40 (freshly autoclaved)
Rabbit serum	14.4
35% BSA	7

All components were mixed by stirring. The medium was sterilized by vacuum filtration through 0.22-micron filter and transferred to 50mL tubes. Complete medium was stored at +4°C (up to 1 month).

The starting culture was initiated from the frozen bacteria stock when 200 µL of spirochete culture stock was added to 10 mL of MKP complete medium. For passaging of *Borrelia*, 1mL of a not contaminated well grown culture was transferred to a 15mL tube containing 9-12mL of complete MKP medium under the sterile conditions. The samples were grown at 34°C. Throughout the cultivation the cultures were continuously examined under a dark field microscope. Inspections monitored the growth and development of the cultures as well as its purity over time until ready to be further used for DNA or RNA isolation. Biofilm formation was triggered by aging of the cultures for three weeks longer than the replicative forms.

To prepare for DNA or RNA isolation, cultures were centrifuged to collect the cells at 9,000rpm for 20min at 4°C. Supernatant was discarded and remaining pellet was resuspended in 1mL of 1x PBS solution and transferred to 1.5mL Eppendorf tube to wash the cells. The sample was centrifuged at max speed for 15min, and supernatant was discarded. The obtained cells were stored for later use at -20°C.

## 3.2 Genomic DNA isolation

Genomic DNA was isolated by Qiagen DNeasy blood and tissue kit strictly following the provided protocol:

- 1. Add 180 µL of ATL buffer and re-suspend by vortexing
- 2. Add 20  $\mu$ L proteinase K and mix thoroughly by vortexing
- 3. Incubate at 56°C until tissue is completely lysed (15-30min)
- 4. Remove from incubator and vortex for 15 seconds
- 5. Add 200  $\mu$ L of ATL buffer to sample, and mix thoroughly
- 6. Add 200  $\mu$ L of ethanol and vortex thoroughly
- 7. Incubate at 70°C for 10 minutes (optional)
- Pipet all the mixture into a DNeasy mini spin column placed in a 2mL collection tube.

- 9. Centrifuge at 8,000 rpm for 1 minute and discard flow-through and collection tube
- 10. Place in new collection tube, add 500  $\mu$ L of AW1 buffer and centrifuge at 8,000 rpm for 1 minute
- 11. Discard flow-through and collection tube
- Place the column in fresh collection tube and add 500 μL of AW2 buffer, centrifuge at 8,000 rpm for 3 minutes
- 13. Discard flow-through and collection tube
- 14. Place mini spin column in a sterile 1.5 mL Eppendorf tube and add 50  $\mu$ L of H<sub>2</sub>O to elute the genomic DNA
- 15. Incubate for 1 minute at room temperature
- 16. Centrifuge for 1 minute at 8,000 rpm and discard mini spin column
- 17. Store at 4°C until further use

After isolation, concentration of genomic DNA was measured using NanoDrop spectrophotometer. A total of three measurements was taken per each sample and the average value was calculated.

#### **3.3 RNA isolation**

RNA was isolated from *Borrelia burgdorferi* sensu stricto cells of both biofilm and spiral pleomorphic forms according to the supplied protocol and was used as a template in RT-PCR analysis. Briefly:

- 1. Add 300  $\mu$ L of buffer 1 and re-suspend until mixture is homogenous
- 2. Add equal volume of ethanol and mix thoroughly by vortexing
- 3. Transfer the mixture into Zymo-Spin<sup>™</sup> IICR Column in a new collection tube and centrifuge at 7,500 rpm for 1 minute
- 4. Transfer column into new collection tube and discard flow-through
- 5. Add 400 µL of RNA Wash Buffer and centrifuge at 7,500 rpm for 1 minute
- In a separate Eppendorf prepare mixture of 75 μL digestion buffer and 5 μL DNase and mix by gentle inversion
- Add the mix directly onto column matrix and incubate at room temperature for 15 minutes

- Add 400 μL RNA PreWash to the column and centrifuge at 7,500 rpm for 1 minute
- 9. Discard flow-through and repeat step 8 one more time
- Add 700 μL RNA Wash Buffer to the column and centrifuge at 7,500 rpm for 1 minute
- Transfer the column into a sterile 1.5 mL Eppendorf tube and add 50 μL
   DNase/RNase-Free Water directly to column matrix to elute the RNA
- 12. Incubate at room temperature for 1 minute
- 13. Centrifuge sample at 7,500 rpm for 1 minute and discard Zymo-spin column
- 14. The eluted RNA must be stored at -80°C

To analyze the quality and integrity of RNA an aliquot of the purified RNA (5  $\mu$ L) was denatured at 70°C for 10 minutes and loaded onto 0.8% Agarose gel on TBE buffer for direct analysis. A 2x Brompheol Blue, Xylene Cyanol FF loading dye supplemented with SybrGold was used for this analysis.

RNA was analyzed using NanoDrop, the concentration of the RNA was measured equal RNA concentrations for each sample were obtained by diluting. Diluted samples were aliquoted and stored at -80°C until further use.

#### 3.4 Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) analysis

#### 3.4.1 Standard PCR

Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) is a method of molecular and genetic analysis used to amplify particular segments of DNA between two regions of a specific sequence called primers. This technique requires the use of DNase/RNase-free water, Taq polymerase, nucleotides (dNTPs), buffer mix, primers and DNA templates. Each PCR reaction was conducted in a total volume of 20  $\mu$ L.

#### Table 5. Components of one PCR reaction ( $20\mu L$ ).

Contents	Volume [µL]
2x PCR Master Mix*	10
0.1mM primer Forward	1
0.1 mM primer Reverse	1
H <sub>2</sub> O	variable
Template DNA< 200 ng/reaction	variable

#### 3.4.2 One-Step Reverse Transcription (RT) PCR

RT-PCR is a modification of standard PCR involving the conversion of RNA into its complementary DNA sequence (cDNA) by reverse transcriptase enzyme. The cDNA molecule serves as a template for further amplification through PCR. Primers were designed specifically for certain areas of the *Borrelia* genome (genes) suspected to be under-regulated in spiral forms and up-regulated in biofilms.

#### 3.4.3 Preparation of reactions and procedure

All the reagents necessary to perform the one-step RT-PCR were included in the kit. Two different kits were used, closely following their corresponding protocol:

#### 3.4.3.1 ONETAQ® One-Step RT-PCR Kit, (New England Biolabs)

- 1. Thaw system components and mix by vortexing
- 2. Mix reagents, except for RNA, in sterile RNase-free conditions (PCR box):

Reagents	Volume [µL]
OneTaq One-Step Reaction Mix (2x)	25
OneTaq One-Step Enzyme Mix (25x)	2
Gene-specific Forward Primer $\mu M$	2
Gene-Specific Reverse Primer $\mu M$	2
Nuclease-free H <sub>2</sub> 0	19-x
Total RNA (up to 1 µg)	Х

Table 6. Contents of one RT-PCR reaction (50µ
---

<sup>\*</sup> PCR Master Mix contains: Taq DNA Polymerase, mixture of dNTPs and PCR buffer substituted with both KCl and (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>.

3. Add RNA template and start reactions in thermocycler as follows:

Step	Temperature	Time	Cycles
Reverse	48°C	15-30 minutes	1
Transcription			Ĩ
Initial	94°C	1 minute	1
Denaturation	74.6	1 minute	1
Denaturation	94°C	15 seconds	
Annealing	50-65°C	30 seconds	40
Extension	68°C	1 minute/ kb	
Final Extension	68°C	5 minutes	1
Hold	4°C	$\infty$	1

Table 7. PCR steps, temperature and time for ONETAQ One-Step RT-PCR Kit.

3.4.3.2 SuperScript<sup>™</sup> IV One-Step RT-PCR System, (ThermoFisher Scientific)

- 1. Defrost components from kit and mix by vortexing
- 2. Mix all reagents, except for RNA templates, in sterile conditions

Table 8. Components of one RT-PCR reaction (50µL)

Components	Volume
	[µL]
Platinum <sup>™</sup> SuperFi <sup>™</sup> RT-PCR Master Mix	25
(2x)	25
Forward Primer (10 µM)	2.5
Reverse Primer (10 µM)	2.5
SuperScript <sup>™</sup> IV RT Mix	0.5
Template RNA (0.01 pg to 1 µg total RNA)	Х
Nuclease-free water	variable

- 3. Add RNA templates and negative control  $(H_20)$  to reaction tubes
- 4. Place reactions in pre-heated thermal cycler and run program

Step	Temperature	Time	Cycles
Reverse transcription	45-60°C	10 minutes	1
RT initial denaturation	98°C	2 minutes	1
Denaturation	98°C	10 seconds	
Annealing	55-72°C	10 seconds	35-40
Extension	72°C	30 seconds/kb	
Final extension	72°C	5 minutes	1
Hold	4°C	$\infty$	1

Table 9. PCR steps, temperature and time for SuperScript IV One-Step RT-PCR kit.

In the case of both kits, completed reactions were immediately placed on ice and prepared for further analysis by gel electrophoresis.

#### 3.5 Gel Electrophoresis

Gel electrophoresis is a method used to separate DNA/RNA fragments by size in agarose gel. Migration occurs due to negatively charged phosphorus groups in DNA/RNA being pulled by an electric current through the gel to the positive pole. It allows for detection of presence and size of the PCR product.

Results of every PCR and RT-PCR reaction were examined by gel electrophoresis: 1% agarose gel was prepared by dissolving 2g of agarose in 200 mL of 1x TAE buffer (0.001 M EDTA, 0.04 M Tris-acetate) by boiling and left to cool before transferring it into casting tray for gel to set. CoralLoad 6x concentrated loading dye was added to each sample at a ratio of 1:6. It contains two marker dyes (orange and red) that allow for the estimation of DNA/RNA migration distance and one dye to visualize the PCR product under UV light. The samples were then loaded onto gel and run for 20-40 minutes at 100V. The gel was removed and inspected under UV light. All results were documented.

