Jihočeská univerzita v Českých Budějovicích

Přírodovědecká fakulta

Srovnání molekulárně diagnostických přístupů pro detekci a diferenciaci střevního prvoka *Blastocystis* sp. u lidí

Rigorózní práce

Martina Šloufová

České Budějovice 2022

Rigorózní práce

Šloufová M., 2022. Srovnání molekulárně diagnostických přístupů pro detekci a diferenciaci střevního prvoka *Blastocystis* sp. u lidí. [Comparison of molecular diagnostic approaches for the detection and differentiation of the intestinal protist *Blastocystis* sp. in humans] 16 pp., Faculty of Science, University of South Bohemia in České Budějovice.

Annotation:

Blastocystis is the most commonly found intestinal protist in the world. Accurate detection and differentiation of Blastocystis including its subtypes (arguably species) is essential for understanding its epidemiology and role in human health. We compared the sensitivity of conventional PCR (cPCR) and qPCR in a set of 288 DNA samples obtained from stool samples of gut-healthy individuals and subtype diversity as detected by next-generation sequencing (NGS) versus Sanger sequencing.

Prohlášení:

Prohlašuji, že jsem autorem této kvalifikační práce a že jsem ji vypracovala pouze s použitím pramenů a literatury uvedených v seznamu použitých zdrojů.

V Českých Budějovicích dne 13. 5. 2022

…………………………..

Comparison of molecular diagnostic approaches for the detection and differentiation of the intestinal protist *Blastocystis* sp. in humans

Martina Šloufová[1,2], Zuzana Lhotská[1,2], Milan Jirků[1], Klára J. Petrželková[1,3], C. Rune Stensvold[4], Ondřej Cinek[5], Kateřina Jirků Pomajbíková[1,2*]

Authors` affiliations:

[1]Institute of Parasitology, Biology Centre, the Czech Academy of Sciences, České Budějovice, Czech Republic

[2]Department of Medical Biology, Faculty of Science, University of South Bohemia, České Budějovice, Czech Republic

[3]Institute of Vertebrate Biology, Czech Academy of Sciences, Květná 8, 603 65 Brno, Czech Republic

[4]Department of Bacteria, Parasites and Fungi, Statens Serum Institut, Copenhagen, Denmark

[5]Department of Pediatrics, 2nd Faculty of Medicine, Charles University and Motol University Hospital, Prague, Czech Republic

*Correspondence:

Kateřina Jirků Pomajbíková; Institute of Parasitology, Biology Centre, the Czech Academy of Sciences, Branišovská 31, 370 05 České Budějovice, Czech Republic; email: pomajbikova@paru.cas.cz, phone: +420387775420

Abstract:

Blastocystis is the most commonly found intestinal protist in the world. Accurate detection and differentiation of *Blastocystis* including its subtypes (arguably species) is essential for understanding its epidemiology and role in human health. We compared the (i) sensitivity of conventional PCR (cPCR) and qPCR in a set of 288 DNA samples obtained from stool samples of gut-healthy individuals and (ii) subtype diversity as detected by next-generation sequencing (NGS) versus Sanger sequencing. Real-time PCR resulted in more positive samples than cPCR, revealing high fecal load of *Blastocystis* based on the quantification curve in most samples. In subtype detection, NGS was largely in agreement with Sanger sequencing but showed higher sensitivity for mixed subtype colonization within one host. This fact together with using of the combination of qPCR and NGS and obtaining information on the fecal protist load will be beneficial for epidemiological and surveillance studies.

Key words:

INTRODUCTION

Blastocystis sp. is a unicellular eukaryote colonizing the gastrointestinal tract of humans and various other species. Although discovered more than a century ago, its role in human health and disease has not been fully understood. Knowledge gaps remain in its epidemiology and interaction with the host, as well as factors affecting host colonization [1-3]. *Blastocystis* may be the most common intestinal human protist in the world, colonizing more than 1 billion people [4]. In some cohorts, the prevalence of *Blastocystis* sp. may reach 100% [5]. Based on small ribosomal subunit (SSU *rRNA*) gene analysis, at least 22 subtypes (ST) exist across mammalian and avian hosts [6]. Among these subtypes, ST1–ST9 and ST12 have been found in humans, with ST1–ST4 being commonly detected [3].

