## **CZECH UNIVERSITY OF LIFE SCIENCES PRAGUE**

Faculty of Economics and Management

## **Evaluation of the Bachelor Thesis by**supervisor

Thesis Title	Quality of Life at Work  Ulyana Bocharova  PhDr. Kristýna Krejčová, Ph.D.  Department of Psychology	
Name of the student	Ulyana Bocharova	
Thesis supervisor	PhDr. Kristýna Krejčová, Ph.D.	121
Department	Department of Psychology	15/
Formulation of object used	ives and Choice of appropriatemethods and methodology	1 2 3 4
Work with data and in	nformation	1 2 3 4
Logical process being	used	1 2 3 4
The structure of parag	graphs and chapters	1 2 3 4
Work with scientific literature (quotations, norms)		1 2 3 4
Comprehensibility of	the text and level of language	1 2 3 4
Clarity and profession	palism of expression in the thesis	1 2 3 4
Formal presentation of	of the work, the overall impression	1 2 3 4
Fulfillment of objective	ves, formulation of conclusions	1 2 3 4
Summary and key-wo	rds comply with the content the thesis	1 2 3 4
Evaluation of the wor	k by grade (1, 2, 3, 4)	3
		Evaluation: 1 = the best
Date 12/01/2023		
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		Supervisor signature

Supervisor signature

## Other comments or suggestions:

The author started to write the text several times and changed a former research design that did not meet the criteria for the thesis. The final version has substantial formal mistakes, namely in the structure of the text and in citations. The theoretical part presents the quality of life from different viewpoints, reflecting new trends in supporting well-being in psychology (mindfulness approach).

The practical part is based on quantitative research. The construction of the questionnaire could be described in more detail. The following parts of the research design are explained adequately. I appreciate using of inductive statistics (qualitative analysis), but the interpretation of its results is quite poor.

Given the total effort that the author invested in the thesis, I assess it as "good".



Plagiarism contro	The system Theses.cz has not assessed the thesis as suspicious.		
Date 12/01/20	3 Supervisor signature		