PCR products were cut from gel and purified. Gel pieces were transferred to the provided columns with collection tubes. Samples were centrifuged at 5,000 rpm for 10 minutes and immediately placed on ice, the concentration of the obtained purified PCR product was measured before the cloning step or direct PCR product sequencing.

## **3.6 Cloning of PCR products**

Purified PCR product was cloned into a pCR-4 TOPO plasmid followed by the transformation of the competent cells. TOPO<sup>TM</sup> TA Cloning<sup>TM</sup> Kit for Sequencing was used to conduct this procedure in accordance with the provided protocol:

#### 3.6.1 Ligation of PCR Product

1. In a separate DNase/RNase-free Eppendorf prepare mixture for ligation reaction

Reagent	Volume [µL]
Vector mix	1
Salt solution	1
PCR product	1.5- 4*
DNase/RNase-free water	variable

2. Mix by inversion and incubate at room temperature for 15-20 minutes

#### 3.6.2 Transformation of competent cells

- Add 2 μL of ligation reaction to provided One Shot TOP10 chemically competent E. coli cells and mix by inversion
- 2. Leave on ice for 20-30 minutes
- 3. Incubate at 42°C for 30 seconds
- 4. Add 250  $\mu L$  of SOC medium in sterile conditions
- Incubate in shaker at 37°C and 200 rpm for 1 hour. Spread 10-50 μL from transformation reaction on pre-warmed LB/ampicillin plates
- 6. Incubate the plates at 37°C overnight without shaking

 $<sup>^{\</sup>ast}$  Volume dependant on concentration of PCR product [ng/  $\mu L$ ].

#### 3.6.3 Preparation of Luria-Bertani (LB) liquid medium

Table 11.	Composition	of LB	medium	(1L).
10000 110	composition	0, 22		( ) .

Reagent	Concentration [%]	Amount [g]	
Tryptone	1.0	10	
Yeast Extract	0.5	5	
NaCl	1.0	10	

- 1. Dissolve all components in 950 mL deionized water
- 2. Adjust pH of solution to 7.0 with NaOH
- 3. Bring volume up to 1 L with deionized water
- 4. Autoclave on liquid cycle for 20 minutes at 15 psi
- 5. Allow solution to cool to 55°C before adding the antibiotic
- 6. Store at 4°C

#### 3.6.4 Preparation of LB agar plates

- 1. Add 15 g/L agar to LB medium
- 2. Autoclave on liquid cycle for 20 minutes at 15 psi.
- 3. Let LB/agar to cool to 55°C and add ampicillin to the final concentration of 50  $\mu$ g/mL. Mix well, avoid bubbles.
- 4. Pour into 10-cm petri dishes
- 5. Let solidify, invert and store at 4°C until use.

## 4. Results

#### 4.1 Borrelia cultivation

*Borrelia burgdorferi* sensu stricto was grown in MKP medium until the density 10<sup>5</sup> cell/ mL for spiral (active) forms of borrelia or left to grow until 99% of population were represented as biofilms (in average 3 weeks). Throughout the cultivation the cultures were continuously examined under a dark field microscope.



Figure 3. Cultures of replicative spiral forms (left) and biofilm aggregates (right) observed under dark field microscope.

## 4.2 RNA isolation

For each morphological form, two cultures were selected for both spiral forms (A) and biofilm aggregates (B) RNA extraction. The concentration of each sample of isolated RNA was measured by NanoDrop and adjusted to an equal value (15 ng/ $\mu$ L) to ensure an equimolar identity for each.

Sample	$C_{initial}[ng/\mu L]$	$C_{final}[ng/\mu L]$	Dilution Factor
B <sub>1</sub>	15.3	15.3	1
B <sub>2</sub>	45.3	15.3	2.96
A <sub>1</sub>	17.2	15.3	1.12
A <sub>2</sub>	30.8	15.3	2

Table 12. Dilution procedure for isolated RNA samples used.

The intactness of the isolated RNA was controlled by the presence of all ribosomal RNA via gel electrophoresis.



Figure 4. RNA aliquots suspended on 0.8% agarose gel, run on TBE buffer. (A) - RNA isolated from replicative spirochetes, (B) - RNA obtained from biofilm cultures.

## 4.3 Results Summary

Based on the findings of the protein profile comparisons in biofilms and spiral forms of borrelia (Figure 6) the most representative proteins displaying an up-regulation in biofilms and down-regulation in spiral forms were selected. The heat map was provided to us after completion of proteomics analysis.



Figure 5. Proteomic profile of upregulated and downregulated surface proteins in Bb cysts, biofilms and active spirochetes.

The candidates were characterized using UNIPROT and their corresponding nucleotide sequences were obtained from GenBank. All the genes investigated are provided in the supplemented materials. Using the program PrimerBlast from GenBank database, primers were designed. The primers were used to cover and amplify a maximal length of a given gene. All the combinations tested are available in the supplemented materials. Those that displayed promising results are summarized below (Table 13).

Forward	Sequence (5'- 3')	Reverse	Sequence (5'- 3')	Size of	Annealing
primer		Primer		Primer	temperature
				[bp]	[°C]
	Gene B	<b>B_0383</b> Ba	asic Membrane protein A	A	
F2	AAGGTAGTCTTGGG	R2	AAATCTGAGCCCGCA	199	55.3
	AGCGAA		TCCTT		
F2	AAGGTAGTCTTGGG	R1	GCAACGCTTCTACCA	527	55.3
	AGCGAA		GCTTC		
Gene BB_A40 Uncharacterized protein					
F5	TACCCGGTATTTGA	R3	GCAGGACCTAATGCT	380	56.1
	GAGCCAC		AAAGCG		

Table 13. Primer combinations designed for Bb replicating spirochetes and biofilms that showed promising results.

All primers were used in RT-PCR reactions with an equal quantity of RNA of both biofilms and spiral forms of borrelia as a template. The majority of the reactions revealed no difference in amplification between the two forms of borrelia. The following instances depict the multitude of reactions that proved to be ineffective.



*Figure 6. Results showing no difference in the expression of the genes BB\_0149 and BB\_0123 in both spiral forms as well as biofilms. (A) - spiral RNA, (B)- biofilm RNA and (-) - negative control.* 



Figure 7. Results displaying no difference in the expression in both morphological states for the gene BB\_0337.

We chose to reduce the number of PCR cycles from 40 to 25 in order to lessen the possibility of non-specific amplification of PCR products during lengthy PCR operations This modification was carried out to enhance the specificity and accuracy of our results by decreasing the likelihood of false-positive signals arising from the amplification of non-target sequences.

Several genes revealed positive results, displaying an amplified PCR product only in the case of RNA from biofilms used as a template. No result of amplification was found in the case of replicating forms of *borrelia*.



Figure 8. PCR results with primer combination F5, R3 (380bp) of the gene BB\_A40. A - represents the spirochete RNA, B - biofilm RNA, (-) - denotes the negative control and M – marker (100bp).



Figure 9. PCR results with primers F2, R1 (527 bp) pictured left and F2, R2 (199 bp) pictured right, of the gene BB\_0383.

Pictured above are the results of gel electrophoresis of PCR amplification of two genes that exhibited the expected upregulation of expression in biofilms and downregulation in replicative spiral forms of *Bb* ss. The results observed for gene BB\_A40 were successfully repeated in three replicates.

The preliminary results obtained by standard PCR should be confirmed by qRT-PCR. This was not conducted within the project due to the lack of time.

#### 4.3.1 Sequencing results

Once PCR products were obtained and analyzed on agarose gel, they were cut from the gel and purified for sequencing. Direct sequencing of the PCR product was conducted at the first stage in order to confirm the sequence of interest, following by the cloning of the PCR product into pCR-4 TOPO vector to control the accuracy of the whole sequence. The vector possesses a pre-defined set of primers, which facilitated the acquisition of an accurate identity of the insert. (Figure 11)



*Figure 10. Graphical representation of pCR 4-TOPO*® vector map (Invitrogen).

#### 4.3.2 Gene BB\_A40

The PCR product from gene BB\_A40 yielded the following nucleotide sequence.

#### **BB\_A40**

Nucleotide sequence

TATTTTGCTCAAAAAGGCGGACTTAAAAGCTCTTCTGCTGATAAGTTTGAAAATT ATCAAGCTTTAGATTTTTGCTACAAATGCGGGGGTTAAGCTTATTGTTAACGGTTCA AATTTGCAAATAGCAAAAGGCGGTGGTAGTGATCTTTATGGGGGTTTGTGTAGACT TTGACGATTTTTCAAGAACCGGCACGGTTGTTCCAATCACTTGTAGTTTTGAATGT GTTTTGATTACTAAAGACAAAACCATCAAAGCAGAAGACAAATTAATAATAAAC AGCGAAGGGGTTTTAGAAAAATCTAGCAAAATGCATCTGTTATTCACGCTTTAG CATTAGGTCCTGCA

This result was compared with the original sequence obtained from GenBank, using the SeqMan module from DNASTAR. A total of 549 nucleotides were compared. Of these, 345 were identical with no gaps or ambiguous nucleotides present.

Table 14 .Summary of results obtained from the alignment of the original sequence and the observed sequence.

Number of selected sites:	549
Number of identical sites:	345
Base composition (all sites):	34.5% A   13.8% C   20.5% G   31.3% T
Transition/ Transversion ratio:	0.000
Percentage of homology:	99.7%

#### 4.3.3 Gene BB\_0383

The results obtained from the sequencing analysis provide clear evidence that the gene of interest, namely bb\_0383, is present.