Despite the numerous surveys on *Blastocystis* sp., no consensus has been reached on the choice of method(s) for detection and differentiation of the protist (reviewed in Skotarczak [7]). Moreover, in spite of the development of molecular approaches, traditional microscopic examination of ova and parasites (O&P) and xenic culturing is still commonly used in laboratories to detect *Blastocystis* [8]. However, these methods require specialized technicians [8], they are less sensitive, and do not provide subtype information [9-11]. Nevertheless, accurate detection and distinction of *Blastocystis* subtypes is essential for understanding the transmission and the role of this protist in human health. Due to their high sensitivity and specificity, molecular methods such as conventional PCR (cPCR) or real-time PCR (qPCR) are often used [7,12,13]. In addition, next-generation sequencing (NGS) is gaining prominence in detection *Blastocystis* and its subtypes [14-16].

The aim of this study was to compare (i) the sensitivity of cPCR and qPCR on a set of DNA samples obtained from stool samples of individuals with no gastrointestinal symptoms and (ii) subtype diversity detected by cPCR and Sanger sequencing versus NGS.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

In this study, we used 288 DNA samples obtained from fresh stool samples from a cohort created during a previous survey on the prevalence and diversity of *Blastocystis* in a gut-healthy human population in the Czech Republic (for more details on the collection and DNA extraction see Lhotská et al. [11]). We also used data on the positivity rate of *Blastocystis* sp. resulted from cPCR [11] for comparison with qPCR results obtained in the present study. Here, we applied the diagnostic qPCR protocol published in the study by Stensvold et al. [12]. The primers target the SSU rDNA fragment of 118 bp, which is detected by a Taqman probe. Samples were processed using a LightCycler LC 480 I (Roche, Basel, Switzerland) with a 96-well block. The cycling conditions consisted of primary denaturation (95 °C/10 min) and 37× (95 °C/15 s, 60 °C/30 s, 72 °C/30 s). The results of qPCR on *Blastocystis* were then compared with the results of conventional PCR (from Lhotská et al. [11]) using McNemar's test with Yates's correction (0.5). Statistical analysis was performed using the software SciStatCalc 2013 (https://scistatcalc.blogspot.com/2013/11/mcnemars-test-calculator.html).

36   Positive samples from qPCR were subjected to amplicon NGS to determine *Blastocystis*

37   subtypes: an informative fragment of SSU rDNA (~450 bp) was amplified, indexed and sequenced on

38   a MiSeq instrument with the Reagent Kit v2, 2×250 bp (Illumina); this was performed according to the

39   method by Maloney et al. (2019) [17] with minor modifications in Cinek et al. [15] (for more detail

40   see Supplementary data 1). These results were compared with the results on subtype diversity

41   described in Lhotská et al. [11] based on Sanger sequencing. Fecal protist load was estimated based on

42   a quantification curve generated from a dilution series of cultured *Blastocystis* ST3, which was set in

43   the range of 10^0 to 10^5 cells per one qPCR reaction: 10^0–10^1 - mild fecal protist load; 10^2–10^3 -

44   moderate fecal protist load; 10^4–10^5 - high fecal protist load (Supplementary data 2). *Blastocystis* cells

45   counts from culture were calculated using a Bürker`s chamber and then serially diluted to obtain

46   aliquots containing 10^0, 10^1, 10^2, 10^3, 10^4, and 10^5 cells, which were subsequently subjected to DNA

47   extraction according to Lhotská et al. [11]. All negative samples were checked for PCR inhibition

48   using addition of foreign DNA (obtained from tissue of experimental rats) and a specific qPCR

49   protocol (commercial primers and Taqman probe for detection of the rat gene for beta-2

50   microglobulin; Thermofisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA).

51   **RESULTS**

52   In this study, the prevalence of *Blastocystis* was determined by qPCR and subsequently compared with

53   the results from cPCR obtained in our previous study Lhotská et al. [11]. In the set of 288 stool

54   samples from the gut-healthy volunteers, the qPCR revealed a prevalence of 29% (83/288; Table 1)

55   compared to cPCR with the prevalence 24% (71/288). Real-time PCR revealed 12 more positive

56   samples (Table 1), our results indicate that qPCR is a more sensitive method for detecting *Blastocystis*

57   in stool samples than cPCR ($p < 0.05$; $\chi^2 = 8.26$; Table 2). There was a discrepancy between these

58   methods for two samples that qPCR evaluated as negative and cPCR as positive (Table 1). No internal

59   inhibition was detected in any of the samples.