#### bmpA BB\_0383

Nucleotide sequence

GGTAAAGGTAGTCTTGGGAGCGAAATTCCTAAGGTATCTTTAATAATTGATGGAA CTTTTGATGATAAATCTTTTAATGAGAGTGCTTTAAATGGCGTAAAAAAAGTTAA CTGATCTTGAAGGGCTTAAGGATGCGGGGCTCAGATTTAATTTGGCTTATTGGGTA TAGATTTAGCGATGTGGCCAAGGTTGCGGCTCTTCAAAATCCCCGATATGAAATAT GCAATTATTGATCCTATTTATTCTAACGATCCTATTCCTGCAAATTTGGTGGGCAT GACCTTTAGAGCTCAAGAGGGTGCATTTTTAACGGGTTATATTGCTGCAAGACTT TCTAAAACAGGTAAAATTGGATTTTTAGGGGGGAATAGAAGGCGAGATAGTAGAT GCTTTTAGGTATGGGTATGAGGCTGGTGCTAAGTATGCTAATAAAGATATAAAGA TATCTACTCAGTATATTGGTAGTTTTGCTGACCTTGAAGCTGGTAGAAGCGTTGCA ACTAGAATGTATTCTGATGAGATAGACATTATTCATCATGCTGCAGGCTTTGGAG GAATTGGGGCTATTGAGGTTGCAAAAGAACTTGGTTCTGGGCATTACATTATTGG AGTTGATGAAGATCAAGCATATCTTGCTCCTGACAATGTAATAACATCTACAACT AAAGATGTTGGTAGAGCTTTAAATATTTTTACATCTAACCATTTAAAAAACTAATA CTTTCGAAGGTGGCAAATTAATAAATTATGGCCTTAAAGAAGGAGTTGTGGGGGTT TGTAAGAAATCCTAAAATGATTTCCTTTGAACTTGAAAAAGAAATTGACAATCTT AGAAGTTTCTTAAAGAATTTATTTAA

In conclusion, out of all the 11 genes tested 2 exhibited expected and promising results: genes BB\_A40 and BB\_0383. Unfortunately, gene BB\_A40 is currently uncharacterized and therefore not much information is available on it, and it might be an interesting subject for following studies.

Gene BB\_0383 is known to encode the recombinant basic membrane protein A (BmpA), alternatively known as immunodominant antigen P39. This protein is the product of *B. burgdorferi* gene BmpA and is one of *B. burgdorferi* 's main antigens that plays a key role in Lyme arthritis. It was also shown that BmpA could stimulate the secretion of several specific chemokines and induce Lyme arthritis when the cells are exposed *in vitro* to recombinant *Borrelia burgdorferi* basic membrane protein A [49]. This protein is presently being investigated for its potential in serological testing techniques. It has predominantly been detected in individuals with persistent Lyme borreliosis infections, especially those exhibiting neurological and musculoskeletal manifestations [50]. Prior research has indicated that the gene is located within a 6.3-kilobase fragment originating from *B. burgdorferi* Sh-2-82, embedded in the recombinant plasmid pSPR33 [51].

## 5. Discussion

Lyme borreliosis (LB) is the most prevalent tick-borne disease induced by the spirochetes from *Borrelia burgdorferi* sensu lato complex on a global scale. The skin, joints, heart, and nervous system represent just a few of the various organ systems that are affected by the illness. As the infection develops, the bacteria disseminate throughout the host tissues, hiding from the host immune response. The majority of diagnosed patients respond well to early treatment with antibiotics. Nevertheless, despite targeted antibiotic therapy, persistent forms of *Borrelia* remain a threat to approximately 10-20% of patients diagnosed with LB [52]. The long-lasting persistence of LB symptoms and the failures of the antibiotic treatment of LB are comparable to other biofilm-associated chronic infections. Through impairing immune function, hindering antimicrobial therapy, and disseminating planktonic cells responsible for the distribution of infection within the body, biofilms are able to preserve resident bacteria and perpetuate chronic infections. This enables bacterial cells to not only become extremely resilient against antibiotic therapy but also their eradication from the host. Since bacteria can form biofilms in host tissues as well as on foreign objects - including catheters, implants, and prosthetic devices, many serious illnesses affecting humans, animals, and plants become increasingly difficult to treat. This is especially prevalent in Cystic fibrosis, which is often complicated by chronic *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilm infections in the lung, Prosthetic joint infections attributed to *Staphylococcus aureus* biofilms and Chronic wound infections, wherein biofilms formed by various bacterial species interfere with the healing process [53]– [55]. The main aim of our project was to identify differentially expressed genes involved in biofilm formation, which could potentially serve as markers for detecting these dormant morphotypes in chronic Lyme borreliosis patients.

*Borrelia* biofilm aggregates, which constitute microbial communities held together by a selfsecreted matrix of extracellular polymeric substance, predominantly composed of polysaccharides, proteins, and nucleic acids, are more resilient to antibiotics proven effective against replicating *B. burgdorferi* spirochetes and round body forms. Antibiotic resistance and the recurrence of Lyme borreliosis have long been attributed to biofilm-like aggregates in *Borrelia*, both *in vitro* and *in vivo*, and continue to puzzle researchers in their complexity and resilience to this day. Additionally, an organization of exopolysaccharides among distinct *Borrelia* species was recently demonstrated by histochemical tests, raising the question whether biofilm formation is a physiological feature shared among all *Borrelia* species [56].

It is important to note that a fundamental understanding of the interconnected structural, enzymatic, and regulatory factors needed to facilitate biofilm development is imperative for the development of measures aimed at combating all biofilm-related illnesses. Therefore, identification and analysis of bacterial genes, proteins, adhesins, and other components involved in the development of biofilm aggregates can shed light on the mechanisms underlying the persistence and resilience of these morphotypes, which is an essential step in the development of preventative medications or measures to treat biofilm-related illnesses.

Although the mechanism, by which biofilm colonies form has previously been explored, this morphotype has not yet been compared to replicating spirochetes at the transcriptome level [36]. The recent work by Orak and colleagues (2023) was published only after our study was completed. Using RNAseq profiling, they were able to describe and compare the transcriptomes of *B. burgdorferi* spirochetes, round bodies, blebs, and biofilm-dominated cultures. Their findings revealed distinct transcriptional profiles for each morphological state, particularly highlighting that despite their physical distinctions, round bodies and spirochetes

share similar expression patterns. Contrary, blebs and biofilms displayed seemingly different transcriptomes that substantially differed from those of spirochetes and spherical bodies.

The recent findings indicate that the transition of *Borrelia* spirochetes to biofilms involves a significant downregulation of expression processes on the primary chromosome and a notable shift in the expression of genes from this chromosome onto plasmids. This suggests that *Borrelia* may rely on specific plasmid-residing genes for biofilm formation and maintenance, which is in accordance to our findings concerning the increased expression of gene BB\_0383 in biofilms, described to be a plasmid-residing gene [49]. These plasmid-borne genes were also revealed to be young evolutionary genes that arose in the phylostratum 6 (ps6) ancestor of the *Borreliaceae* family. The exact role of the genes specific to *Borreliaceae* remains largely obscure, despite their abundance. This statement corresponds with our findings regarding the expression of uncharacterized protein of the gene BB\_A40. Numerous virulence genes associated with immune evasion and tissue adhesion in Lyme borreliosis have been traced back to this evolutionary period, implying that the biofilm morphotype could indeed play a crucial function in the dissemination and persistence of *B. burgdorferi* within the mammalian host.

The authors of this study stress the importance of functional characterization of the large pool of unstudied *Borreliaceae*-specific genes that were discovered during transcriptomic comparison of various morphological forms of *Borrelia* spirochetes, as this subset undoubtedly contains genes involved in the pathogenesis of LB that are yet to be identified. This statement highlights the fundamental importance of the primary aim of our project.

The findings of this project represent just the beginning of important research on differentially expressed genes in various morphological variants of *Borrelia*. Further work should involve comparing proteomics and transcriptomics data, identifying accurate markers for distinct pleomorphic forms of spirochetes based on gene and protein expression levels by conducting qRT-PCRs, examining the expression of relevant recombinant proteins, and generating antibodies. This project should serve as an initial step towards identifying markers that can facilitate the detection of latent forms of *Borrelia* in patients with chronic Lyme borreliosis, for which conventional diagnostic tests have failed to identify the pathogenic agent causing the disease.