60   We established a quantification curve (10^0-10^5 of cells / 1 qPCR reaction) to evaluate the

61   *Blastocystis* fecal load in positive samples and to extrapolate different colonization intensities from ct

62   values (ct values are displayed for each sample in Table 1). In more than half of the samples positive

63   in qPCR (52/83), colonization intensities reached 10^5 or more, with the range of ct values ranging

64   from 15 to 20 (Table 3). Fecal protist load 10^3-10^4 (range of ct values between 21 and 27) was found

65   in 13 samples, and 10^1-10^2 (range of ct values between 28 and 32) in 18 samples (Table 3). In the

66   samples positive only in qPCR (n=12), a very low fecal protist load was found, i.e., 10^1-10^2 (Table 3).

67   Subtype diversity for all 83 qPCR-positive samples was evaluated by NGS, which detected

68   subtypes in 69 samples (69/83; Table 1 and 4). In case of the presence of one subtype in a sample the

69   NGS results were consistent with our previous results based on Sanger sequencing [11]. Indeed, the

70   great benefit of the NGS appears to be in an ability detecting mixed colonizations of different subtypes

71   in one sample. Mixed colonizations were found in five more cases compared to Sanger sequencing,

72   specifically the subtype colonization mix: ST1+ST7, ST1+ST3, ST2+ST3 (2×), ST3+ST7 (Table 4).

73   In the case of 12 samples positive only in qPCR with low fecal protist load, NGS detected subtypes in

74   only five samples, namely ST2, ST5, ST3 (2×) and ST4 (Table 4).

75   **DISCUSSION**

76   To compare the sensitivity between the two PCR-based approaches for detection of *Blastocystis*, we

77   used a dataset of 288 human stool samples obtained in the study by Lhotská et al. [11]. Revealing 12

78   more positive samples, qPCR was the most sensitive method for detection of *Blastocystis*. The overall

79   prevalence of *Blastocystis* by qPCR and cPCR was 29% and 24% (Lhotská et al. [11]), respectively.

80   Surprisingly, it appears that this is the very first study comparing the sensitivity between commonly

81   used cPCR protocol [18] and qPCR [12] for the detection of *Blastocystis* sp. Previously some studies

82   showed higher sensitivity of qPCR in comparison with classical methods such as direct-light

83   microscopy or xenic *in vitro* culture [12,13,19]. The study by Nourrison et al. [13] compared four

84   qPCR protocols for detection of *Blastocystis* sp. and found that they differed in specificity and

85   sensitivity. Furthermore, the authors recommend the qPCR protocol Stensvold et al. [12] for

86   diagnostic purposes and to add another method for subtype identification.

87   Despite higher sensitivity, qPCR scored two samples as negative, while conventional PCR

88   scored them positive; these two samples were positive for ST3 and ST8. The two false-negative results

89   by qPCR might be due to the degradation of DNA in the samples due to long-term storage and

90   repeated freeze-thawing cycles of their aliquots. These DNA samples were tested again by cPCR, one

91   sample appears to be negative and one (ST8) showed much less intensive amplicon in the

92   electrophoresis. Alternatively, the qPCR protocol might have limited sensitivity for example for ST8,

93   which was not used in the validation panel by Stensvold et al. [12], who developed the method.

94   However, the applicability of the primers and probe was validated *in silico* using the alignment in the

95   article's Fig 1 with a 100% match to ST8, so this means that, at least in theory, the assay should be

96   able to pick up this subtype. In addition, no inhibition was revealed in any sample during inhibition

97   control using the foreign DNA.

98   The advantage of qPCR-based diagnostic approach is the ability to estimate the fecal load of

99   *Blastocystis* in colonized humans based on an established quantitative curve. Our results in individuals

100   with healthy intestine (i.e., without inflammatory diseases) showed a high fecal *Blastocystis* load in

101   more than half of the samples. This fecal load ranged in values of order from 10^5 to 10^6 cells per one

102   qPCR reaction. In the 12 samples scored as positive only by qPCR, low fecal protist load was detected

103   (10^1–10^2 cells per sample). A very recent study by Cinek et al. [15] quantified *Blastocystis* in feces of

104   asymptomatic children and adolescents as one of the few. However, more studies on both healthy

105   humans and patients with inflammatory of functional bowel diseases are warranted [20]. A

106   comparison of fecal *Blastocystis* loads between healthy and sick individuals could fundamentally

107   contribute to understanding the role of *Blastocystis* sp. in the human gut ecosystem and could be

108　important for experimental studies testing the effect of *Blastocystis* sp. on gut inflammation [21]. It is

109　important to note that the quantification curve for assessing fecal *Blastocystis* load might be biased by

110　different copy number of the SSU rRNA gene in individual subtypes and life stages of *Blastocystis*.

111　This could slightly reduce the accuracy of quantification data. However, such data for *Blastocystis* and

112　its subtypes are not yet available. Nevertheless, an approximate determination of *Blastocystis* fecal

113　load can reveal trends between different human cohorts.

114　　　In epidemiological studies on *Blastocystis* sp. in humans, the identification of its subtypes

115　plays an important role [11,22-24]. Because different *Blastocystis* subtypes colonize different hosts

116　and apparently differ in geographical distribution, surveys aimed at subtype determination might help

117　reveal transmission pathways and potential sources of specific subtypes in a particular area. To date,

118　most studies used Sanger sequencing for subtype identification [11,25,26] which may have limitations

119　in detecting mixed subtype colonizations. Here, we subjected all 83 qPCR-positive samples to NGS

120　analysis to determine subtypes. We found that subtype diversity was largely consistent with the results

121　of Sanger sequencing by Lhotská et al. [11], in which Sanger sequencing was used. In 12 samples

122　identified as positive only by qPCR, the NGS revealed subtypes only in five samples (ST2, ST5, 2×

123　ST3, and ST4; Table 4) which was probably caused by low fecal load of *Blastocystis* (i.e., 10^1-10^2).

124　Remaining seven samples were confirmed by Sanger sequencing from qPCR amplicons (118 bp),

125　however, without information about subtypes.

126　　　Although epidemiological studies usually describe colonization of an individual with only one

127　subtype of *Blastocystis* sp. [11,23,27], mixed subtype colonization appears to be more common

128　[7,14,28]. This situation is in part caused by limitations of some of the current molecular tools, which

129　preferentially amplify the predominant subtypes present in a sample [17]. Here, the NGS-based

130　approach showed higher sensitivity in determining mixed subtype colonization than a combination of

131　methods, such as conventional PCR and Sanger sequencing (for more details see Lhotská et al. [11]).

132　While Lhotská et al. [11] revealed a single case of mixed infection, NGS detected five more cases of

133　mixed colonisation, specifically ST1+ST7, ST1+ST3, ST2+ST3 (2×) and ST3+ST7.

134　　　From a diagnostic point-of-view, our results support the fact that qPCR is the most suitable

135　method for detecting the presence of *Blastocystis*. NGS alone cannot achieve the qPCR sensitivity,

136　mainly due to the known signal crosstalk between individual samples in a sequencing run [e.g., 29].

137　Although this issue can be alleviated by using unique dual indexing, it cannot be eliminated, so very

138　low read counts do not necessarily indicate presence of the organism. Thus, the role of NGS in the

139　*Blastocystis* diagnostics is primarily in the determination of its subtypes and disentangling mixed

140　colonizations. Of the 83 total qPCR-positive samples, the NGS revealed subtypes in 69 samples.

141　**Conclusion:** To understand the epidemiology of *Blastocystis* sp. it is necessary to establish a gold

142　standard method for detection and subtype differentiation. A review of the *Blastocystis* literature so far

143　suggests that detection and differentiation has not yet been harmonized [7]. The findings of the present

144 study showed that qPCR is a suitable tool for the highly sensitive detection of *Blastocystis* sp., and the

145 NGS approach enables accurate assessment of the subtype diversity, in particular, mixed subtype

146 colonization. We believe that the combination of these two approaches will be beneficial for future

147 epidemiological surveys and surveillance studies on *Blastocystis*.

148 **CONFLICT OF INTEREST:** Authors declare no conflict of interest.

149

150 **ETHICS STATEMENT:** The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by

151 Ethics Committee of the Biology Center of the Czech Academy of Sciences (reference number:

152 1/2017). Written informed consent to participate in this study was provided by the participants' legal

153 guardian/next of kin. All data were anonymized and processed according to valid laws of the Czech

154 Republic (e.g., Act no. 101/2000 Coll and subsequent regulations). In case of the rat tissue used for

155 testing of the internal inhibition, we used samples from the experiment approved by the Committee on

156 the Ethics of Animal Experiments of the Biology Centre of the Czech Academy of Sciences (České

157 Budějovice, permit no. 33/2018) and by the Resort Committee of the Czech Academy of Sciences

158 (Prague, Czech Republic) according to strict accordance with Czech legislation (Act No. 166/1999

159 Coll. on veterinary care and on changes of some related laws, and Act No. 246/1992 Coll. on the

160 protection of animals against cruelty), as well as the legislation of the European Union.