## 6. Literature:

- Z. Hubálek, "Epidemiology of Lyme Borreliosis," in *Current Problems in Dermatology*, D. Lipsker and B. Jaulhac, Eds., Basel: KARGER, 2009, pp. 31–50. doi: 10.1159/000213069.
- [2] B. M. Kuehn, "CDC Estimates 300 000 US Cases of Lyme Disease Annually," JAMA, vol. 310, no. 11, p. 1110, Sep. 2013, doi: 10.1001/jama.2013.278331.
- [3] C. A. Nelson *et al.*, "Incidence of Clinician-Diagnosed Lyme Disease, United States, 2005–2010," *Emerg. Infect. Dis.*, vol. 21, no. 9, pp. 1625–1631, Sep. 2015, doi: 10.3201/eid2109.150417.
- [4] "European Parliament resolution of 15 November 2018 on Lyme disease (Borreliosis) (2018/2774(RSP))," 2018.
- [5] A. G. Barbour and S. F. Hayes, "Biology of Borrelia species," *Microbiol. Rev.*, vol. 50, no. 4, pp. 381–400, Dec. 1986, doi: 10.1128/mr.50.4.381-400.1986.
- [6] P. Oschmann, W. Dorndorf, C. Hornig, C. Schäfer, H. J. Wellensiek, and K. W. Pflughaupt, "Stages and syndromes of neuroborreliosis," *J. Neurol.*, vol. 245, no. 5, pp. 262–272, May 1998, doi: 10.1007/s004150050216.
- [7] K. Ornstein, J. Berglund, S. Bergström, R. Norrby, and A. G. Barbour, "Three Major Lyme Borrelia Genospecies (Borrelia burgdorferi sensu stricto, B. afzelii and B. garinii) Identified by PCR in Cerebrospinal Fluid from Patients with Neuroborreliosis in Sweden," *Scand. J. Infect. Dis.*, vol. 34, no. 5, pp. 341–346, Jan. 2002, doi: 10.1080/00365540110080313.
- [8] E. Ruzić-Sabljić *et al.*, "Characterization of Borrelia burgdorferi sensu lato strains isolated from human material in Slovenia," *Wien. Klin. Wochenschr.*, vol. 114, no. 13–14, pp. 544–550, Jul. 2002.
- [9] A. P. van Dam *et al.*, "Different Genospecies of Borrelia burgdorferi Are Associated with Distinct Clinical Manifestations of Lyme Borreliosis," *Clin. Infect. Dis.*, vol. 17, no. 4, pp. 708–717, Oct. 1993, doi: 10.1093/clinids/17.4.708.
- [10] F. Grange *et al.*, "Borrelia burgdorferi-associated lymphocytoma cutis simulating a primary cutaneous large B-cell lymphoma," *J. Am. Acad. Dermatol.*, vol. 47, no. 4, pp. 530–534, Oct. 2002, doi: 10.1067/mjd.2002.120475.
- [11] R. N. Picken *et al.*, "Identification of Three Species of Borrelia burgdorferi Sensu Lato (B. burgdorferi Sensu Stricto, B. garinii, and B. afzelii) Among Isolates from Acrodermatitis Chronica Atrophicans Lesions," *J. Invest. Dermatol.*, vol. 110, no. 3, pp. 211–214, Mar. 1998, doi: 10.1046/j.1523-1747.1998.00130.x.
- [12] M. T. Smetanick, S. L. Zellis, and T. Ermolovich, "Acrodermatitis chronica atrophicans: a case report and review of the literature," *Cutis*, vol. 85, no. 5, pp. 247–252, May 2010.
- [13] N. Rudenko, M. Golovchenko, D. Růzek, N. Piskunova, N. Mallátová, and L. Grubhoffer, "Molecular detection of Borrelia bissettii DNA in serum samples from patients in the Czech Republic with suspected borreliosis," *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.*, vol. 292, no. 2, pp. 274–281, Mar. 2009, doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6968.2009.01498.x.
- [14] N. Rudenko *et al.*, "Detection of *Borrelia bissettii* in Cardiac Valve Tissue of a Patient with Endocarditis and Aortic Valve Stenosis in the Czech Republic," *J. Clin. Microbiol.*, vol. 46, no. 10, pp. 3540–3543, Oct. 2008, doi: 10.1128/JCM.01032-08.
- [15] J. A. Hyde, "Borrelia burgdorferi Keeps Moving and Carries on: A Review of Borrelial Dissemination and Invasion," *Front. Immunol.*, vol. 8, Feb. 2017, doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2017.00114.
- [16] T. S. Murray and E. D. Shapiro, "Lyme Disease," *Clin. Lab. Med.*, vol. 30, no. 1, pp. 311–328, Mar. 2010, doi: 10.1016/j.cll.2010.01.003.

- [17] A. C. Steere *et al.*, "Clinical manifestations of lyme disease," *Zentralblatt Für Bakteriol. Mikrobiol. Hyg. Ser. Med. Microbiol. Infect. Dis. Virol. Parasitol.*, vol. 263, no. 1–2, pp. 201–205, Dec. 1986, doi: 10.1016/S0176-6724(86)80123-7.
- [18] J. A. Cardenas-de la Garza, E. De la Cruz-Valadez, J. Ocampo-Candiani, and O. Welsh, "Clinical spectrum of Lyme disease," *Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.*, vol. 38, no. 2, pp. 201–208, Feb. 2019, doi: 10.1007/s10096-018-3417-1.
- [19] R. Stricker and Johnson, "Lyme disease: the next decade," *Infect. Drug Resist.*, p. 1, Jan. 2011, doi: 10.2147/IDR.S15653.
- [20] N. Rudenko, M. Golovchenko, K. Kybicova, and M. Vancova, "Metamorphoses of Lyme disease spirochetes: phenomenon of Borrelia persisters," *Parasit. Vectors*, vol. 12, no. 1, p. 237, Dec. 2019, doi: 10.1186/s13071-019-3495-7.
- [21] J. Bigger, "Treatment of Staphylococcal infections with penicillin by intermittent sterilization," *The Lancet*, vol. 244, no. 6320, pp. 497–500, 1944, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(00)74210-3.
- [22] K. Berndtson, "Review of evidence for immune evasion and persistent infection in Lyme disease," *Int. J. Gen. Med.*, p. 291, Apr. 2013, doi: 10.2147/IJGM.S44114.
- [23] K. Lewis, "Persister Cells," Annu. Rev. Microbiol., vol. 64, no. 1, pp. 357–372, 2010, doi: 10.1146/annurev.micro.112408.134306.
- [24] J. Feng, P. G. Auwaerter, and Y. Zhang, "Drug combinations against Borrelia burgdorferi persisters in vitro: eradication achieved by using daptomycin, cefoperazone and doxycycline," *PloS One*, vol. 10, no. 3, p. e0117207, 2015, doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0117207.
- [25] E. Sapi *et al.*, "Evaluation of in-vitro antibiotic susceptibility of different morphological forms of Borrelia burgdorferi," *Infect. Drug Resist.*, vol. 4, pp. 97–113, 2011, doi: 10.2147/IDR.S19201.
- [26] E. Fikrig and S. Narasimhan, "Borrelia burgdorferi–Traveling incognito?," *Microbes Infect.*, vol. 8, no. 5, pp. 1390–1399, Apr. 2006, doi: 10.1016/j.micinf.2005.12.022.
- [27] A. Schwarzbach, A. Herranen, L. Gilbert, and L. Meriläinen, "Morphological and biochemical features of Borrelia burgdorferi pleomorphic forms," *Microbiology*, vol. 161, no. 3, pp. 516–527, Mar. 2015, doi: 10.1099/mic.0.000027.
- [28] D. Drecktrah, M. Lybecker, N. Popitsch, P. Rescheneder, L. S. Hall, and D. S. Samuels, "Correction: The Borrelia burgdorferi RelA/SpoT Homolog and Stringent Response Regulate Survival in the Tick Vector and Global Gene Expression during Starvation," *PLOS Pathog.*, vol. 11, no. 10, pp. 1–1, Oct. 2015, doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1005242.
- [29] J. Miklossy, S. Kasas, A. D. Zurn, S. McCall, S. Yu, and P. L. McGeer, "Persisting atypical and cystic forms of Borrelia burgdorferi and local inflammation in Lyme neuroborreliosis," *25 Sept. 2008*, doi: 10.1186/1742-2094-5-40.
- [30] A. G. Barbour and S. F. Hayes, "Biology of Borrelia Species," *MICROBIOL REV*, vol. 50, p. 20, 1986.
- [31] A. Barbour, "Remains of infection," *J. Clin. Invest.*, vol. 122, no. 7, pp. 2344–2346, Jul. 2012, doi: 10.1172/JCI63975.
- [32] V. P. Mursic, S. Reinhardt, B. Wilske, U. Busch, G. Wanner, and W. Marget, "Formation and cultivation of Borrelia burgdorferi spheroplast-L-form variants," *Infection*, vol. 24, no. 3, pp. 218–226, May 1996, doi: 10.1007/BF01781096.
- [33] Ø. Brorson and S. H. Brorson, "In vitro conversion of Borrelia burgdorferi to cystic forms in spinal fluid, and transformation to mobile spirochetes by incubation in BSK-H medium," *Infection*, vol. 26, no. 3, pp. 144–150, May 1998, doi: 10.1007/BF02771839.
- [34] Ø. Brorson and S. H. Brorson, "Transformation of cystic forms of Borrelia burgdorferi to normal, mobile spirochetes," *Infection*, vol. 25, no. 4, pp. 240–246, Jul. 1997, doi: 10.1007/BF01713153.