161

169

170 **REFERENCES:**

171 **1. Lukeš J, Stensvold CR, Jirků-Pomajbíková K, Wegener Parfrey L. 2015.** Are human intestinal

172 eukaryotes beneficial or commensals? PLoS Pathogens, 11, e1005039.

173 **2. Chabé M, Lokmer A, Ségurel L. 2017.** Gut protozoa: friends or foes of the human gut

174 microbiota? Trends in Parasitology, 33, 925–934.

175 **3. Stensvold CR, Tan KSW, Clark CG. 2020.** *Blastocystis*. Trends in Parasitology, 36, 315–316.

176 **4. Andersen LOB, Stensvold CR. 2016.** *Blastocystis* in health and disease: are we moving from a

177 clinical to a public health perspective? Journal of Clinical Microbiology, 54, 524–528.

178 **5. El Safadi D, Gaayeb L, Meloni D, Cian A, Poirier P, Wawrzyniak I, Delbac F, Dabboussi F,**

179   **Delhaes L, Seck M, Hamze M, Riveau G, Viscogliosi E. 2014.** Children of senegal river basin

180   show the highest prevalence of *Blastocystis* sp. ever observed worldwide. BMC Infection Diseseas,

181   14, 399.

182   **6. Stensvold CR, Clark CG. 2020.** Pre-empting pandora's box: *Blastocystis* subtypes revisited.

183   Trends in Parasitology, 36, 229–232.

184   **7. Skotarczak B. 2018**. Genetic diversity and pathogenicity of *Blastocystis*. Annals of Agricultural

185   and Environmental Medicine, 25, 411–416.

186   **8. McHardy IH, Wu M, Shimizu-Cohen R, Roger Couturier M, Humphries RM. 2014.** Detection

187   of intestinal protozoa in the clinical laboratory. Journal of Clinical Microbiology, 52, 712–720.

188   **9. Stensvold CR, Arendrup MC, Jespersgaard C, Mølbak K, Nielsen HV. 2007.** Detecting

189   *Blastocystis* using parasitologic and DNA-based methods: a comparative study. Diagnostic

190   Microbiology and Infectious Disease, 59, 303–307.

191   **10. Tan KSW. 2008.** New insights on classification, identification, and clinical relevance of

192   *Blastocystis* spp. Clinical Microbiology Reviews, 21, 639–665.

193   **11. Lhotská Z, Jirků M, Hložková O, Brožová K, Jirsová D, Stensvold CR, Kolísko M, Jirků-**

194   **Pomajbíková K. 2020.** A study on the prevalence and subtype diversity of the intestinal protist

195   *Blastocystis* sp. in a gut-healthy human population in the Czech republic. Frontiers in Cellular and

196   Infectious Microbiology, 10, 544335.

197   **12. Stensvold CR, Ahmed UN, Andersen LOB, Nielsen HV. 2012.** Development and evaluation of

198   a genus-specific, probe-based, internal-process-controlled real-time PCR assay for sensitive and

199   specific detection of *Blastocystis* spp. Journal of Clinical Microbiology, 50, 1847–1851.

200   **13. Nourrisson C, Brunet J, Flori P, Moniot M, Bonnin V, Delbac F, Poirier P. 2020.** Comparison

201   of DNA extraction methods and real-time PCR assays for the detection of *Blastocystis* sp. in stool

202   specimens. Microorganisms, 8, 1768

203   **14. Rojas-Velázquez L, Maloney JG, Molokin A, Morán P, Serrano-Vázquez A, González E,**

204   **Pérez-Juárez H, Ximénez C, Santin M. 2019.** Use of next-generation amplicon sequencing to

205   study *Blastocystis* genetic diversity in a rural human population from Mexico. Parasites and

206   Vectors, 12, 566.

207   **15.Cinek O, Polačková K, Odeh R, Alassaf A, Kramná L, Ibekwe MAU, Majaliwa ES, Ahmadov**

208   **G, Elmahi BME, Mekki H, Oikarinen S, Lebl J, Abdullah MA. 2021.** *Blastocystis* in the faeces

209   of children from six distant countries: prevalence, quantity, subtypes and the relation to the gut

210   bacteriome. Parasites and Vectors, 14, 399.