- [35] A. Kersten, C. Poitschek, S. Rauch, and E. Aberer, "Effects of penicillin, ceftriaxone, and doxycycline on morphology of Borrelia burgdorferi," *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.*, vol. 39, no. 5, pp. 1127–1133, May 1995, doi: 10.1128/AAC.39.5.1127.
- [36] V. A. Timmaraju, P. A. S. Theophilus, K. Balasubramanian, S. Shakih, D. F. Luecke, and E. Sapi, "Biofilm formation by *Borrelia burgdorferi* sensu lato," *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.*, vol. 362, no. 15, p. fnv120, Aug. 2015, doi: 10.1093/femsle/fnv120.
- [37] K. K. Jefferson, "What drives bacteria to produce a biofilm?," *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.*, vol. 236, no. 2, pp. 163–173, Jul. 2004, doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6968.2004.tb09643.x.
- [38] M. Wilson and D. Devine, *Medical Implications of Biofilms*. Cambridge University Press, 2003. [Online]. Available: https://books.google.com/books?id=EPw7vf0o71gC
- [39] M. Deighton and R. Borland, "Regulation of slime production in Staphylococcus epidermidis by iron limitation," *Infect. Immun.*, vol. 61, no. 10, pp. 4473–4479, 1993, doi: 10.1128/iai.61.10.4473-4479.1993.
- [40] L. Baldassarri *et al.*, "Enterococcus spp. produces slime and survives in rat peritoneal macrophages," *Med. Microbiol. Immunol. (Berl.)*, vol. 190, no. 3, pp. 113–120, Dec. 2001, doi: 10.1007/s00430-001-0096-8.
- [41] P. Landini, "Cross-talk mechanisms in biofilm formation and responses to environmental and physiological stress in Escherichia coli," *Res. Microbiol.*, vol. 160, no. 4, pp. 259–266, May 2009, doi: 10.1016/j.resmic.2009.03.001.
- [42] R. M. Donlan and J. W. Costerton, "Biofilms: Survival Mechanisms of Clinically Relevant Microorganisms," *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.*, vol. 15, p. 27, 2002.
- [43] Y. Zhang, "Persisters, persistent infections and the Yin–Yang model," *Emerg. Microbes Infect.*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 1–10, Jan. 2014, doi: 10.1038/emi.2014.3.
- [44] H. S. Girgis, K. Harris, and S. Tavazoie, "Large mutational target size for rapid emergence of bacterial persistence," *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, vol. 109, no. 31, pp. 12740– 12745, Jul. 2012, doi: 10.1073/pnas.1205124109.
- [45] H. S. Moyed and K. P. Bertrand, "hipA, a newly recognized gene of Escherichia coli K-12 that affects frequency of persistence after inhibition of murein synthesis," J. Bacteriol., vol. 155, no. 2, pp. 768–775, Aug. 1983, doi: 10.1128/jb.155.2.768-775.1983.
- [46] S. B. Korch, T. A. Henderson, and T. M. Hill, "Characterization of the hipA7 allele of Escherichia coli and evidence that high persistence is governed by (p)ppGpp synthesis: Persistence and (p)ppGpp synthesis in E. coli," *Mol. Microbiol.*, vol. 50, no. 4, pp. 1199– 1213, Nov. 2003, doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2958.2003.03779.x.
- [47] C. Ma, S. Sim, W. Shi, L. Du, D. Xing, and Y. Zhang, "Energy production genes sucB and ubiF are involved in persister survival and tolerance to multiple antibiotics and stresses in Escherichia coli," *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.*, vol. 303, no. 1, pp. 33–40, Feb. 2010, doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6968.2009.01857.x.
- [48] Y. Li and Y. Zhang, "PhoU Is a Persistence Switch Involved in Persister Formation and Tolerance to Multiple Antibiotics and Stresses in *Escherichia coli*," *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.*, vol. 51, no. 6, pp. 2092–2099, Jun. 2007, doi: 10.1128/AAC.00052-07.
- [49] Y. Peng *et al.*, "Borrelia burgdorferi basic membrane protein A stimulates murine macrophage to secrete specific chemokines," *Int. J. Med. Sci.*, vol. 15, no. 13, pp. 1473– 1479, 2018, doi: 10.7150/ijms.26657.
- [50] W. J. Simpson, W. Cieplak, M. E. Schrumpf, A. G. Barbour, and T. G. Schwan, "Nucleotide sequence and analysis of the gene in *Borrelia burgdorferi* encoding the immunogenic P39 antigen," *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.*, vol. 119, no. 3, pp. 381–387, Jun. 1994, doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6968.1994.tb06917.x.
- [51] W. J. Simpson, M. E. Schrumpf, and T. G. Schwan, "Reactivity of human Lyme borreliosis sera with a 39-kilodalton antigen specific to Borrelia burgdorferi," *J. Clin. Microbiol.*, vol. 28, pp. 1329–1337, 1990.

- [52] CDC, "Post-Treatment Lyme Disease Syndrome." Jan. 10, 2022. [Online]. Available: https://www.cdc.gov/lyme/postlds/index.html
- [53] T. Bjarnsholt, "The role of bacterial biofilms in chronic infections," *APMIS. Suppl.*, no. 136, pp. 1–51, May 2013, doi: 10.1111/apm.12099.
- [54] A. J. Tande and R. Patel, "Prosthetic joint infection," *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.*, vol. 27, no. 2, pp. 302–345, Apr. 2014, doi: 10.1128/CMR.00111-13.
- [55] R. D. Wolcott and G. D. Ehrlich, "Biofilms and chronic infections," *JAMA*, vol. 299, no. 22, pp. 2682–2684, Jun. 2008, doi: 10.1001/jama.299.22.2682.
- [56] N. Čorak *et al.*, "Pleomorphic Variants of Borreliella (syn. Borrelia) burgdorferi Express Evolutionary Distinct Transcriptomes," *Int. J. Mol. Sci.*, vol. 24, no. 6, p. 5594, Mar. 2023, doi: 10.3390/ijms24065594.

## 7. Supplemented Materials

## 7.1 Sequences

gene BB\_0383

GenBank accession number: Q45010

aa sequence

MNKILLLILLESIVFLSCSGKGSLGSEIPKVSLIIDGTFDDKSFNESALNGVKKVKEE FKIELVLKESSSNSYLSDLEGLKDAGSDLIWLIGYRFSDVAKVAALQNPDMKYAII DPIYSNDPIPANLVGMTFRAQEGAFLTGYIAAKLSKTGKIGFLGGIEGEIVDAFRY GYEAGAKYANKDIKISTQYIGSFADLEAGRSVATRMYSDEIDIIHHAAGLGGIGAI EVAKELGSGHYIIGVDEDQAYLAPDNVITSTTKDVGRALNIFTSNHLKTNTFEGGK LINYGLKEGVVGFVRNPK MISFELEKEIDNLSSKIINKEIIVPSNKESYEKFLKEFI.

NCBI Reference Sequence: NZ\_CP019767.1

Nucleotide sequence from GenBank

#### gene BB\_0389

GenBank accession number: Q59191

aa sequence

MIKRVHLGQGRADEILDLPNLIEIQLNSYEKFLQLDKLKSKKPLLNEGLESVFRNIF PIKSGNGDVALEYERYYIENDALNFTEKECKRKGQSYEAVLKVRLNLQFLTTGEI RQKDVYMGTIPLMTERGTFIINGAERVVVSQIHRSPGVVFYKEKDLYSARIIPYRG SWLEFEIDSKKDYLYVKIDRKKRILITLFLRALGFDTREKIIETFYNIKKIKVEDGTK RDLPGQYLAKSINIRENMYYRAGDKITLQDVEDFLQNGVNEIELVDFDGYNDISG KRFVSSNVILNCLEKEDAFFALKDGSKELPKESVMLAVYGSLFPGEPISIDNAEND LKTIFFSERRYDLGRVGRYKLSKKFGFDDLTTSVLTMDDIVNTISHLLRIYEGHDIL DDIDHLGNRRVRSVGELLTNIYKGAMSRVEKIAKDRMSNKEVFNLKPQELISVKP IVSAVKEFFATSQLSQFMDQVNPLAELTHKRRLNALGPGGLSRDRAGFEVRDVH YTHYGRMCPIETPEGPNIGLIVSLATYSRVNDYGFLETPYRKVVNGVVTDQLEYL SAIDEEKKCIAQANAAFNSNGKYLEDLVSVRISGDYTTTSPTNIDYMDVSPRQLIS VSSALIPFLEHNDANRALMGSNMQRQAVPLLFPKPPIVGTGMESVVAKDSGVVV KAKRSGEVILATSSKIVVKPFEAENAKDLDEYHIVKYERTNQDTCFNQSVLVKEG **QKVERGEIIADGPATRYGELALGNNLLLGVIPWNGFNYEDAILISDRIVKEDLYTSI** HIKEFSIEVRETKLGPEKVTGDIPNVSEKILNKLDENGIIRIGTYVKPGDILVGKVTP KSEGDITPEFRLLTSIFGEKAKDVKNNSLKVPHGTEGTVIDVQRITKEDVGNLSPG VEEILKVYVAKKRKLKEGDKMAGRHGNKGVVAKILPVEDMPYLADGTPLDICL NPLGVPSRMNIGQLMESQLGLAGKYLGESYNVPVFESATNEQIQEKLKTAGFNPT SKEILYDGYTGEPFENEVMVGVIYMLKLHHLVDDKMHARSTGPYSLVSQQPLGG

## KAQFGGQRLGEMEVWALEAYGAAHTLQELLTVKSDDMSGRVKIYENIVKGVPT NVSGIPESFNVLMQELRGLGLDLSIYDDAGN QVPLTEKEEELINKS.

NCBI Reference Sequence: NZ\_CP019767.1

Nucleotide sequence from GenBank

ATGATAAAAAGAGTTCATCTGGGACAAGGAAGAGCTGATGAGATTTTAGACC TACCTAACCTGATAGAAATACAATTAAATTCTTATGAAAAATTTTTACAACTT GATAAATTAAAAAGTAAAAAACCTTTACTTAATGAGGGCCTTGAGTCTGTTTT TAGAAATATATTTCCCATTAAAAGTGGAAATGGTGATGTTGCTCTTGAGTATG AAAGATACTATATAGAAAACGATGCCCTTAATTTTACAGAAAAAGAATGTAA AAGAAAGGGTCAAAGTTATGAGGCTGTTTTAAAAGTAAGATTGAATTTGCAA TTTTTGACTACTGGGGAAATAAGGCAAAAAGACGTATACATGGGAACTATTCC TTTAATGACAGAAAGAGGCACTTTTATTATTAATGGGGCTGAGAGGGTTGTTG TTTCTCAGATTCACAGATCCCCAGGAGTTGTTTTTTATAAAGAAAAAGATTTG TATTCTGCTAGAATAATTCCTTATCGTGGTTCTTGGTTAGAATTTGAGATTGAT TAACTCTTTTTTAAGAGCTTTAGGGTTTGATACGAGAGAAAAAATAATAGAA ACTTTTTACAATATTAAAAAAATTAAAGTTGAAGACGGTACAAAAAGAGATC TTCCAGGGCAATATTTAGCTAAGAGTATTAACATAAGAGAGAATATGTATTAT CGTGCAGGAGATAAAATTACTCTGCAAGATGTTGAAGATTTTTTACAAAATGG AGTAAATGAAATAGAGCTTGTTGATTTGATGGTTATAATGATATTTCTGGAA AGCGCTTTGTAAGTTCGAATGTTATTCTAAATTGTCTTGAAAAAGAGGATGCT TTCTTTGCTTTAAAGGATGGCTCTAAAGAGCTTCCAAAAGAATCAGTTATGCT AGCTGTTTATGGTTCTCTTTTTCCCGGTGAGCCAATATCAATTGATAATGCTGA AAACGATTTAAAAAACCATATTCTTTCTGAAAGAAGATATGATCTTGGACGTG TGGGGCGGTATAAACTTTCTAAAAAATTTGGATTTGATGATTTAACTACATCG GTTTTAACTATGGATGATATTGTTAACACCATATCTCATCTTTTAAGAATATAT GAAGGCCATGATATTCTTGATGATATTGACCATTTAGGAAATAGAAGGGTTCG TTCTGTTGGTGAGCTTCTTACTAATATATATAAAGGCGCGATGTCAAGAGTTG AAAAAATTGCTAAAGATAGAATGTCTAACAAGGAAGTTTTTAATCTAAAGCCT CAAGAATTAATAAGCGTTAAGCCTATTGTATCTGCTGTTAAAGAATTTTTTGC AACCAGTCAGCTTTCACAGTTTATGGATCAGGTCAATCCTTTGGCTGAGCTTA CTCACAAAAGGCGTCTTAATGCTCTTGGACCAGGAGGACTTTCAAGAGATAG GGCAGGATTTGAAGTAAGAGATGTGCATTATACTCATTATGGTAGAATGTGTC

CTATTGAAACCCCTGAAGGGCCAAATATTGGACTTATTGTTTCTTTGGCTACTT ATTCTAGAGTTAATGATTATGGTTTTTTAGAAACTCCTTATAGGAAAGTTGTTA ATGGAGTGGTGACGGACCAATTAGAATATTTATCTGCTATTGACGAAGAGAA AAAGTGTATTGCTCAGGCTAATGCTGCTTTTAATTCTAATGGAAAGTATCTTG AAGATTTAGTTTCTGTTAGAATTTCTGGTGATTATACTACAACAAGTCCCACA AATATAGACTATATGGACGTTTCTCCTAGGCAGCTAATTTCAGTATCTTCGGC GTTAATTCCTTTTCTTGAGCACAATGATGCAAATCGAGCTCTTATGGGTTCTAA TATGCAAAGACAAGCAGTACCTTTGCTTTTCCCTAAGCCTCCTATTGTTGGTA CGGGTATGGAAAGCGTTGTTGCAAAGGATTCAGGAGTAGTTGTTAAGGCTAA AAGAAGTGGGGAAGTTATTCTTGCAACAAGTAGTAGATAGTTGTTAAACCTT TTGAGGCAGAGAATGCTAAAGATTTAGATGAATATCATATTGTTAAGTATGAA AGGACAAATCAAGACACTTGTTTTAATCAATCCGTTTTAGTTAAAGAGGGTC AAAAAGTTGAAAGGGGCGAGATAATAGCTGACGGTCCTGCTACTAGATATGG AGAACTTGCTCTTGGTAATAATTTATTGCTAGGAGTTATTCCTTGGAATGGATT TAATTATGAGGATGCTATATTAATTTCTGATAGAATTGTAAAGGAAGATCTTT ATACATCTATTCATATCAAAGAATTTAGCATAGAGGTAAGAGAAACTAAAC TTGGTCCTGAGAAAGTTACAGGAGATATACCTAATGTTAGTGAAAAGATATTA AATAAATTGGATGAAAATGGGATTATACGGATAGGAACTTATGTAAAGCCCG GTGATATTCTGGTTGGTAAAGTTACTCCAAAGTCAGAAGGAGACATTACTCCT GAATTTAGACTGTTAACTTCCATTTTTGGAGAAAAAGCAAAAGATGTTAAAA ATAATTCATTAAAAGTTCCTCATGGTACTGAAGGTACAGTTATTGATGTTCAA AGGATTACCAAAGAGGATGTTGGTAATCTTTCTCCTGGAGTTGAGGAGATACT TAAAGTTTATGTTGCCAAAAAAGGAAGCTTAAAGAGGGCGATAAAATGGCT GGACGACATGGTAATAAGGGTGTTGTTGCAAAGATTCTTCCTGTTGAAGATA TGCCTTATCTTGCAGACGGAACCCCTCTTGATATATGCTTAAATCCTTTGGGA GTTCCATCTAGAATGAATATCGGACAGTTAATGGAATCTCAATTAGGCCTTGC TGGTAAATATCTTGGTGAATCTTATAATGTTCCTGTTTTTGAATCTGCTACAAA TGAACAAATTCAGGAAAAATTAAAAACTGCTGGATTTAATCCAACTTCTAAAG AAATTTTATATGATGGTTATACAGGAGAGCCGTTCGAAAATGAAGTAATGGTT GGGGTGATTTACATGCTTAAACTACACCATCTTGTTGATGATAAAATGCACGC AAGATCAACAGGCCCATATTCTCTTGTTTCTCAGCAACCTCTTGGAGGAAAGG CTCAATTTGGTGGGCAAAGACTTGGAGAAATGGAGGTTTGGGCTCTTGAAGCT TATGGTGCGGCGCACACCCTTCAAGAACTTTTAACAGTTAAATCTGATGATAT GTCAGGCAGAGTTAAAATATATGAAAATATAGTAAAAGGCGTTCCTACTAAT

## GTATCAGGGATTCCTGAGTCTTTTAATGTGCTAATGCAAGAGCTTAGAGGGCT TGGACTTGATTTGTCAATTTATGATGATGCTGGGAATCAGGTTCCTTTGACAG AAAAAGAAGAAGAATTGATTAATAAAAGCTAG

#### gene BB\_0123

GenBank accession number: O51149

aa sequence

MAIITMKSLLEAGVHFGHQVKRLDPRMKRFIFSERNEIHILDLQKTLQGIKDSYEL VQRVIKDGKKVLFVGTKKQASEIIEQEARRSDMPYVNNRWLGGMLSNFNTIRKS VQKLKKLEKMEVDGTFDMISKKEISQLNREKSKLAKNLTGIKDMETLPGAIFIIDP KREQIAINEARKLKIPIISVVDTNCNPDVIDCPIPGNDDAIRSVALFTKIISDAILESD KEVGIQIIENLNEED LMKEIEIKNDKSDSIEERGE.

NCBI reference sequence: NZ\_CP019867.1

Nucleotide sequence from GenBank

#### gene BB\_0149

GenBank accession number: O51173

aa sequence

MASGFFVPGLESKYNTKEIRESMLKSDKAKIDSSFKKLESLEQEKSAWQLINRKIS TLNSLAKELTSLNSPFNLMSGNSSNSEVLTLSTRYGSKNETHKLIVDQIASADVFL SSNFDPKKVTIPEGDYIFLVGKKEINVKSNGNIDLLVKDINNKGKGFLSAKIVKSD KNGNSRFVLQSLKEGKENKLVIKGEGLSFAKQIGILSELKTNFNPNLSDIVVNQSSS NNKLAFENNGLVLNPLSEVSIEIPEDIEITSRSKIKFEVKYFDTGLEEPDSKIIFNPGG ATFKDAKVESEDSVVDLGSDLKTPLEKKYIQMNMVKICSKEGSLELPLINISNNFE EVEVDVGALSNLEEINIENKANNKVIVISNVEIFDPKNRDGHLPINAKSFAENAKIK FDGVDVERDSNVINDLVPNVTLSLKKPSSDMVEAKIEPDYEGIKRVLLDFIGAYNE VLAEINIVSSNEDQPNNQKSNIVEELTYLSDSQKEEAYKNLGILRSEFLLKNLKSKL ESIIFKPYVTSDPNFSIINQMGVFTNSISSSGGLSRYLRLDEKKFDESIRNNIDNVREL FLYDLNGDRVYDNGIAKMLGDCLSPLVASGGVIYNKIKNYDLKIFNQKNKVEDY KKKYEDRERKVEGELNTLDFTVKRMKDQENTLKAFDF NQRNK.

NCBI Reference Sequence: NZ\_CP019767.1

Nucleotide sequence from GenBank

TTTTGATACAGGCTTGGAAGAGCCTGATAGTAAGATTATTTTTAATCCCGGAG GGGCTACATTTAAGGATGCAAAAGTTGAGAGTGAAGATAGTGTAGTTGATCTT **GGATCTGATTTAAAAACCCCTTTGGAAAAAAATATATTCAAATGAATATGGT** ATAATTTTGAAGAAGTTGAAGTTGATGTTGGAGCTCTTTCTAATTTGGAAGAA ATAAATATTGAAAAATAAAGCAAATAATAAAGTAATTGTGATTAGCAATGTTG AAATTTTTGATCCAAAAAATAGAGATGGTCATTTGCCAATAAATGCTAAAAGT CAAGTGATATGGTTGAGGCTAAAATTGAACCTGATTATGAGGGGGATTAAGAG GGTTCTTTTAGATTTTATTGGTGCTTATAATGAGGTTCTTGCTGAGATTAATAT TGTAAGCTCTAATGAAGATCAGCCTAATAATCAAAAGTCTAATATAGTTGAAG AGCTAACTTATCTTAGTGATTCTCAAAAAGAAGAAGAGGCTTATAAAAATTTAGGT ATTCTAAGGTCTGAATTTTTATTAAAAAAATCTTAAGTCCAAGCTAGAGTCAAT GGGAGTTTTTACAAATTCCATTTCTTCTTCTGGTGGACTTTCTAGATATTTAAG ACTTGATGAGAAAAAGTTTGATGAATCAATTCGTAATAATATTGATAATGTTA GAGAGCTTTTTTTATATGATCTTAATGGTGACAGAGTGTATGATAATGGAATT GCTAAAATGCTAGGAGATTGTCTGTCGCCTCTTGTGGCTTCCGGAGGAGTTAT GTTGAAGATTATAAAAAGAAGTACGAAGATAGAGAGAGAAAAGTGGAAGGT GAACTTAATACCTTGGATTTTACCGTTAAGCGCATGAAAGATCAAGAAAATA CATTAAAGGCTTTTGATTTTAATCAAAGAAATAAATAA