211   **16. Stensvold CR, Jirků Pomajbíková K, Wegener Tams K, Jokelainen P, Berg RPKD, Marving**

212   **E, Petersen RF, Andersen LOB, Angen O, Nielsen HV. 2021.** Parasitic intestinal protists of

213   zoonotic relevance detected in pigs by metabarcoding and real-time PCR. Microorganisms, 9:1189.

214   **17. Maloney JG, Molokin A, Santin M. 2019.** Next generation amplicon sequencing improves

215   detection of *Blastocystis* mixed subtype infections. Infection, Genetics and Evolution, 73,119–125.

216 **18. Scicluna SM, Tawari B, Clark CG. 2006.** DNA barcoding of *Blastocystis*. Protist, 157, 77–85.

217 **19. Poirier P, Wawrzyniak I, Albert A, El Alaoui H, Delbac F, Livrelli V. 2011.** Development and
218 evaluation of a real-time PCR assay for detection and quantification of *Blastocystis* parasites in
219 human stool samples: prospective study of patients with hematological malignancies. Journal of
220 Clinical Microbiology, 49, 975–983.

221 **20. Nourrisson C, Scanzi J, Pereira B, NkoudMongo C, Wawrzyniak I, Cian A, Viscogliosi E,**
222 **Livrelli V, Delbac F, Dapoigny M, Poirier P. 2014.** *Blastocystis* is associated with decrease of
223 fecal microbiotaprotective bacteria: comparative analysis between patients with irritable bowel
224 syndrome and control subjects. PLoS One, 9, e111868.

225 **21. Billy V, Lhotská Z, Jirků M, Kadlecová O, Frgelevocá L, Wegener Parfrey L, Jirků-**
226 **Pomajbíková K**. 2021. *Blastocystis* colonization alters the gut microbiome and, in some cases,
227 promotes faster recovery from induced colitis. Frontiers in Microbiology, 12, 641483.

228 **22. El Safadi D, Cian A, Nourrisson C, Pereira B, Morelle C, Bastien P, Bellanger AP, Botterel F,**
229 **Candolfi E, Desoubeaux G, Lachaud L, Morio F, Pomares C, Rabodonirina M, Wawrzyniak**
230 **I, Delbac F, Gantois N, Certad G, Delhaes L, Poirier P, Viscogliosi E. 2016.** Prevalence, risk
231 factors for infection and subtype distribution of the intestinal parasite *Blastocystis* sp. from a large-
232 scale multi-center study in France. BMC Infection Diseseas,16, 451.

233 **23. Seyer A, Karasartova D, Ruh E, Güreser AS, Turgal E, Imir T, Taylan-Ozkan A. 2017.**
234 Epidemiology and prevalence of *Blastocystis* spp. in North Cyprus. American Journal of Tropical
235 Medicine and Hygiene, 96, 1164–1170.

236 **24. Popruk S, Adao DEV, Rivera WL. 2021.** Epidemiology and subtype distribution of *Blastocystis*
237 in humans: a review. Infection, Genetics and Evolution, 95, 1–14.

238 **25. Haghighi L, Talebnia SE, Mikaeili F, Asgari Q, Gholizadeh F, Zomorodian K. 2020.**
239 Prevalence and subtype identification of *Blastocystis* isolated from human in Shiraz city, southern
240 Iran. Clinical Epidemiology and Global Health, 8, 840–844.

241 **26. Scanlan PD, Stensvold CR, Cotter PD. 2015.** Development and application of a *Blastocystis*
242 subtype-specific PCR assay reveals that mixed-subtype infections are common in a healthy human
243 population. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 81, 4071–4076.

244 **27. Scanlan PD, Knight R, Song SJ, Ackermann G, Cotter PD. 2016.** Prevalence and genetic
245 diversity of *Blastocystis* in family units living in the United States. Infection, Genetics and
246 Evolution, 45, 95–97.

247 **28. Vega L, Herrera G, Munoz M, Patarroyo MA, Maloney JG, Santin M, Ramírez JD. 2021.** Gut
248 microbiota profiles in diarrheic patients with co-occurrence of *Clostridioides difficile* and
249 *Blastocystis*. PLoS One, 16, e0248185.

250 **29. MacConaill LE, Burns RT, Nag A, Coleman HA, Slevin MK, Giorda K, Light M, Lai K,**
251 **Jarosz M, McNeil MS, Ducar MD, Meyerson M, Thorner AR. 2018.** Unique, dual-indexed
252 sequencing adapters with UMIs effectively eliminate index cross-talk and significantly improve

253   sensitivity of massively parallel sequencing. BMC Genomics, 19:30.

254

Table 1. **Comparison of the sensitivity of conventional PCR and qPCR from the entire dataset of human samples (n=288).** In addition, we also evaluated the success of *Blastocystis* detection by Next-generation sequencing (NGS) only in a set of qPCR-positive samples (n=83).

# sample	methods				# sample	methods			
	PCR	qPCR	Ct value	NGS		PCR	qPCR	Ct value	NGS
B1	+	+	15	+	B2	+	+	19	+
B13	+	+	15	+	B19	+	+	19	+
B24	+	+	15	+	B115	+	+	19	+
B59	+	+	15	+	B126	+	+	19	+
B68	+	+	15	+	B184	+	+	19	+
B195	+	+	15	+	B220	+	+	19	+
B201	+	+	15	+	B374	+	+	19	+
B226	+	+	15	+	B417	+	+	19	+
B235	+	+	15	+	B86	+	+	20	+
B312	+	+	15	+	B292	+	+	20	+
B339	+	+	15	+	B277	+	+	21	-
B371	+	+	15	+	B303	+	+	21	+
B373	+	+	15	+	B380	+	+	21	+
B9	+	+	16	+	B300	+	+	22	+
B37	+	+	16	-	B375	+	+	22	+
B42	+	+	16	+	B418	+	+	22	+
B45	+	+	16	+	B424	+	+	22	+
B49	+	+	16	+	B431	+	+	23	+
B120	+	+	16	+	B33	+	+	24	+
B225	+	+	16	+	B36	+	+	24	+
B327	+	+	16	+	B313	+	+	24	+
B343	+	+	16	+	B365	+	+	24	+
B352	+	+	16	+	B55	+	+	26	+
B364	+	+	16	+	B144	-	+	28	+
B412	+	+	16	+	B345	+	+	28	+
B15	+	+	17	+	B405	+	+	29	+
B30	+	+	17	+	B356	+	+	30	-
B65	+	+	17	+	B372	-	+	31	+
B82	+	+	17	+	B10	-	+	32	-
B99	+	+	17	+	B35	-	+	32	-
B113	+	+	17	+	B38	-	+	32	-
B185	+	+	17	+	B41	-	+	32	+
B336	+	+	17	+	B50	-	+	32	-
B341	+	+	17	+	B54	-	+	32	-
B353	+	+	17	+	B62	-	+	32	-
B363	+	+	17	+	B114	-	+	32	+
B31	+	+	18	-	B189	-	+	32	-
B224	+	+	18	+	B240	-	+	32	+

B231	+	+	18	+	**B248**	-	+	32	-
B393	+	+	18	-	**B398**	-	+	32	-
B397	+	+	18	+	**B425**	+	+	32	+
B413	+	+	18	+					

TABLE 2. Comparison of results of qPCR (Stensvold et al., 2012) and conventional PCR (Scicluna et al., 2006) in detection of *Blastocystis* sp. using McNemar test (p < 0.004; χ^2 = 8.265).

		qPCR		
		positive	negative	
cPCR	positive	69	2	71 (25 %)
	negative	14	203	217 (75 %)
		83 (29 %)	205 (71 %)	288

TABLE 3. Evaluation of fecal load of *Blastocystis* sp. in human samples based on the established quantification curve (set in the range of 10^0 to 10^5 cells per 1 qPCR reaction)**.**

Estimated fecal protist load[1]	Number of samples/Number of positive samples	Ct value range
10^1-10^2	18/83	28-32
10^3-10^4	13/83	21-27
10^5-10^6	52/83	15-20

[1]Number of cells per 1 qPCR reaction.

TABLE 4. Comparison of *Blastocystis* subtype data in a set of 83 qPCR-positive samples obtained by Sanger sequencing (results obtained in previous study Lhotská et al., 2020) and next-generation sequencing (NGS).