#### gene BB\_A16

GenBank accession number: P17739

aa sequence

MRLLIGFALALALIGCAQKGAESIGSQKENDLNLEDSSKKSHQNAKQDLPAVTED SVSLFNGNKIFVSKEKNSSGKYDLRATIDQVELKGTSDKNNGSGTLEGSKPDKSK VKLTVSADLNTVTLEAFDASNQKISSKVTKKQGSITEETLKANKLDSKKLTRSNG TTLEYSQITDADNATKAVETLKNSIKLEGSLVGGKTTVEIKEGTVTLKREIEKDGK VKVFLNDTAGSNKKTGKWEDSTSTLTISADSKKTKDLVFLTDGTITVQQYNTAGT SLEGSASEIKNLSELKNALK. GenBank accession number: L23136.1 Nucleotide sequence from GenBank

ATGAGATTATTAATAGGATTTGCTTTAGCGTTAGCTTTAATAGGATGTGCACA AAAAGGTGCTGAGTCAATTGGTTCTCAAAAAGAAAATGATCTAAACCTTGAA GACTCTAGTAAAAAATCACATCAAAAACGCTAAACAAGACCTTCCTGCGGTGA CAGAAGACTCAGTGTCTTTGTTTAATGGTAATAAAATTTTTGTAAGCAAAGAA AAAAATAGCTCCGGCAAATATGATTTAAGAGCAACAATTGATCAGGTCGAAC TTAAAGGAACTTCCGATAAAAACAATGGTTCTGGAACCCTTGAAGGTTCAAA GCCTGACAAGAGTAAAGTAAAATTAACAGTTTCTGCTGATTTAAACACAGTAA CCTTAGAAACATTTAATGCCAGCAACCAAAAAATTTCAAGTAAAGTTACTAA AAAACAGGGGTCAATAACAGAGGAAACTCTCAAAGCTAATAAATTAGACTCA AAGAAATTAACAAGATCAAACGGAACTACACTTGAATACTCACAAATAACAG ATGCTGACAATGCTACAAAAGCAGTAGAAACTCTAAAAAATAGCATTAAGCT TGAAGGAAGTCTTGTAGGCGGAAAAACAACAGTGGAAATTAAAGAAGGTACT GTTACTCTAAAAAGAGAAAATTGAAAAAGATGGAAAAGTAAAAGTCTTTTTGA ATGACACTGCAGGTTCTAACAAAAAAAAAGGGTAAATGGGAAGACAGTACTAG CACTTTAACAATTAGTGCTGACAGCACAAAAACTAAAGATTTGGTGTTCTTAA CAGATGGTACAATTACAGTACAACAATACAACAGCTGGAACCAGCCTAGA AGGATCAGCAAGTGAAATTAAAAATCTTTCAGAGCTTAAAAACGCTTTAAAA TAA

#### gene BB\_0115

GenBank accession number: O51142

aa sequence

MIKRYEACFLFKSEEIEYKGSLEEVKKSLEFFGATDVVSNFIGERALEYPIKKQAR GRYEIIEFSMEGNNLKEFESRLKLIRNLLRYMILVKIVRKINTKKIKRRNFREFRDNI DKDSLK GASKVETPTGPESTDIQEK.

NCBI Reference Sequence: NZ\_CP019767.1 Nucleotide sequence from GenBank ATGATTAAAAGATATGAGGCATGTTTTTGTTTAAAAGTGAAGAAATTGAATA TAAGGGTTCTTTAGAAGAGGGTTAAAAAATCTTTAGAGTTTTTTGGTGCAACTG ATGTTGTTAGCAATTTTATTGGAGAGAGAGAGCCTTAGAATATCCTATTAAAAAG CAGGCTAGAGGTCGTTATGAAATAATAGAGGTTTAGTATGGAAGGCAATAAT TTAAAAGAATTTGAATCAAGGCTTAAGTTAATTAGAAACTTGCTTAGGTATAT GATTTTGGTGAAAATAGTTAGAAAGATCAATACTAAAAAAATCAAAAGAAGA AATTTTAGAGAATTTAGAGACAATATTGACAAAGACAGTCTTAAAGGTGCCTC TAAAGTTGAAACACCAACAGGTCCTGAAAGCACAGATATTCAGGAAAAATAA

#### gene BB\_0780

GenBank accession number: O51721 aa sequence MATSKSGGSSKNGRDSISKRLGVKRSGGQFVKAGEIIVRQRGTKFHKGKNVGLG RDYTIF ALSSGKVEFKTLKGRKYVSIV.

NCBI Reference Sequence: NZ\_CP019767.1 Nucleotide sequence from GenBank ATGGCAACAAGTAAAAGTGGTGGTAGTTCAAAAAATGGACGAGATTCTATAT CCAAGCGACTTGGAGTTAAAAGAAGTGGTGGTCAGTTTGTTAAAGCTGGAGA GATAATTGTTAGACAAAGAGGTACAAAGTTTCATAAAGGTAAAAACGTTGGT CTTGGAAGAGATTATACAATATTTGCGCTTTCATCTGGTAAGGTAGAGTTTAA AACTTTAAAGGGGCGAAAATACGTAAGTATTGTTTAG

gene BB\_0614

GenBank accession number: O51559 aa sequence MKDGVRKPSGNRASFSAQPNVKGLRKNNSFSCFAKNNLGKSFSKGKKRGK.

NCBI Reference Sequence: NZ\_CP019767.1 Nucleotide sequence from GenBank ATGAAAGATGGAGTTAGAAAGCCTTCGGGCAATAGAGCGTCTTTTAGCGCTC AGCCTAATGTTAAGGGCTTGAGAAAGAATAATAGTTTTTCTTGTTTTGCTAAG AATAATTTGGGTAAAAGTTTTTCAAAGGGTAAAAA AAGGGGTAAATAA

#### gene BB\_0337

GenBank accession number: O51312

aa sequence

MGFHIYEIKARQIIDSRGNPTVEADVILEDGTYGRAAVPSGASTGINEAVELRDGD KSVYMGKGVLKAIENIKNIIAPELEGMSALNQVAIDRKMLELDGTPTKEKLGANA ILAVSMATAKAAAKYLGLRPYQYLGAYKANILPTPMCNIINGGAHSDNSVDFQEF MIMPIGAKTFSEAIRMAAEVFHTLKGILSGKGYATSVGDEGGFAPNLKSNEEACE VIIEAIKKAGYEPGKDIAIALDPATSELYDPKTKKYVLKWSTKEKLTSEQMVEYW AKWVEKYPIISIEDGMAEEDWDGWKKLTDKIGNKIQLVGDDLFVTNTSFLKKGIE MGVANSILIKVNQIGTLTETFEAVEMAKKAGYTAIVSHRSGETEDTTIADLVVAL GTGQIKTGSLSRTDRIAKYNQLIRIEEELETTAE YHGKSVFYSIKQK.

NCBI Reference Sequence: NZ\_CP019767.1

Nucleotide sequence from GenBank

ATGGGTTTTCACATTTATGAAATCAAAGCCAGACAAATCATTGATTCTAGAGG GAATCCAACAGTTGAAGCTGATGTCATTTTAGAAGATGGAACTTACGGAAGA GCTGCCGTACCATCAGGTGCATCAACAGGAATTAACGAGGCTGTTGAGCTTA GAGATGGTGATAAGTCTGTATATATGGGAAAAGGGGTTTTAAAGGCAATTGA AAATATAAAAAACATAATTGCCCCAGAACTTGAAGGTATGAGTGCCTTAAAT CAGGTTGCAATCGACAGAAAAATGCTTGAACTTGATGGCACCCCTACAAAAG AAAAGCTTGGTGCTAATGCAATTTTAGCAGTTTCAATGGCTACAGCTAAAGCT GCTGCAAAGTACCTTGGACTTAGGCCTTATCAATATCTTGGAGCGTACAAAGC CAACATTTTGCCTACACCTATGTGTAATATTATTAATGGCGGTGCACACTCTG ACAACTCTGTTGACTTTCAGGAGTTCATGATAATGCCAATAGGAGCAAAAAC ATTCAGTGAAGCAATAAGAATGGCAGCAGAGGTTTTTCATACGCTAAAGGGC ATTCTAAGTGGCAAAGGGTATGCAACTTCTGTTGGAGATGAAGGGGGGATTTGC TCCAAATTTGAAATCAAATGAAGAAGCTTGTGAAGTGATTATAGAGGCAATA AAGAAGGCAGGATATGAACCTGGAAAAGACATTGCAATAGCTCTTGATCCCG CAACATCTGAGCTTTATGATCCAAAAAACAAAAAAATACGTACTTAAATGGTC AACAAAAGAAAAACTTACTTCCGAACAAATGGTTGAATATTGGGCAAAGTGG GTAGAAAAATATCCAATCATTTCAATTGAAGATGGAATGGCTGAAGAAGATT GGGATGGATGGAAAAAACTTACAGACAAAATTGGAAACAAAATACAACTTGT TGGAGATGATTTATTTGTAACAAATACCTCGTTTCTTAAAAAAGGAATTGAAA

TGGGAGTTGCCAATTCAATCCTTATTAAGGTCAATCAAATTGGAACACTAACA GAAACATTTGAGGCTGTAGAAATGGCTAAAAAAGCGGGTTACACAGAACAGAATAG TCTCTCACAGATCGGGAGAAACAGAAGATACAACAAATAGCTGATCTTGTAGT AGCTCTTGGAACAGGACAAATCAAAACTGGTTCACTCTCAAGAACAGATAGA ATAGCAAAATACAATCAACTCATAAGAATAGAGGAAGAATTGGAAACAACTG CTGAA TACCACGGTAAGAGCGTCTTTTATTCTATTAAACAAAAATAA

#### gene BB\_A40

GenBank accession number: O50933

aa sequence

MSDSIDFQKEIEKLKASKVELESQLESLKKNQAQKIVLDKLQSVNASSYPVFESHK FQDEGLYFAQKGGLKSSSADKFENYQALDFCYKCGVKLIVNGSNLQIAKGGGSD LYGVCVDFDDFSRTGTVVPITCSFECVLITKDKTIKAEDKLIINSEGVLEKSSKNAS VIHALALGPALEFKDRRDVYGVRVLFLVKQIKDSI.