# sample	subtype		# sample	subtype	
	Sanger sequencing	NGS		Sanger sequencing	NGS
B1	ST3	ST3	B225	ST1	ST1
B2	ST1	ST1	B226	ST1	ST1
B9	ST1	ST1	B231	ST3	ST3 + ST1
B10	-	-	B235	ST3	ST3
B13	ST1	ST1	B240	-	ST3
B15	ST3	ST3	B248	-	-
B19	ST3	ST3	B277	ST7	-
B24	ST6	ST6	B292	ST7	ST7
B30	ST3	ST3	B300	ST4	ST4
B31	ST3	-	B303	ST7	ST7
B33	ST3	ST3	B312	ST3	ST3
B35	-	-	B313	ST3	ST3
B36	ST1	ST1	B327	ST2	ST2
B37	ST2	-	B336	ST3	ST3
B38	-	-	B339	ST1	ST1
B41	-	ST3	B341	ST3	ST3
B42	ST1	ST1	B343	ST5	ST5
B45	ST1	ST1 + ST7	B345	ST6	ST6
B49	ST1	ST1	B352	ST3	ST3 + ST2
B50	-	-	B353	ST1 + ST3	ST1 + ST3
B54	-	-	B356	ST3	-
B55	ST3	ST3	B363	ST3	ST3
B59	ST4	ST4	B364	ST3	ST3 + ST2
B62	-	-	B365	ST7	ST7 + ST3
B65	ST4	ST4	B371	ST4	ST4
B68	ST3	ST3	B372	-	ST4
B82	ST2	ST2	B373	ST4	ST4
B86	ST3	ST3	B374	ST2	ST2
B99	ST3	ST3	B375	ST1	ST1
B113	ST2	ST2	B380	ST3	ST3
B114	-	ST2	B393	ST7	-
B115	ST7	ST7	B397	ST2	ST2
B120	ST1	ST1	B398	-	-
B126	ST6	ST6	B405	ST6	ST6
B144	-	ST5	B412	ST2	ST2
B184	ST3	ST3	B413	ST4	ST4
B185	ST6	ST6	B417	ST2	ST2

B189	-	-	**B418**	ST2	ST2
B195	ST3	ST3	**B424**	ST3	ST3
B201	ST3	ST3	**B425**	ST2	ST2
B220	ST3	ST3	**B431**	ST4	ST4
B224	ST1	ST1			

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA 1: Detailed description of the Next-generation sequencing protocol for *Blastocystis.*

Positive samples from qPCR were subjected to NGS to determine *Blastocystis* subtypes according to the method by Maloney et al. (2019). Briefly, amplicons of an informative region (~450 bp) of the SSU rDNA gene were generated using overhang primers, purified, and provisioned with indices and sequencing adaptors using a limited number of PCR cycles with combinatorial indices (Nextera XT Index Kit v2 Set A and D, Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA). The amplicon libraries were purified and equalized using on the SequalPrep plates (Thermo, Waltham, MA, USA), pooled, supplemented with 20% PhiX control to balance the amplicon signal, and sequenced on a MiSeq instrument with the Reagent Kit v2, 2x250 bp (Illumina). The ensuing sequences were downloaded from BaseSpace as demultiplexed fastq files, and processed using the USEARCH10 program (Edgar et al. 2010): primers were trimmed, reads were filtered for quality, and unique sequences defined as zero-radius operational taxonomic units, denoised, their frequencies were tabulated, off-target amplicons were removed and subtypes of *Blastocystis* identified by clustering with a reference set of representative sequences as described in Cinek et al. (2021).

References:

Maloney JG, Molokin A, Santin M. 2019. Next generation amplicon sequencing improves detection of Blastocystis mixed subtype infections. Infection, Genetics and Evolution, 73,119–125.

Edgar RC. 2010. Search and clustering orders of magnitude faster than BLAST. Bioinformatics, 26, 2460-1.

Cinek O, Polačková K, Odeh R, Alassaf A, Kramná L, Ibekwe MAU, Majaliwa ES, Ahmadov G, Elmahi BME, Mekki H, Oikarinen S, Lebl J, Abdullah MA. 2021. Blastocystis in the faeces of children from six distant countries: prevalence, quantity, subtypes and the relation to the gut bacteriome. Parasites and Vectors, 14, 399.

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA 2: Quantification curve used in qPCR diagnostic protocol for evaluation of the fecal *Blastocystis* load in human DNA samples (in LightCycler LC 480 I; Roche, Basel, Switzerland). The curve was set in the range of 10^0 to 10^5 cells per 1 qPCR reaction based on the *Blastocystis* ST3 culture.