Nucleotide sequence from GenBank

TATTTTGCTCAAAAAGGCGGACTTAAAAGCTCTTCTGCTGATAAGTTTGAAAA TTATCAAGCTttaGATTTTGCTACAAATGCGGGGGTTAAGCTTATTGTTAACGGT TCAAATTTGCAAATAGCAAAAGGCGGTGGTAGTGATCTTTATGGGGGTTTGTGT AGACTTTGACGATTTTTCAAGAACCGGCACGGTTGTTCCAATCACTTGTAGTTT TGAATGTGTTTTGATTACTAAAGACAAAACCATCAAAGCAGAAGACAAATTA ATAATAAACAGCGAAGGGGTTTTAGAAAAATCTAGCAAAAATGCATCTGTTA TTCACGCTTTAGCATTAGGTCCTGCA

#### gene BB\_0658

GenBank accession number: O51602

aa sequence

MYKLVLVRHGESEWNKENLFTGWTDVKLSDKGIDEAVEAGLLLKQEGYSFDIAF SSLLSRANDTLNIILRELGQSYISVKKTWRLNERHYGALQGLNKSETAAKYGEDK VLIWRRSYDVPPMSLDESDDRHPIKDPRYKHIPKRELPSTECLKDTVARVIPYWTD EIAKEVLEGKKVIVAAHGNSLRALVKYFDNLSEEDVLKLNIPTGIPLVYELDKDLN PIKHYYLGDESKIKKAMESVASQGKLK. NCBI Reference Sequence: NZ\_CP019767.1

Nucleotide sequence from GenBank

## 7.2 Primer pairs

Forward	Sequence (5'- 3')	Reverse	Sequence (5'- 3')	Size of	Annealing
primer		Primer		Primer	temperature
				[bp]	[°C]
	Gene Bl	B_0383 Ba	asic Membrane protein A	A	
F1	AAGGATGCGGGCTC	R1	GCAACGCTTCTACCA	368	56.6
	AGATTT		GCTTC		
F2	AAGGTAGTCTTGGG	R2	AAATCTGAGCCCGCA	199	55.3
	AGCGAA		TCCTT		
F5	AGAGCTCAAGAGGG	R5	TTGCAACCTCAATAG	292	56.0
	TGCATT		CCCCAA		
F1	AAGGATGCGGGCTC	R5	TTGCAACCTCAATAG	427	56.6
	AGATTT		CCCCAA		

Table 15. Primer combinations designed for Bb replicating spirochetes and biofilms over the course of this study. Highlighted in yellow are the primer pairs that showed promising results.

F2	AAGGTAGTCTTGGG	R1	GCAACGCTTCTACCA	527	55.3		
	AGCGAA		GCTTC				
	Gene BB_0389 DNA-directed RNA polymerase Subunit beta						
F1	GACGTGTGGGGGCGG	R1	AATTGGTCCGTCACC	613	57.4		
	TATAAA		ACTCC				
F3	TAGCTGACGGTCCT	R3	CGTCCAGCCATTTTA	559	56.7		
	GCTACT		TCGCC				
F5	AAACCCCTGAAGGG	R5	ACGCTTTCCATACCC	436	57.0		
	CCAAAT		GTACC				
F9	GGTACGGGTATGGA	R9	TCCAGCCATTTTATC	795	56.4		
	AAGCGT		GCCCT				
F1	GACGTGTGGGGGCGG	R5	ACGCTTTCCATACCC	905	57.1		
		DO	GIACC	1101	<b>.</b>		
F5		R9	GCCCT	1191	56.4		
	Cono	D 0122 2	OCCCI				
	Gene B	B_0123 3	US ribosomal protein S2				
Fl	CCGGAGIICAIIIIG	RI	AIGCCCCCAAGCCAI	265	56.3		
E10		DO		175	56.0		
F12		R2	CAGGAA	1/5	56.2		
E10	GAGCCTGTTAGAGG	<b>D</b> 10	GTTTCCATGTCCTTG	117	50 5		
Г 10	CCGGAG	K10	ATGCCTG	44 /	50.5		
F10	GAGCCTGTTAGAGG	R2	TCGCATCATCATTGC	578	58 7		
110	CCGGAG	K2	CAGGAA	570	50.7		
	Gene BB 0	149 Flagel	lar hook-associated prot	ein 2			
F1	TTAATCCCGGAGGG	R1	CCACAAGAGGCGAC	951	57.5		
	GCTACA		AGACAA				
F5	AGGGGAGGGATTGT	R5	AAATGTAGCCCCTCC	289	57.9		
	CTTTTGC		GGGAT				
F9	GAGCAAGAGAAAA	R9	TTAAATGTAGCCCCT	740	56.2		
	GTGCCTGG		CCGGG				
F5	AGGGGAGGGATTGT	R1	CCACAAGAGGCGAC	1197	57.4		
	CTTTTGC		AGACAA				
F9	GAGCAAGAGAAAA	R1	CCACAAGAGGCGAC	1646	56.9		
	GTGCCTGG		AGACAA				
	Gene BB	A16 Oute	er surface protein B (Osp	oB)			
F1	AAACAAGACCTTCC	R1	CCACTGTTGTTTTTC	475	55.9		
	TGCGGT		CGCCT				

F9	CCCTTGAAGGTTCA	R9	TTCCGCCTACAAGAC	278	56.3			
	AAGUUIG							
F10	GAAGGAAGICIIGI AGGCGGA	R10	TGGTTCC	246	56.4			
F1	AAACAAGACCTTCC	R10	CTGATCCTTCTAGGC	687	56.6			
	TGCGGT		TGGTTCC					
F9	CCCTTGAAGGTTCA	R10	CTGATCCTTCTAGGC	524	56.7			
	AAGCCTG		TGGTTCC					
Gene BB_0115 30S ribosomal protein S6								
F1	AAAGCAGGCTAGAG	R1	TGCTTTCAGGACCTG	245	56.4			
	GTCGTT		TTGGT					
F3	TGGTGCAACTGATG	R1	TGCTTTCAGGACCTG	285	55.9			
	TTGTTAGC		TTGGT					
Gene BB_0658 gmpA								
F1	GTTGAGGCGGGTTT	R1	TGGGGGCACATCATA	250	57.6			
	GCTTCT		ACTACG					
F4	ATGATGTGCCCCCA	R4	ACCGTGAGCAGCAA	200	56.1			
	ATGTCTT		CAATAAC					
F10	AAGGCACTATGGAG	R10	AAGGAAGTTCCCTTT	180	55.6			
	CTTTGC		TGGGGA					
F1	GTTGAGGCGGGTTT	R4	ACCGTGAGCAGCAA	440	52.0			
	GCTTCT		CAATAAC					
F10	AAGGCACTATGGAG	R4	ACCGTGAGCAGCAA	290	55.4			
	CTTTGC		CAATAAC					
F10	AAGGCACTATGGAG	R1	TGGGGGCACATCATA	100	56.1			
	CTTTGC		ACTACG					
Gene BB_0337 Enolase								
F2	GAAGAGCTGCCGTA	R2	TGTTGGCTTTGTACG	324	56.8			
	CCATCA		CTCCA					
F3	TCCCGCAACATCTG	R3	ACCGTGGTATTCAGC	541	56.2			
	AGCTTT		AGTTGT					
F4	TTGAACTTGATGGC	R4	GATGTTGCGGGATCA	454	56.5			
	ACCCCT		AGAGC					
F2	GAAGAGCTGCCGTA	R4	GATGTTGCGGGATCA	620	56.5			
	CCATCA		AGAGC					
F4	TTGAACTTGATGGC	R3	ACCGTGGTATTCAGC	962	56.2			
ACCCCT AGTTGT								
Gene BB_0780 50S ribosomal protein L27								

F1	TGGCAACAAGTAAA AGTGGTGG	R1	ACCTTACCAGATGAA AGCGCA	199	55.5			
F9	GTGGTCAGTTTGTTA	R9	ACTTACGTATTTCG	158	53.7			
	AAGUIGGA		ccciii					
F1	TGGCAACAAGTAAA	R9	ACTTACGTATTTTCG	214	54.6			
	AGTGGTGG		CCCCTTT					
Gene BB_0614 Uncharacterized protein								
F5	TTCGGGCAATAGAG	R5	CCCTTTGAAAAACTT	111	53.6			
	CGTCTT		TTACCCAAAT					
F8	ATGAAAGATGGAGT	R5	CCCTTTGAAAAACTT	109	51.2			
	TAGAAAGCCT		TTACCCAAAT					
Gene BB_A40 Uncharacterized protein								
F3	GGCACGGTTGTTCC	R3	GCAGGACCTAATGCT	190	56.6			
	AATCAC		AAAGCG					
F5	TACCCGGTATTTGA	R5	TCACTACCACCGCCT	190	57.3			
	GAGCCAC		TTTGC					
F5	TACCCGGTATTTGA	R3	GCAGGACCTAATGCT	380	56.1			
	GAGCCAC		AAAGCG					